

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information. P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge. TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-6401

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service. U.S. Department of Commerce, 5265 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility lor the accuracy. completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product. or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process. or service by trade name, trademark. manufacturer, or otherwise. does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation. or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DOE/ER-0313/15 Distribution Categories UC-423, -424

FUSION REACTOR MATERIALS SEMIANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30,1993

Prepared for

DOE Office of Fusion Energy

(AT 150203A)

DATE PUBLISHED: February 1994

Prepared for OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 Managed by MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. for **the** U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under Contract DE-AC05-84-OR2 1400

FOREWORD

This is the fifteenth in a series of semiannual technical progress reports on fusion reactor materials. This **report** combines research and development activities which were previously reported separately in the following progress reports:

- Alloy Development for Irradiation Performance
- Damage Analysis and Fundamental Studies
- Special Purpose Materials

These activities are concerned principally with the effects of the neutronic and chemical environmenton the properties and performance of reactor materials; together they form one element of the overall materials programs being conducted in support of the Magnetic Fusion Energy Program of the U.S. Department of Energy. The other major element of the program is concerned with the interactions between reactor materials and the plasma and is reported separately.

The Fusion Reactor Materials Program is a national effort involving several national laboratories, universities. and industries. The purpose of this series of reports is to provide a working technical record for the **use** of the program participants, and lo provide a means of communicating the efforts of materials scientists to the rest of the fusion community, **both** nationally and worldwide.

This report has been compiled and edited under the guidance of A. F. Rowcliffe, G. L. Bum, S. S **Knee'**, and C. L. Dowker. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Their efforts. and the efforts of the many persons who made technical contributions, are gratefully acknowledged.

> F. W. Wiffen Division of Advanced Physics and Technology

Reports previously listed in this series are as follows:

Period ending September 30,1986
Period ending March 31,1987
Period ending September 30,1987
Period ending March 31 , 1988
Period ending September 30,1988
Period ending March 31,1989
Period ending September 30,1989
Period ending March 31, 1990
Period ending September 30,1990
Period ending March 31 , 1991
Period ending September 30,1991
Period ending March 31,1992
Period ending September 30,1992
Period ending March 31 , 1993
Period ending September 30,1993

CONTENTS

1.0	IRRADIATION FACILITIES, TEST MATRICES, AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	2
1.1	STATUS OF U.S./JAPAN COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM PHASE II HFIR TARGET AND RB* CAPSULES–J. E. Pawel, A. W. Longest R. L. Senn <i>(Oak</i> Ridge National Laboratory). K. Shiba (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute). D. W. Heatherly and R. G. Sitterson (Oak Ridge National Laboratory).	.3

Capsules HFIR-MFE-JP9 through 16 were installed in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) target July 20, 1990 for irradiation beginning with HFIR fuel cycle 289. Of **these** eight target capsules, JP10, 11,13, 16 completed their scheduled number of cycles (11) and were removed from the reactor in September 1991. In addition, JP14 was removed from the reactor at the end of cycle 310 (September 18, 1992) after 21 cycles. Capsules JP9, 12, and 15 have completed 32 of 37 cycles.

Target capsules JP17, 18 and 19 contained fracture toughness specimens and were irradiated to approximately 3 dpa. All three capsules have been disassembled and testing is in progress.

Three new target capsules, JP20, 21, and 22, have teen assembled and are scheduled to begin irradiation at the start of Cycle 322. These capsules will operate at 300-600°C and will achieve doses of 8, 18 and 35 dpa, respectively. Many isotopically tailored specimens are included in the matrix.

The RB* experiments are the continuation of the ORR spectrally tailored experiment. In this stage, a hafnium liner is used to modify the spectrum to simulate fusion helium to displacements per atom (He/dpa) ratio in the austenitic steel specimens. Of the four capsules in this **set**. two (60J-1 and 330J-1) are ready to be disassembled, having achieved a total fluence of 18 dpa, and two (200J-1 and 400J-1) are in the reactor.

 FABRICATION AND OPERATION OF HFIR-MFE RB* SPECTRALLY TAILORED IRRADIATION CAPSULES – A. W. Longest J. E. Pawel. D. W. Heatherly, R. G. Sitterson, and R.L. Wallace (Oak Ridge National Laboratory).

Fabrication and operation of four HFIR-MFE RB* capsules (60, 200, 330, and 400°C) to accommodate MFE specimens previously irradiated in spectrally tailored experiments in the ORR are proceeding satisfactorily. With the exception of the 60°C capsule, where the test specimens were in direct contact with the reactor cooling water, specimen temperatures (monitored by 21 thermocouples) are controlled by varying the thermal conductance of a thin gas gap region between the specimen holder outer sleeve and containment tube.

Irradiation of the 60 and 330°C capsules, which started on July 17, 1990, was completed on November 14, 1992, after 24 cycles of irradiation to an incremental damage level of approximately 10.9 displacements per atom (dpa). Assembly of the follow-up 200 and 400°C capsules was completed in November, 1992, and their planned 20-cycle irradiation to approximately 9.1 incremental dpa was started on November 21, 1992. As of September 4, 1993, the 200 and 400°C capsules had successfully completed nine cycles of irradiation to approximately 4.1 incremental dpa.

۷

2.0	DOSIMETRY, DAMAGE PARAMETERS, AND ACTIVATION CALCULATIONS.	.51
2.1	REANALYSIS OF NEUTRON DOSIMETRY FOR THE MOTA-1E EXPERIMENT IN FFTFL. R. Greenwood (Pacific Northwest Laboratory).	.53
	Revised neutron fluence and spectral measurements and radiation damage calculations are reported for the Materials 0pen Test Assembly (MOTA)-1E experiment in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). The irradiation was conducted from September 10, 1986, to October 10, 1987, for a total exposure of 341.8 EFPD at 291 MW. The maximum fluence was 14.4 x 10^{22} n/cm ² , 10.1 x 10^{22} above 0.1 MeV, producing 41.8 dpa in iron. Neutron fluence and radiation damage maps are presented for the entire MOTA assembly based on the analysis of six neutron specual measurements and five additional flux gradient measurements.	
2.2	TRANSMUTATION OF Mo, Re, W, Hf, AND V IN VARIOUS IRRADIATION TEST FACILITIES AND STARFIREL. R. Greenwood and F. A. Garner (Pacific Northwest Laboratory).	60
	With the exception of V and Cu alloys, the formation of solid transmutants has not been thought 10 play a large role in the response of metals 10 irradiation. For a number of refractory elements, however, it appears that very large levels cf solid transmutation can occur both in fission and fusion spectra . The phase stability and other properties of alloys can be strong functions of the changing composition. The spectral sensitivity of transmutation also poses some difficulty in correlating data from various neutron spectra. Transmutation of Mo, Re, W, Hf and V have been calculated for the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF), the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR), and the STARFIRE fusion reactor. Results show that Re and W undergo sizable transmutation in these spectra, and may limit the use of these elements in fusion reactor materials. Vanadium and hafnium have high transmutation rates in HFIR and may require spectral tailoring.	
3.0	MATERIALS ENGINEERING AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	69
	No contributions	
4.0	FUNDAMENTAL MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR	71
5,0	RADIATION EFFECTS, MECHANISTIC STUDIES, THEORY, AND MODELING	73
5.1	MOLECULAR DYNAMICS CALCULATIONS OF DEFECT ENERGETICS IN β-SiC* Hanchen Huang and Nasr Ghoniem (Mechanical, Aerospace and Nuclear Engineering Department, University of California, Los Angeles).	75
	The Molecular Dynamics (MD) method is used to calculate defect energetics in β -silicon carbide. Many body interaction effects in this covalent material are accounted for by using a hybrid of two body and three-body potentials. Pearson's potential is modified to accurately fit sublimation energy of β -SiC. Inter-atomic potentials among silicon, carbon, and helium	

vi

atoms are also constructed based on available **data** and reasoning. A micro-crystal is constructed to represent the computational cell and external forces are applied to the microcrystal so that it behaves as a part of an infinite medium. Potential energy for the unperturbed computational cell is first calculated. The cell is then set at a defect configuration and relaxed, and the potential energy of the relaxed cell is calculated. The difference between the potential energy of the unperturbed cell and that of the defectcontaining cell is used to calculate formation energies of point defects. defect clusters and helium-filled vacancy clusters in SiC. Binding energies, and migration energies of the point defects are then deduced. Pre-exponential factors of point defect diffusion coefficients are calculated from potential profile oblained. Calculated activation energies and pre-exponential factors of thermal diffusion through vacancy mechanism are compared to experimental data. Good agreement backups our construction of potential functions and MD calculations.

A multi-model approach (MMA) to simulating defect production processes at the atomic scale is described that incorporates molecular dynamics (MD), binary collision approximation (BCA) calculations and stochastic annealing simulations. The central hypothesis of the MMA is that the simple, fast computer codes capable of simulating large numbers of high energy cascades (e.g., BCA codes) can be made lo yield the correct defect configurations when their parameters are calibrated using the results of the more physically realistic MD simulations. The calibration procedure is investigated using results of MD simulations of 25 keV cascades in copper. The configurations of point defects are extracted from the MD cascade simulations at the end of the collisional phase. similar to the information obtained with a binary collision model. The MD collisional phase defect configurations are used **as** input to the ALSOME annealing simulation code, and values of the ALSOME quenching parameters are determined that yield the best fit to the post-quenching defect configurations of the MD simulations.

5.3 INFLUENCE OF HELIUM GENERATION RATE **AND** TEMPERATURE HISTORY ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF MODEL Fe-Cr-Ni ALLOYS IRRADIATED IN FFIF AT RELATIVELY LOW DISPLACEMENTRATES--M. L. Hamilton, F. A. Gamer and D. J. Edwards (Pacific Northwest Laboratory).

In agreement with earlier studies conducted at higher displacement rates. the evolution of mechanical properties of model Fe-Cr-Ni alloys irradiated at lower displacement rates in the ⁵⁹Ni isotopic doping experiment does not appear to **he** strongly affected by large differences in helium generation rate. This insensitivity to helium/dpa ratio is exhibited during both isothermal and non-isothermal irradiation. The overall behavior of the model alloys used in this study is dominated by the tendency to converge to a saturation strength level that is independent of thermomechanical starting state and helium/dpa ratio, but which is dependent on irradiation temperature and alloy composition.

. 94

A series of Fe-Cr-Ni ternary alloys were irradiated to 12-14 dpa in the Oak Ridge Research Reactor (ORR). In this experiment, most of helium atoms were produced by the ${}^{58}Ni(n,\gamma){}^{59}Ni(n,\alpha){}^{56}Fe$ sequential reaction, and therefore, the helium generation rate was almost proportional to the nickel content, ranging from 27 to 58 appm He/dpa in 20 to

45 wt.%Ni alloys. Irradiation temperatures were 330, 400, 500 and 600°C, and the specimen variables were the nickel level, the chromium level and cold working. The high levels of helium, combined with details of the temperature history, were found to have dominated to microsuuctural development, sometimesoverwhelming and even reversing the action of a given material variable. Microscopy examination showed that there was an unprecedented refinement of cavity microstructure at all temperatures. which accounts for the substantial alterations in both swelling and mechanical properties observed in earlier studies.

5.5 MICROSTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT IN IRRADIATED VANADIUM ALLOYS – D S Gelles (Pacific Northwest Laboratory). and J. F. Stubhins (University of Illinois). 115

Three simple vanadium alloys, V-5Al, V-1Ni, and V-2Ti-1Ni have been examined to determine the effects of fast neutron irradiation in microsuuctural evolution. Specimens were irradiated in EBR II at temperatures in the range 425 to 600°C to doses of 15 and 31 dpa. Each alloy responded very differently to irradiation. All V-5AI specimens were generally void-free and contained high densities of coherent precipitates, and moderate densities of network dislocations. Swelling was much higher in V-1Ni. Voids were uniformly distributed, but with widely varying shape and size. Precipitation of two types also developed. The response in V-2Ti-1Ni was intermediate to that of the other two alloys. Irradiation produced extensive precipitate particles. The dislocation structure strongly interacted with the rod precipitate particles.

6.0	DEVELOPMENT OF STRUCTURAL ALLOYS.	.127
6.1	FERRITIC-MARTENSITIC AND BAINITE STEELS	.127
6.1.1	REPORT ON IEA COLLABORATION ON FERRITIC/MARTENSITIC STEELS	

A collaborative program is underway under the auspices of the IEA (International Energy Agency) to develop a reduced-activation ferritic steel for a demonstration fusion power plant A **task** has been initialed for which Japan will produce a 5000-10000 kg heat of reduced-activation 8Cr-2WVTa steel. Once the steel is produced, the Japanese, EC, and US fusion programs will conduct detailed cooperative test programs on the steel. Two meetings have been held to plan the collaboration, and the results from those meetings are reviewed.

The critical J integrals of mode $1(J_{IC})$, mixed-mode I/III (J_{MC}), and mode III (J_{mc}) were examined for a ferritic stainless steel (F-82H) at ambient temperature. A determination of J_{MC} was made using modified compact-tension specimens. Different ratios of tension/shear stress were achieved by varying the principal axis of the crack plane between 0 and **55** degrees from the load line. J_{mc} was determined using triple-pantleg like specimens. The results showed that F-82H steel is a very tough steel. Both J_{IC} and J_{mc} are about **500** kJ/m², and mode I tearing modula (TI) is about (360 kJ/m²)/mm. However, J_{MC} and mixed-mode tearing modula (T_M) values varied with the crack angles and were lower than

their mode I and mode III counterparts. Both the minimum J_{MC} and T_M values occurred at a crack angle between 40 and 50 degrees, where the load ratio of $\sigma i/\sigma ii$ was 1.2 to 0.84. The J_{min} was 240 kJ/m², and ratios of J_{IC}/J_{min} and J_{mc}/J_{min} were 2.1 and 1.9, respectively. The morphology of fracture surfaces was consistent with the change of J_{MC} and T_M values. While the upper shelf-fracture toughness of F-82H depends on loading mode the J_{min} remains very high. Other important considerations include the effect of mixedmode loading on the DBT temperature, and effects of hydrogen and irradiation on J_{min} .

6.1.3 IMPACT TOUGHNESS OF IRRADIATED REDUCED-ACTIVATIONFERRITIC STEELS __R. L. Klueh and D. J. Alexander (Oak Ridge National Laboratory). . . . 148

Eight chromium-tungsten steels ranging from 2.25 to 12 wt% Cr were irradiated at 365° C to 13-14 dpa in the Fast Flux Test Facility. Post irradiation Charpy impact tests showed a loss of toughness for all steels, as measured by an increase in the ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) and a decrease in the upper-shelf energy. The most irradiation-resistant steels were two 9% Cr steels: the DBTT of a 9Cr-2W-0.25V-0.1C steel increased 29°C, and for the same composition with an addition of 0.07% Ta the DBTT increased only 15°C. This is the smallest shift ever observed for such a steel irradiated to these levels. The other steels developed shifts in DBTT of 100 to 300°C. A 2.25% Cr steel with 2% W, 0.25% V, and 0.1% C was less severely affected by irradiation than 2.25% V. Irradiation resistance appears to be associated with microstructure, and microstructural manipulation may lead to improved properties.

6.1.4 MICROSTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT IN REDUCED ACTIVATION FERRITIC ALLOYS IRRADIATED TO 200 DPA AT 420°C--D, S. Gelles (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)

Density change and microstructural development are reported for nine reduced activation ferritic steels covering the range 2.3 to 12 Cr with varying additions of V and/or W for hardening and up to 6.5 Mn for austenite stability. Specimens were examined following irradiation in FFTF/MOTA at 420°C to a dose exceeding 200 dpa. Void swelling was found, but the swelling remained at 5% or below, with the worst case in an alloy of 9Cr-2Mn-1WV. The carbide structure pinning Martensite lath boundaries remains in place.

156

164

6.1.5 IRRADIATION CREEP AND SWELLING OF THE U.S. FUSION HEATS OF HT9 AND 9Cr-1Mo TO 208 DPA AT -400°C-M. B. Toloczko (University of California at Santa Barbara), F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)^a, and C. R. Eiholzer (Westinghouse Hanford Company).

The irradiation creep and swelling behaviors of the fusion heats of HT9 and 9Cr-1Mo at -400°C have been measured to exposures **as** large **as** 208 dpa, using **both** diametral and density measurements of helium-pressurized creep **tubes**. Void swelling was found in **both** alloys at 208 dpa to occur at rates of 0.012%/dpa or less, with the swelling of HT9 exhibiting a larger degree of stress enhancement than 9Cr-1Mo. The creep rate of HT9 is rather nonlinear in its response to keep stress level in the range 0-200 MPa, but 9Cr-1Mo exhibits only slightly greater than linear behavior with stress level. The creep-swelling coupling coefficients for 9Cr-1Mo are consistent with values obtained for other steels.

6.2.1	FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF CANDIDATE MATERIALS FOR ITER FIRST WALL, BLANKET, AND SHIELD STRUCTURES–J.E. Pawel. D. J. Alexander, M. L. Grossbeck, A. W. Longest, A. F. Rowcliffe (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), G. E. Lucas (University of California, Santa Barbara), S. Jitsukawa, A. Hishinuma. and K. Shiba (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute).	73
	Although the design of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor is still in an evolutionary phase, the most probable choice for a structural material is a 300 series austenitic stainless steel. An experiment was carried out in the High Flux Isotope Reactor to quantify the effects of neutron irradiation on the fracture toughness properties of a range of austenitic stainless steels. The alloys investigated were provided by programs in the European Community. United States, and Japan; they included 316, 316L, and titanium-stabilized steels in cold-worked, annealed, and welded conditions. Subsize disk compact tension specimens were irradiated to a dose G about 3 dpa and 50 appm helium (the expected fusion value) at temperatures of either 60 to 125° C or 250 to 300° C. With the exception of a cold-worked air-melted heat of 316, all materials retained excellent fracture toughness, with K, values varying from 150 to 400 MPa m over the test temperature range from 90 to 250° C.	
6.2.2	TENSILE PROPERTIES OF BASE AND WELD METAL SPECIMENS OF AUSTENITICSTAINLESS STEELS IRRADIATED IN THE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE REACTOR-K. Shiba and S. Jitsukawa (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute) and J. E. Pawel andA. F. Rowcliffe (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)1	181
	Tensile properties of Japanese Primary Candidate Alloy (JPCA) base metal and welded specimens imdiated in HFIR target positions were investigated. Electron beam (EB) and tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding techniques were used to make welded specimens. Welded specimens included weld metal and weld joint specimens. These specimens were irradiated up to 18 dpa at 300, 400, 500 and 600°C. JPCA solution annealed base metal had maximum irradiation hardening at 300°C, and it showed minimum elongation at that temperature. EB welded specimens exhibited almost the same tensile properties as the base metal with the strength of the EB weld metal and weld joint specimens being only slightly	

metal, with the strength of the EB weld metal and weld joint specimens being only slightly lower than that of the base metal. EB welded specimens retained uniform elongations in the range of 2 to 7% after irradiation. TIG welded specimens had less ductility than the EB welded specimens, however the other tensile properties of the TIG welded specimens were almost **Same as** for the EB welded specimens.

6.2.3 A PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF CRACK GROWTH IN TYPE 316L STAINLESS STEEL IN AIR AND WATER UNDER CYCLIC LOADING CONDITIONS FOR ITER FIRST-WALL DESIGN-M. R. Fox, W. K. Soppet, W. E. Ruther, and T. F. Kassner (Argonne National Laboratory).

Initial results on stress corrosion cracking (SCC) susceptibility and crack growth rate (CGR) of Type 316L SS in oxygenated water were used with fracture-mechanics relations to compare the fatigue life (cycles to failure) in air and water at 200°C of a coolant tube within the ITER first-wall structure for burn and pulse times of 480 and 1000 s, respectively. Fatigue life was evaluated as a function of maximum stress intensity. initial flaw depth in the 3.2-mm wall of the tube, water purity, and threshold stress intensity for SCC.

Х

.171

187

6.2 AUSTENITIC STAINLESS STEELS.....

Density change measurements have been completed on the Ispra second generation AMCR alloys irradiated in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B. The various compositional modifications induce a wide variation of swelling behavior in the range **423-600°C** at 32-61 dpa.

6.2.5 DENSITY CHANGES INDUCED BY NEUTRON IRRADIATION IN DYNAMICALLY COMPACTED TUNGSTEN AND PCA IN MOTA'S 2A and 2B--F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory) and J. Megusar (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)..., 201

Dynamically compacted tungsten with a starting density of 95.3% of the theoretical value densified 2 to 3% when irradiated in **FFTF/MOTAs** 2A and 2B at three temperatures between 423 and 600°C and displacement levels corresponding to 32 to 36 dpa in stainless steel during the first irradiation segment and 54.2 to 60.8 dpa during the second segment. Rapidly solidified and dynamically compacted **PCA** with high levels of titanium and carbon were also irradiated at these conditions. The density changes were variable between nominally identical specimens but were small enough to determine that significant swelling had not occurred. Microscopy is necessary to determine whether any void growth occurred in addition to precipitate-relatedstrains.

6.3 REFRACTORY METAL ALLOYS....., 205

In spite of the small differences in composition of alloying elements, V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-5Cr--5Ti alloys exhibited surprisingly large variations in ductile-brittle transition temperatures (DBTTs) (<-190, -60, and -85°C. respectively) in previous investigations. To provide a better understanding of the origin of the variation, effects of fabrication parameters (extrusion, forging, annealing temperature, and quality of Ti raw material) on the microstructure and impact properties were investigated in this work. Fracture surfaces of V-5CR-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys, which exhibited DBTTs -110°C higher than that of V-4Cr-4Ti, were characterized by relatively large precipitates (2,000-17,000 nm) which appear to be complex vanadium compounds containing different compositions of C, S, O, Cl, Ca, Na, K, Cr, and Cu. The two alloys also contained high-density precipitates 30-70 nm in size that seem to be vanadium compounds rich in O, \check{C}, S , and \check{Ca} . This unusual precipitation of vanadium compounds in the V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5CR-3Ti alloys seems to be associated in part with Ti sponge (relatively rich in Cl, Na, and K) that was used to prepare the alloy ingots. Based on thermodynamic consideration, formation of some of the complex precipitates (e.g., vanadium oxycarbochloride) is preferred to titanium oxycarbonitirides in alloys containing a high level of Cl. Vanadium-based precipilates were absent in V-4Cr-4Ti, which was fabricated using vacuum-arc-melted Ti plates low in Cl, Na, and K. V-5Cr-3Ti, which was forged at $\approx 650^{\circ}$ C rather than extruded at the usual temperature of 1150°C. also contained unusually small titanium oxycarbonitridesin high-density. Cleavage was the predominant process in brittle fracture of V-SCr-STi. However, evidence of intergranular separation, apparently associated with grain-boundary segregation of Ti, S, and P, was also observed in the material. The origin of the higher than-expected DBTTs of the V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys seems to be associated with the unusual precipitation of vanadium compounds and intergranular separation. Effect of post-fabrication annealing on ductilebrittle-transition behavior was secondary, although annealing at $\approx 1050^{\circ}$ C produced the most favorable impact properties. In contrast to V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti, V-4Cr-4Ti remained ductile for impact temperatures higher than -196°C regardless of annealing at 1050 or 1125°C.

6.3.2 EFFECT OF NEUTRON IRRADIATION ON TENSILE PROPERTIES OF V-Cr-Ti Alloys--B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith (Argonne National Laboratory). 219

Vanadium-base alloys with Cr and Ti **as** the principal alloying additions have a number of attractive physical and mechanical properties for application **as** suuctural material in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in fusion reactors that may be constructed in the future. These alloys offer an excellent combination of low, long-term radioactivation, high-temperature capability, and resistance to irradiation damage. At the present time, the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is recommended for the near-optimal combination of desirable physical and mechanical properties for a vanadium alloy structural material. In this paper, we present the tensile properties of the V-4C4-4Ti alloy and, in addition. tensile properties of V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-3Ti-1Si, V-5Ti, and V-18Ti alloys after neutron irradiation to 28-46 atom displacements **per** atom (dpa) at 420,520, and 600°C.

Irradiation-induced density change (swelling) and microstructural evolution of V-Ti, V-Cr-Ti. and V-Ti-Si alloys were investigated after irradiation in a fast reactor at 420 to 600°C up to 114 dpa. The alloys exhibited swelling maxima in the damage range of 30 to 70 dpa and swelling decreased on irradiation to higher dpa. The swelling resistance of the alloys was associated with high-density precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃, and it was concluded that Ti>4 wt.% and 400 to 1000 wppm Si are desirable to effectively suppress swelling. Swelling resistance of V-4Cr-4Ti was excellent. and this alloy is virtually immune to irradiation embrittlement. The excellent swelling resistance was associated with ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ for irradiation at 520 to 600°C and dense formation of dislocation loops for irradiation at <420°C.

The relationship of composition, number density, and diameter of precipitates in the microstructures of unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys to the yield strength, hardness. creep stress, and ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) of these materials was determined from electron microscopy analyses of precipitates in these materials and from mechanical property **data**. Unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys with ≤ 3 wt.% Ti contained VC and Ti(CNO) precipitates, which were coherent with the matrix. The most common precipitates in the alloys were Ti(C_{1-x-y}N_xO_y) that were noncoherent with the matrix. The number density of noncoherent precipitates was maximal in V-3Ti and and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys, and the average diameter of noncoherent precipitates, solute-atom misfit, and shear-modulus difference effecu. The creep stress for rupture in 1000 h was related to the number of precipitates.

 6.3.5 MICROSTRUCTURAL STABILITY OF V-4Cr-4Ti DURING NEUTRON IRRADIATION--H. Matsui and M. Tanno (Tohoku University) and J. Gazda and H. M. Chung (Argonne National Laboratory).
 240

Microstructural evolution of V-4Cr-4Ti, an alloy recently shown to exhibit excellent tensile and creep properties. virtual immunity to irradiation embrittlement, and good resistance to swelling, was characterized after irradiation in a lithium environment in the Fast Flux Text Facility (FFTF) (a sodium-cooled fast reactor located in Richland, Washington) at 420, 520, and 600°C to 24-34 dpa. The primary feature of microstructural evolution during irradiation at 520 and 600°C was high-density formation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ precipitates and short dislocations. For irradiation at 420°C, precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ was negligible, and "black-dot" defects and dislocations were observed in significantly higher densities. In spite of their extremely high densities, neither the "black-dot" defects nor Ti₅Si₃ precipitates are overly detrimental to ductility and toughness of the alloy, yet they very effectively suppress irradiation-inducedswelling. Therefore, these features. normally observed in V-base alloys containing Ti and Si, are considered stable. Unstable microstructural modifications that are likely to degrade mechanical properties significantly were not observed, e.g., irradiation-induced formation of fine oxides, carbides, nitrides, or Cr-rich clusters.

6.3.6 STATUS OF THE DYNAMIC HELIUM CHARGING EXPERIMENT (DHCE)--H. Tsai. H. M. Chung. B. A. Loomis, and D. L. Smith (Argonne National Laboratory).,247

Irradiation of the seven DHCE capsules was completed in MOTA-2B at the end of FFTF Cycle 12B. The accrued exposure was 203.3 effective full power days (EFPDs) and the peak damage in the samples was \approx 23 dpa. All seven capsules have been discharged from the FFTF and shipped to ANL-East. Procedures have been developed to disassemble the tritium-containing capsules to retrieve the specimens for examination and testing.

The effects of neutron irradiation and hydrogen on the ductile-brittle transition temperatures (DBTTs) of unalloyed vanadium and V-Cr-Ti alloys were determined from Charpy-impact tests on 1/3 ASTM-standard-size specimens and from impact tests on 3-mm diameter disks. The tests were conducted on specimens containing <30 appm hydrogen and 600-1200 appm hydrogen and on specimens after neutron irradiation to 28-46 atom displacements per atom at 420, 520. and 600°C. The DBTTs were minimum (<-220°C) for V-(1-5)Ti alloys and for V-4Cr-4Ti alloy with <30 appm hydrogen. The effect of 600-1200 appm hydrogen in the specimens was to raise the DBTTs by 60-100°C. The DBTTs were minimum (<-200°C) for V-(1-5)Ti and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys after neutron irradiation.

An exploratory activity bas been initiated to investigate potential welding processes and the effects of these processes on the properties of candidate vanadium-base alloys has been initiated. Weldments of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-15Ti-7.5Cr have been prepared by the tungstenlinert-gas process without the **use** of filler metal. The weldments were examined metallographically and found to be free of significant defects. Chemical analyses of the weldments indicate only slight oxygen pickup during welding, and microhardness profiles indicate no unusual effects.

Simple uninstrumented impact tests were conducted on unirradiated and irradiated transmission electron microscopy (TEM) disks of vanadium alloys between -196 and 300°C. The results were combined with quantitative fractography of the fractures specimens in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to determine the effect of impact temperature on fracture behavior. Based on the fractographic information. ductile-brittle transition behavior of several alloys was determined before and after irradiation. The ductile-brittle transition

temperature (DB'IT) obtained from this technique was then compared with the DB1T from Charpy impact testing of the same material. A correlation curve was then obtained for **use** in estimating DBTTs of irradiated vanadium-base alloys based on ductile-brittle transition behavior of standard-size TEM disks. The method seems to be adequate to estimate the ductile-brittle transition behavior of a material whose Charpy-impact DB1T is higher than approximately **-70°C**. For a material whose Charpy DB'IT is <-70°C, the method can provide an estimation of upper limit of the DB'IT. Compared to **a** similar; method based on slow-strain-rate small-punch testing, the present method seems to be significantly more advantageous in evaluating ductile-brittle transition behavior.

The corrosion and embrittlement of pure Nb, Nb-1Zr, Nb-5Mo-1Zr, and Nb-5V-1.25Zr (alloy elements in wt.%) were evaluated in high-purity (HP) deoxygenated water at $300^{\circ}C$ for up to 120 days. One heat of the Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloy ("O" lot) exhibited both a modest corrosion rate and good resistance to emhrittlement relative to other Nb-base alloys. At present, Nb-5V-1.25Zr is the most promising Nb-base alloy with respect to both corrosion and embrittlement characteristics in HP deoxygenaled water at $300^{\circ}C$.

Both Nb-1Zr and Mo-41Re were irradiated in FFTF at five temperatures between 420 and 730°C, reaching maximum exposure levels of 58 lo 111 dpa, depending on the irradiation temperature. Each alloy was irradiated in two starting conditions. cold-worked and aged or annealed and aged. The neutron-induced swelling behavior in response to starring condition was quite different for the two alloys and appeared to reflect a strong role of precipitation in each. While transmutation does not play a major role in the response of Nb-1Zr, it plays a significant role in the behavior of Mo-41Re, which becomes a heavily-precipitated Mo-Re-Os-Tc-Ru alloy. This strong response may preclude the use of Mo-Re alloys for fusion application.

6.4	COPPER	ALLOYS	283
6.4. 1	NEUTRON	N-INDUCED MICROSTRUCTURAL ALTERATION OF GLIDCOP TM	ner

GlidCopTM internally oxidized copper alloys remain the leading candidates for high heat flux applications in fusion reactors. This paper presents the microstructural changes incurred in three GlidCopTM alloys exposed to long term. high temperature neutron irradiation.

Irradiation at high lemperature produced **a** microstructure containing a much lower dislocation density than the unirradiated specimens. Although 1-50 nm size triangular oxide panicles were observed in areas with a very low number density of particles, spherical oxide panicles on the order of 5-7 nm in diameter, thought to be $CuAl_2O_4$, were the predominant morphology. The changes in grain size distribution. dislocation density, and precipitate type and distribution saturate in the range of 34 to **50** dpa. **as** reflected in the saturation of mechanical properties.

IRRADIATED COPPER-T. Muroga (Kyushu University) and F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)^a..... .293 Nickel formed by transmutation of pure copper after irradiation to 95.4 dpa in FFTF was measured using the EDS technique. The nickel measurements agree rather well with the predicted level, although the foil-averaged value is higher than the bulk-averaged value due to the large amount of void intersections that occur in this specimen, which swelled $\sim 45\%$. Nickel was shown to segregate strongly at void surfaces. 6.5.1 CONSIDERATION OF TRITIUM AND HYDROGEN DISTRIBUTIONSIN LAYERED STRUCTURES FOR ITER -- E. P. Simonen, J. L. Brimhall and R. H. Jones (Pacific .301 Northwest Laboratory). The distributions of tritium from the plasma and hydrogen from the coolant in candidate ITER structures depends on the selection of base alloys as well as layered materials that are applied to improve compatibility with the plasma or the coolant. Two hydrogen sources are considered in the present calculations using the DIFFUSE 83 code, namely, implantationdriven permeation of tritium from the plasma and gas-driven permeation of hydrogen from the coolant. A stainless steel layer in contact with the coolant can achieve significant tritium and hydrogen concentrations depending on choice of base material, temperature, ion implantation flux and coolant pressure of hydrogen. Hydrogen ingress through a stainless steel layer 10 V structures may be significant but ingress through layers 10 Cu-based alloys is not expected to **he.** significant. 6.5.2 MEASUREMENT OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY OF THERMALLY GROWN TITANIUM NITRIDE THIN FILMS IN LIQUID LITHIUM -- J.-H. Park and T. Domenico 312 (Argonne National Laboratory). A sample of pure Ti and a pair of Ti electrodes were placed in small capsules containing

A sample of pure 11 and a pair of 11 electrodes were placed in small capsules containing liquid Li and Li₃N for seven days at 710°C to investigate the formation of titanium nitride (TiN). An attempt was made to enhance the resistivity of in-situ-formed TiN films by adding to the Li small amounts of Al, Si, and Mg. which might be incorporated into the

films. One sample and set of electrodes was nitrided in Li containing Li₃N and another was immersed in pure Li. The electrical resistance of the films was -1.0 to 1.5Ω and the values increased slightly with temperature, which is indicative of metallic conduction. Energy-dispersive-spectroscopy (EDS) analyses of the films by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed that none of the dopants was incorporated into the TiN lattice.

6.5.3 DEVELOPMENT OF ELECTRICAL-INSULATOR COATINGS: IN-SITU ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS ON V-5%Cr-5%Ti IN LIQUIDLiTHIUM--J,-H, Park and G. Dragel (Argonne National Laboratory).

The electrical resistance of insulator coatings produced on V-5%Cr-5%Ti by exposure of the alloy 10 liquid Li that contained 5 at.% N, with and without 5 at.% dissolved AI, was measured as a function of time at temperatures between 250 and 500°C. The solute elements (N and AI) reacted in liquid Li with the alloy substrate at 415°C to produce thin adherent coatings. The resistance of the coating layer was -1.5 and 1.0Ω at 415 and 500°C. respectively. Thermal cycling between 250 and 415°C did not change the resistance of the coating layers. These results and those reported previously suggest that homogeneous coatings can be produced on variously shaped surfaces by controlling the exposure time,

321

6.4.2 DISTRIBUTION OF TRANSMUTANT NICKEL FORMED IN FAST NEUTRON

temperature. and composition of the liquid metal. The integrity of the coatings does not appear to be sensitive to defects (e.g., open pores, fissures, or microcracks) present in the alloy substrate in liquid Li.

The magnetic trap from a plugged cold-trap purification loop of a forced-circulation lithium system was examined to study mass transfer/deposition behavior in liquid lithium systems. Two types of particles, large faceted crystals and globules, were observed in the residue collected from the magnetic trap. These particles are similar to the (Mn,Fe) Ni and (Mn,FE) Ni3 compounds that had been identified earlier in the particulate collected from plugged sections of a cold-trap loop. The ternary nitride Li_9CrN_5 was also identified in the residue. Results indicate that a magnetic trap is effective for capturing magnetic particles within a liquid lithium loop.

Scoping tests were conducted on compatibility of gallium with Type **316** SS in three conditions: as-received. oxidized in water at 290°C for 100 h. and oxidized in air at 350°C for 100h. Corrosion tests were conducted at 400°C for limes up to 3000 h. The results indicate that at **400°C**, an iron[II] chromite coating does not provide protection against corrosion. The oxide coating dissociates to form **B-Ga₂O₃**, and corrosion of the alloy proceeds by dissolution accompanied by formation of FeGa₃ and other gallium/intermetallic compounds. However, oxidized specimens have a lower corrosion rate than nonoxidized Type **316 SS**. Corrosion rates are **4.0. 2.2**, and **2.7** mm/y for Type **316 SS** as-received. oxidized in water, and oxidized in air, respectively.

This paper reviews the literature on beryllium, emphasizing the effects of irradiation on essential properties. Swelling and embrittlement experiments as a function of irradiation temperature and dose, and **as** a function of neutron spectrum are described, and the results are quantified, where possible. Effects of impurity content are also reported, from which optimum composition specifications can be defined. Microstructural information has also been obtained to elucidate the processes controlling the property changes.

The available information indicates that beryllium divertors can be expected to embrittle quickly and many need frequent replacement.

BEATRIX-II is an in situ tritium recovery experiment that was designed to characterize the behavior of lithium ceramics irradiated to high burnup in a fast neutron flux. Postirradiation

examination was carried out on the Phase I venred canisters: one containing a Li_2O ring capable of temperature changes and the other a Li_2O solid specimen with a center temperature of 1000°C.

The tritium inventory of the ring specimen at 650°C was determined to be in the range from 0.2-0.6 wppm while for the solid specimen the inventory varied from 1.4 wppm at the surface to 0.06 wppm at the inner surface. Downstream transport of the Li₂O by the sweep gas was determined to be insignificant from analyses of acid rinses of selected canister surfaces. Densification and restructuring of the solid specimen during irradiation resulted in the development **d** a central annulus. Ceramography was used to characterize the columnar grain structure and the mechanisms involved in its evolution.

The goals for fusion power are to produce energy in as safe, economical, and environmentally benign a manner as possible. To ensure environmentally sound operation low activation materials should be used where feasible. The ARIES Tokamak Reactor Study has based reactor designs on the concept of using low activation materials throughout the fusion reactor. For the tritium breeding blanket, the choices for low activation tritium breeding materials are limited. Lithium titanate is an alternative low activation ceramic malerial for use in the tritium breeding blanket. To date, very little work has been done on characterizing the tritium release for lithium titanate. We have thus performed laboratory studies of tritium release from irradiated lithium titanate. The results indicate that tritium is easily removed from lithium to affect the tritium release, and the addition of 0.1% H₂ to the helium purge gas did not improve tritium recovery.

7.4 TRITIUM RELEASE FROM BERYLLIUM DISCS AND LITHIUM CERAMICS IRRADIATED IN THE SIBELIUS EXPERIMENT C. E. Johnson and J. P. Kopasz (Argonne National Laboratory) and D. L. Baldwin (Pacific Northwest Laboratory).,373

The SIBELIUS experiment was designed to obtain information on the compatibility between beryllium and ceramics, as well as beryllium and steel, in a neutron environment. Four capsules were used to examine beryllium/ceramic (Li₂O, LiAIO₂, Li₄SiO₄, and Li₂ZrO₃) and beryllium/steel (Types 316L and 1.4914)compacts. Isothermal anneal experiments have been run on representative beryllium and ceramic disks from each of the four capsules at 550°C to 850°C in steps of 100°C. The results indicate that tritium release from the beryllium did not exhibit burst release behavior, as previously reported, but rather a progressive release with increasing temperature. Generally –99 percent of the tritium was released by 850°C. Tritium release from the ceramic discs was quite similar to the behavior shown in other dynamic tritium release experiments on lithium ceramics. The tritium content in beryllium disc adjacent to a steel sample was found to be significantly lower than that found in a beryllium appears to be the source of tritium entering the beryllium, probably residing in the beryllium oxide layer.

8.0 CERAMICS	. 3'	79
---------------------	------	----

Ceramic matrix composites show the uncommon and promising property of increasing their toughness and maintaining their load carrying capacity with increasing crack lengths under

conditions of subcritical crack growth (SCG). As the crack grows, a more extensive bridging zone is formed allowing it to withstand far greater stress intensities than would be expected from fracture tests. It is also possible that crack bifurcation contributes to this effect, but is not likely to be the primary cause. It has not been determined whether or not an equilibrium crack-wake bridging zone will occur in these samples. Further testing may show that it occurs at greater crack lengths than hose obtained in these tests or that it can't occur at all in these samples. Either way, the results of these tests are encouraging and expand the possible uses of **these** types of materials in applications that require long-term stability under stress.

 8.2 POST-IRRADIATION ANALYSIS OF FIBER DEBONDING AND PULL-OUT IN SiC-SiC COMPOSITES--A.E-Azab and N. M. Ghoniem (University of California, Los Angeles).
 389

The toughness of SiC-SiC composites is conultued by crack bridging, matrix crack deflection, fiber debonding and pull-out and other minor effects. Fiber pull-out toughening relies on the debonding and frictional characteristics of the fiber-matrix interface. The interface friction depends on the interface pressure (i.e., on misfit strains) and interface roughness. An earlier study by the present authors [1] has shown hat misfit strain in SiC-SiC composites undergo complex changes under neutron irradiation due to irradiation-induced creep and swelling. The present work complements the study presented in Ref. [1] by developing a calculational model for post-irradiation fiber debonding and pull-out toughness in SiC-SiC composites. It is shown that fiber debonding and pull-out toughness in SiC-SiC composites vary significantly with neutron fluence and irradiation temperature, which is a direct consequence of the dependence of the misfit strain on these irradiation variables.

This paper presents a summary of the radiation effects in the Nicalon polymer precursor fiber. It is shown that the serious degradation previously seen in this fiber (and fiber composite) is primarily due to the presence of a silicon oxycarbide phase. Results supporting this interpretation include microstructural analysis as well as post irradiation mechanical property measurements. Preliminary results on the effects of radiation on low oxygen Nicalon fibers are presented. The reduced oxygen fibers have shown radiation induced density, strength, Weibull's and Young's moduli changes typical for ceramic materials. This contrasts sharply with the poor irradiation behavior of the standard Nicalon fiber and suggests that improved radiation resistance can be expected in SiC/SiC composites fabricated with low oxygen Nicalon.

Two different techniques. viz. compliance and FRACTOMAT methods, have been evaluated to precisely determine the crack length during fatigue crack propagation testing. A software program has been developed to compute da/dN and AK values. Facilities are being augmented to conduct the tests at temperatures as high **as** 2000°C. Machining of SiC/SiC

xviii

composites **has** been successfully accomplished using the facilities at the University of Tennessee. Work has been initiated on the theoretical modeling of the various crack shielding mechanisms that *carprofoundly* influence the crack propagation rates.

 8.5 SUMMARY OF IEA WORKSHOP ON RADIATION EFFECTS IN CERAMIC INSULATORS--S. J. Zinkle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, G. P. Pells (Harwell National Laboratory. England) and F. W. Chard, Jr. (Las Alamos National Laboratory).417

A workshop on radiation effects in ceramics was held during the **6th** International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials in Stresa, Italy. Recent work on radiation effects in ceramics was reviewed by participants from Europe, Japan, and the United States. Most of the ensuing discussion centered on the phenomenon of radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED). A round robin RIED experiment on the IEA reference ceramic (Wesgo AL995) was formulated.

Recent electrical conductivity measurements made on aluminum oxide during irradiation with **28** MeV He+ ions near **550°C** indicate that radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED) does not occur for damage levels up to -0.2 dpa. Increases in electrical current that resemble the RIED effect have been observed in some cases, but were found to be due to an increase in the surface leakage current and are attributed to a buildup of surface contamination during irradiation.

No summary submitted.

A resonant cavity technique was used to measure the dielectric constant and loss tangent of ceramic insulators at a frequency near 100 MHz during gamma ray and pulsed fission reactor irradiation near room temperature. Tests were performed on single crystal and several different grades of polycrystalline Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄, AIN and Si₃N₄. Lead shielding experiments were performed for some of the pulsed fission reactor irradiations in order to examine the importance of gamma ray versus neutron irradiation effects. With the exception of AIN, the dielectric constant of all of the ceramics decreased slightly during the pulsed fission reactor irradiation. Significant transient increases in the loss tangent to values as high as 6×10^{-3} occurred during pulsed reactor irradiation with peak ionizing and displacements per atom (dpa) radiation fields of 4.2 x 10^4 Gh/s and 2.4 x 0-6 dpa/s, respectively. The loss tangent measured during irradiation for the different ceramics did not show any correlation with the preirradiation or postirradiation values. Analysis of the results indicates lhat the transient increases in loss tangent are due to radiation induced increases in the electrical conductivity. The loss tangent increases were proportional to the ionizing dose rate.

An in-situ DC conductivity capsule has been constructed and tested at the High Flux Beam Reactor (HFBR) at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The prototype capsule contained two alumina and one silicon nitride polycrystalline samples. In-situ measurement of the DC conductivity was made utilizing custom-made mineral insulated triaxial cables with a guard ring configuration for the samples and the sample holders. Sample and capsule temperatures were also measured in-situ. This prototype capsule was designed to operate at ITER relevant temperatures of 80°C (alumina) and 300°C (alumina and silicon nitride) with an applied electric field of 133 V/mm. It is significant that a large prompt increase in conductivity occurred but that no permanent radiation induced electrical degradation was observed in alumina after irradiation at 80°C to a neutron dose of >0.1 dpa

8.10 THE EFFECTOF IONIZING AND DISPLACIVE RADIATION ON THE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF ALUMINA AT LOW TEMPERATURE–D. P. White (Oak Ridge National Laboratory).

Microwave heating of plasmas in fusion reactors requires the development of windows through which the microwaves can pass without great losses. The degradation of the thermal conductivity of alumina in a radiation environment is an important consideration in reliability studies of these microwave windows. Several recent publications have calculated the radiation induced degradation at high temperature and at low temperature. The current paper extends the low temperature calculations in order to determine the effect of phonon scattering by radiation produced vacancies and by conduction hand electrons due to RIC on the thermal conductivity at 77K. These low temperature calculations are of interest because the successful application of high power (>1 MW) windows for electron cyclotron heating systems in fusion reactors will most likely require cryogenic cooling to take advantage of the low loss tangent and higher thermal conductivity of candidate window materials at these temperatures.

It is found that vacancy scattering can significantly reduce the thermal conductivity; for example, a vacancy concentration of 0.01 per atom leads to a fractional change in thermal conductivity of about 90%. It is also concluded that the scattering of phonons by electrons in the conduction band due to RIC does not lead to **a** large reduction in the thermal conductivity.

8.11 MICROSTRUCTURE OF ION IRRADIATED CERAMIC WSULATORS - S. J. Zinkle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory).

.475

.467

Cross-section transmission electron microscopy was utilized to examine the radiationinduced microstructural changes in Al₂O₃, MgO, Si₃N₄ and MgAl₂O₄ after irradiation with a wide variety of energetic ion beams. The relative influence of ionizing and displacive radiation was studied by systematically varying the mass and energy of the bombarding ions between 1 MeV H⁺ and 4 MeV Zr^+ ions. The measured ion **ranges** were between 1 and 15% greater than the ranges calculated by the TRIM code, with the largest discrepancies occurring for intermediate mass ions. The implanted ions exerted a strong influence on the overall microstructural evolution of the irradiated ceramics. Numerous microstructural features (e.g., amorphization, colloids) were produced in the implanted ion regions which could not be produced in irradiated regions that were well separated from the implanted ions. The microstructural evolution in regions well separated from the implanted ions was found to depend strongly on the mass and energy of the bombarding ion. Light ion irradiation produced a significant enhancement in point defect diffusion (evidenced by defect-free zones at the surface and adjacent to internal defect sinks) compared to heavy ion irradiation **at** the same damage rate. Similarly, irradiation with a given ion at a higher flux generally produced an increased amount of observable diffusion. In some cases such **as 1** MeV proton irradiation, observable defect clusters did not form. This suppression in defect cluster formation is attributed to the high amount of point defect recombination associated with ionization enhanced diffusion that occurs during energetic light ion irradiation.

Ceramics will he used **for** a number *of* applications in fusion devices, where their dielectric properties, high strength, refractoriness. or low activation characteristics are required. In all cases starting mechanical properties **must** he adequate to preserve structural integrity, and the material must tolerate irradiation damage without undue degradation. A review of the irradiation response of four prototypical candidate ceramics shows that significant deterioration of mechanical properties can he expected for most applications in ITER. Future studies are identified **that** will establish the basis for specifying developing ceramics that can meet the needs of ITER and subsequent fusion reactor concepts.

Stoichiometric MgAl₂O₄ spinel specimens irradiated in FFTF-MOTA at temperatures between 385 and 750°C to fluences ranging from 2.2 to 24.9 x 10^{22} n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV) darken significantly, hut do not develop any significant loss in weight or change in dimensions. Similar behavior was observed in both single crystal and fully dense polycrystalline specimens. Measurements of elastic constants hy an ultrasonic technique show lhat no measurable changes occur **as** a result of the irradiation. These and other results confirm the stability of this material for fusion application **as** an electrical insulator.

High-purity specimens of stoichiometric MgAl₂O₄ single crystal spinel and a hot-pressed polycrystalline cerrunic spinel were irradiated to exposures as large **as 24.9** x 10^{22} cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV) in FFTF **at** 385°C and 750°C. The specimens did not develop any brittleness or fragility, and maintained their physical integrity. Microhardness measurements revealed that initially **all** specimens hardened a small amount and then recovered slightly. **At** the lower irradiation temperature, the dependence of microhardness on orientation Observed prior to irradiation tended to disappear. There was also some evidence that a secondary slip system was being activated. Following **750°C** irradiation, the orientation dependence was not lost, and the evidence for activation of a secondary slip system was stronger.

1.0 IRRADIATION FACILITIES, TEST MATRICES, AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

STATUS OF U.S./JAPAN COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM PHASE II HFIR TARGET **AND** RB* CAPSULES - J. E. Pawel, A. W. Longest, R. L. Senn (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), K. Shiba (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute), D. W. Heatherly, and R. G. Sitterson (Oak Ridge National Laboratoly)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the HFIR irradiations is to determine the response of the various U.S. and Japanese austenitic and ferritic steels with different pretreatments and alloy compositions to the combined effects of displacement damage and helium generation. Specimen temperatures during irradiation range from 60 to 600°C and fluences range up to 70 dpa. The RB* experiments are a continuation of the ORR spectrally tailored experiments in which the spectrum is modified with a hafnium shield to simulate the expected fusion helium to damage (He/dpa) ratio. In the HFIR target capsules, many specimens have been isotopically tailored in order to achieve fusion helium generation rates.

SUMMARY

Capsules HFIR-MFE-JP9 through 16were installed in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) target July 20, 1990 for irradiation beginning with HFIR fuel cycle 289. Of these eight target capsules, JPIO, 11, 13, 16 completed their scheduled number of cycles (11) and were removed from the reactor in September 1991. In addition. JP14 was removed from the reactor at the end of cycle 310 (September 18, 1992) after 21 cycles. Capsules JP9, 12, and 15 have completed 32 of 37 cycles.

Target capsules JP17, 18 and 19 contained fracture toughness specimens and were irradiated to approximately 3 dpd. All three capsules have been disassembled and testing is in progress.

Three new target capsules, JP20, 21, and 22, have been assembled and are scheduled to begin irradiation at the start of Cycle 322. These capsules will operate at 300-600° C and will achieve doses of 8, 18 and 35 dpa. respectively. Many isotopically tailored specimens are included in the matrix.

The RB* experiments are the continuation of the ORR spectrally tailored experiment. In this stage, a hafnium liner is used to modify the spectrum to simulate fusion helium to displacements per atom (He/dpa) ratio in the austenitic steel specimens. Of the four capsules in this set, two (60J-1 and 330J-1) are ready to be disassembled, having achieved a total hence of 18 dpa, and two (200J-1 and 400J-1) are in the reactor.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Tareet Capsules JP9 through JP16

Capsules HFIR-MFE-JP9 through 16 wcrc installed in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) target July 20, 1990 for irradiation beginning with HFIR fuel cycle 289. A complete description and details of the design, construction, and installation of capsules JP9 through JP16 have been previously reported [1,2,3]. The as-built specimen matrix can he found in a previous report [3]. These capsules contain primarily transmission electron microscopy disks (TEM) and SS-3 flat tensile specimens. A wide variety of alloys and thermomechanical conditions are included. Many of the TEM disks were made from isotopically tailored alloys to produce a range of He/dpa ratios (<0.1, 10, 20.70 appm/dpa). Details of the irradiation history of these capsules are shown in Table **1**. Capsules JP10, 11, 13, and 16 wcrc removed at the end of Cycle 300 (September 1991) after achieving a total of 18 dpa. Capsule JP14 was removed at the end of Cycle 310 (September 1992), after accumulating

	HFIR OP	ERATION		JP-9,-12	2,-15 [2]	200J-1,	400J-1
CYCLE	START	END	MWd/	IRR.TO	70 DPA	INCRE	
NO.	DATE	DATE	Cycle	MWd	dpa 1	MWd	dpa 1
	T						
1 200	07 00 00		1070	ļ			
269	07-20-90	09-07-90	10/9				
290	09-19-90	10-11-90	1852	 _	-		
291	10-17-90	17-13-90	1838	7440	L		
292	11-25-90	12-10-90	1847	7416			
293	12-27-90	01-23-91	1965	OUT OF REA	CTOR	1	
294	02-01-91	02-25-91	1906	9322		[
295	03-01-91	03-24-91	<u>1908</u>	11230	5.00	ļ	
296	04-06-91	04-28-91	1874	13104	11.44		
297	05-19-91	06-15-91	1845	14949	13.05		
298	06-20-91	07-11-91	1747	166961	1 14.58		
299	07-25-91	08-15-91	1741	18437	16.10		
300	08-28-91	09-17-91	1724	20161	17.60		
301	09-27-91	10-19-91	1851	22012	19.22	{	
302	10-27-91	11-21-91	1829	23841	20.81		
303	12-01-91	12-22-91	1821	25662	22.40		
304	12-31-91	01-24-92	1826	27488	24.00		
305	01-30-92	02-27-92	<u>1876</u>	29364	25.63		
306	03-31-92	04-27-92	1845	31209	27.25		
307	05-01-92	05-28-92	1842	33051	28.85		
308	06-02-92	06-30-92	1938	34969	30.55	ſ	
309	07-03-92	08-01-92	1949	36938	32.25]	
310	08-27-92	09-18-92	1	38786	33.86		
311	09-25-92	10-12-92		40642	35.48		
312	10-22-92	11-14-92		42476	37.08	BEGIN	CLE 313
313	11-21-92	12-15-92	1858	44334	38.70		0.46
314	12-20-92	01-12-93	1867	46201	40.33	3725	0.91
315	01-19-93	02-11-93	1861	46062	41.96	5586	1.37
316	02-17-93	04-03-93	1807	49869	43.54	7393	1.81
317	04-23-93	05-14-93	1841	51710	45.14	9234	2.26
318	05-20-93	06-13-93	1878	53588	46.78	11112	2.72
319	06-18-93	07-10-93	1863	55451	48.41	12975	3.18
320	07-15-93	08-07-93	1934	57385	<u>50.1</u> 0	14909	3.65
321	08-12-93	09-04-93	1884	59269	51.74	16793	4.11
322	1						
323]						
324							
325							
326							
327							
328	1						
	<u> </u>			L		L	

Table 1. Irradiation history of U. S. Japan Program Capsules.

DPA levels based on achieving 0.000873 dpa/MWd in the JP capsules and ,000245 dpa/MWd in the MFE-RE' 200J-1 and 400J-1 capsules.

2 JP-10,-11,-13,-16 **removed** at EOC 300. JP-14 removed **at** EOC 310.

34 dpa, and was disassembled during this reporting period. Capsules JP9, 12, and 15 have completed 32 of the scheduled 37 cycles, and have accumulated 52 dpa.

Many of the specimens from the JP10, 11, 13, and 16 capsules have been tested in the Irradiated Materials Examination and Testing Facility hot cells. The initial set of tensile tests of JPCA and 316F stainless steels in solution annealed, cold worked, and welded conditions have been completed. Analyses include reduction-of-area measurements and fracture stress calculations. Details of the testing of JPCA are presented elsewhere [4]. Density measurements have been taken on specimens removed from the JPIO Position 6, JP11 Position 6, JP13 Position 6, and JP16 Position 6 TEM tubes using the ORNL precision densitometer. These four tubes contain isotopically tailored alloys from both the ORNL and JAERI programs as well as other candidate fusion alloys. The JPIO Position 4 E M tube also has been opened and the specimens have been sorted and cleaned.

Tareet Capsules JP17, 18, and 19

Capsules HFIR-MFE-JP17, 18 and 19 contained fracture toughness specimens and were irradiated to approximately 3 dpa. The crack region temperatures of the specimens in JP17 were 250-300°C and those of the specimens in JP18 and 19 were 60-125°C. Experimental details and loading lists can be found in references 5, 6, and 7. All three capsules have been disassembled and testing is in progress. Preliminary test results are reported elsewhere [8, 9].

Tareet Capsules JP20 through 22

Three new capsules, HFIR-MFE-JP20, 21, and 22, have been designed to complete the original experiment matrix of the JP9 through JP16 series capsules [IO]. Component fabrication and capsule assembly were completed during this reporting period for all three capsules. It is planned to irradiate the capsules in inner target positions for 5, 11, and 22 cycles, respectively, to achieve peak doses of approximately 8, 18, and 35 dpa. Specimen temperatures in each capsule will be 300, 400, 500, or 600°C. Irradiation is scheduled to begin with the start of reactor Cycle 322.

Many isotopically tailored specimens are included in the test matrix. The specimen loading lists for these capsules are given in Tables 2 and 3. The specimen identification codes are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Alloy compositions are given in Tables 6-10.

RB* Capsules HFIR-MFE-60J-1, 200J-1, 330J-1 and 400J-1

The RB* capsules are irradiated in the removable beryllium positions of the HFIR. These experiments are a continuation of the ORR spectrally tailored experiment. At this stage in the experiment, a hafnium liner surrounds the capsules in order to harden the spectrum and achieve the expected fusion helium generation rate in the austenitic steel specimens. Capsule design, assembly and details of specimen loading have been previously described in references 11, 12, 13, and 14. Capsules 6OJ-1 and 330J-1 were removed from the reactor in November 1992 after accumulating approximately 11 dpa in the HFIR. Most of the specimens had also been irradiated to approximately 7 dpa in the ORR. These capsules are scheduled for disassembly in November 1993. Capsules 200J-1 and 400J-1 have completed nine of the scheduled 20 irradiation cycles, as shown in Table 1. The capsules are performing as designed, with the temperatures holding within the expected ranges. Details of the capsule performance are described elsewhere in this report [15].

lists.
loading
Capsule
able 2.

-
B
÷
0
U
0
2
Ы
7
щ
μ.
Σ
E
2
L
E

		(ndn or
Position	Specimen Holder	Specimen I. D.
1	SS-3, T(2), 400°C	A101, A201
2	SS-3, T(2), 600°C	C102, C101
3	SS-3, T(2), 500°C	E101, F108
4	SS-3, T(4), 300°C	BL47, BL47, BL47W, BL47W
5	TEM, 300°C	see TEM lists
6	TEM, 300°C	see TEM lists
7	TEM, 500°C	see TEM lists
8	TEM, 600°C	see TEM lists
9	TEM, 400°C	see TEM lists
10	SS-3, T(4), 300°C	A301, C103, C104, A302
11	SS-3, T(4), 300°C	A103, A203, A102, A202
12	SS-3, T(2), 500°C	C105, K405
13	SS-3, T(2), 600°C	K203, K401
14	SS-3, T(2), 400°C	A303. A304

_
8
ΰ
20
1
È
Ë
2
ß
Ξ
Ξ

TEM, 300°C	see TEM lists
EM, 300°C EM, 500°C	see TEM lists
EM, 600°C EM. 400°C	see TEM lists
5-3, T(4), 300°C	C A105, A204, A205, A104
5-3, T(4), 300°C	C A306, A305, C106, C107

C106. C107	K410, C108	K409, K302	C110, C109	,
	SS-3, T(2), 500°C	SS-3, T(2), 600°C	SS-3, T(2), 400°C	
	12	13	14	

HFIR-MFE-JP22 (40 do

1									_						
(40 apa)	Specimen I. D.	E102, F103	K406, K304	F102 E106	TI34, SB42 TL12, SD41	see TEM lists	C112 C111, D101, D102	E107, F105, C113, D104	K407, K204	K403, K205	D103 C114				
HFIK-MFE-JF22 (Specimen Holder	SS-3, T(2), 400°C	SS-3, T(2), 600°C	SS-3, T(2), 500°C	SS-3, T(4), 300°C	TEM, 300°C	TEM, 300°C	TEM, 500°C	TEM, 600°C	TEM, 400°C	SS-3, T(4), 500°C	SS-3, T(4), 300°C	SS-3, T(2), 500°C	SS-3, T(2), 600°C	SS-3. T(2), 400°C
	Position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14

1-27	pos. >	1	10dpa	300°C	1	E.	2(pos. 6		10dpa	00°C		-27	pos. 7		10dpa	500°C
itto			66	TB-22		, C	io		Ī	66	04-34		xto			66	70
	BL3		67	TA-22		T		HI-02	1	67	C25-27		1	F1-31		67	A43-06
2	BL42		68	SC-11		2		H2-03	- 1	68	C26-24		2	C1-09		68	A44-00
3	BL23		69	TB-11		3		H3-03		69	21-38		3	C2-10		69	A45-06
4	BLA7		70	TA-12		4	-	H4-03		70	C01-0C		4	C3-09		70	A46-03
5	BL25		71	SB-11		5		KIM		71	C02-31		5	C4-10		71	SK/V
6	BL24		72	SD-12		6)	K2-01		72	CU3-14		6	CC-09		72	3H/X
7	BL13		73	TB-05		1		K3-02	1	13	04-05		1			73	A01-15
8	BLIS		74	TA-06		8	5	K4-01		14	(23-38 100 C 01		ð	HI-10		75	A02-25
9	BL23		13	1A-21)	HI-03		15	120-2		9	12.17		76	100-17
	BLU		42	1B-21		1.	.) 1	H2-02		10	142.27		11	HA-16		77	106-06
	BLAO			C00-07		1	ן ר	10-01		70	134-04		12	11.13		78	A07-16
12	DLIJ		70	C07-42		1	2	K1-03		70	145-0K		13	12-13		79	A08-18
14	D1 12		ŝ	C06-12		1	4	K2-03		80	46-24		14	J3-15		80	A10-10
	BL 10		81	cm 41		l i	5	K3-01		81	.43-0.		15	K1-10		81	A11-30
16	BL20		82	C08-37		Ī	6	K4-02		82	44-1		16	K2-11		82	A13-00
17	BLA7		83	A37-10		li	7	H1-01		83	.45-21		17	K3-18		83	A14-14
19	BL40		84	A38-06		1	8	H2-01		84	.146-05		18	K4-18		84	A16-29
	BU 7		85	A40-34		1	9	H3-02		85	.143-05		19	F1-32		85	A17-12
20	BL5		86	A41-18		2	0	H4-02		86	.44-1		20	C1-10		86	A19-13
21	BL2		87	A37-35		2	1	K1-01		87	.45-0		21	C2-09		87	A20-33
22	BL50		88	A38-22		2	2	K2m2		88	,146-01		22	C3-10		88	A22-32
23	BL21		89	A40-23		2	3	K3-03	11	89	B1-01		23	C4-09		89	A23-10
24	BL13		90	A41-27		2	4	<u>K4-03</u>	1	90	B2-02		24	CS-10		90	A23-55
25	BL12		91	R2-20		2	5	401-02		91	B3-01		25	C6-10		91	A20-03
26	BL12		92	R3-19		2	6	402-34		92	F1-03		20	112 16		92	A 20 31
2/	BLZZ		93	R4-13		4	./	103-01		93			21	H2 10		93	A 30.02
28	BLI		94	KO-11		4	0	404-40		94	C1 02		20	H4.17		05	A31.21
29	BL22		95	R4-10			<i>.9</i> 20	12601		95	C3-02		30	11.14		96	A32-02
21			07	DA-19		1	1	4200		90	C1-02		31	12-14		97	A37-15
31	BI 26		97	R5-18			2	120.25		98	C6-01		32	J3-14		98	A38-35
32	RI 42		99	A10-15		3	3	430-37		99	J1-03		33	K1-11		99	A40-18
34	BL2		100	A11-17		3	34	A31-25		100	J202		34	K2-10		100	A41-21
35	BL46		101	A13-34		3	5	A32-20		101	J3-03		35	K3-20		101	7P
36	BL15		102	A14-24		3	6	7R		102	B1-02		36	K4-19		102	7T
37	BL47		103	A16-19		3	37	7u		103	B2-01		37	A01-24		103	A43-05
38	BL18		104	A17-08		3	38	A43-08		104	B3-02		38	A02-09		104	A44-02
39	BL46		105	A19-24		3	39	A44-05		105	FIM		39	A03-33		105	A45-05
40	BL21		106	A20-05		4	10	A45-08		106	C1-01		40	A04-07		106	A40-04
41	BL27		107	A22-02		4	1	A4605		107	C2-01		41	A06-07		107	
42	BLA3		108	A23-00		4	+2	7V 7v		108	C4 01		42	A07-02		100	C01.35
43	BL24 BL21		110	A10-24		2	14 14	A01.22		110	C5-01		44	A10-19		110	C03-29
45	BL26		111	A13-02		4	15	A02-38		111	C6-02		45	A11-24		111	C01-42
46	BL44		112	A14-12		4	16	A03-03		112	J1-01		46	A13-28		112	C03-37
47	BL1		113	A16-28		4	17	AM-40		113	J2-03		47	A14-25		113	C07-40
49	BL42		114	A17-28		4	48	A25-28		114	J3-02		48	A16-16		114	C06-19
	BL.20		115	A19-29		4	49	A2622		115	F1-01		49	A17-16		115	C07-19
50	BL43		116	A20-03			50	A27-28		116	JIM		50	A19-15		116	C06-34
51	BL23		117	A22-26	1		51	A29- [4		117	J2-01		51	A20-14		117	A43-15
52	BL44		118	A23-09	1		52	A30-31		118	J3-01		52	A22-24		118	A44-10
53	BLS						53	A31-20		119	F1-05		53	A23-28		119	A45-37
54	W						54	A32-38		$\frac{120}{100}$	11-04		54	A23-13		120	A40-34
55	W						55		US	121	406-1		55	A20-19		121	A43-29
56		1					50			122	1020		50	A21-18		122	A44-43
5/				1			58 58			123	4080		58	A30.26		123	A46.21
1 28	50 12					· · ·	59	A4570		124	A07-0		59	A31-41		125	C04 25
60	1 78.0						60	C46.11	1	126	A08-2		60	A32-24		126	C08-29
61	TA-T						61	7 v		127	C06-1		61	A37-34		127	C04-33
62	SB-13						62	7X		128	C07-3		62	A38-34		128	C08-31
63	SD-I						63	C01-30		129	C08-4		63	A40-05	Į	129	C02-03
64	TB-06					- I -	64	C02-114			I		64	A41-10	1	130	C02-44
65	<u>TA-05</u>	<u> </u>	Тор				65	C03-39		Тор			65	7R	1	Тор	

Table 3 TEM tube holders loading lists.

Table 3. Continued.

66 A32-14 66 A32-27 C Class 66 V Red Figure 67 A14-28 6 AA3-22 2 CLOB 64 A01-31 2 TB-02 66 A11-17 6 AA4-17 3 SCC2 66 A13-17 3 SCC2 67 A14-28 6 K2-17 71 71 74 A44 3 SCC2 77 A34-44 4 SD-22 77 A32-44 6 K2-17 72 SCT 6 CA35 73 A06-17 9 FI-18 75 A32-11 8 KC-16 75 CO1-45 8 CC-35 73 A10-17 9 TB-18 75 A41-35 10 K2-25 77 CO2-29 11 H1-12 75 A11-10 10 TA-18 A33-22 14 A02-44 10 K1-29 TA A11-21 12 SK-490	-20 pos. 8	dpa 600°C	-2(pos. 9	Jdpa 400°C	JP-21	pos. 5	Jdpa 300°C
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ī	66 A32-14	rto		66 7V			66 A14-28
66 A33.26 2 C.2.09 68 A01-51 2 TB-42 68 A11-17 70 A41-17 74 KL-12 70 A03-46 4 SD-02 71 A22-08 6 K2-17 72 SKT 6 C1-05 71 A04-40 5 T.4.02 71 A22-08 6 K2-17 72 SKT 6 C2-06 72 A06-14 6 SB-18 72 A22-18 7 K1-157 C01-45 9 C1-05 74 A08-35 8 SC-17 77 A32-20 11 K3-12 77 C03-34 10 C6-66 78 A11-10 10 TA-18 74 A40-33 12 K4-20 78 C04-31 12 H2-10 78 A14-17 13 B0-10 14 A74-21 13 A07-3 84 C07-38 14 H3-17 A11-37 T1 T1		67 A37-27		K1-08	67 5B7X		SB-02	67 A16-13
		68 A38-26	2	K2-09	68 A01-51	2	TB-02	68 A17-05
		69 A40-17	3	K3-11	69 A02-26	3	SC-02	69 A19-17
K 1-17 71 72 SKTT 6 Cl.205 71 A04-49 5 1.A.22 71 A23-18 1 K3-29 73 C01-41 1 Cl.205 73 A07-738 1 5d-18 72 A23-18 9 K1-15 75 C01-45 9 Cl.205 73 A10-17 9 TB-18 77 A A33-20 10 K2-15 76 C03-43 8 Cl.405 7 A11-17 74 A33-20 11 K3-28 77 C02-29 11 H1-12 77 A13-31 11 SD-10 77 A13-71 12 K4-29 77 C02-33 14 H4-12 77 A14-17 14 A12-48 C07-738 14 H4-12 A8 A17-21 15 SC-10 81 A16-20 20 TA-01 87 A22-11 TS SC-10 81 A14-21 A14-17 A14-17 A14 A23-21 </td <td></td> <td>70 A41-17</td> <td>4</td> <td>K4-12</td> <td>70 A03-48</td> <td></td> <td>SD-02</td> <td>70 A20-20</td>		70 A41-17	4	K4-12	70 A03-48		SD-02	70 A20-20
6 K2:17 72 SKT 6 C2:06 72 A06-14 6 SH-18 73 A77.31 8 K4-21 74 C03-43 8 C4:05 74 A08-35 8 SC-17 74 A37.11 8 K4-21 74 C03-45 9 C5:05 74 A08-33 11 SD-10 77 A13-31 16 K2:19 76 C03-46 10 C6:66 76 A11-10 10 TA-18 76 A41-39 17 A11-47 70 C6:63 13 141 12 81-10 78 A14-19 12 SB-09 81 A16-03 15 A02-41 78 C0:33 13 H1-12 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 78 A14-27 16 A04-1 82 C0:20-39 18 K1-09 X1-27 82 A22-10 17 SC-10 81 A16-05 17	K1-17	71 7P	5	C1-05	71 A04-49	5	TA-02	/1 AZZ-08
1 K3-29 73 CO1-41 1 C: 2.46 74 A07-38 1 Sol-17 74 A35.20 9 K1-15 75 CO1-45 9 C: 2.05 75 A10-17 9 TB-18 76 A33.20 10 K2-19 76 CO2-29 11 H1-12 77 A13.33 11 SD-17 74 A03.17 12 K4-29 78 CO4-31 12 H2-10 78 A14-19 12 SB-09 78 A11-27 14 A02-44 80 CO7-38 14 H4-12 78 A16-00 13 TA-10 80 A17-17 14 TB-16 80 A14-17 IS A12-27 16 SB-01 81 A14-27 16 A04-44 17 K3-12 88 A22-10 17 SC-01 83 A12-22 18 SD-01 84 A20-22 17 SC-01 83 A20-22 17	6 K2-17	72 5K7T	6	C2-06	72 A06-14	6	SB-18	72 A23-18
8 K4-21 74 C00-43 8 CC-405 74 A08-35 8 SC-17 74 A38-20 10 K2-15 75 C00-46 10 C6-06 75 A10-17 9 F18-18 75 A41-35 11 K3-22 77 C02-29 11 H1-12 78 A16-00 13 FA-10 78 A11-27 13 A01-47 79 C6-33 13 H3-12 79 A16-00 13 FA-10 78 A11-27 14 A02-44 80 C07-38 H4 H4-12 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 84 A16-25 15 AM-13 82 C02-09 16 K2-07 82 A20-27 16 SB-01 84 A17-21 18 A07-2 84 C06-39 18 K4-13 84 A23-12 17 SC-01 83 A12-17 10 A00-38 50 <td< td=""><td>1 K3-29</td><td>73 C01-41</td><td> 1</td><td>C3-06</td><td>73 A07-38</td><td></td><td>5d-18</td><td>73 A37-11</td></td<>	1 K3-29	73 C01-41	1	C3-06	73 A07-38		5d-18	73 A37-11
9 K1-15 75 C01-45 9 C5-03 75 A10-17 9 18-18 76 A41-35 10 K2-19 76 C02-29 11 H1-12 77 A13-33 11 SD-09 78 A14-31 12 K4-29 78 C04-31 12 H2-10 78 A14-17 14 79 A16-00 13 TA-10 78 A14-17 14 A02-4 80 C07-38 14 H4-12 78 A16-00 13 TA-10 81 A16-35 16 A04-1 15 SC-10 81 A16-35 SC-10 81 A16-35 17 A M1 83 C05-39 18 K4-13 SA A22-12 18 SD-01 84 A20-22 16 A05-35 18 K4-13 SA A21-12 21 SD-11 85 A22-11 SD SD A32-32 SD A21-12 SD<	8 K4-21	74 C03-43	8	C4-05	74 A08-35	8	SC-17	74 A38-20
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9 K1-15	75 C01-45	9	C5-05	75 A10-17	9	18-18	75 A40-03
11 K3.22 77 C02.29 11 H1-12 77 A13-33 11 SD-10 77 A11-27 13 A01-47 79 C06-33 13 H3-12 78 A14-19 12 SB-09 78 A11-27 14 A02-44 80 C07-38 14 H4-12 79 A16-00 13 TA-10 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 80 A17-17 14 TB-10 80 A17-21 81 A16-35 SC-10 81 A16-35 SC-10 81 A16-35 A17-21 81 A17-21 81 A17-21 81 A17-21 81 A17-23 A17-23 A17-23 A17-33 A16-35 A17-23 A16-35 A17-23 A16-35 A17-23 A16-35 A22-11 B1 A37-38 A33-33 A14-13 B6 C23-25 A17-33 A27-12 A17 B1 A37-34 A33-33 A33-33 A34-32 A37-34 A41-12 A17-17 B1	10 K2-19	76 C03-46	10	C6-06	76 A11-10		1A-18	70 A41-33
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11 K3-28	77 C02-29		H1-12	77 A13-33		SD-10	79 A10-21
	12 K4-29	78 C04-31	12	H2-10	/8 A14-19		58-09	70 411-27
14 A02.4: B0 C07.38 14 14+12. B0 A17.17 14 15.7 16 A1.21 17 16 A1.21 17 16 17.17 16 18.20 10 10 11.41 11.11	13 A01-4	79 C06-33	13	H3-12	79 A16-00		1A-10 TD 10	0 A14 17
15 A(1-2) 16 C(2-0) 16 K(1-0) 17 K(1-0) 13 K(1-0) 14 K(1-0) 15 K(1-0) 15 K(1-0) 15 K(1-0) 16 K(1-0) 17 K(2-1) 17 SCO(1) 83 A(1-2) 13 SDO(1) 84 A(1-2) 13 A(1-1) 13 C(1-1) 13 A(1-1) 13 13 A(1-1) 13 13 14	14 A02-4	80 C07-38	14	H4-12	80 A17-17	14	15-10	81 416.05
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15 Am-21	81 C08-30	IS	K1-09	81 A19-23	10	SP 01	82 417.23
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & A & M & I \\ 8 & A & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ 18 & A & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ 19 & C1-06 & 8^{5} & A^{22-12} \\ ACS_3 & 8^{4} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ 19 & C1-06 & 8^{5} & A^{22-11} \\ ACS_3 & 8^{5} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ 19 & C1-06 & 8^{5} & A^{22-11} \\ ACS_3 & 8^{5} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ 19 & C1-06 & 8^{5} & A^{22-11} \\ ACS_3 & 8^{5} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ ACS_3 & 8^{5} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ ACS_3 & 8^{5} & CO^{-3} & 0 \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & B \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} & B \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} & B \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} & B \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & B \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} \\ ACS_4 & A^{1} & A^{1} & A^{1} \\ $	16 A04-1	82 002-09		K2-07	82 420-27	10	SC 01	83 410-71
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 AMI	83 1004-44	10	KJ-12	84 122 12		SD-01	84 A20-22
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18 A07-2	84 100-39	10	C1.06	85 125 21	10	TA-01	85 A22-11
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	19 AUS-3	85 009 20	19	C1-00	86 426-20		18-01	86 A23-32
11 A11-1 87 C29-27 21 C-30-2 15 A29-15 22 SB-17 SB A30-33 21 A140 88 C31-37 22 C5-06 89 A30-25 22 SB-17 SB A30-29 24 A16-2 89 C30-30 22 C5-06 89 A30-25 22 SB-17 SB A30-29 24 A17-0 90 C37-26 25 H1-11 91 A32-21 26 SD-09 92 C11-04 21 A200 92 C40-03 21 H3-10 93 A38-21 22 SB-10 94 C14-33 29 m-2 94 C29-33 29 J1-08 95 A41-16 29 SC-09 95 C16-34 30 A25-2 95 C30-13 30 J2-09 96 7P5A 30 A44-36 98 C20-27 J3 A23-3 98 C37-40 97 C12-28 J4 A03-41 100 A45-18 34 A46-14 100 </td <td>ZU AIO-3</td> <td>86 (720.25</td> <td>20</td> <td>C2-05</td> <td>87 427.12</td> <td>21</td> <td>SD-17</td> <td>87 A37-08</td>	ZU AIO-3	86 (720.25	20	C2-05	87 427.12	21	SD-17	87 A37-08
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21 A11-1	87 (30-30	21	C4-06	88 A29-15		SB-17	88 A38-33
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	22 A13-1	88 C31-37	23	C5-06	89 A30-25	23	TB-17	89 A40-29
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	24 A16-2	89 C32-26	24	C6-05	90 A31-27	24	5c-18	90 A41-12
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	25 A17-0	90 C37-26	25	H1-11	91 A3241	25	TA-17	91 C10-30
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26 A190	91 C38-35	26	H2-11	92 A37-22	26	SD-09	92 C11-04
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	21 Azo0	92 C40-03	21	H3-10	93 A38-21	21	TAU9	93 C13-38
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28 A22-2	93 C41-36	28	H4-10	94 , A40-04	28	SB-10	94 C14-33
30 A25-21 95 C30-13 30 T2-09 96 $7P5AI$ 30 TB-09 96 C17-32 31 A2631 96 C31-34 31 J3-09 91 7T 31 U3-32 97 C19-29 32 A27-0 97 C32-35 JZ A07-20 98 A43-30 J2 A44-36 98 C20-27 34 A30-0 99 C38-32: J4 A03-43 100 A46-19 J3 A43-16 101 C37-12 36 A31-1 100 C40-35 J5 A04-25 101 A46-19 J5 A43-16 101 C37-12 36 A35-3 103 C26-37 J8 A08-34 104 C01-50 J8 A45-22 105 C10-33 31 A37-2 102 C25-31 J1 A01-25 105 C03-50 J8 A45-25 103 C40-40 38 A38-3 103 C26-37 J8 A08-34 104 C01-51 J0 H1-26 10	29 m · 2	94 C29-33	29	J1-08	95 A41-16	29	SC-09	95 C16-34
31 A2631 96 C31.34 31 J3.09 91 7T 31 U3-32 97 C19.29 32 A27.4 97 C32.35 32 A01.20 98 A43.30 32 A44.36 98 C20.27 33 A29.3 98 C37.40 33 A02.24 99 A44.21 33 A45.29 99 C22.21 34 A30-0 99 C38.32 34 A03.43 100 A46.19 35 A43.16 101 C23.26 36 A32.4 101 C41.40 36 A06-03 102 T/Y.04 36 AM4-28 102 C37.12 31 A37.2 102 C25.31 31 A07.10 103 TY.04 36 A46-25 104 C41.27 39 A40-1 104 C27.17 39 A00.25 105 C03.20 38 A46-25 106 C11.22 41 7R 106 C26.39 41 A13.07 107 C03.20 42 14-28 108 <td>30 A25-2</td> <td>95 C30-13</td> <td>30</td> <td>J2-09</td> <td>96 7P5A</td> <td>30</td> <td>TB-09</td> <td>96 C17-32</td>	30 A25-2	95 C30-13	30	J2-09	96 7P5A	30	TB-09	96 C17-32
32 A27-0 97 C32-35 32 A40-120 98 A42-30 32 A44-36 98 C22-27 33 A29-3 98 C37-40 33 A02-24 99 A44-21 33 A45-29 99 C22-21 36 A30-0 99 C38-32 34 A03-43 100 A46-18 34 A46-14 100 C23-26 36 A32-4 101 C41-40 36 A06-03 102 TV-04 36 AM-28 102 C38-23 31 A37-2 102 C25-31 31 A07-10 193 TX-0K 31 A45-32 103 C30-40 38 A38-3 103 C26-37 38 A08-34 104 C01-50 38 A46-25 104 C41-27 39 A4-1 105 C25-11 40 A11-34 106 C01-51 40 11-26 106 C10-33 41 7R 106 C26-39 42 14-28 108 C1-27 42 A14-2	31 A2631	96 C31-34	31	J3-09	91 7T	31	U3-32	97 C19-29
33 A29-3 98 C37-40 33 AQ2-24 99 AA4-21 33 AA5-29 99 C22-21 34 A30-0 99 C38-32 34 AQ3-43 100 A45-18 34 AA6-14 100 C22-221 36 A31-1 100 C40-35 35 A44-25 101 AA46-19 35 AA3-16 101 C37-12 36 A32-4 101 C41-40 36 A06-03 102 7V-04 36 AM-28 102 C38-33 31 A37-2 102 C25-31 31 A07-10 103 7X-04 36 A46-25 104 C41-27 39 A40-1 104 C27-17 39 A10-25 105 C03-50 39 6A-26 105 C10-31 40 A41-1 105 C25-11 40 A11-34 106 C01-51 40 11-722 107 C13-25 42 5L N 107 C77-29 42 A14-27 108 C06-29 42 14-28	32 A27-0	97 C32-35	32	401-20	98 A43-30	32	A44-36	98 C20-27
34 A30-0 99 C38.32 34 A03-43 100 A46.18 34 A46-14 100 C23-26 36 A32-4 101 C41-40 36 A06-03 102 7V-04 36 A3-16 101 C37-12 36 A32-2 102 C25-31 31 A07-10 103 7X-04 36 AM-25 100 C41-27 38 A38-3 103 C26-37 38 A08-34 104 C01-51 38 A46-25 104 C41-27 39 A40-1 105 C25-11 40 A11-34 106 C01-51 40 11-26 105 C10-33 40 A41-1 105 C25-11 40 A11-34 106 C01-51 40 11-26 106 C11-02 41 7R 106 C26-39 41 A13-07 107 C03-20 41 73-22 107 C13-25 42 A01-0 108 A1-04 43 A16-10 109 C07-34 43 6A-21 <td< td=""><td>33 A29-3</td><td>98 C37-40</td><td>33</td><td>402-24</td><td>99 A44-21</td><td>33</td><td>A45-29</td><td>99 C22-21</td></td<>	33 A29-3	98 C37-40	33	402-24	99 A44-21	33	A45-29	99 C22-21
35 A31-1 100 C40-35 35 A04-25 101 A46-19 35 A43-16 101 C37-12 36 A32.4 101 C41-40 36 A06-03 102 7V-04 36 AM-22 102 C38-23 31 A37-2 102 C25-31 31 A07-10 103 7X-04 31 A45-32 103 C40-40 38 A40-1 104 C27-17 39 A10-25 105 C03-50 38 6A-26 105 C10-33 40 A11-1 105 C25-11 40 A11-34 106 C01-51 40 11-26 106 C11-02 41 7R 106 C26-39 41 A13-07 107 C03-20 41 73-22 107 C12-25 42 5L N 107 C27-29 42 A14-27 108 C06-29 42 14-28 106 C14-37 43 A01-0 108 A1-03 41 A12-21 113 AM-3 A12-27 107 <td< td=""><td>34 A30-0</td><td>99 C38-32</td><td>34</td><td>403-43</td><td>100 A45-18</td><td>34</td><td>A46-14</td><td>100 C23-26</td></td<>	34 A30-0	99 C38-32	34	403-43	100 A45- 18	34	A46-14	100 C23-26
36A 3 24101C 41 - 4036A 60 - 6310217 2 - 0436A M - 28102C 28 - 2331A 37 - 2102C 25 - 3131A 07 - 10173172 - 0431A 45 - 32103C 40 - 4038A 38 - 3103C 26 - 3738A 00 - 31104C 01 - 5038A 46 - 25104C 41 - 2739A 40 - 1104C 27 - 1739A 10 - 25105C 03 - 50396A - 26105C 10 - 3340A 41 - 1105C 25 - 1140A 11 - 34106C 01 - 514011 - 26106C 11 - 0241TTBC 27 - 2942A 14 - 27108C 06 - 294214 - 28109C 4 - 24425 LN107C 27 - 2942A 14 - 27108C 06 - 294214 - 28109C 16 - 2444A 02 - 1109A 2 - 0244A 11 - 19110C 06 - 2744A 11 - 27110C 17 - 3945A 03 - 1110A 5 - 0345A 19 - 00111C 07 - 394513 - 23111C 19 - 3246A 04 - 2111A 6 - 0146A 20 - 13112A 3 - 374614 - 30112C 2 - 3841A 11 - 28114A 22 - 12113A M - 14416A - 28113C 2 - 3841A 11 - 28114A	35 A31-1	100 C40-35	35	404-25	101 A46-19	35	A43-16	101 C37-12
31 A37.2 102 C25.31 31 A07.10 103 177.4X1 31 A45.32 103 C40.40 38 A38.3 103 C26.37 38 A08.34 104 C01.50 38 A46.25 104 C41.27 39 A40-1 104 C27.17 39 A10.25 105 C03.50 39 6A.26 105 C10.33 40 A41.1 105 C25.11 40 A11.34 106 C01.511 40 11.26 106 C11.32 42 5L N 107 C27.29 42 A14.77 108 C06.29 42 14.28 108 C14.37 44 A02.1 109 A2.02 44 A17.19 110 C06.27 44 71.27 110 C17.39 45 A03.1 110 A5.03 45 A19.00 111 C06.27 44 71.27 110 C17.39 46 A04.2 111 A6.01 46 A20.13 112 A43.37 46	36 A324	101 C41-40	36	A06-03	102 7V-04	36	AM-28	102 C38-23
38A38-3103C26-3738A08-34104C01-5038A46-22104C41-2739A40-1104C27-1739A10-25105C03-50386A-26105C10-3140A41-1105C25-1140A11-34106C01-514011-26106C11-02417R105C25-1140A11-34106C01-514011-26106C11-02417R105C27-2942A14-27108C06-294214-28108C14-3743A01-0108A1-0443A16-10109C07-34436A-21109C17-3945A03-1110A5-0345A19-00111C07-394513-23111C17-3946A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A43-374614-30112C20-3848A07-:113A2-0348A23-31114A45-174811-28114C23-0049A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-4116A1-055	31 A37-2	102 C25-31	31	407-10	103 I 7X 06	31	A45-32	103 C40-40
39A40-1104(27.17)39A10-25105C03-5039 $6A-26$ 105C10-3140A41-1105C25-1140A11-34106C01-514011-26106C11-02417R106C26-3941A13-07107C03-204173-22107C13-25425 L N107C27-2942A14-27108C06-294214-28108C14-3743A01-0108A1-0443A16-10109C07-34436A-21109C16-2444A02-1109A2-0244A17-19110C06-274471-27110C17-3945A03-1110A5-0345A19-00111C07-394513-23111C19-3246A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A43-374614-30112C20-3344AM-3112A1-0341A22-12113AM-14416A-28113C22-3848A07-113A2-0348A23-31114A5-174811-28114C23-0049A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-117A1-055	38 A38-3	103 C26-37	38	408-34	104 C01-50	38	A46-25	
40A41.1105C25.1140A11.34106C01.51140117.26103C11.22417R106C26.3941A13.07107C03.204173.22107C13.254251.N107C27.2942A14.27108C06.294214-28108C14.3743A01-0108A1-0443A16-10109C07.34436A-21109C16.2444A02.1109A2.0244A17.19110C06.274471.27110C17.3945A03.1110A5.0345A19.00111C07.394513.23111C19.3246A04.2111A6-0146A20.13112A43.374614.30112C22.3848A07.1113A2.0348A23.31114A45.174811.28114C23.0049A08.1114A5.0449A25.12115A46.334913.24115C37.0150A10.115A6.0250A26.21116A43.275074.09116C38.3151A11.4A16.0551A27.27117A44.11516A.11117C40.2752A13.1117A1.0652A29.40118A45.125211.29118C41.2553A14.1116A0.5153A30.01<	39 A40-1	104 C27-17	39	A10-25	105 C03-50	39	6A-26	105 (C10-55
417R106C22-3941A13-07107C03-2041 $13-22$ 107C13-23425LN107C27-2942A14-27108C06-294214-28108C16-3743A01-0109A2-0244A16-10109C07-34436A-21109C16-2444A02-1109A2-0244A17-19110C06-274471-27110C17-3945A03-1110A5-0345A19-00111C07-394513-23111C19-3246A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A43-374614-30112C20-3341AA4-3112A1-0341A22-12115A43-374614-30112C20-3341AA4-3112A1-0341A22-12115A45-334913-24115C37-0149A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11+116A1-0551A27-27117A44-11516A-11117C40-2752A13-1117A1-0652A29-40118A45-125211-29118C41-2553A14-1116A10-551	40 A41 1	105 C25-11	40	A11-34	106 C01-51		11-26	100 1011-02
42SLN107 $C27/29$ 42A14-27108C06-294214-25108C16-2443A01-0108A1-0443A16-10109C07-34436A-21109C16-2444A02-1109A2-0244A17-19110C06-274471-27110C17-3945A03-1110A5-0345A19-00111C07-394513-23111C19-3246A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A43-374614-30112C20-3341AA-3112A1-0341A22-12113AM-14416A-28113C22-3848A07-:113A2-0348A23-31114A45-174811-28114C23-0049A08-:114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-4116A1-0551A27-27117A44-11516A-11117C40-2752A13-:117A1-0652A29-40118A45-125313-15119A03-1454A16-:119A07-3654A31-17120105414-29120A04-1855A17-4121A06-1656	41 TR	106 C26-39	41	A13-07	107 C03-20	41	14 29	107 (C13-23
43A01-0108A1-3443A16-10109C07-3443A71-27110C17-3944A02-1110A5-0344A17-19110C06-2744 $71-27$ 110C17-3945A03-1110A5-03445A19-00111C07-3944513-23111C19-3246A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A43-374614-30112C20-3341AM-3112A1-0341A22-12113AM-14416A-28113C22-3848A07-7113A2-0348A23-31114A45-174811-28114C23-0049A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-4116A1-0551A27-27117A44-11516A-11117C40-2752A13-7119A07-3654A31-7120A45-125313-15119A03-1054A16-7119A07-3654A31-7120A4-1054A1-21121A01-0356A19-7121A06-1656A37-05122A5-0156R3-20122C03-5157A20-7122A04-09<	42 5LN	107 1.27-29	42	A14-27	108 C06-29	42	64-21	100 016-24
44A02-1109A2-0244A17-19110C0C-2744A17-17110C0C-2745A03-1110A5-0345A19-00111C0C-274441112C19-3246A04-2111A6-0146A20-13112A33-374614-30112C20-3341AA4-3112A1-0341A22-12113AM-14416A-28113C22-3848A07-7113A2-0348A23-31114A45-174811-28114C23-0049A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-4116A1-0551A27-27117A44-11516A-11117C40-2752A13-7118A03-1053A30-01119A46-155313-15119A03-1454A16-7119A07-3654A31-17120A1-015414-29120A04-1855A17-4120A01-1455A32-29121A2-0155R2-14121A01-0356A19-7121A06-1656A37-05122A5-0156R3-20122C03-5157A20-7122A04-095	43 A01-0	108 A1-04	43	A10-10	109 007-34	43	71 27	110 C17.39
45A(3)-1110A3-0345A19-00111 $(C07-39)$ 4313-25111 $C27-33$ 46A04-2111A6-0146A20-13111A43-374614-30112 $(C27-33)$ 41AM-3112A1-0341A22-12113AM-14416A-28113 $(C27-33)$ 48A07-:113A2-0348A23-31114A45-174811-28114 $(C23-30)$ 49A08-114A5-0449A25-12115A46-334913-24115C37-0150A10-115A6-0250A26-21116A43-275074-09116C38-3151A11-1116A1-0551A27-27117A44-11516A-11117C40-2752A13-:117A1-0652A29-40118A45-155313-15119A03-1453A14-:118A03-1053A30-01119A46-155313-15119A03-1454A16-:119A07-3654A31-17120A1-015414-29120A04-1855A17-4120A01-1455A32-29121A2-0155R2-14121A01-0356A19-:121A06-1656A37-05122A5-0156R3-20122C03-3158A22-:123	44 A02-1	109 A2-02	44	A17-19	110 100-27	44	12.22	111 (19.32
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	45 AU1-1		40	A20.12	111 (07-39	40	10-20	112 (20.33
44 $AABC-3$ 112 $A1-03$ 41 $A22-12$ $ABC-12$	40 AU4-2		40	A22,12	112 A43-37	40	64-28	113 C22.38
49A08- A08-114A2-03 A08-40A25-12 A25-12115A46-33 A45-374011-20 	41 AM-3	112 A1-03	41	A 23 21		41	11-28	114 (C23-00
50A10- 51115A6-02 64-0250A26-21 62-21116A43-27 1165074-09 74-09116C38-31 C38-3151A114116A1-05 5151A27-27 A174117A44-11 A44-11516A-11 51117C40-27 C40-2752A13-1118A03-10 5352A29-40 A30-01118A45-12 A44-115211.2 5111.17 C40-2753A14-1118A03-10 A07-3653A30-01 54119A46-15 A46-155313.15 53119 A03-1454A16-1119A07-36 A07-3654A31-17 55120A1-01 A1-015414-29 55120 R2-14A04-18 A03-1455A17-4120A01-14 5655A32-29 A32-29121 A2-01A2-01 5655R2-14 R3-20121 A01-0356A19-1121 A06-1656A37-05 A3-02122 L2A5-01 A5-0156R3-20 R3-20122 L2C03-3157A20-1 L2122A06-33 A40-0957A38-02 A3-02123 L2C04-3058A22-1 L2124 L0-2859A41-24 L25125 L2-0859R2-16 R2-16125 L25 A03-1860A25- L25C11-2560 C11-257R C12-33126 C13-33128 C14-34A5-02 L2561 R4-16R4-16 C03-3161A26-1 L2	40 1.00		40	A25.12	115 446.22	40	13-24	115 C37-01
51A11-4116A1-0551A27-27117A44-115163-11117C40-2752A13-:117A1-0652A29-40118A45-125211-29118C41-2553A14-:118A03-1053A30-01119A46-155313-15119A03-1454A16-:119A07-3654A31-17120A1-015414-29120A04-1855A17-4120A01-1455A32-29121A2-0155R2-14121A01-0356A19-:121A06-1656A37-05122A5-0156R3-20122C03-5157A20-:122A04-0957A38-02123F1-1657R4-12123C04-3658A22-:123A08-3358A40-21124125J2-0859R2-16125A03-1860A25-125C11-25607R126J3-0760R3-11126C03-3161A26-4126C13-33615L7U127A1-0261R4-1663A19-1063A29-63A44-07129F1-2063A10-1064A31-13-64A30-64A45-0065A43-07129F1-2065A13-13-65A31-9p65A46-07Top65	50 1410	115 16 00	49 50	426.21	116 443.37	50	74-09	116 C38-31
52 A13-: 117 A1-06 52 A29-40 118 A45-12 52 11-29 118 C41-25 53 A14-: 118 A03-10 53 A30-01 119 A46-15 53 13-15 119 A03-14 54 A16-: 119 A07-36 54 A31-17 120 A1-01 54 14-29 120 A04-18 55 A17-4 120 A01-14 55 A32-29 121 A2-01 55 R2-14 121 A01-03 56 A19-: 121 A06-16 56 A37-05 122 A5-01 56 R3-20 122 C03-51 57 A20-: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 <td>51 A11</td> <td>116 41-05</td> <td></td> <td>A27.27</td> <td>117 444.11</td> <td>51</td> <td>6A-11</td> <td>117 C40-27</td>	51 A11	116 41-05		A27.27	117 444.11	51	6A-11	117 C40-27
33 A14-: 118 A03-10 53 A30-01 119 A46-15 53 13-15 119 A03-14 54 A16-: 119 A07-36 54 A30-01 119 A46-15 53 13-15 119 A03-14 55 A17-4 120 A01-14 55 A32-29 121 A2-01 55 R2-14 121 A01-03 56 A19-: 121 A06-16 56 A37-05 122 A5-01 56 R3-20 122 C03-51 57 A20: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 <td>52 1411-</td> <td>117 110</td> <td>52</td> <td>A20_40</td> <td>118 445.12</td> <td>52</td> <td>11-29</td> <td>118 C41-25</td>	52 1411-	117 110	52	A20_40	118 445.12	52	11-29	118 C41-25
54 A16-: 119 A07-36 54 A31-17 120 A1-01 54 14-29 120 A04-18 55 A17-4 120 A01-14 55 A32-29 121 A2-01 55 R2-14 121 A01-03 56 A19-: 121 A06-16 56 A37-05 122 A5-01 56 R3-20 122 C03-51 57 A20-: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26-4 126 C13-33 61 51/7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 R4-16 <td>53 413-</td> <td>118 403-10</td> <td>53</td> <td>A30-01</td> <td>119 446.15</td> <td></td> <td>13-15</td> <td>119 A03-14</td>	53 413-	118 403-10	53	A30-01	119 446.15		13-15	119 A03-14
55 A17-4 120 A01-14 55 A32-29 121 A2-01 55 R2-14 121 A01-03 56 A19-: 121 A06-16 55 A32-29 121 A2-01 56 R3-20 122 C03-51 57 A20: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26-4 126 C13-33 61 5L7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 03-31 62 A27-1 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A10-16	54 416	110 407.36	54	A31-17		54	14-29	120 A04-18
56 A19-: 121 A06-16 56 A37-05 122 A5-01 56 R3-20 122 C03-51 57 A20-: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26-4 126 C13-33 61 5L7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 03-31 62 A27 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A40-01 64 A11-32 64 A11-32 64 A11-32 65 A11-10 64 A41-01 65 A13-12 66	55 417	120 401-14	55	A32.20	121 42-01	55	R2-14	121 A01-03
57 A20-: 122 A04-09 57 A38-02 123 F1-16 57 R4-12 123 C04-30 58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26-4 126 C13-33 61 51.7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 C03-31 62 A27 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A29 63 A44-07 129 F1-20 63 A11-32 -	56 410.	121 A06-16	56	A37-05	122 A5-01	56	R3-20	122 C03-51
58 A22-: 123 A08-33 58 A40-21 124 J1-07 58 R5-12 124 C01-36 59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26-4 126 C13-33 61 5L7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 62 A27-7 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A10-16 64 A11-32 64 A30- 65 A41-30 65 A41-30 64 A500 64 A11-32 - - - - - 65 A13-12 Op - - 65 A41-31 -	57 A20-	122 A04-09	57	A38-02	123 F1-16	57	R4-12	123 C04-30
59 A23- 124 C10-28 59 A41-24 125 J2-08 59 R2-16 125 A03-18 60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26- 126 C13-33 61 5L7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 62 A27- 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A29- 63 A40-00 129 F1-20 63 A10-10 64 A41-32 - 64 A30- 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-12 op	58 A22-	123 A08-33	58	A40-21	124 11-07	58	R5-12	124 C01-36
60 A25- 125 C11-25 60 7R 126 J3-07 60 R3-11 126 C03-31 61 A26- 126 C13-33 61 5L7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 62 A27- 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A29- 64 A45-00 64 A41-01 64 A10-10 64 64 A30- 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-12 op	59 A23-	124 C10-28	59	A41-24	125 12-08	59	R2-16	125 A03-18
61 A26-1 126 C13-33 61 SL7U 127 A1-02 61 R4-16 62 A27-1 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A29- 63 A44-07 129 F1-20 63 A10-10 64 A30- 64 A45-00 65 A41-32 65 A13-15 op	60 A25	125 C11 25	60	7R	126 13-07	60	R3-11	126 C03-31
62 A27- 127 C14-34 62 A43-03 128 A5-02 62 R5-14 63 A29- 63 A44-07 129 F1-20 63 A10-10 64 A30- 64 A45-00 64 A11-32 65 A41-32 65 65 A31- op 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-15 op	61 A26-	126 C13-33	61	5L7U	127 A1-02	61	R4-16	
63 A29 63 A44-07 129 F1-20 63 A10-10 64 A30- 64 A45-00 0 64 AII-32 0 65 A31- 0p 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-15 0p	62 A27	127 C14-34	62	A43-03	128 A5-02	62	R5-14	
64 A30- 64 A45-00 64 AII-32 64 65 A31- op 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-12 op	63 A29-		63	A44-07	129 F1-20	63	A10-10	
65 A31- op 65 A46-07 Top 65 A13-1	64 A30-		64	A45-00		64	All-32 -	
	65 A31-	op	65	A46-07	Тор	<u>65</u>	A13-11	ор

Table 3. Continued.

Bottom 66 C25-28 S 1 A43-04 67 C26-09 2 A44-09 68 C27-36 3 A45-08 69 C29-29 4 A45-08 70 C30-14 5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20		JP-21	pos. 6		kipa	300°C	ŀ
S 1 A43-04 67 C26-09 2 A44-09 68 C27-36 3 A45-08 69 C29-29 4 A46-08 70 C30-14 5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87		Botton	1		66	C25-28	
2 A44-09 68 C27-36 3 A45-08 69 C29-29 4 A46-08 70 C30-14 5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 7 S B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K3-01 21 7V 87 <td< td=""><td>S</td><td>1</td><td>A43-04</td><td></td><td>67</td><td>C26-09</td><td></td></td<>	S	1	A43-04		67	C26-09	
3 A45-08 69 C29-29 4 A46-08 70 C30-14 5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A05-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>A44-09</td> <td></td> <td>68</td> <td>C27-36</td> <td></td>		2	A44-09		68	C27-36	
4 A46-08 70 C30-14 5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A05-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>A45-08</td> <td></td> <td>69</td> <td>C29-29</td> <td></td>		3	A45-08		69	C29-29	
5 A01-17 71 C31-32 6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>A46-08</td> <td></td> <td>70</td> <td>C30-14</td> <td></td>		4	A46-08		70	C30-14	
6 A02-04 72 C32-21 7 A03-21 7 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A05-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-31 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93		5	A01-17		71	C31-32	
7 A03-21 7 75 B1-04 8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94		6	A02-04		72	C32-21	
8 A04-42 74 B2-04 9 A05-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05		7	A03-21		75	B1-04	
9 A06-38 75 B3-04 10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-111 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 <t< td=""><td></td><td>8</td><td>A04-42</td><td></td><td>74</td><td>B2-04</td><td></td></t<>		8	A04-42		74	B2-04	
10 A07-23 76 F1-07 11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7 R 85 K4-04 20 70 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96		9	A06-38		75	B3-04	
11 A08-11 77 H1-06 12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06		10	A07-23		76	F1-07	
12 A25-25 78 H2-05 13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 <td></td> <td>11</td> <td>A08-11</td> <td></td> <td>77</td> <td>H1-06</td> <td></td>		11	A08-11		77	H1-06	
13 A26-23 19 H3-04 14 A27-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 <td></td> <td>12</td> <td>A25-25</td> <td></td> <td>78</td> <td>H2-05</td> <td></td>		12	A25-25		78	H2-05	
14 AZ7-13 80 H4-04 15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 <td></td> <td>13</td> <td>A26-23</td> <td></td> <td>19</td> <td>H3-04</td> <td></td>		13	A26-23		19	H3-04	
15 A29-22 81 J1-06 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 K4-04 20 7U 86 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04		14	A27-13		80	H4-04	
16 A30-40 82 J2-04 16 A30-40 82 J2-04 17 A31-02 83 J3-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06<		15	A 79.72		81	11-06	
17 A31-02 83 13-05 18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-0		16	A 30-40		82	12.04	
18 A32-16 84 K3-04 19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 H1-04 <td></td> <td>17</td> <td>A31_02</td> <td></td> <td>83</td> <td>13.05</td> <td></td>		17	A31_02		83	13.05	
19 7R 85 K4-04 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 <t< td=""><td></td><td>18</td><td>A32-16</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td>¥3.04</td><td></td></t<>		18	A32-16		0.0	¥3.04	
19 7K 80 $K+-+$ 20 7U 86 K5-01 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C43-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-		10	70		04	KJ M	
20 10 87 B1-03 21 7V 87 B1-03 22 5K7X 88 B2-03 23 C43-10 89 B3-03 24 C44-11 90 F1-10 25 C45-18 91 H1-05 26 C46-02 92 H2-04 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 40 A32-10 I06 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td>00</td><td>N4-04</td><td></td></td<>	1	20			00	N4-04	
21 $7 \times$ 87 87×32 22 $5 \times 7 \times$ 88 $82 \cdot 03$ 23 $C43 \cdot 10$ 89 $83 \cdot 03$ 24 $C44 \cdot 11$ 90 $F1 \cdot 10$ 25 $C45 \cdot 18$ 91 $H1 \cdot 05$ 26 $C46 \cdot 02$ 92 $H2 \cdot 04$ 27 $A01 \cdot 50$ 93 $H3 \cdot 05$ 28 $A02 \cdot 16$ 94 $H4 \cdot 05$ 29 $A03 \cdot 30$ 95 $J1 \cdot 05$ 30 $A04 \cdot 05$ 96 $J2 \cdot 06$ 31 $A06 \cdot 37$ 97 $J3 \cdot 06$ 32 $A07 \cdot 39$ 98 $K3 \cdot 07$ 33 $A08 \cdot 14$ 99 $K4 \cdot 05$ 34 $A25 \cdot 24$ 100 $K5 \cdot 04$ 35 $A26 \cdot 12$ 101 $F1 \cdot 08$ 36 $A27 \cdot 32$ 102 $H1 \cdot 04$ 37 $A29 \cdot 44$ 103 $H2 \cdot 06$ 38 $A30 \cdot 17$ 104 $H3 \cdot 06$ 39 $A31 \cdot 39$ 105 $H4 \cdot $	1	20	70		00	10-01	
22 $3K/A$ 80 $B2-03$ 23 C43-10 89 $B3-03$ 24 C44-11 90 $F1-10$ 25 C45-18 91 $H1-05$ 26 C46-02 92 $H2-04$ 27 A01-50 93 $H3-05$ 28 A02-16 94 $H4-05$ 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 43 5A7V I09 K3-06 44 7X I10 K4-07 49 C06-32 <t< td=""><td></td><td>21</td><td>5870</td><td></td><td>0/</td><td>00-10</td><td></td></t<>		21	5870		0/	00-10	
24 $C43-10$ 89 $B3-03$ 24 $C44-11$ 90 $F1-10$ 25 $C45-18$ 91 $H1-05$ 26 $C45-18$ 91 $H1-05$ 26 $C46-02$ 92 $H2-04$ 27 $A01-50$ 93 $H3-05$ 28 $A02-16$ 94 $H4-05$ 29 $A03-30$ 95 $J1-05$ 30 $A04-05$ 96 $J2-06$ 31 $A06-37$ 97 $J3.06$ 32 $A07-39$ 98 $K3-07$ 33 $A08-14$ 99 $K4-05$ 34 $A25-24$ $I00$ $K5-04$ 35 $A26-12$ $I01$ $F1-08$ 36 $A27-32$ $I02$ $H1-04$ 37 $A29-44$ $I03$ $H2-06$ 38 $A30-17$ $I04$ $H3 -06$ 39 $A31-39$ $I05$ $H4 -06$ 40 $A32-10$ $I06$ $J1-04$ 41 72 $I10$		24	JK/A		00	D2-03	
24 $C44 - 11$ 90 $F1 - 10$ 25 $C45 - 18$ 91 $H1 - 05$ 26 $C46 - 02$ 92 $H2 - 04$ 27 $A01 - 50$ 93 $H3 - 05$ 28 $A02 - 16$ 94 $H4 - 05$ 29 $A03 - 30$ 95 $J1 - 05$ 30 $A04 - 05$ 96 $J2 - 06$ 31 $A06 - 37$ 97 $J3 - 06$ 32 $A07 - 39$ 98 $K3 - 07$ 33 $A08 - 14$ 99 $K4 - 05$ 34 $A25 - 24$ 100 $K5 - 04$ 35 $A26 - 12$ 101 $F1 - 08$ 36 $A27 - 32$ 102 $H1 - 04$ 37 $A29 - 44$ 103 $H2 - 06$ 38 $A30 - 17$ 104 $H3 - 06$ 39 $A31 - 39$ 105 $H4 - 06$ 40 $A32 - 10$ 106 $J1 - 04$ 41 $7P$ 107 $J2 - 05$ 42 $587T$ 108 $J3 - 04$	ł	23	01-640		89	0.01	
25C43.1891H1.0526C46-0292H2-0427A01-5093H3-0528A02-1694H4-0529A03-3095J1-0530A04-0596J2-0631A06-3797J3-0632A07-3998K3-0733A08-1499K4-0534A25-24I00K5-0435A26-12I01F1-0836A27-32I02H1-0437A29-44I03H2-0638A30-17I04H3-0639A31-39I05H4-0640A32-10I06J1-04417PI07J2-0542SB7T108J3-0443SA7VI09K3-06447XI1CK4-0645C01-11I11K5-0346C02-35112F1-0947C03-21I13K3-0548C04-37114K4-0749C06-32I15K5-0250C07-25I16F1-0651C08-34I11A43-32452C25-26I18A44-1853C26-18I19A43-3954C27-35I2CA46-1155C29-28121A43-3456C03-38I22A44-2357C31-28I23A45-1458C32-39I24		24	C44-11		90	F1-10	
26 $C46 \cdot 02$ 92 $H2 \cdot 04$ 27 A01-50 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 97 J30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3.06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 40 A32-10 I06 J1-04 41 7P I07 J2-05 42 SB7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V I09 K3-06 44 7X I1C K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13 K3-05 48 C04-37 </td <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>C43-18</td> <td></td> <td>91</td> <td>HI-05</td> <td></td>		25	C43-18		91	HI-05	
27 A01-30 93 H3-05 28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 40 A32-10 I06 J1-04 41 7P I07 J2-05 42 587T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V I09 K3-06 44 7X I1C K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13		26	C46-02		92	HZ-04	
28 A02-16 94 H4-05 29 A03-30 95 J1-05 30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 40 A32-10 I06 J1-04 41 7P I07 J2-05 42 SB7T 108 J3-04 43 SA7V I09 K3-06 44 7% I1C K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13 K3-05 48 C04-37 I14		27	A01-50		93	H3-05	
29 $A03-30$ 95 J1-05 30 $A04-05$ 96 J2-06 31 $A06-37$ 97 J3-06 32 $A07-39$ 98 K3-07 33 $A08-14$ 99 K4-05 34 $A25-24$ 100 K5-04 35 $A26-12$ 101 F1-08 36 $A77-32$ 102 H1-04 37 $A29-44$ 103 H2-06 38 $A30-17$ 104 H3-06 39 $A31-39$ 105 H4-06 40 $A32-101$ 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 5B7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7% 110 K4-06 45 CO1-11 11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 CO4-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-3		28	A02-16	i .	94	H4-05	
30 A04-05 96 J2-06 31 A06-37 97 J3-06 32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 IOC K5-04 35 A26-12 IOI F1-08 36 A27-32 IO2 H1-04 37 A29-44 IO3 H2-06 39 A31-39 IO5 H4-06 40 A32-10 IO6 J1-04 41 7P IO7 J2-05 42 SB7T 108 I33-04 43 SA7V IO9 K3-06 44 7X IIC K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 I12 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 I16 F1-06 51 C08-34 I11	1	29	A03-30		95	11-05	
31 $A06-37$ 97 $J3.06$ 32 $A07.39$ 98 $K3.07$ 33 $A08.14$ 99 $K4.05$ 34 $A25.24$ 100 $K5.04$ 35 $A26.12$ 101 $F1.08$ 36 $A27.32$ 102 $H1.04$ 37 $A29.44$ 103 $H2.06$ 38 $A30.17$ 104 $H3.06$ 39 $A31.39$ 105 $H4.06$ 40 $A32.10$ 106 $H4.04$ 41 $7P$ 107 $J2.05$ 42 $5B7T$ 108 $I3.04$ 43 $5A7V$ 109 $K3.06$ 44 $7X$ 110 $K4.06$ 45 C01-11 111 $K5.02$ 46 C02.35 112 $F1.09$ 47 C03.21 113 $K3.05$ 48 C04.37 114 $K4.07$ 49 C06-32 115 $K5.02$ 50 C07.25 116 $F1.06$		30	A04-05		96	J2-06	
32 A07-39 98 K3-07 33 A08-14 99 K4-05 34 A25-24 I00 K5-04 35 A26-12 I01 F1-08 36 A27-32 I02 H1-04 37 A29-44 I03 H2-06 38 A30-17 I04 H3-06 39 A31-39 I05 H4-06 40 A32-10 I06 I1-04 41 7P I07 I2-05 42 SB7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V I09 K3-06 44 7% I1C K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 I16 F1-06 51 C08-34 T M43-34 52 C25-26 I18		31	A06-37		97	13-06	
33 $A08-14$ 99 $K4-05$ 34 $A25-24$ 100 $K5-04$ 35 $A26-12$ 101 $F1-08$ 36 $A27-32$ 102 $H1-04$ 37 $A29-44$ 103 $H2-06$ 38 $A30-17$ 104 $H3-06$ 39 $A31.39$ 105 $H4-06$ 40 $A32-10$ 106 $J1-04$ 41 $7P$ 107 $12-05$ 42 $587T$ 108 $J3-04$ 43 $5A7V$ 109 $K3-06$ 44 $7X$ 100 $K3-06$ 44 $7X$ 100 $K3-05$ 45 $C01-11$ 111 $K5-02$ 50 $C07-25$ 116 $F1-06$ 51 $C08-34$ 111 $K4-07$ 49 $C06-32$ 115 $K5-02$ 50 $C07-25$ 116 $F1-06$ 51 $C08-34$ 111 $A43-24$ 52 $C25-26$		32	A07-39		98	K3-07	
34 A25-24 100 K5-04 35 A26-12 101 F1-08 36 A27-32 102 H1-04 37 A29-44 103 H2-06 38 A30-17 104 H3-06 39 A31-39 105 H4-06 40 A32-10 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 5B7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7X 110 K4-06 45 C01-11 11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 111 A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122<		33	A08-14		99	K4-05	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34	A25-24		100	K5-04	
36 A27-32 IO2 H1-04 37 A29-44 IO3 H2-06 38 A30-17 IO4 H3-06 39 A31-39 IO5 H4-06 39 A31-39 IO5 H4-06 40 A32-10 IO6 J1-04 41 7P IO7 J2-05 42 5B7T IO8 J3-04 43 5A7V IO9 K3-06 44 7X IIC K4-06 45 C01-11 I11 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 I13 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 I16 F1-06 51 C08-34 T M A43-24 52 C25-26 I18 A44-18 53 C26-18 I19 A45-39		35	A26-12		101	F1-08	
37 A29-44 103 H2-06 38 A30-17 104 H3-06 39 A31-39 105 H4-06 40 A32-10 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 SB7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7% 11C K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 T A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A46-13 56 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126		36	A27-32		102	H1-04	
38 A30-17 104 H3-06 39 A31-39 105 H4-06 40 A32-10 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 5B7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7X 110 K3-06 44 7X 110 K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 111 A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 120 A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C03-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 </td <td></td> <td>37</td> <td>A29-44</td> <td></td> <td>103</td> <td>H2-06</td> <td></td>		37	A29-44		103	H2-06	
39 A31-39 105 H4-06 40 A32-101 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 587T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7% 110 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7% 110 K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 111 A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A44-18 53 C20-28 121 A43-34 56 C03-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 <td></td> <td>38</td> <td>A30-17</td> <td></td> <td>104</td> <td>H3-06</td> <td></td>		38	A30-17		104	H3-06	
40 A32-10 106 J1-04 41 7P 107 J2-05 42 5B7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 105 K3-06 43 5A7V 106 K3-06 44 7X 110 K3-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34/U TM A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49		39	A31-39		105	H4-06	
41 7P 107 J2-05 42 5B7T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7X 110 K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 T M A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-		40	A32-10		106	J1-04	
42 587T 108 J3-04 43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7% 110 K3-06 44 7% 110 K3-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-06 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 T M A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 12C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 44 64 64 C07-25 <td></td> <td>41</td> <td>7P</td> <td></td> <td>107</td> <td>J2-05</td> <td></td>		41	7P		107	J2-05	
43 5A7V 109 K3-06 44 7X 110 K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 111 A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 12C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C08-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15		42	5B7T		108	J3-04	
44 7% 11C K4-06 45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 U M A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-13 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 67-25 65 C08-15 DP		43	5A7V		109	K3-06	
45 C01-11 111 K5-03 46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34/U III A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-13 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 DP		44	7%		110	K4-06	
46 C02-35 112 F1-09 47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 II A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 Dp		45	C01-11		111	K5-03	
47 C03-21 113 K3-05 48 C04-37 114 K4-07 49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 III A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 I2C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A45-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 Dp		46	C02-35		112	F1-09	
48 CO4-37 114 K4-07 49 CO6-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 U III A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 12C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 4 4 64 C07-25		47	C03-21		113	K3-05	
49 C06-32 115 K5-02 50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34 II M A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 I2C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 I22 A44-18 58 C32-39 I24 A46-23 59 C01-29 I25 C03-32 60 C02-32 I26 C07-05 61 C03-49 - - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 64 C07-25 - - 65 C08-15 Dp -		48	C04-37		114	K4-07	
50 C07-25 116 F1-06 51 C08-34/U III A43-24 52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 122 A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 - - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 64 C07-25 - - 65 C08-15 Dp -		49	C06-32		115	K5-02	
51 C08-34/TC M A43-24 52 C25-26 L18 A44-18 53 C26-18 L19 A45-19 54 C27-35 I2C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 I22 A44-23 57 C31-28 I23 A45-14 58 C32-39 I24 A46-23 59 C01-29 I25 C03-32 60 C02-32 I26 C07-05 61 C03-49 - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 65 C08-15 Dp -		50	C07-25	L	116	F1-06	
52 C25-26 118 A44-18 53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 12C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31		51	C08-34	υ	m.	A43-24	
53 C26-18 119 A45-39 54 C27-35 I2C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 I22 A44-23 57 C31-28 I23 A45-14 58 C32-39 I24 A46-23 59 C01-29 I25 C03-32 60 C02-32 I26 C07-05 61 C03-49 - - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 64 C07-25 - - 65 C08-15 Dp -		52	,C25-26		118	A44-18	
54 C27-35 I2C A46-11 55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 I22 A44-23 57 C31-28 I23 A45-14 58 C32-39 I24 A46-23 59 C01-29 I25 C03-32 60 C02-32 I26 C07-05 61 C03-49		53	C26-18		119	A45-39	
55 C29-28 121 A43-34 56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 - - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 64 C07-25 - - 65 C08-15 Dp -		54	C27-35		12 C	A46-11	
56 C30-38 122 A44-23 57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 Dp		55	C29-28		121	A43-34	
57 C31-28 123 A45-14 58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 126 C07-05 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25		56	C30-38		122	A44-23	
58 C32-39 124 A46-23 59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 4 4 65 C08-15 pp		57	C31-28		123	A45-14	
59 C01-29 125 C03-32 60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 pp		58	C32-39		124	A46-23	
60 C02-32 126 C07-05 61 C03-49 - - 62 C04-41 - - 63 C06-31 - - 64 C07-25 - - 65 C08-15 op -		59	C01-29		125	C03-32	
61 C03-49 62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15		60	C02-32		126	C07-05	
62 C04-41 63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15		61	C03-49			l '	1
63 C06-31 64 C07-25 65 C08-15 pp		62	C04-41				
64 C07-25 65 C08-15 pp		63	C06-31			1	1
65 C08-15 op		64	C07-25			1	
		65	C08-15	F '	op	·	1
				-	-		

-21	pos. 7	-	dpa	500°C1
stom		- ı	66	A13-05
1	F1-35		67	A14-00
2	E1-01		68	A16-11
3	C1-12		69	C01-31
4	C2-12		70	C02-20
5	C3-12		71	C03-27
6	C4-12		72	C04-15
7	C5-12		73	C06-11
8	C6-12		74	C07-26
9	H1-20		75	C08-40
10	H2-20		76	C01-38
11	H3-19		77	C02-40
12	H4-20		78	CM-22
13	K3-21		/9	C04-39
14	K4-21		80	C00-04
12	E1 22		82 01	C07-33
17	F1-55		82	C13 08
18			84	C44_01
19	C2-11		85	C45-00
20	C3-11		86	C46-14
21	C4-11		87	C43-04
22	C5-11		88	C44-05
23	C6-11		89	C45-02
24	H1-19		90	C46-23
25	H2-18		91	A43-31
26	H3-18		92	A44-29
27	H4-19		93	A45-13
28	K3-22		94	A46-17
29	K4-22		95	7V-03
30	K5-14		96	7X-5K
31	F1-37		97	A43-17
32	E1-02		98	A44-38
33	H1-18		99	A45-31
34	H2-19		100	A40-18
30	113-20		101	77-02
37	K1.24		102	64-05
38	K4.20		103	71.05
30	K5-11		105	73-05
40	EI-36		106	74-17
41	K3-23		107	6A-06
42	K4-23		108	71-06
43	KS-12		109	73-06
44	F1-34		110	74-13
45	A01-41			6A-07
46	A02-32		112	71-07
47	A03-22		113	73-07
48	A04-03		114	74-18
49	AU0-34		115	A43-38
50	AU/-33		110	A44-1/
52	AU8-37		11/	A43-20
52	A11.00		110	140-10
54	A13-10		120	A26-08
55	A14-06		121	A27-23
56	A16-26		122	A29-45
57	A01-27		123	A30-22
58	A02-21		124	A31-31
59	A03-26		125	A32-25
60	A04-19		126	A03-17
61	A06-24		127	A07-14
62	A07-30		128	A04-32
63	A08-16		129	A08-30
64	A10-20			
65	A11-14		op	

P-21	pos. 8	_	Jdpa	600°C
ottorr			66	C07-28
1	A01-11		67	C10-21
2	A02-17		68	CI1-33
3	A03-27		70	C13-04
4	AU4-04		70	C14-32
5	AU0-28		72	C10-14
7	A07-19		73	C10-25
ś	A00-01		74	C70-13
ŏ	A11.26		75	C22-30
10	A13-25		76	C23-27
11	A14-23		77	C25-05
12	A16-03		78	C26-07
13	A17-26		79	C27-28
14	A19-31		80	C29-38
15	A20-16		81	C30-04
16	A22-18		82	C31-08
17	A23-04		83	C32-29
18	A23-13		84	C28 20
19	A20-11		85	C40 21
20	A27-03		87	C40-31
21	A30-11		88	C08-36
22	A31-12		89	C01 33
24	A32-30		90	C02-27
25	A37-18		91	C03-45
26	A38-24		92	C04-08
27	A40-27		93	C06-21
28	A4143		94	C07-08
29	5L7R		95	C08-41
30	7U		96	C10-29
31	A01-31		97	C11-34
32	A02-20		98	C13-36
33	A03-40		99	C14-29
34	A04-03		100	C16-17
35	A06-33		101	C17-28
30	AU/-13		102	C19-20
29	AU0-24		103	C20-29
10	A11.12		104	C23.07
40	A13.13		105	C25.30
40	A14-32		107	C26-17
42	A16-34		108	C27-30
43	A17-07		109	C29-11
44	A19-23		110	C30-39
45	A20-18		111	C31-29
46	A22-13		112	C32-25
47	A23-19		113	C37-29
48	A25-27		114	C38-25
49	A26-14		115	C40-20
50	A27-14		110	C41 22
51	A29-21	1,1	110	C22
52	A30-43		110	K4-32
54	A32.26		120	K3-31
55	A37-30		121	K4-11
56	A38-32		122	K5-16
57	A40-37	٦	123	A03-25
58	A41-31	Ĩ	124	A07-37
59	7P	٦l	125	K3 34
60	5A7T		126	K4-30
61	C01-49		127	K5-15
62	C02-30		128	K3-32
63	C03-26		129	K4-33
64	C04-05			L
65	C06-28		op	

Table 3. Continued.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5	2	pos. 9	-	Odpa	400°C	1		-2.	pos. 5		Jdpa	300°C	1	Е	pos. 6	_	Jdpa	300°C
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	X	to			66	C43-16			atto			66	A20-12		xto			66	7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1		F1-25		67	C44-19		1	Г	TA 14		67	A22-21		1	B1-06		67	5H7X
3 III-15 6e Ad5-02 3 BD-16 69 A37-06 3 BD-16 69 A02-41 5 H3-13 71 A02-41 5 SB-15 71 A40-15 5 Cl-03 72 A03-24 6 H44-13 71 A02-41 5 SD-14 12 A41-23 6 C-203 72 A03-24 6 H44-13 77 A04-43 1 SC-10 73 A11-16 8 C-243 72 A03-24 9 J3-10 74 A06-10 B T A11-16 8 C-244 74 A03-35 10 K2-17 A10-37 T A16-21 11 K1-10 T A02-31 13 H11-11 10 S2-33 15 K1-10 A03-33 10 K1-10 A03-34 11 K1-11 11 K1-10 A03-34 11 K1-11 11 K1-10 A03-34 11 K	12	2	F1-21		68	C45-21			2	TB-13		68	A23-21		2	B2-06		68	A01-25
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	3	HI-I5		69	A46-02			3	ED-I4		69	A37-06		3	B3-06		69	A02-35
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	1	H2-14		70	A01-49			4	SC-13		70	A38-19		4	F1-11		70	A03-44
6 [H-13] 72 A04-45 1 SC 03 73 A10-33 1 C C3 A11-16 C C3 C3 <thc3< th=""> <thc3< th=""> <thc3< th=""></thc3<></thc3<></thc3<>	5	5	H3-13		71	A02-41			5	SB-15		71	A40-15		5	C1-03		71	A04-02
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6	6	H4-13		72	A03-50			6	SD-04		12	A41-23		6	C2-03		72	A06-26
8 12.10 74 A06-10 8 13.37 74 A11-16 8 C 4.04 74 A02-32 10 K3-14 16 A08-39 10 S B M 76 A14-10 10 C5.04 76 A22-12 10 K3-14 16 A08-39 10 S B M 76 A14-10 10 C5.04 77 A22-17 12 K5.06 78 A11-11 12 SB-20 78 A17-15 12 H2.06 78 A20-23 14 H1.13 80 A14-21 14 HB-20 80 A20-23 16 K2.06 82 A43-11 15 H2.16 84 A20-32 18 TB2-22 13 K4.06 80 A31-33 16 H3.16 84 A32-33 15 K2.16 84 A33-43 A43-30 18 J1-10 84 A20-32 18 A23-33 A33-33 A43-30	·	1	J1-12		73	A04-43			1	SC-03		73	A10-33		1	C3-03		73	A0/-0/
9 3.10 75 A07.22 9 I.A.64 75 A13.17 9 C.S.49 75 A22.12 10 K3.14 16 086.39 10 SB.M 77 A16.24 11 H100 T7 A22.15 11 K4.17 77 A10.30 11 ED-16 77 A16.24 11 H107 79 A23.35 13 F1-22 19 A13.27 13 SC.19 79 A19.22 13 H30.7 79 A30.23 14 H1.13 80 A14.21 H H20.2 13 H30.8 A13.13 H3 A22.05 H3 A31.13 H3 A23.4 A43.11 H3 A44.50 H3 A44.50 H3 A44.50 H3 A44.53 H41.11 H4 H3 A37.23 L1 H3 A45.53 H3 A45.53 H3 H45.53 H3 H45.53 H3 H3 H3 H3 H3	8	3	J2-10		74	A06-10			8	TB-03		74	A11-16		8	C 4 M		74	A08-36
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	19	9	J3-10		75	A07-22			9	ΓA-04		75	A13-17		9	CS-04		13	A23-22
11 K4-17 7 A10-30 11 ED-16 7 A10-24 11 H1-16 7 A20-35 13 Fi-22 13 A13-27 13 SC-19 79 A19-22 13 H3-07 79 A29-35 14 H1-13 80 A14-21 H T T A10-22 13 H3-07 79 A39-23 15 H2-15 81 A16-32 15 TA-20 81 A22-33 15 K1-06 81 A33-44 16 H3-16 83 A19-28 17 TA-15 83 A37-28 17 K3-00 83 A44-30 20 J3-12 86 A22-05 16 SH-14 85 A40-19 91 91-05 85 A46-30 85 C38-42 22 C1-14 86 C0-21 23 C1-04 91 C0-27 C2 C3-04 91 C0-27 C2 C3-04 91 C0-21 22 C1-14 86 C0-31 85 C38 C38-42 22 <th< td=""><td> 1</td><td>0</td><td>K3-14</td><td></td><td>16</td><td>A08-39</td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>SBM</td><td></td><td>/0</td><td>A14-10</td><td></td><td>10</td><td>C0-03</td><td></td><td>77</td><td>A20-15</td></th<>	1	0	K3-14		16	A08-39			10	SBM		/0	A14-10		10	C0-03		77	A20-15
12 K3-62 78 A11-11 12 NB-20 78 A17-15 12 12-18 76 A30-22 14 H1-13 80 A14-21 14 TB-20 81 A22-23 14 HH-08 80 A31-13 15 H2:15 81 A15-22 18 S2-23 16 K2:06 82 A43-11 17 H4:16 83 A17-21 16 S2-06 82 A43-10 84 A43-10 86 A44-10 84 A43-10 84 A43-10 84 A43-10 84 A43-10 84 A43-10 84	1	1	K4-17		71	A10-30			11	ED-19			A10-24		11	HI-09		70	A21-17
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2	K3-06		78	A11-11			12	SB-20		70	AL7-13		12	H2-08		70	A29-33
14 H1-13 80 A14-21 14 18-20 81 A22-23 15 K1-36 81 A22-23 15 H2.15 81 A12-21 16 SD-13 82 A23-20 16 K2.306 82 A43-11 17 H4.15 83 A17-21 16 SD-13 82 A23-20 16 K2.306 82 A44-10 84 A45-35 A44-30 20 SC-14 86 A41-00 20 B2-05 86 SH7V 21 K3-16 A42-32 22 C1-44 87 C37-35 22 C1-14 88 C02-31 23 C1-04 90 C02-30 C2-36 7 7X 24 C2-04 90 C03-30 24 C3-36 22 C1-44 91 C10-27 25 C3-04 90 C03-30 21 C2-36 91 C01-32 24 C2-34 90 C03-34 25 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>F1-22</td><td></td><td>19</td><td>A13-2/</td><td></td><td></td><td>13</td><td>50-19</td><td></td><td></td><td>A19-22</td><td></td><td>13</td><td>IIA 00</td><td></td><td>19</td><td>A31.13</td></td<>	1	3	F1-22		19	A13-2/			13	50-19			A19-22		13	IIA 00		19	A31.13
15 H2-13 01 A18-22 15 A12-21 15 A12-23 16 K2-35	1	4	HI-13		80	A14-21			14	TA 20		80	A20-21		14	K1-06		81	A32-44
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2	HZ-13		81	A10-32			13	FD 12		92	A22-33		16	122.06		87	A43-11
		16	113-14		82	A17-21			10	TA 15		83	A37-28		17	K3.00		83	A44.30
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6	114-13		00 04	A19-20			19	TR.14		84	A38_11		18	k4-10		84	A45-35
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		0	11-10		04	120-32			10	CD 14		85	A40 10		10	R1_05		85	A46-30
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ž	12-11		00	A22-03			20	sc.14		86	A41_00		20	82-05		86	5H7V
12 K.5.1: 23 0.1 A.26.28 A27.04 22 21 TA.33 Cl. A.33 88 B C35.22 Cl. A.4 22 B T.4.4 88 B C01.37 CO2.11 12 K 5.07 88 A.27.04 21 SD.03 89 C40.22 22 Cl. A.4 89 C02.11 12 K 1.14 91 A30-10 25 TB.04 91 C10.27 25 CJ.04 92 C05.10 26 H2.11 92 A31.23 22 TB.19 93 C13.03 21 C5.03 93 C07.35 28 H4.14 94 A37.24 28 SD.20 94 C14.23 28 C.6 M 94 C08.06 29 11.11 95 S177P 29 SD.20 94 C14.23 28 H4.09 96 C27.60 30 12-12 96 7T 30 Sc.20 96 C17.38 31 H4.09 96 C03.37 33 K 4.11 99 A45.04 33 71.12 96 C27.103 S		20	13-14		00 91	A25-24			20	SC M		87	C17.35		20	B3-05		87	TX
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	K 4.14		88	A26-28			22	TA-03		88	C38-42		22	F1-14		88	C01-37
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		~	K5-07		89	A27-04			21	SD-03		89	C40-22		23	C1-04		89	C02-11
		24	F1-24		90	A29-34			24	SB-05		90	C41-32		24	C2-04		90	C03-40
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		25	HI-14		91	A30-10			25	TB-04		91	C10-27		25	C3-04		91	C04-03
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		26	H2-13		92	A31-23			26	TA-19		92	C11-12		26	c 4 m		92	C06-10
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		21	H3-15		93	A32-13			27	TB-19		93	C13-03		21	C5-03		93	C07-35
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	28	H4-14		94	A37-24			28	SD-20		94	C14-23		28	C 6 M		94	C08-06
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	29	11-11		95	5H7P			29	SB-19		95	C16-28		29	H1-07		95	C25-18
31 13-13 91 A43-07 31 6A.19 91 C19-01 31 18-308 97 C27-30 32 K3-li 98 A44-08 32 11-21 98 C22-21 32 H4-09 98 C01-34 33 K4-15 99 A45-04 33 73-16 99 C22-37 33 K1-05 99 C02-37 34 K5-06 100 A44-01 35 6A-20 100 C03-13 35 K3-06 100 C03-34 36 K3-16 102 A44-39 36 71-22 102 C38-34 36 K4008 102 C06-12 31 K4-16 103 A45-20 31 13-11 103 C40-41 31 F1-15 103 C07-36 38 K50: 104 A46-27 18 14-27 105 C13-34 41 H4-07 105 C27-25 40 A02-4 106 74-01 41 73-18 107 C13-34 41 H4-07 107	3	30	12-12		96	7T			30	sc-20		96	C17-38		30	H2-09		96	C26-28
32 K3-Ii 98 A44-08 32 11-21 98 C22-21 33 K1-05 99 C01-34 33 K4-15 99 A45-04 33 73:16 99 C22-37 33 K1-05 99 C02-37 34 K5-06 100 A46-01 34 74:16 100 C23-39 34 K2-05 100 C03-35 35 F1-23 101 A43-14 35 6A-20 101 C37-13 35 K3-08 101 C04-36 36 K3-16 102 A44-08 99 36 71-20 C23-39 34 K2-05 100 C03-35 31 K4-16 103 A45-20 31 13-11 103 C40-41 31 F1-15 103 C07-36 35 GT0-72 104 A46-27 18 14-27 106 C11-32 40 H3-09 106 C25-15 40 A02-4 106 TX-02 40 11-23 106 C11-32 44 H4-07 107	3	31	13-13		91	A43-07			31	6A-19		91	C19-01		31	H3-08		97	C27-06
33 K4-15 99 A45-04 33 73-16 99 C22-37 A3 K1-05 99 C02-37 34 K5-06 100 A45-00 34 74-16 100 C23-39 34 K2-05 100 C03-35 35 F1-23 101 A43-14 35 6A-20 101 C37-13 35 K3-08 101 C04-36 36 K3-1(102 A44-39 36 71-22 102 C38-34 36 K408 102 C06-12 31 K4-11 103 A45-20 31 13-11 103 C40-41 31 F1-15 103 C07-36 36 K3.02 104 A46-27 18 14-27 104 C41-11 38 H1-08 104 C08-25 40 A024 106 7X.02 40 11-23 106 C11-32 40 H3-09 106 C25-25 41 A03-2 109 73-01 42 74-23 109 C13-34 41 H4 H4-07	3	32	K3-li		98	A44-08			32	11-21		98	C20-21		32	H4-09		98	1001-34
34 KS-06 100 A46-01 34 74-16 100 C23-39 34 K2-05 100 C03-39 35 F1-23 101 A43-14 35 6A-20 101 C27-13 35 K3-08 101 C04-36 36 K3-16 102 A45-20 31 13-11 103 C40-41 31 F1-15 103 C07-36 37 MOI-Z 105 A46-27 18 142-27 104 C41-11 38 H1-08 104 C08-25 40 A024 106 7X-02 40 11-23 106 C11-32 40 H3-09 106 C26-15 41 A03-4 107 GA-01 41 73-18 107 C13-34 41 H4-07 107 C27-20 42 AM-1 108 74-11 44 71-24 110 C17-33 43 K2-04 109 A44-22 44 A07-3 110 74-11 44 71-24 110 C17-33 44 K3-10 110 <td>3</td> <td>33</td> <td>K4-15</td> <td></td> <td>99</td> <td>A45-04</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33</td> <td>73-16</td> <td></td> <td>99</td> <td>C22-37</td> <td></td> <td>33</td> <td>K1-00</td> <td></td> <td>99</td> <td>02-37</td>	3	33	K4-15		99	A45-04			33	73-16		99	C22-37		33	K1-00		99	02-37
36F1-22101A43-1436 $6A-20$ 101C37-1336 $KJ-33$ 101C47-3336K4-11103A45-2031111-12103C40-4131F1-15103C07-3638K5-01104A46-271814-27104C41-1138H1-08104C08-1239A01-21057V-01396A-22105C10-0939H2-07105C25-2540A0241067X-024011-23106C11-3240H3-09106C25-1541A03-41076A-014173-18107C13-3441H4-07107C27-2042AM-110871-014274-23108C14-1842K I M108A3-1333A05-210973-01436A-23109C16-3343K2-04109A44-2244A07-311074-114471-24110C17-2344K3-10110A45-1945A08-31117V-064513-19111C19-3445K4-09111A46-3246A10-11127X-104614-24112C20-3046F1-12112A43-2346A0-11136A-02416A-24113C22-3641F1-13113A44-1947A14-01136A-02<	3	34	KS-08		100	A46-01			34	74-16		100	C23-39		34	KZ-03		100	003-33
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	35	F1-23		101	A43-14			35	6A-20		101	C37-13		35	N-08		101	CO4 12
31 K4-1(103 A45-20 31 13-11 103 C40-41 31 11-13 103 C07-30 38 K5-0: 104 A46-27 18 14-27 104 C41-11 38 R1-08 104 C08-25 40 A02.4 106 7X-02 40 11-23 106 C13-34 41 H4-07 107 C27-20 41 A03.4 107 6A-01 41 73-18 107 C13-34 41 H4-07 107 C27-20 42 AM-1 108 71-01 42 74-23 108 C14-18 42 K1 M 108 A43-13 33 A06-2 109 73-01 43 6A-23 109 C16-33 43 K2-04 109 A44-22 44 A07-3 110 74-11 44 71-24 110 C17-23 44 K3-10 110 A43-19 45 A08-3 111 7X-10 46 14-24 113 C22-36 41 F1-13 113	3	36	K3-16		102	A44-39			36	71-22		102	C38-34		36	K408		102	C07 26
38 k_{3-1}^2 104 $A46-27$ 164 $C41-11$ 36 $111-66$ 105 $C02-25$ 30 A01-2 106 $7X-02$ 40 11-23 106 $C11-32$ 40 H3-09 106 $C22-52$ 41 A03-4 107 6A-01 41 $73\cdot18$ 107 $C13\cdot34$ 41 H4-07 107 $C27\cdot20$ 42 AM-1 108 71-01 42 74-23 108 $C14\cdot18$ 42 K M 108 A3-13 43 A66-2 109 73-01 43 66A-23 109 C16-33 43 K2-04 109 A44-23 44 A07-3 110 74-11 44 71-24 110 C17-23 44 K3-10 110 A45-19 45 A08-3 111 7V-06 45 13-19 111 C17-23 44 K3-10 110 A45-13 41 A11-0 113 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>31</td><td>K4-1(</td><td></td><td>103</td><td>A45-20</td><td></td><td></td><td>31</td><td>13-11</td><td></td><td>103</td><td>C40-41</td><td></td><td>39</td><td>L1-12</td><td></td><td>103</td><td>C08-25</td></t<>	3	31	K4-1(103	A45-20			31	13-11		103	C40-41		39	L1-12		103	C08-25
39 401.2 106 77.02 40 11.23 106 11.23 107108 12.51 40A024106 77.02 4011.23106 $C11.32$ 40H13.09106 $C22-15$ 41A03.41076A.014173.18107 $C13.34$ 41H4.07107 $C27.20$ 42AM-110871.014274.23108 $C14.18$ 42K1M108A43.1343A06-210973.01436A.23109C16.3343K2.04109A44.2244A07.311074.114471.24110 $C17.23$ 44K3.10110A45.1945A08.311177.064513.19111 $C19.34$ 45K4.09111A46.3646A10-11127X.104614.24112C20.3046F1-12112A3.2541A11-01136A.02416A.24113C23.2948A01.15114A45.13414-011573.024913.20115C29.2649A02.11115A46.3250A16011674.105014.08116C30.1950A03.04116A33.2051A11-11176A.3351R2.11117C31.2251A04.14117A44.3352A19-211871.0252		58	<u>K3-01</u>		104	A40-2/			18	14-27		104	C10 00		30	H1-00		105	C75-25
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		99 10	401-2		105	77.00			39	0A-22		105	C11 22		10	H3.00		106	(76.15
41A03-1100074-014174-23108C13-3411111A43-1342AM-110871-01436A-23109C16-3343K2-04109A44-2244A07-311074-114471-24110C17-2344K3-10110A45-1345A08-31117V-064513-19111C19-3445K4-09111A46-3646A10-11127X-104614-24112C20-3046F1-12112A43-2541A11-011573-024913-20115C23-2649A02-11115A43-2049A13-111471-034811-25114C23-2948A01-15114A45-13A14-011573-024913-20115C29-2649A02-11115A46-3250A16011674-10501408116C30-1950A03-04116A43-2051A11-11176A-0351R2+11117C31-2251A04-14117A44-3352A19-211871-0252R3+12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-3853A20-111973-0353R4+14119C29-3153A07-31119A46-2254A22-112074-0654R5-13<		40	AUZ4		100	64 01			40	73.19		107	C13.34		41	H4-07		107	C27-20
42A(6)-110017-01426A-23100C16-3343K2-04109A44-2244A(7)-311074-114471-24110C17-2344K3-10110A45-1945A08-31117V-064513-19111C19-3445K4-09111A46-3646A10-11127X-104614-24112C20-3046F1-12112A43-2541A11-01136A-02416A-24113C22-3641F1-13113A44-1949A13-111471-034811-25114C23-2948A00-15114A45-1944A14-011573-024913-20115C29-2649A02-11115A46-3250A16011674-10501408116C30-1950A03-04116A43-2051A11-11176A-0351R2-11117C31-2251A04-14117A44-3352A19-211871-0252R3-12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-8853A20-111973-0353R4-14119C30-2954A08-16120C29-3654A22-112074-0654R5-13120C30-2954A08-16120C29-3655A23-1121A45-125		40			107	71.01			42	74-23		108	C14-18		42	KIM		108	A43-13
44A07.311074-114471-24110C17-2344K3-10110A45-1945A08.31117V-064513-19111C19-3445K4-09111A45-3646A10-11127X-104614-24112C20-3046F1-12112A43-2541A11-01136A-02416A-24113C22-3641F1-13113A44-1949A13-111471-034811-25114C23-2948A01-15114A45-3250A16011674-10501408116C30-1950A03-04116A43-2051A11-11176A-0351R2-11117C31-2251A04-14117A44-3352A19-211871-0252R3-12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-3853A20-111973-0353R4-14119C29-3153A07-31119A46-2254A22-112074-0654R5-13120C30-2954A08-10120C29-3654A22-112074-0654R5-13120C30-2954A08-10120C29-3655A23-1121A45-3257R2-12121C31-3055A25-11121C30-2756A25-0122A44-1258<		+2 13	A IVI-1		100	73.01			43	64-23		109	C16-33		43	K2-04		109	A44-22
45A08-31117V-064513-19111C19-3445K4-09111A46-3646A10-11127X-104614-24112C20-3046F1-12112A43-2541A11-01136A-02416A-24113C22-3641F1-13113A44-1949A13-111471-034811-25114C23-2948A01-15114A45-13A14-011573-024913-20115C29-2649A02-11115A46-3250A16011674-10501408116C30-1950A03-04116A43-2051A11-11176A-0351R2-11117C31-2251A04-14117A46-3352A19-211871-0252R3-12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-3853A20-111973-0353R4-14119C29-3153A07-31119A46-2254A22-112074-0654R5-13120C30-2954A08-10120C29-3655A23-1121A43-3955R2-12121C31-3055A25-11121C30-2756A25-0122A44-1656R3-14122C32-2856A26-25122C31-2557A26-3123A45-2257R		43 44	100-2		110	74.11			44	71-24		110	C17-23		44	K3-10		110	A45-19
46A10-1112 $7X-10$ 46 14-24112C20-30 46 F1-12112A43-25 41 A11-0113 $6A-02$ 41 $6A-24$ 113C22-3641 $F1-13$ 113A44-19 49 A13-1114 $71-03$ 48 $11-25$ 114C23-2948A01-15114A45-13 $A14-0$ 115 $73-02$ 49 $13-20$ 115C29-2649A02-11115A46-32 50 A160116 $74-10$ 501408116C30-1950A03-04116A43-32 51 A11-1117 $6A-03$ 51R2-11117C31-2251A04-14117A44-33 52 A19-2118 $71-02$ 52R3-12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-38 53 A20-1119 $73-03$ 53R4-14119C29-3153A07-31119A46-22 54 A22-1120 $74-06$ 54R5-13120C30-2954A08-10120C29-36 55 A23-1121A43-3955R2-12121C31-3055A25-11121C30-27 56 A25-0122A44-1656R3-14122C32-2856A26-25122C31-25 57 A26-3123A45-2257R4-11123A07-3551A27-10123C32-31 58 <td></td> <td>15</td> <td>A08.3</td> <td></td> <td>111</td> <td>72.06</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>45</td> <td>13-19</td> <td></td> <td>111</td> <td>C19-34</td> <td></td> <td>45</td> <td>K4-09</td> <td></td> <td>111</td> <td>A46-36</td>		15	A08.3		111	72.06			45	13-19		111	C19-34		45	K4-09		111	A46-36
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		46	A10-1		112	7X-10			46	14-24		112	C20-30		46	F1-12		112	A43-25
49A13-111471-034811-25114C23-2948A01-15114A45-1350A16011674-10501408116C29-2649A02-11115A46-3251A11-11176A-0351R2-11117C31-2251A04-14117A443352A19-211871-0252R3-12118C32-2452AM-21118A45-3253A20-111973-0353R4-14119C29-3153A07-31119A46-2254A22-112074-0654R5-13120C30-2954A08-10120C29-3655A23-1121A43-3955R2-12121C31-3055A25-11121C30-2756A25-0122A44-1656R3-14122C32-2856A26-25122C31-2557A26-3123A45-2257R4-11123A07-3551A27-10123C32-3158A27-1124A46-1258R5-15124A03-0258A29-05124C10-2559A29-112671-0460A11-2360A31-18126A38-1461A31412773-0461A13-1261A32-34127A37-2562A32-112874-1262A16-1263A44-32 <td< td=""><td></td><td>41</td><td>A11-0</td><td></td><td>113</td><td>6A-02</td><td></td><td></td><td>41</td><td>6A-24</td><td></td><td>113</td><td>C22-36</td><td></td><td>41</td><td>F1-13</td><td></td><td>113</td><td>A44-19</td></td<>		41	A11-0		113	6A-02			41	6A-24		113	C22-36		41	F1-13		113	A44-19
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		49	A13-1		114	71-03			48	11-25		114	C23-29		48	A01-15		114	A45-13
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-	A14-0		115	73-02			49	13-20		115	C29-26		49	A02-11		115	A46-32
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		50	A160		I1 6	74-10			50	1408		116	C30-19		50	A03-04		116	A43-20
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $!	51	All-I		117	6A-03			51	R2-11		117	C31-22		51	A04-14		117	A44-33
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	52	A19-2		118	71-02			52	R3-12		118	C32-24		52	AM-21		118	A45-38
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		53	A20-1		119	73-03			53	R4-14		119	C29-31		53	A07-31		119	A46-22
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		54	A22-1		120	74-06			54	R5-13		120	C30-29		54	A08-10		120	29-36
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		55	A23-1		121	A43-39			55	R2-12		121	C31-30		55	A23-11		121	C30-2/
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		56	A25-0		122	A44-16			56	K3-14		122	C32-28		56	A20-25		122	C22 22
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		57	A26-3		123	A45-22			57	K4-II		123	AU/-35		51	A2000		123	C10 24
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		58	A27-1		124	A40-12			58	10-D		124	AU3-02		00 50	A2905		124	C11 24
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		59	A29-1		125	0A-04			59						59 60	A31-19		125	A38.14
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		00	A 3U-2		120	71-04			0U 64	AII-23					61	V32.3		127	A37.24
63 A37-1 63 A1618 63 A44-32 64 5L7R 65 A49-10 665 A46-28		62	A314		121	74.12			62	Δ14.14					62	A41.26			
64 5L7R 65 7U 00 64 All-20 65 Al9-10 66 A46-28 00		62	A32-1		120	14-16			62	A1619					63	A44-32			
		61	51.70			1			64						64	A45-10			
		65	715		00	<u> </u>			65	A19.16	┢╴╵	on	L		65	A46-28	F'	op	·

Table 3. Continued.

	JP-22	pos. 7)dpa	500°C			JP-22	pos. 8)dpa	600°C		JP-22	pos. 9)dpa	400°C
_	Bottor	n		66	C13-39			Botton	n		66	C13-30	_	Botton	n	Γı	66	C10-08
S	1	A01-21		67	C14-00		S	1	A01-		67	C14-31	S	1	A01-09		67	C11-30
	2	A02-45		68	C16-27			12	A02-36		68	C16-21		12	A02-31		68	C13-31
	3	A03-41		69	CI/ 21			3	AM-08		69	C17-34		3	A03-11		69	C14-36
	4	AM-22		70	C19 33			4	A04-15		70	C19-36		4	AM-21		70	C16-37
	5	A00-32		71	C20-33			5	A06-01		71	C20-31		5	A00-15		71	C17-35
	6			72	C22 20			6	A07-00		72	C22-33		6	A07-24		12	C19-08
	, 0	AIVI-20	6	73	CL2-33			6	AU8-20	r I	73	C25-28		0	AU0-23		13	C20-25
	0	AIL-21		75	C26-20			0	AIU31		74	C26 22		o n	A11 29		74	C22-00
	10	A11-21		76	C77.26			10	A112.31		76	C20-32		10	A12 21		76	C23-33
	11	A 14.18		77	C37.30			11	A14.20		70	C37_05		11	A14-20		77	(76.26
	12	A16-12		78	C01-19			12	A1615		78	C38-27		12	A16-22		78	C27-08
	13	A17-13		79	C03-36			13	A17-24		79	C40-28		13	A17-22		79	C37-31
	14	A19-10		80	C06-38			14	A19-18		80	C41-13		14	A19-05		80	C38-33
	15	A20-07		81	C07-29			15	A2019		81	C01-43		15	A20-15		81	C40-07
	16	A22-15		82	C08-32			16	A22-14		82	cm-39		16	A22-31		82	C41-39
	17	A23-29		83	C10-32			17	A23-05		83	C03-03		17	A23-14		83	C01-05
	18	A25-10		84	C11-17			18	A25-08		84	C04-32		18	A25-23		84	C03-24
	19	AX-13		85	C13-27			19	A2625		85	C06-26		19	1\26-32		85	C06-37
	20	A27-33		86	C14-09			20	A27-22		86	C07-16		20	A27-29		86	C07-27
	21	A29-24		87	C16-26			21	A29-28		87	C08-26		21	A29-30		87	C08-17
	22	A30-18		88	C17-29			22	A30-38		88	C10-37		22	A30-27		88	C10-34
	23	A31-33		89	C19-21			23	A31-30		89	C11-35		23	A31-22		89	C11-37
	24	A32-18		90	C20-37			24	A32-32	!	90	C13-29		24	A3209		90	C13-37
	25	A31-12		91	022-25			25	A37-38		91	C14-38		25	A37-07		91	C14-30
	20	V@ 33		92	CZ3 32			20	A36-23		92	C10-13		20	A3804		92	C17-19
	21	A@-22		93	C20-33			21	A40-30		93	C10.04		21	A40-30		93	C10-24
	20	7R		95	C20-33			20	A41-19		94	C20.00		20	441-11 697V		74	C19-24
	30	A01.10		96	C37.37			29	A01-30		90	C22-00		29	SUTY		95	C20-00
	31	A02-37		97	A43-33			31	A03-45		97	C23-14		31	A01-06		97	(73.15
	32	Am-28		98	A44-31			32	AM-12		98	C25-35		32	A02-48		98	C25-33
	33	A04-44		99	A45-16			33	AM29		99	C26-31		33	A03-39		99	C26-29
	34	A06-39		100	A46-24			34	A07-13		100	c27-39		34	AM-23		100	C27-33
	35	A07-32		101	77-05			35	A08-00		101	C37-28		35	A%-31		101	C37-22
	36	AM-38		102	7X-07	i i		36	A1007		102	C38-29		36	A07-27		102	C38-41
	37	A 10-12		103	C02-42			37	A11-04		103	C40-29		37	A08-22		103	C40-43
	38	AII-19		104	C04-42			38	A13-22		104	C41-03		38	A10-02		104	C41-29
	39	A1301		105	A43-12			39	A14-13		105	C29-37		39	A11-15		105	C02-26
	40	A1447		106	A44-27			40	A1614		106	C30-31		40	A13-11		106	C04-14
	41	A1608		107	A43-13			41	A17-30		107	C31-38		41	A14-26		107	6A-10
	4Z 43	A17-32		108	A40-33			42	A19-26		108	C32-10		42	A10-31		108	71-10
	44	A70-23		110	71-12			43	A22-22		110	C30-34		43	A10.12		110	74-22
	45	A22-01		111	73-08			45	A23-33		m	C31-39		45	AZO-30		111	6A-12
	46	A23-13		112	74-18			46	A25-32		112	C32-36		46	A22-30		112	71-17
	47	A25-20		113	6A-09		1	47	A26-24		113	K1-19		41	A23-27		113	73-12
	48	A26-00		114	71-10			48	A27-21		114	K2-21		48	A25-17		114	74-25
	49	A27-07		115	73-09			49	A29-26		115	K3-36		49	A26-10		115	6A-16
	50	A29-00		116	74-14			50	A30-39		116	K4-36		50	A27-26		116	71-18
	51	A3044		117	6A-15			51	A31-37		117	K1-18		51	A29-32		117	73-13
	52	A31-24		118	71-11			52	A32-11		118	K2-20		52	A30-30		118	74-16
	53	A3242		119	73-10			53	A37-19		119	K3-35		53	A31-35	1	119	F1-26
	54	A37-17		120	14-21			54	A38-15		120	K4-35		54	A32-35		120	F1-29
	55	A36-12		121	02-36			22	A40-25		121	KI-20		55	A37-23		121	C1-07
	50 57	A@-20		122	E1 20			0C 77	A41-20		122	K2-22		56	A38-31		122	CI-08
	58	7D	, 1	123	F1-36			59	01-21		123	ا / د•د. ۲ ا ۸۱-34 א		5/ 50	A40-32		125	C2-07
	59	CUT-25		125	K3 26			50	01.47	\mathbf{h}	144	r\4-34		00 50	70			
	60	C03-23		126	K4-25			60	C04-40	ľ	126	A03-4		60	7x			
	61	C06-36						61	C06-30		127	AOI-35		61	C01-05			
	62	C07-09						62	C07-14					62	C03-25			
ļĮ	63	C08-14						63	C08-27					63	C06-09			
	64	C10-35						64	C10-31					64	C07-32			
	65	C11-07		p				65	C11-03		р			65	C08-22		ор	

ID C o d e	Material set	Material
A1	IPCA	JPCA SA
A2		JPCA CW
A3		JPCA SA EB weld-joint
A5		JPCA SA
A6		JPCA CW
B1	SAR	316(3)-00
B2		316(3)-01
B3		316(3)-12
El		F3ESAR
F1	.	FSESAR
Cl	Isotope tailored	F82H
c 2	ferrific steels	F82H + 10B(123)
c 3		F82H + natural B (120)
c 4		$F82H + 3^{\circ}N1 (Lot 35)$
c 5		F82H + 60N1 (Lot 34)
<u>C6</u>		F82H + 54Fe
DI		F82H + natural B
	5-1	(360 appmB)
HI	Duplex	AF2
H2		AF3
H3		AF4
H4		
JI	Shape memory	SM13
J2 12	alloys	Sivi15
J 5 17 1		
	TI-AL alloys	11-A1-1 T: AI 2
K2 K2		11-A1-2 T; AI 2
		TI-AI-3 T; AIA
К 4 К5		$T_{1} \Delta I_{-} 5$
КJ		11-/ 11- /

 Table 4.
 Specimen identifications for JAERI program alloys.
<u>Alloy</u>	Condition	ID Code	Alloy	Condition	ID Code
316-1	SA 1050°C/1h	A01	RSP1-1	SA 1100°C/1h	A37
316-1	CW 25%	C01	RSP1-1	CW 25%	c37
316-4	SA 1050° C/1h	A02	RSP1-12	SA 1100°C/1h	A38
316-4	CW 25%	C02	RSP1-12	CW 25%	C38
316-12	SA 1050°C/1h	A03	RSP2-1	SA 1100°C/1h	A40
316-12	CW 25%	co3	RSP2-1	CW 25%	C40
316-70	SA 1050°C/1h	АМ	RSP2-12	SA 1100°C/1h	A41
316-70	CW 25%	C04	RSP2-12	CW 25%	C41
PCA-1	SA 1175°C/1h	A06	316-12NS	SA 1050°C/1h	7R/7P*
PCA-1	CW 25%	C06	316-12NS	CW 20%	7P
PCA-12	SA 1175°C/1h	A07	316-12NSC	SA 1050° C/1h	7U/7T*
PCA-12	C W 25%	C07	316-12NSC	CW 20%	7T
PCA-70	SA 1175°C/1h	A08			
PCA-70	CW 25%	C08	HIP-3	1050°C/.5h +780°C/2.5h	A43/C43
14-16CP1-1	SA 1175°C/15	A10	HT9.12	1050°C/5h	A44/C44
14-16CP1-1	CW 25%	C10	111/12	+780°C/25h	1141/044
14.16CP1-12	SA 1175°C/1h	Δ11	HT9_C3	1050°C/5h	A45/C45
14-16CP1-12	CW 25%	C11	mples	±780°C/Ω5h	1110/040
14-16CP2-1	SA 1175°C/16	A13	HT9.C12	1050°C/55	A46/C46
14-16CP2-1	CW 25%	C13		+780°C/2.5h	1110/010
14.16CP2.12	SA 1175°C/15	A14	HT9.NS	1050°C/Sh	7 v
14-16CP2-12	CW 25%	C14	1119 100	+760°C/5h	, ,
14-16CP3-1	SA 1175°C/11	A16	HIP-CNS	1050°C/5h	7 x
14-16CP3-1	CW 25%	C16	IIII-CIV5	±760°C/5h	/ X
14-16CP3 12	CW 2370 SA 1175°C/15	A 17		1700 07511	
14-10CF 3-12	CW 25%	A17	E62P	10/09 0/16	61
14-10CI 3-12	CW 23/0 SA 1175°C/16	C17 A 10	2021	1040 C/111 ⊥760¢C/15	0A
14-10CI 4-1	CW 25%	A19 C10	D169	1040°C/15	71
14-16CP4-12		A 20	IX100	1040 0/11	/1
14-10CI 4-12 14-16CP4-12	CW 25%	A20 C20	P 160	10/00 C/16	72
14-10CI 4-12	CW 23%	C20	K109	1040 C/11	15
14-16CP5-1	CW 25%	A22	D170	+ 700 C/11	74
14-16CP5-12	SA 1175°C/1h	0.22	R170	1040°C/In ±760°C/Ib	/4
14-16CP5-12	CW 25%	C23		+700 C/11	
111001010		010	PRC3161	1050°C/1b	R2
14-15B12-1	SA 1100°C/15	A25	PRC316I	CW 20%	R3
14.15B12.1	CW 25%	R25	PRC316Ti	1050°C/1h	R 3 R 4
14-15812-12	SA 1100°C/15	A26	PRC316Ti	CW 20%	R4 P5
14-15B12-12	CW 25%	A20	ricestori	C W 2070	100
14-15B12-12	SA 1100°C/15	A27	цір	1050*0/0.56	SD.
14 15812 70	CW 25%	C27	1111	1050 C/0.5h	20
14-13812-70	C VV 23/0	C27	HT011%Ni	1050+0/0 55	SC
FE-15-35-1	SA 1100°C/1h	A29	1112 1 170141	+700°C/5h	50
FE-15-35-1	CW 25%	C29	HT9+2%Ni	1050°C/0.5h	SD
FE-15-35-4	SA 1100° C/1h	A30		+700°C/5h	
FE-15-35-4	CW 25%	СМ	IP MOD	1050°C/0.5h	TA.
FE-15-35-12	SA 1100°C/1h	A31		+700°C/5h	7
FE-15-35-12	CW 25%	C31	T9 MOD+2%Ni	1050°C/0 5h	тв ті
FE-15-35-130	SA 1100°C/1h	A32	17 1.02 . 2/010	+700°C/5h	12.11
FE-15-35-130	CW 25%	C32			

Table 5. Specimen identification for U. S. program alloys.

* some disks already engraved as "7P" or "7T" later annealed

			Duj	plex		Shap	e Memory A	lloys			SAR	<u></u>	
	JPCA	AF2	AF3	AF4	AF7	SM13	SM15	C8	FSE	F3E	316 -00	316 -01	316 -12
Fe	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bai.	bal.	bal.	baL	bal.
с	.064	.02	.02	.02	.02	.017	.016	,006	.0016	.0012	.0042	.0042	.0042
Si	.54	.48	.49	.50	.47	4.51	4.47	5.84	.038	.08	.61	.61	.61
Мл	1.63	1.01	1.01	1.05	1.06	1.01	1.01	14,19	.016	.02	1.91	1.91	1.91
Р	.027	.02	.02	.02	.02	.017	.017	<.003	.002	.002	.004	.004	.004
s	,0045	.003	.003	.003	.002	.002	.002	.002	.0008	.0025	.009	,009	.009
Cr	14.27	25.2	21.3	19,3	20.9	18.2	18.1	5.1	17.7	16.8	19.96	19,96	19.96
Ni ^{N*}	15.95	5.8	9.0	11.0	8.9	14.8	14.9	8.9	35.1	25.1	13.78	.05	2.12
60 _{Ni}		•	-		-		-			•	-	13.71	11.64
Мо	2.35	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	-			2.26	2.19	2.67	2.67	2.67
т	.21	-	-	-	.22	-	•	-	.27	.25	<.01	<.01	<.01
в	.0041		-	-	-	•	-	•	.003	.003	<.001	<.001	<.001
C0	.015	.004	.004	.004	-	.01	.01	<.0i	.004	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01
N	.003	.02	.01	.02	.01	.010	.009	.002	.0022	.0066	.0016	.0016	.0016
Nb	-	-	-		-	ŧ٢	.20	-	÷		<.01	<.01	<.01
AI	· ·	-		-			-	-	-	-	.005	.005	.005
v		-				-			•	•	<.01	<.01	<.01
Cu	-	-	· .			-	•	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01
w		-	-	-		-	-			-	÷	-	÷
Ta	-	-	- -	-		-				-	•		·

Table 6a. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for JAERI program alloys.

* Ni^N: natural nickel

	Fe	С	Si	Mn	Р	S	Cr	W	V	Ta	В	¹⁰ E	AI	<u>N</u>	Nii
F82H	bal.	0.093	0.09	0.49	0.005	0.001	7.65	1.98	0.17	0.04	0.0034	-	0.01	0.0019	0.01
F82H+ ^N B	bal.	0.099	0.15	0.50	0.001	0.001	7.49	2.1	0.20	0.04	0.0060	0.0006	0.021	0.001	-
F82H+ ¹⁰ B	bal.	0.098	0.17	0.50	0.001	0.001	7.23	2.1	0.22	0.04	0.0058	0.0058	0.021	0.002	-

Table 6b. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for JAERI program alloys.

Table 6c. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for JAERI program alloys.

ID code	Alloy	Structure	Composition (Ti - Al, at%)	Method ¹⁾
K1	Ti-Al-1	Ti₃Al	70 - 30	MA
K2	Ti-A-2	Ti₃Al + TiAl ³⁾	55 - 45	MA
K3	Ti-Al-3	TiAl	50 - 50	MA
K4	Ti-Al-4	Ti₃Al + TiAl ³⁾	53 - 47 ²)	PREP

tailored alloys.
isotopically
S. program
Ľ.
ē
\sim
percent
(weight percent
positions (weight percent
Alloy compositions (weight percent
ble 7. Alloy compositions (weight percent

ie Cr	ر ت		ż	iN ⁰⁸	59 _{Ni}	ц	С	z	Si	â	>	Мо	ч	~	m
ā	al.	17.5		13.7	,	,	0.05	,	0.53	,		23	1.7	'	4
q	ial.	17.5	0.7	13.0		ı	0.05	•	0.53	·	,	2.3	1.7		
q	al.	17.5	2.06	11.63	,		0.05	,	0.53	1	T.	2.3	1.7		•
م	ial.	17.5	13.7		•		0.05	•	0.53	,	1	2.3	1.7		,
٩	val.	14.0	,	16.0	·	0.25	0.05	0.01	0.40	1		2.3	1.8	0.01	1
٩	val.	14.0	2.08	13.92	,	0.25	0.05	10.0	0.40	(2.3	1.8	0.01	
٩	xal.	14.0	16.0	•		0.25	0.05	10.0	0.40	,	¢.	2.3	1.8	0.01	•
q	val.	14.0	,	16.0	ı	0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
q	al.	14.0	2.08	13.92		0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
٩ ا	al.	14.0	ı	16.0	1	0.3	0.08	0.02	0.2	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
٩	val.	14.0	2.08	13.92		0.3	0.08	0.02	0.2	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
Ą	al.	14.0		16.0	,	0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.10	2.5	2.0	0.07	800.
٩	xal.	14.0	2.08	13.92		0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.10	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
٩	bal.	14.0		16.0	•	0.3	0.10	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
4	Jal.	14.0	2.08	13.92	,	0.3	0.10	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.07	.008
Å	val.	14.0	,	16.0	,	0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.04	800.
	Jal.	14.0	2.08	13.92	'	0.3	0.08	0.02	0.4	0.10	0.50	2.5	2.0	0.04	800.

Continued.	
Table 7.	

В	•	1	1	,	,	•	•			•	•	1	-
P	0.05	0.05	0.05		,			0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	,	-
Mn	ł	-			,		ſ	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7
Мо	-	1	'n	ı	,	-		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.2
>		,	,		,	-		0.2	0.2		,	•	
٩Ŋ	,	. '	ſ.	1	,	,	•	r	•			•	
Si	0.8	0.8	0.8	-			•	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.53	0.53
z	0.01	0.01	0.01	•	•	, t	1	,	1	ŀ	F	I	-
J	0.04	0.04	0.04		1			0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
п	0.2	0.2	0.2	•	ı	•		0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	•	•
⁵⁹ Ni	•				-	•	•	ſ	1	E.	1	2.06	•
60 _{Ni}	15.0	12.9	1	35.0	34.65	33.25	4	15	12.9	15	12.9	11.63	11.63
Ni ^{N•}	-	2.10	15.0	,	0.35	1.75	35.0		2.1	,	2.1	,	2.06
ບັ	14.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	13	13	14	14	17.5	17.5
Fe	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.
Alloy	14-15B12-1	14-15B12-12	14-15B12-70	FE-15-35-1	FE-15-35-4	FE-15-35-12	FE-15-35-130	RSP1-1	RSP1-12	RSP2-1	RSP2-12	316-12NS	316-12NSC

* Ni^N: natural nickel

Table 8. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for U. S. program isotopically tailored ferritic alloys.

-	_					
Р	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
S	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004
3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
0	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
Mn	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Mo	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
>	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
q	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Si	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
z	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
c	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
58 _{Ni}		1.4	-	,	,	
iN ⁶⁵		-	-	,	2.0	,
iN ₀₉	•	٠	0.5	1.4		•
"N. N.	0.5	•	,	•	•	2.0
ප්	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Fe	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.	bal.
Alloy	HT9-3	HT9-12	HT9-C3	HT9-C12	SN-61H	HT9-CNS

Ni^N: natural nickel

Table 9. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for US program alloys.

Alloy	Fe	ŗ	NIN	iN ⁰⁰	⁵⁹ Ni	Ц	с	z	Si	٩Ŋ	v	Mo	Mn	0	w	ර	S	Ρ
E62R	bal.	12.0	-	,		<u> </u>		,	, ,		۰				1	·	•	
R168	bal.	11.7	I	1.32		<.01	.004	,	0.02	0.01	0.01	<.01	0.02		0.01	.01		0.01
R169	bal.	12.0		,	1.5		-	,	•							·		
R170	bal.	11.5	1.54			<.01	.004		0.02	0.01	0.01	×.01	0.02	,	.10.0	10		10.0
PRC316L	bal.	17.22	14.14	,	,	,	.025		0.29			2.25	1.41	·			.015	.017
PRC316TI	bal.	17.9	13.47	,	•	319	.055	.026	08.	,	,	2.65	1.79	,		.033	.029	.022
HT9 XAA3537	bal.	11.99	.43			.003	.21	.020	.18	.018	27	.93	.50	.005	54	.017	-100. 1	110.
HT9+1%Ni XAA3588	bal.	11.97	1.14	'		£00.	.20	-016	.13	.015	31	1.04	.47	.007	53	.015	<u>2</u>	.010
HT9+2%Ni XAA3589	bal.	11.71	2.27	'		.003	.20	.017	.14	.015	31	1.02	.49	.007	54	.021	200.	110.
T9 MOD XAA3590	bal.	8.62	.11	1	,	.002	060	.050	80	.063	.209	98	ب و ال	.007	10.	.013	200.	900
T9 MOD +2%Ni XAA3591	bal.	8.57	2.17	•	· ·	.002	.064	.053	80.	.066	222	98	ર્જ	900	10:	.015	-004	.008

Ni^N: natural nickel

ID≇	COMP.	v	Cr	Ti	OTHER	с	н	N	0	Si
BL- 1	V-4M0	в	<100	<50	4.02%Mo	90	8	73	230	110
BL- 2	V-10W	в	<100	<50	8.6%W	120	11	150	300	59
BL- 3	V-10Ni	в	<100	<50	12.3%Ni	500	8	280	490	405
BL- 5	V-15Cr	B	14.1%	<50	-	200	14	69	330	<50
BL-10	V-7.5Cr-15Ti	B	7.2%	14.5		400	<5	250	1110	400
BL-12	V-10Ti	в	<100	9.77%	0.63%Fe	450	9	390	1670	245
BL-13	V-15Ti	в	<100	14.4%	0.63%Fe	440	12	370	1580	205
BL-15	V-20Ti	В	150	17.7%	-	380	<5	160	830	480
BL-18	V-20Ti	В		20%		305	5	243	580	
BL-20	v	в	<100	<50		120		110	570	325
BL-21	V-15Cr-5Ti	в	13.7%	4.8%		180	<5	510	340	1150
BL-22	V-15Cr-5Ti	в	13.4%	5.1%		150	<5	52	300	56
BL-23	V-15Cr-5Ti	в	12.9%	5.9%		280	<9	490	400	1230
BL-24	V-15Cr-5Ti	В	13.5%	5.2%		500	<5	360	1190	390
BL-25	V-15Cr-0.3Ti	в	14.4%	0.31%		120	9	64	390	<50
BL-26	V-15Cr-1Ti	в	14.1%	0.97%		140	9	80	560	<50
BL-27	V-3Ti-0.25Si	в	<50	3.1%		310	8	310	210	0.25%
BL-40	V-12Cr-5Ti	в	10.9%	5.0%		90	<5	80	470	270
BL-42	V-3Ti-0.5Si	в	<50	3.1%		140	<5	190	580	0.54%
BL-43	V-10Cr-5Ti	в	9.2%	4.9%		100	<5	31	230	340
BL-44	V-10Cr-10Ti	в	9.9%	9.28		150	<5	87	300	270
BL-46	V-5Ti	в	<100	4.6%		100	<5	52	270	150
BL-47	V-5Cr-5Ti	в	4.1%	4.3%		200		220	350	870
BL-50	V-1Ti	В	<100	1.03%		235	1	130	230	1050

Table 10. Alloy compositions (weight percent) for U. S. Program vanadium alloys.

"W" -- BL-47W specimens from TIG weld zone in V-5Cr-5Ti.

'E" -- BL-47HA: specimens from heal-affected zone adjacent to weld zone in V-5Cr-5Ti.

REFERENCES

- R. L. Senn, "Status of U.S./Japan Collaborative Program Phase II HFIR Target Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Process Report for the Period Endine Seotember 30,</u> <u>1987</u>, DOE/ER-0313/3, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1988, p. 8.
- R. L. Senn, "Status of U.S./Japan Collaborative Program Phase II HFIR Target Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Process Report for the Period Endine March 31.1988</u>, DOE/ER-0313/4, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1988, p. 7.
- R. L. Senn, "Status of U.S./Japan Collaborative Program Phase II HFIR Target Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Process Report for the Period Endine Sept. 30. 1988</u>, DOE/ER-0313/5, U. S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1989, p. 6.
- K. Shiba, S. Jitsukawa, J. E. Pawel and A. F. Rowcliffe, "Tensile Properties of Base and Weld Metal Specimens of Austenitic Stainless Steels Irradiated in the High Flux Isotope Reactor," this report.
- A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, K. R. Thorns, and J. E. Corum, "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE-JP Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Proeress</u> <u>Report for Period Endine March 31. 1991</u>, DOE/ER-0313/10, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1991, p. 3.
- A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, J. E. Wolfe, K. R. Thorns, and J. E. Corum, "Fabrication and Irradiation of HFIR-MFE-JP-17, -18, and -19 Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion</u> <u>Reactor Materials Semiannual Process Report for Period Endine September 30, 1991</u>, DOE/ER-0313/11, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1992, p. 30.
- A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, K. R. Thorns, and J. E. Corum, "Fabrication and Irradiation of HFIR-MFEJP-17, -18, and -19 Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials</u> <u>Semiannual Proeress Report for Period Endine March 31.1992</u>, DOE/ER-0313/12, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1992, p. 24.
- 8. D. J. Alexander, J. E. Pawel, M. L. Grossbeck, and A. F. Rowcliffe, "Fracture Toughness of Irradiated Candidate Materials for ITER First Wall/Blanket Structures: Preliminary Results," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1993,</u> DOE/ER-0313/14, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1993, p. 277.
- J. E. Pawel, D. J. Alexander. M. L. Grossbeck, A. W. Longest, A. F. Rowcliffe, G. E. Lucas, S. Jitsukawa, A. Hishinuma. and K. Shiba, "Fracture Toughness of Candidate Materials for ITER First Wall, Blanket, and Shield Structures," this report.
- J. E. Pawel and R. L. Senn, "Status of U.S./Japan Collaborative Program Phase II HFIR Target Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Process Report for Period Ending</u> <u>March 31, 1992</u>, DOE/ER-0313/12, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1992, p. 15.
- A. W. Longest, J. E. Corum, D. W. Heatherly, and K. R. Thorns, "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials</u> <u>Semiannual Proeress Report for Period Endine September 30, 1987, DOE/ER-0313/3, US.</u> DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1988, p. 2.

- A. W. Longest, J. E. Corum, and D. W. Heatherly, "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress</u> <u>Reoort for Period Endine March 31, 1988</u>, DOE/ER-0313/4, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1988, p. 2.
- A. W. Longest, J. E. Corum, and D. W. Heatherly, "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Proeress</u> <u>Report for Period Endine September 30, 1988, DOE/ER-0313/5, U.S. DOE Office of Fusion</u> Energy, 1989, p. 2.
- A. W. Longest, J. E. Pawel. D. W. Heatherly. R. G. Sitterson, and R. L. Wallace, "Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor</u> <u>Materials Semiannual Proeress Reoort for Period Endine. March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14,</u> U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1993, p. 14.
- 15. **A.** W. Longest, J. E. Pawel. D. W. Heatherly. R. G. Sitterson, and R. L. Wallace, "Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," this report.

FABRICATION AND OPERATION OF HFIR-MFE RB* SPECTRALLY TAILORED IRRADIATION CAPSULES - A W. Longest, J. E Pawel, D. W. Heatherly, R. G. Sitterson, and R L Wallace (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to fabricate and operate irradiation capsules for irradiating magnetic fusion energy (MFE) candidate first-wall materials in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) removable beryllium (RB*) positions. Japanese and U.S. MFE specimens were transferred to RB* positions following irradiation to approximately 7 dpa at temperatures of 60,200, 330, and 400°C in Oak Ridge Research Reactor (ORR) experiments ORR-MFE-6J and -7J.

SUMMARY

Fabrication and operation of four HFIR-MFE RB* capsules (60, 200, 330, and 400°C) to accommodate MFE specimens previously irradiated in spectrally tailored experiments in the ORR are proceeding satisfactorily. With the exception of the $60^{\circ}C$ capsule, where the test specimens were in direct contact with the reactor cooling water, specimen temperatures (monitored by 21 thermocouples) are controlled by varying the thermal conductance of a thin gas gap region between the specimen holder outer sleeve and containment tube.

Irradiation of the 60 and 330°C capsules. which started on July 17, 1990, was completed on November 14, 1992, after 24 cycles of irradiation to an incremental damage level of approximately 10.9 displacements per atom (dpa). Assembly of the follow-up 200 and 400°C capsules was completed in November, 1992, and their planned 20-cycle irradiation to approximately 9.1 incremental dpa was started on November 21, 1992. As of September 4, 1993, the 200 and 400°C capsules had successfully completed nine cycles of irradiation to approximately 4.1 incremental dpa.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

A series of spectrally tailored irradiation capsules are being fabricated and operated as part d the U.S./Japan collaborative program for tesling MFE candidate first-wall materials in mixed-spectrum fission reactors. The test specimens are being irradiated in the RB* facility' of the HFIR.

Four HFIR-MFE RB* capsules were designed to accommodate Japanese and U.S. MFE specimens previously irradiated to approximately 7 dpa at temperatures of 60, 200, 330, and 400°C in the ORR in spectrally tailored experiments ORR-MFE-6J and -7J. Details of these ORR experiments, including descriptions of the test matrix, mechanical property specimens, and techniques of spectral tailoring, have been reported elsewhere?' Hafnium liners are being used in the HFIR-MFE RB* experiments to tailor the neutron spectrum to closely match the helium production-to-atom displacement ratio (14 appm/dpa) expected in a fusion reactor first wall.

The HFIR-MFE RB* capsules are being irradiated in pairs (first the 60 and 330°C capsules, then the 200 and 400°C capsules) to total damage levels on the reencapsulated specimens of approximately 18 (24 HFIR cycles) and 16 dpa (20 HFIR cycles), respectively. Previously,' the target exposure level was approximately 17 total dpa on the reencapsulated specimens (22 HFIR cycles) for

all four capsules; however, the 60 and 330° C irradiations were extended for two additional cycles until the 200 and 400 °C capsules were ready to replace them.

60°C Capsule

The 60°C capsule, designated HFIR-MFE-60J-1, was an uninstrumented capsule with the test specimens in contact with the reactor cooling water. Capsule design, assembly, and details of the specimen loading were described previously.'

As reported previously,⁶ irradiation of this capsule was started on July 17, 1990, and was completed on November 14, 1992, after 24 cycles of irradiation to a total damage level on the reencapsulated specimens of approximately 18dpa. Specimen operating temperatures in this capsule were predicted to be within 10° C of 60° C.

330°C Capsule

The 330°C capsule, designated HFIR-MFE-330J-1, was an instrumented and singly contained capsule where the specimen temperatures were monitored by 21 thermocouples and controlled by adjusting the thermal conductance of a thin gas gap region between the specimen holder outer sleeve and containment tube. **This** capsule was cooled with 49°C reactor cooling water flowing downward over the containment tube surface. Capsule design, assembly, and details of the specimen loading were described previously.','

As reported previously: irradiation of this capsule was started on July 17, 1990, and was completed on November 14, 1992, after 24 cycles of irradiation to a total damage level on the reencapsulated specimens of approximately 18 dpa. Typical thermal operating data for the 330J-1 experiment were presented in detail previously!

200 and 400°C Capsules

The 200 and 400°C capsule designs are basically the same **as** that of the 330°C capsule. The main differences in the three capsule designs are associated with (1) the number and spacing of the specimen holder slots and holes to accommodate differences in the number of specimens of the various **types**, (2) the width of the temperature control gas gap region between the specimen holder outer sleeve and containment tube to obtain the desired specimen operating temperatures, and (3) the instrumented test piece included in the aluminum plug and holder above the test specimen holder to obtain extra information. Capsule assembly and details of the specimen loadings in the 200 and 400°C capsules were described previously?''

Irradiation of the 200 and 400°C capsules began on November 21,1992, at the start of HFIR cycle 313. As of September 4,1993, these capsules had successfully completed nine cycles (4.11 dpa) of their planned 20-cycle (9.1 dpa) irradiation to a total damage level of approximately 16dpa on the reencapsulated specimens. A cycle-by-cycle summary of their irradiation history through HFIR cycle 321 is given in Table 1.

Thermal operating information for the 200 and 400°C capsules is presented in the following paragraphs.

Vertical and horizontal sections through the 200°C capsule, designated HFIR-MFE-200J-1, are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. These **figures** show the general arrangement of test specimens and thermocouple assemblies in the aluminum alloy specimen holder. Thermocouple junction locations are given in Table 2. Typical thermal operating data for the 200J-1 capsule are shown in Figs. 3 through 9 for HFIR Cycle No. 317 and in Figs. 10 through 16 for HFIR Cycle No. 318. Two

cycles are required to show pertinent thermal patterns because the capsule is rotated 180° at the end of each cycle to provide near-uniform neutron exposure to specimens at any given elevation.

Vertical and horizontal sections through the 400°C capsule, designated HFIR-MFE-400J-1, are shown in Figs. **17** and 18, respectively. Thermocouple junction locations are given in Table 3. Typical thermal operating data for the 400J-1 capsule are shown in Figs. 19 through 25 for HFIR Cycle No. 319 and in Figs. 26 through 32 for HFIR Cycle No. 320.

As indicated in Figures 2 and 18, there are seven thermocouple assemblies in the aluminum alloy specimen holder of each capsule, each containing three thermocouple elements. These threejunction assemblies were formed by swaging a stainless steel tube containing three individual **Type** Kstainless-steel-sheathed thermocouples to a final diameter of 1.04 mm. In addition, there are three single-junction thermocouples (TE-22, TE-23, and TE-24) in the aluminum plug and holder above the specimen holder to measure temperature rise data for the simulated packet of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) specimens in the 200J-1 capsule and the simulated hourglass fatigue specimen in the 400J-1 capsule.

Design calculations for these capsules were updated with heat generation rates determined from 3305-1 operating data, and values for the temperature increase from the adjacent instrumented specimen holder to the specimen mid-length peak temperature were calculated. These calculated temperature rise values are 16°Cfor the **TEM** specimens (12°C of which is the temperature increase across 0.0025-cm helium gaps on either side of the tube containing the TEM disks), 12°C for the simulated packet of TEM specimens under helium, 46°C for the relatively large hourglass fatigue specimens (39°C of which is the temperature increase across 0.0076-cm helium gaps on either side of the aluminum alloy assembly holding the hourglass fatigue specimen), 42°C for the simulated hourglass fatigue specimen under helium, 12°C maximum for the pressurized tube specimens (i.e., before tube deformation, if any, takes place), and 4°C for the flat tensile, crack growth, and grodzinski fatigue specimens (based on a 0.0013-cm helium gap between the flat specimens and holder). The calculated temperature rise for the 0.104-cm-diameter thermocouple assemblies in the specimen holder is 3°C (based on a uniform 0.0064-cm helium gap between the thermocouple assemblies and the holder).

The data acquisition systems being used with the 200J-1 and 400J-1 capsules are of the same design as the one used with the 330J-1 capsule. The system for each capsule scans all the sensor signals approximately every six seconds and determines the maximum, minimum, and average temperature indicated by the thermocouples in the specimen holder. The average temperature is used for capsule temperature control and is maintained within about 5°C of the control value by manual adjustment of the composition, and hence thermal conductance, of the flowing helium-neon mixture in the control gas gap between the specimen holder outer sleeve and containment tube.

The average temperature is recorded approximately every six seconds; a sample of all other operating data is recorded every minute. These raw data are further reduced to produce plots such as those shown in Figs. 3 through 16 and 19 through 32. The data reduction program reduces each selected parameter to 200 data points for the period being processed. Several modes of data processing are available. These are **SAMPLE** which gives the last sample in each interval, **AVERAGE** which gives the average of all samples within the interval, HIGH which gives the highest sample within the interval, and LOW which gives the lowest sample within the interval. In preparation of Figs. 3 through 16 and 19 through 32, the HIGH mode was used for maximum temperature, the LOW mode was used for minimum temperature, and the **AVERAGE** mode was used for all other parameters.

Thermal operating data from the 200J-1 capsule are in good agreement with design predictions. The temperature criterion for this experiment was to operate at specimen temperatures within 25°C of 200°C. Based on the temperature measurements shown in Figs. 5 through 8 for HFIR Cycle 317 and in Figs. 12 through 15 for HFIR Cycle 318 (ranging from 167 to 207°C) and the design calculations relating measured temperatures to specimen temperatures, the operating temperature range of all the specimens are close to the temperature criterion range of 175°C to 225°C.

Thermal operating data from the 400J-1 capsule are close to expectations. The temperature criterion for this experiment was to operate at specimen temperatures within 25°C of 400°C. Based on the temperature measurements to date and the design calculations relating measured temperatures to specimen temperatures, the operating temperature range of the specimens are within the temperature criterion range of 375°C to 425°C except **as** noted below for the hourglass fatigue specimens at the lower end of the holder and some of the specimens near the top of the holder. From Figs. 23 and 30, TE-13 and TE-19 at the mid-length elevation of the hourglass fatigue specimens typically operate between 365 and 395°C for an average temperature of approximately 380°C. When the calculated temperature rise of 46°C from the holder to the centerline of the hourglass fatigue specimen is added to these measured holder temperatures, there are times during the latter part of a HFIR fuel cycle when the estimated hourglass specimen temperatures may be **as** high **as** 450°C, which is 25°C above the criterion range. Also from Figs. 23 and 30, TE-15 and TE-21 near the top of the specimen holder typically operate between 353 and 388°C; therefore, temperatures of some of the specimens near the top of the holder may be **as** low **as** 350°C during the early part of a cycle, which is 25°C below the criterion range.

No thermocouple failures have occurred in the 200J-1 and 400J-1 capsules.

FUTURE WORK

Design, preparation of fabrication drawings, procurement of parts, and assembly of a capsule for reencapsulating specimens from the above capsules into a dual-temperature capsule (probably 200/400°C or 60/330°C) is scheduled to start in late FY 1994 and be completed in FY 1995.

REFERENCES

- 1. K. R. Thoms et al., "HFIR Irradiation Facilities Improvements Completion of the HIFI Project," J. Nucl. Mater., 155-157 (1988) 1340-45.
- J. L. Scott et al., pp. 12-20 in *ADIP Semiann Frog Rep.*, March 31, 1985, DOE/ER-0045/14, U.S.DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- 3. J. L. Scott et al., Second Annual Frog Rep. on United States-Japan Collaborative Testing in the High Flux Isotope Reactor and the Oak Ridge Research Reactor, Sept. 30, 1985, ORNL/TM-10102.
- 4. A. W. Longest et al., "Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Frog Rep., March 31, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/12, U.S.DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.

- A. W. Longest et al., "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Rep., March 31,1988, DOE/ER-0313/4, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- 6. A. W. Longest et al., *Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules,*in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Bog. Rep., March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- A. W. Longest et al., *Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules,* in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann Bog. Rep., Sept. 30, 1981, DOE/ER-0313/3, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- A. W. Longest et al., "Designand Fabrication of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules," in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Bog. Rep., Sept. 30, 1988, DOE/ER-0313/5, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- 9. A. W. Longest et al., 'Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules,"in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann Bog. Rep., Sept. 30, 1991, DOE/ER-0313/11, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.
- 10. A. W. Longest et al., "Fabrication and Operation of HFIR-MFE RB* Spectrally Tailored Irradiation Capsules,"in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Bog. Rep., Sept. 30, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/13, U.S. DOE, Office of Fusion Energy.

	HFIR OPE	RATION		60J-1,	330J-1	200J-1,	400J-1
CYCLE	START	ÉND	MWd/	INCRE	MENTAL	INCRE	MENTAL
NO.	DATE	DATE	Cycle	MWd	dpa*	MWd	dpa*
		1					
289	07-17-90	09-07-90	11879	1879	0.46		
290	09-19-90	10-11-90	1		0.91		
291	10-17-90	11-13-90	-	-	1.36		
292	11-25-90	12-10-90	3		1.82		1
293	12-27-90	01-23-91	•		2.30		
294	02-01-91	02-25-91	·	11287	2.77		
295	03-01-91	03-24-91	1.000	13195	3.23		
296	04-06-91	04-28-91	[.] 1874	15069	3.69		
297	05-19-91	06-15-91	1845	16914	4.14		
298	06-20-91	07-11-91	1747	18661	4.57		
299	07-25-91	08.15-91	1741	20402	5.00		
300	08-28-91	09-17-91	1724	1 22126	5.42		
301	09-27-91	10-19-91	1851	23977	5.87		
302	10-27-91	11-21-91	1829	25806	6.32		
303	12-01-91	12-22-91	1821	1 ²⁷⁶²⁷	6.77		
304	12-31-91	01-24-92	1826	29453	7.22		
305	01-30-92	02-27-92	1876	31329	7.68		
306	03-31-92	04-27-92	1845	33174	8.13		
307	05-01-92	05-28-92	1842	35016	8.58		
308	06-02-92	06-30-92	1938	36954	9.05		
309	07-03-92	08-01-92	1949	38903	9.53	Į į	
310	08-27-92	09-18-92	1849	40752	9.98	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
311	09-25-92	10-12-92	1856	42608	10.44		
312	10-22-92	11-14-92	1842	44450	10.89	BEGIN C	YCLE 313
313	11-21-92	12-15-92	1850	REMOVED	OC 312	1850	0.45
314	12-20-92	01-12-93	1866			3/10	0.91
315	01-19-93	02-11-93	1861	ļ ļ -		55//	1.37
316	02-17-93	04-03-93	1807			/384	1.81
317	04-23-93	05-14-93	1841			9225	2.26
318	05-20-93	06-13-93	1878]]		11103	2.72
319	06-18-93	07-10-93	1863			12966	3.18
320	07-15-93	08-07-93	1934			14900	3.65
321	08-12-93	09-04-93	1884			16/84	4.11
322		<u> </u>	!][1	L	L

 Table 1.
 IRRADIATION HISTORY OF US/JAPAN SPECTRAL TAILORED CAPSULES

 HFIR-MFE-60J-1, -330J-1,- 200J-1, AND -400J-1

* DPA levels based on achieving 0.000245 dpa/MWd

	Junction location			
Thermocouple number	Axial distance above reactor core midplane (cm)	Radial distance from capsule centerline (cm)	Odd-numbered-cycle orientation' (degrees clockwise from direction of reactor core vertical centerline)	
TE-01	-10.7	0.394	349	
TE-02	-0.01	0.394	349	
TE-03	10.6	0.394	349	
E - M	-10.6	0.394	169	
E - 0 5	-0.15	0.394	169	
TE-06	10.6	0.394	169	
TE-10	-6.62	1.27	259	
TE-11	2.58	1.27	259	
TE-12	6.86	1.27	259	
TE-16	-6.54	1.27	79	
TE-17	-2.55	1.27	79	
TE-18	6.41	1.27	79	
TE-13	-14.2	1.27	349	
TE-14	0.06	1.27	349	
TE-15	14.3	1.27	349	
TE-19	-14.1	1.27	169	
E-20	-0.08	1.27	169	
TE-21	14.2	1.27	169	
TE-07	-2.53	0.795	287	
TE-08	-0.03	0.795	287	
TE-09	2.51	0.795	287	
TE-22	20.3	0	Not applicable	
TE-23	20.4	0.394	45	
E - 2 4	20.5	0.394	225	

Table 2. Thermocouple junction locations in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 Irradiation Capsule

' Capsule is rotated 180° at the end of each HFIR fuel cycle. For odd-numbered cycles, the experiment identification tab points toward the reactor centerline. For even-numbered cycles, the experiment identification tab points 180° away from the reactor centerline.

	Junction location		
Thermocouple number	Axial distance above reactor core midplane (cm)	Radial distance from capsule centerline (cm)	Odd-numbered-cycle orientation' (degrees clockwise from direction of reactor core vertical centerline)
E - 0 1	-10.6	0.302	0
TE-02	4.08	0.302	0
TE-03	10.6	0.302	0
TE-04	-10.7	0.302	180
E 4 5	4.14	0.302	180
TE-06	10.5	0.302	180
E - I O	-6.54	1.27	284
TE-11	2.45	1.27	284
TE-12	6.54	1.27	284
E - I 6	-6.57	1.27	104
E - 17	-2.50	1.27	104
E - 1 8	6.6	1.27	104
E - I 3	-12.9	1.27	352
TE-14	0.08	1.27	352
TE-15	14.3	1.27	352
TE-19	-13.2	1.27	189
E - 2 0	-0.21	1.27	189
TE-21	14.1	1.27	189
E - 0 7	-2.17	1.07	258
E08	4.37	1.07	258
TE-09	2.81	1.07	258
TE-22	20.9	0.523	270
E-23	20.9	0.831	321
E - 24	21.0	0.831	219

Table 3. Thermocouple junction locations in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 Irradiation Capsule

_

[•] Capcule is routed 180° at the end of each HFIR fuel cycle. For-odd numbered cycles, the experiment identification tab paints toward the reactor centerline. For even-numbered cycles, the experiment identification tab points 180" away from the reactor centerline.



Fig. 1. Vertical section through the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule

ORNL-DWG 93-15796



Fig. 2. Horizontal section through the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule.



Fig. 3. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21,1993).



Fig. 4. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317 start-up on April 22,1993. (TIME 0 = 0800 hours on April 22,1993).



Fig. 5. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21, 1993).



Fig. 6. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21,1993).



Fig. 7. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21, 1993).



Fig. 8. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21,1993).



Fig. 9. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 317. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on April 21, 1993).



Fig. 10. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20,1993).



Fig. 11. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318 start-up on May 22,1993. (TIME 0 = 1200 hours on May 22,1993).



Fig. 12. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20, 1993).



Fig. 13. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-200J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20,1993).



Fig. 14. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-20W-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20,1993).



Fig. 15. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-20W-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20, 1993).



Fig. 16. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-20W-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 318. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on May 20,1993).



Fig. 17. Vertical section through the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule.

CONTROL GAS GAP SPRING (TYP) TE-7,8,9. GRODZINSKI FATIGUE GAS PASSAGES OU TE-10,11,12 ROW CRACK GROWTH L. O HOURGLASS FATIGUE LOWER END FI,F2, F3 AND F4 2 SPECIMEN HOLDER SPECIMEN HOLDER SLEEVE 9 - TE-13,14,15 TE-19,20,21 -HELIUM GAS IN F TE+4,5,6 TEMPERATURE CONTROL GAS IN Г CONTAINMENT TUBE 8 4 PRESSURIZED TUBE SS-1 TENSILE - TE-1,2,3 7 5 6 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) FLUX MONITOR -THERMOCOUPLES TE-16,17,18 SS-3 TENSILE GRODZINSKI FATIGUE 0 10 (mm)

Fig. 18. Horizontal section through the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule.

ORNL-DWG 93-15811



Fig. 19. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 20. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319 start-up on June 18,1993. (TIME 0 = 1700 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 21. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-QOW-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 22. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 23. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 24. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig, 25. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 319. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on June 18,1993).



Fig. 26. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15,1993).



Fig. 27. Specimen holder temperatures in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320 start-up on July 15,1993. (TIME 0 = 1700 hours on July 15,1993).



Fig. 28. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15,1993).



Fig. 29. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15, 1993).


Fig. 30. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15, 1993).



Fig. 31. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15.1993).



Fig. 32. Individual thermocouple readings in the HFIR-MFE-400J-1 capsule during HFIR Cycle 320. (TIME 0 = 0000 hours on July 15, 1993).

2.0 DOSIMETRY, DAMAGE PARAMETERS, AND ACTIVATION CALCULATIONS

REANALYSIS OF NEUTRON DOSIMETRY FOR THE MOTA-IE EXPERIMENT IN FFTF L. R. Greenwood, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)"

OBJECTIVE

To provide dosimetry and damage analysis for fusion materials irradiation experiments.

SUMMARY

Revised neutron fluence and spectral measurements and radiation damage calculations are reported for the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA)-1E experiment in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). The irradiation was conducted from September 10, 1986, to October 10, 1987, for a total exposure of 341.8 EFPD at 291 MW. The maximum fluence was $14.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ n/cm}^2$, 10.1×10^{22} above 0.1 MeV, producing 41.8 dpa in irron. Neutron fluence and radiation damage maps are presented for the entire MOTA assembly based on the analysis of six neutron spectral measurements and five additional flux gradient measurements.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Neutron dosimetry for the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA)-1E experiment in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) at Hanford was reported previously in a letter report (L. S. Kellogg, W. M. McElroy, and W. Y. Matsumoto, PNL-NDC Letter Report 5, 1989); however, the results have not been published. More recent dosimetry results have been published for the FFTF/MOTA for the IF, 1G, and 2A irradiations.''' These results included bumup corrections of about 20% for the fissile monitors, which results in a significant increase in the fast neutron fluences. It was thus decided to reanalyze the MOTA-1E data, including these bumup corrections.

The MOTA-1E neutron dosimetry provided measurements over the entire assembly with a total of eleven capsules positioned at different axial locations in the assembly, spanning a distance from -67 to +123 cm. Each stainless steel dosimetry capsule contained dosimetry wires for either spectral or gradient measurements. The six spectral capsules contained small wires of Fe, Ti, Ni, Cu, 0.1% Co-AI alloy, Sc-oxide, **0.825%**²³⁵U in V, 0.936%</sup>²³⁹Pu in MgO, and 0.42% ²³⁷Np in MgO, whereas the five gradient capsules contained Fe and 0.1% **Co-AI** alloy wires.

Following irradiation, each dosimetry capsule was opened in a hot cell, and each individual monitor was identified and mounted for gamma analysis. The measured activities were then converted to saturated activities by correcting for the sample weight, atomic weight, isotopic abundance, gamma absorption, reactor power history, and fission yield, **as** needed. Neutron self-shielding effects were not significant since the Co, U, and Pu were dilute alloys and the FFTF neutron spectrum has few neutrons at lower neutron energies. For the U, Pu, and Np fission monitors, the gamma measurements detected five fission products, namely, ⁹⁵Zr, ¹⁰³Ru, ¹⁰⁶Ru, ¹³⁷Cs, and ¹⁴⁴Ce. The standard deviation from the mean fission rate was generally less than **5%** except for the ²³⁷Np reactions at out-of-core locations, **as** discussed below.

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

One of the main motivations for this reanalysis of the MOTA-IE data was to include burnup corrections. The only significant burnup corrections are for the Co and fission monitors. In the case of Co, the ${}^{59}Co(n,\gamma){}^{60}Co$ reaction is responsible for the loss of ${}^{59}Co$. If we assume that the reaction rate for the burnup of ${}^{60}Co$ is proportional to that for ${}^{59}Co$, in the ratio *of* the known resonance integrals, then a simple iterative procedure can be used to determine the proper correction, as follows. Starting with the measured reaction rate, a correction is determined. This corrected rate is then used to determine a second-order correction, and so on. This process quickly converges, and Co burnup corrections varied from 0.6% at midplane to about 3% at the below core position.

In principal, we can use the **same** method to determine burnup corrections for the fission monitors. However, in these cases, the (n,γ) reactions must be included along with the fission reactions to determine the total burnup cross sections. Furthermore, reaction rates for some *af* the radioactive product isotopes are also not so well-known. In all cases, burnup corrections were determined by starting with the measured fission rates and calculating ratios of (n,γ) reactions for the fission monitors and the radioactive fission products. These cross-section ratio calculations were performed using calculations of the neutron flux spectra. Burnup corrections were applied separately to each fission product, and the results were then averaged to determine a new fission rate. Second-order corrections were then determined assuming that the ratios to the (n,γ) reactions remained the same. This process was then repeated for several iterations until the corrections converged. Although several assumptions and uncertainties are involved in this process, especially for ²¹⁷Np where the burnup reactions are not so strongly related to the threshold fission reactions, averaging over several fission products is negligible, and the principal effect **is** from burnup of the fission averaged about 20% for all three fission monitors.

Burnup of ²³⁷Np breeds various Pu isotopes that tend to have higher fission reaction rates than does Np. However, this effect is minimal except at the below-core position. Due to the high scatter in the measured fission product yields, this reaction was not included in subsequent neutron spectral determinations at the below-core position.

The corrected reaction rates are listed in Tables 1-3. All values are normalized to **282.48** MW operation, and the values have an estimated absolute uncertainty of about **5%**, although the fission reactions have an additional uncertainty of about 5% due to problems in determining the burnup corrections. These rates appear to be in reasonable agreement with previous measurements."

The measured activities were used as input to the STAY'SL computer code⁴ to adjust the calculated neutron spectra determined for cycle 9A, which were provided by R. L. Simons, Westinghouse Hanford Company. The STAY'SL code performs a generalized least-squares adjustment *of* all measured and calculated values, including the measured activities, calculated spectra, and neutron cross sections. Neutron cross sections and their uncertainties were generally taken from ENDF/B-V, although new data were available *from*ENDF/B-VI for the ⁴⁶Ti(n,p) and ⁶³Cu(n,a) reactions. The adjusted neutron fluence values are listed in Table 4. The neutron spectral adjustments for the six spectral positions are illustrated in Figure 1, which shows neutron spectra results at midplane, the edge of the core, and the below-core basket. The agreement is reasonable (20 to 30%) when comparing the in-core positions with calculated spectra. However, at out-of-core positions, the disagreement becomes progressively worse, as seen in earlier experiments."

Sample/ Level-Pin	Ht, cm	⁵⁴ Fe(n,p) ⁵⁴ Mn (xE-11)	⁵⁸ Fe(n,γ) ⁵⁹ Fe (xE-11)	⁵⁹ Co(n,γ) ⁶⁰ Co ^a (xE-10)
M63 8-D1	122.7	0.001 34	0.323	1.89
M57 6-El	75.2	0.041 8	0.914	3.71
M56 5-E2	41.7	1.03	2.63	2.51
M62 5-E2	41.9	I.02	2.64	3.22
M54 4-A3	20.0	2.66	3.89	2.42
M53 3-A3	-2.2	3.12	4.60	2.80
M60 3-E3	-0.8	2.90	4.34	2.68
M52 I-AI	-42.1	1.79	3.60	6.12
M59 1-D2	-42.1	0.459	0.852	5.52
M51 BC-A4	-67.I	0.141	3.39	18.0

Table 1 - Activation Measurements in MOTA-IE (atoms/atom-s) (Values normalized to 282.48 MW; bumup corrections included for Co)

Table 2 • Activation Measurements in MOTA-1E (atoms/atom-s) (Values normalized to 282.48 MW)

Sample/	Ht. cm	⁴⁶ Sc(n,γ) ⁴⁶ Sc	⁴⁶ Ti(n,p) ⁴⁶ Sc	⁵⁸ Ni(n,p) ⁵⁸ Co	⁶³ Cu(n,α) ⁶⁰ Co
Level-Pin		(xE-10)	(xE-12)	(xE-11)	(xE-13)
M57 6-El M56 5-E2 M54 4-A3 M53 3-A3 M52 I-AI M51 BC-A4	75.2 41.7 20.0 -2.2 -42.1 -67.1	0.259 0.718 1.05 1.37 1.09 1.06	0.048 1.65 3.03 4.09 2.27 0.161	0.0632 1.94 3.86 4.60 2.45 0.197	0.800 1.62 1.97 1.05 0.0742

Table 3 - Fission Reaction Measurements in MOTA-1E (fission/atom-s) (Values normalized to 282.48 MW; burnup corrections included)

Sample/	Ht, cm	²³⁷ Np(n,f)	²³⁵ U(n,f)	²³⁹ Pu(n,f)
Level-Pin		(xE-9)	(xE-9)	(xE-9)
M57 6-El M56 5-E2 M54 4-A3 M53 3-A3 M52 I-AI M51 BC-A4	75.2 41.7 20.0 -2.2 -42.1 -67.1	0.0674 0.795 1.70 1.99 1.12	1.64 4.85 7.87 9.44 6.66 6.05	1.47 4.41 7.85 9.30 6.20 6.01

Damage calculations were performed at all spectral positions using the SPECTER computer code.' Dpa rates for iron are shown in Table 4 as well as the ratio of dpa per 10^{22} n/cm² fast flux above 0.1 MeV. Damage parameters for 40 other elements and several compounds have been calculated and will be made available on request.

Capsule/ Position	Ht, cm	Total Fluence xE+22 n/cm ²	Fast Fluence (>.1 MeV) xE+22 nlcm'	Iron dpa	Ratio dpa Fluence(>0.1)	SS* dpa
M63 8-D1 M57 6-E1 M56 5-E2 M54 4-A3 M53 3-A3 M60 3-E3 M52 I-AI M51 BC-A4	-2.2 -0.8 -42.1 -67.1	14.4 13.6 8.64 3.79	0.045 0.52 4.12 8.56 10.23 9.51 5.50 1.81	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 1.9\\ 16.8\\ 35.1\\ 41.8\\ 39.0\\ 22.9\\ 6.3\end{array}$	3.7 3.7 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.1 4.2 3.5	0.18 2.0 17.4 36.3 43.3 40.4 23.7 6.6

Table 4 • Neutron Fluence and Displacement Damage for MOTA-IE

'Stainless steel - Fe-18Cr-8Ni



Fig. 1. Adjusted neutron flux spectra are shown at axial positions of 3.1 cm (dotted line), -42.1 cm (dashed line), and -67.1 cm (solid line). Note the drop in fast flux and increase in low-energy flux at out-of-core locations.



Fig. 2. Activity rates are shown for the 54 Fe $(n,\gamma){}^{54}$ Mn and 59 Co $(n,\gamma){}^{60}$ Co reactions as a function of axial location in the MOTA-1E assembly.

The flux and damage gradients at other positions can be found from the activity gradient data in Table 1 which are shown in Figure 2. Note that the ${}^{59}Co(n,\gamma)$ reaction rate peaks outside of the core since it is sensitive to the lower-energy neutrons. The unusual behavior of the Co reaction is due to **cross** section resonance effects. Fast fluence and dpa gradients from Table 4 are plotted in Figure 3. The apparent scatter in the data is caused by the radial flux gradients, **as** discussed below.

Close examination of the activity data indicates the presence of radial flux and spectral gradients. The letters A to F under the position column in Tables 1-4 indicate the radial position at the height indicated. The MOTA basket has six radial positions (A to F); hence, for example, A and D are the furthest apart while A and B or F are adjacent. The maximum radial flux differences are on the order of 10%; however, we also see clear indications of spectral differences in the ratio of the fast flux to the ⁵⁴Fe(n,p) activity rate.

FUTURE WORK

Reanalysis of the MOTA-1A, 1B, IC, and ID irradiations is in progress. Comparisons will then be made for all MOTA irradiations to date. Analysis of the MOTA-2B experiment is in progress. Dosimetry work is also in progress for a variety of US/Japanese experiments in the High Flux Isotopes Reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.



Fig. 3. Fast fluence $(x10^{22}n/cm^2, >0.1 \text{ MeV})$ and dpa values in iron are shown **as** a function of height in the MOTA-IE assembly.

REFERENCES

- L. R. Greenwood and L. S. Kellogg, Neutron Dosimeby for the MOTA-2A Experiment in FFTF, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report*, DOWER-0313/12, pp.49-53, March 1992.
- 2. L. R. Greenwood and L. S. Kellogg, Neutron Dosimetry for the MOTA-1F Experiment in FFTF, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report*, DOWER-031319, pp. 31-36 (1990).
- 3. L. R. Greenwood and L. S. Kellogg, Neutron Dosimetry for the MOTA-1G Experiment in FFTF, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report*, DOWER-0313/14, in press.
- 4. F. G. Perey, Least Squares Dosimetry Unfolding: The Program STAY'SL, ORNLITM-6062 (1977).
- 5. L. R. Greenwood and R. K. Smither, SPECTER Neutron Damage Calculations for Materials Irradiations, ANLIFPP-TM-197, January 1985.

PUBLICATIONS

- L. R. Greenwood, F. A. Garner, and D. J. Edwards, *Calculation of Transmutation in Copper and Comparison with Measured Electrical Properties*, Presented at the Eighth ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry, Vail, CO, August 29-September 3, 1993, submitted for publication in ASTM-STP 1228.
- 2. L. R. Greenwood and E A. Garner, Transmutation of Mo, Re, W, Hf, and V in Various Irradiation Test Facilities and STARFIRE, presented **at** the International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials 6, Stresa, Italy, September 27-October 1, 1993, submitted for publication in the *Journal* of *Nuclear Materials*.
- 3. L. R. Greenwood, F. A. Garner, and B. M. Oliver, An Assessment of the ⁵⁹Ni Isotopic Tailoring Technique to Study the Influence of Helium/dpa Ratio, ibid.

Transmutation of Mo, **Re**, W, Hf, and V in Various Irradiation Test Facilities and STARFIRE L. R. Greenwood and F. A. Gamer, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)"

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the impact of transumtation on fission-fusion correlations.

SUMMARY

With the exception of V and Cu alloys, the formation of solid transmutants has not been thought to play a large role in the response of metals to irradiation. **For** a number of refractory elements, however, it appears that very large levels of solid transmutation can occur both in fission and fusion spectra. The phase stability and other properties of alloys can be strong functions of the changing composition. The spectral sensitivity of transmutation also poses some difficulty in correlating data from various neutron spectra. Transmutation of Mo, Re, W, Hf and V have been calculated for the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF), the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR), and the STARFIRE fusion reactor. Results show that Re and W undergo sizeable transmutation in these spectra, and may limit the use of these elements in fusion reactor materials. Vanadium and hafnium have high transmutation rates in HFIR and may require spectral tailoring.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Various refractory elements have been proposed for fusion reactor applications, either as major refractory alloy components, **as** substitute elements in austenitic alloys to achieve low-activation goals, or as additions to other composite materials. Various Mo-base alloys, such as Mo-Re, have been suggested for structural and high heat flux applications.'.' Tungsten is currently being used **as** a substitute solute for Mo in ferritic steels,³⁻⁵ W or W-Re alloys have been suggested as armor materials for plasma-facing components,^{6,7} and Cu-W composites have been irradiated in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) and High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR).⁹ Hafnium oxide **is** currently being used to strengthen Cu alloys. Vanadium has been proposed **as** a low-activation strengthener for ferritic alloys³⁻⁵ and V-base alloys are serious contenders for structural **applications**.^{10,11} It is now apparent that the formation of solid transmutation products will play a role **as** large or larger than gaseous transmutants in the performance of these materials.

Transmutation Calculations

The transmutation calculations required several steps, including the determination of the principal nuclear reactions and subsequent decays, extraction of nuclear cross sections from ENDF/B-V or VI," spectral averaging of each cross section, and numerical calculations for lengthy irradiations. In most cases, the accuracy is estimated at 10 to 20%, due both to uncertainties in the adjusted neutron spectra and in the evaluated neutron activation cross sections. Second-order transmutation of the primary reaction products into secondary or tertiary products was often neglected. This is generally a good assumption unless either

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

the primary transmutation is quite high (above 1 at%), and/or the product isotope has a very high transmutation rate.

In cases where reaction data were not available from ENDF/B-V or VI, reaction rates were estimated from similar better-known reactions. Calculations were performed for FFTF at the midplane and the middle of the MOTA-IG below-core canister (-66 cm) at a reactor power of **291** MW.'' The High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) calculations are mainly for the Peripheral Target Position at midplane with the reactor operating at **85** MW.¹⁴ For V, HFIR calculations were also performed for the removable beryllium (RB) positions, with and without a hafnium liner to suppress thermal neutrons.'' The STARFIRE calculations are for the first wall position at a wall loading of **3.8** MW/m².¹⁶ Neutron fluences and dpa values are listed in Table 1. All dpa values are quoted for iron to simplify comparison of different elements in the same spectra. Table **2** lists dpa conversion factors for some of these elements in all spectra; however, dpa calculations are not available for Re or Hf. For calculations of dpa for alloys with significantly different masses or displacement threshold values, it may not be sufficient to use a linear combination of the elemental dpa values.

Table 1. Transmutation in FFTF, HFIR. and STARFIRE (Values are listed in at??. unless noted **a** ppm)

Full Power Days:	FFTF N 244	Iidplane 833	FFTF B1 244	ow Core 833	Midplane 365 FPD	E 365 FPD
Eluence, x 10 ²³ Ipa, Fe	1.0 30	3.4 100	0.30 4.4	1.02 14.5	27.	8 42.
Molybdenum: ⁹⁹ Tc	0.24	0.76	0.17	0.62	0.49	0.35
Ru	0.07	0.24	0.05	0.17	0.64	0.14
94 Nb, appm:	0.02	0.07	0.00 1	0.00 3	0.1 1	1900.
Zr, appm:	2.0	6.8	0.08	0.27	9.1	29.
⁸⁹ Y, appm:				•		9.
Vanadium: Cr	0.15	0.5 I	0.11	0.39	19.3	0.66
Ti, appm					71.	190.
Mn, appm					260.	
Fe, appm					31.	-

Element	FFTF (Midplane)	FFTF (Below Core)	HFIR (Midplane)	STARFIRE
Fe	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
v	1.34	1.56	1.28	1.08
Мо	0.88	1.04	0.72	0.68
W	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.29

Table 2. Comparison of Normalized dpa Rates for Various Spectra

Some of the highest transmutation rates can be significantly moderated by neutron self-shielding, especially in thick structures. In HFIR, the rates are *so* large that this **is** a moot point; however, many of the Re and W isotopes will eventually bum up anyway, thus having the same net long-term effect in changing the composition. In FFTF, neutron self-shielding is not so important; however, some of the resonance region reactions will be moderated and this should be explored in more detail. The nearby presence of other experiments containing strong neutron moderators (e.g., Cd, Gd, B, Li, etc.) would also reduce the transmutation **rates** of these isotopes due to flux depression effects.

The results for Re, W, and Hf are shown in Figures 1-3. Results for Mo and V are listed in Table 1. Detailed notes follow for each of the elements.

Molvbdenum

The principal transmutation reactions in HFIR and FFTF for Mo are the (n,γ) capture reactions on ⁹⁸Mo to ⁹⁹Mo (2.7 days), which decays to ⁹⁹Tc (200,000 years), and on ¹⁰⁰Mo to ¹⁰¹Mo (15 minutes), which decays to ¹⁰¹Ru. Capture reactions on the other Mo isotopes lead to other stable Mo isotopes. Weaker reactions considered include (n,p) and (n,α) . Many of the (n,p) reactions produce short-lived products that decay back to other Mo isotopes. However, ⁹⁴Mo(n,p)⁹⁴Nb (20,000 years) and ⁹²Mo(n,p)⁹²Nb (10 days), which decays to ⁹²Zr, must also be considered. The (n,α) reactions to Zr were included for all of the Mo isotopes except that ⁹²Mo produces ⁸⁹Zr (3.3 days), which decays to ⁸⁹Y and ⁹⁸Mo and ¹⁰⁰Mo have products which decay back to other Mo isotopes. For Mo, the only significant second-order transmutation is that ⁹⁹Tc will partially transmute to ¹⁰⁰Ru in HFIR. In STARFIRE, the 14-MeV neutron flux produces transmutation via (n,2n) reactions. The most important reactions lead to ⁹⁹Tc and ⁹¹Nb with some further neutron capture to Ru.

Rhenium

Both ¹⁸⁵Re and ¹⁸⁷Re have large (n,γ) reaction rates leading to significant transmutation in all three facilities. Both reactions lead to Os where further capture reactions only lead to other stable *Os* isotopes. All of the (n,p) reactions lead to short-lived tungsten isotopes that decay back to Re. The (n,α) reactions produce small amounts of ¹⁸²W and ¹⁸⁴W. In STARFIRE, the (n,2n) reactions lead to ¹⁸⁶Os and ¹⁸⁴W.

Tungsten

Tungsten has three isotopes that produce significant transmutation via (n,γ) reactions. The isotopes ¹⁸⁴W and ¹⁸⁶W have large reactions rates leading to Re. Much of the Re produced will, in turn, transmute to Os, especially in HFIR. The isotope ¹⁸⁰W produces ¹⁸¹W (121 days) which decays to ¹⁸¹Ta. During a long







Fig. 2. Transmutation of hafnium to tantalum,tungsten, and lutetium in various irradiation facilities.



Fig. 3. Transmutation of rhenium to osmium in various irradiation facilities. Production of tungsten is also shown for STARFIRE; however, tungsten production is negligible in HFIR and FFTF.

irradiation, ¹⁸¹Ta will transmute to ¹⁸²Ta (114 days) which decays to ¹⁸²W. The isotope ¹⁸¹W may also transmute directly to ¹⁸²W. The abundance of ¹⁸⁰W is only 0.12%, so this reaction is not very important. The net effect thus depends on the details of the irradiation. Burnup is also severe for ¹⁸²W and ¹⁸³W. In these cases, ¹⁸²W captures to ¹⁸³W, which in turn captures to ¹⁸⁴W, thus increasing the fraction of ¹⁸⁴W, which in turn transmutes to ¹⁸⁵Re and so on. It is also necessary to include the radioactive decay of three isotopes, namely, ¹⁸¹W (121 days to ¹⁸¹Ta); ¹⁸²Ta (114 days to ¹⁸²W); and ¹⁸⁵W (75 days to ¹⁸⁵Re). All of these will continue to decay after the irradiation, leading to significant changes in composition after reactor shutdown. The (n,p) reactions on W all lead to products that eventually decay back to W, **as** do many of the (n, α) reaction channels. Small amounts (< 1 appm) of Ta and Hf will be produced; however, these reactions are not well-known and have thus been neglected. For W in STARFIRE, the (n,2n) reactions mostly lead to other stable W isotopes, except for ¹⁸⁰W that leads to ¹⁷⁹Ta (1.8 years) which decays to ¹⁷⁹Hf. The abundance of ¹⁸⁰W is *so* low that this effect is negligible.

Hafnium

The principal transmutation reactions are (n,γ) capture reactions on the major hafnium isotopes to Ta, W, and Lu. Hafnium has rather substantial thermal and epithermal neutron cross sections, and this material has been used as a neutron absorber in the HFIR spectral tailoring experiments. Some of the highest cross sections are for isotopes like ¹⁷⁷Hf; however, this transmutes to ¹⁷⁸Hf, which is also stable. However, eventually, most of the isotopes burn up to ¹⁸⁰Hf (originally 35.1% abundant), which then transmutes to radioactive ¹⁸¹Hf (42.4 days). This decays to ¹⁸¹Ta, which rapidly transmutes to ¹⁸²Ta (114 days) which in turn decays to ¹⁸²W. At low fluences, the main end product is ¹⁸¹Ta. However, in HFIR the burnup is so rapid that after about 1.5 years, ¹⁸²W is the main product, and at 2 years most of the Hf has been totally consumed! The figures show the W and Ta transmutants at the end of a continuous irradiation. About half of the W will eventually decay to Ta. ¹⁸²Ta is the source of many high energy gammas and will contribute the majority of the residual activity in Hf-doped samples. Other transmutants are Lu,

formed from the decay of ¹⁷⁵Hf (70 days), and Yb, produced at the appm level by both (n,p) and (n,α) reactions. In about a year after the end of irradiation, ¹⁷⁵Hf decays to ¹⁷⁵Lu. For STARFIRE, the only important additional reaction is ¹⁷⁶Hf(n,2n)¹⁷⁵Hf(70 days)¹⁷⁵Lu. Due to the low abundance of ¹⁷⁶Hf(5.2%), this is not the major effect, and Lu production is weaker than W and Ta.

Vanadium

Vanadium results are listed in Table 1 and shown in Figure 4. The principal transmutation reaction for vanadium is ${}^{51}V(n,\gamma){}^{52}V$, which promptly decays to ${}^{52}Cr$. In HFIR, further captures on Cr isotopes eventually lead to ${}^{55}Mn$ and ${}^{56}Fe$. In STARFIRE, the (n,2n) reaction leads to ${}^{49}V$ (337 days) which eventually decays to ${}^{49}Ti$, and the (n, α) reaction produces ''Sc which rapidly decays to ''Ti. It is important to note that in V-Cr alloys Cr bums back to V, thereby reducing the overall loss of V.



Fig. 4. Transmutation of vanadium to chromium in HFIR. The rates are shown for the PTP, RB, and RB with Hf thermal neutron shield positions.

Materials irradiations in HFIR are currently being performed in the RE? positions outside of the core, both with and without a hafnium shield to suppress thermal neutrons. The neutron spectral effects of the RB versus the PTP position are illustrated for the transmutation of V in figure 4. Without the Hf shield, transmutation per dpa is higher than in the central target region of HFIR. The Hf shield reduces V transmutation about a factor of four; however, the production of Cr is still significant.

Results and Discussion

The transmutation calculations show high transmutation rates for Re to Os and for W to Re and **Os**. In a mixed spectrum reactor like HFIR, some of the isotopes are totally consumed in only a few months of irradiation. For example, ¹⁸⁵Re is consumed in HFIR at the rate of **3.3%** per day! The isotopes of ¹⁸⁰W, ¹⁸⁶W and ¹⁸⁷W are consumed at a rate of more than 1% per day. Vanadium and Hf also have significant transmutation rates in HFIR and STARFIRE,.

Gamer et al.¹⁷ and Abe et al.¹⁸ have recently ascribed the severe degradation of irradiated Mo-Re alloys to the combined effects of irradiation-induced precipitation and substantial alloying of these materials with solid transmutation products, which were shown in these papers not only to form at high rates, but also to be very spectrally sensitive. This makes it difficult to compile and compare data from different reactor spectra. A similar problem exists for W alloys or for alloys containing W as a substitute for Mo to achieve low-activation goals. Comparable irradiations of such alloys in HFIR and FFTF for instance, may not lead to an unambiguous comparison. While solid transmutation is strong in Re and W in most spectra, the largest transmutation rates are in general found in HFIR, necessitating the use of spectral tailoring to conduct successful experiments.

Transmutation calculations for W and W-Re alloys by Forty, et al.¹⁹ are in good agreement with our results. This work further explores the effects of neutron self-shielding, which would substantially reduce the transmutation rates, especially in HFIR and STARFIRE. Such effects are difficult to calculate since they are highly dependent on sample geometry, alloy composition, irradiation history, and the presence of adjacent materials and hence are beyond the scope of this work.

REFERENCES

- I. V. Gorynin, V. A. Ignatov, V. V. Rybin, S. A. Fabritsiev, V. A. Kazakov, V. P. Chakin, V. A. Tsykanov, V. R. Barabash, and Y.G. Prokofyev, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 421-425.
- 2. S. A. Fabritsiev, V. A. Gosudarenkova, V. A. Potapova, V. V. Rybin, L. S. Kosachev, V. P. Chakin, A. S. Pokrovsky, and V. R. Barabash, J Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 426-429.
- 3. D. S. Gelles, Reduced Activation Materials for Fusion Reactors, ASTM-STP 1047 (1990) 113-129.
- 4. F. Abe, T. Noda, H. Araki, and M. Okada, ibid. p. 130-139
- 5. R. Kleuh and P. J. Maziasz, ibid. p. 140-158
- 6. A. Tobin, J Nucl. Muter. 85-86 (1979) 197-201.
- D. Murphy, S. Warren, and G. J. Butterworth, *Fusion Engineering and Design* 22 (1993) 379-392.
- F. A. Gamer, M. L. Hamilton, D. J. Edwards, B. N. Singh, J. F. Stubbins, T. Shikama, S. J. Zinkle, and P. Samal, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report* DOE/ER-0313/10 (1991) 186-191.
- 9. I. Smid, K. Satob, M. Akiba, M. Araki, M. Dairaku, E. Kny, K. Nakamura, S. Suzuki, and K. Yokoyama, proceedings of ICFRM-6, in press.
- 10. B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J Nucl. Muter. 191-194 (1992) 84-91
- 11. D. R. Harries, ibid. pp. 92-99
- 12. Evaluated Nuclear Data File, National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory.

- 13. L. R. Greenwood and L. S. Kellogg, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report*, DOEIER-0313114 (1993) in press.
- 14. L. R. Greenwood, Alloy Development for Irradiation Performance Semiannual Progress Report, DOE/ER-0045/11 (1983) 30-37.
- 15. L. R. Greenwood, ibid., DOE/ER-0045/16 (1986) 17-24
- 16. STARFIRE: Commercial Tokamak Fusion Power Plant Study, ANL/FPP-80-1 (1980).
- 17. F. A. Gamer, L. R. Greenwood, and D. J. Edwards, in this semiannual report
- 18. K. Abe, M. Satou, and F. A. Gamer, *Annual Progress Report for Fusion Year 1992*. FFTFIMOTA Japan US. Collaboration, in press.
- 19. C. B. A. Forty, G. J. Butterworth, and J-Ch. Sublet, proceedings of ICFRM-6, in press.

3.0 MATERIALS ENGINEERING AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

No contributions.

4.0 FUNDAMENTAL MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR

5.0 RADIATION EFFECTS, MECHANISTIC STUDIES, THEORY AND MODELING

MOLECULAR DYNAMICS CALCULATIONS OF DEFECT ENERGETICS IN β -SiC^{*} -- Hanchen Huang and Nasr Ghoniem (Mechanical, Aerospace and Nuclear Engineering Department, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1597, USA)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study is to establish data base of defect energetics in beta silicon carbide, which is of critical importance for defect evolution and in turn determines the material's response to radiation damage.

SUMMARY

The Molecular Dynamics (MD) method is used to calculate defect energetics in **P**silicon carbide. Many body interaction effects in this covalent material **are** accounted for by using a hybrid of two body and three-body potentials. Pearson's potential is modified to accurately fit sublimation energy of β -SiC. Inter-atomic potentials among silicon, carbon, and helium atoms are also constructed based on available data and reasoning. A microcrystal is constructed to represent the computational cell and external forces are applied to the micro-crystal so that it behaves as a part of an infinite medium. Potential energy for the unperturbed computational cell is first calculated. The cell is then set at a defect configuration and relaxed, and the potential energy of the relaxed cell is calculated. The difference between the potential energy of the unperturbed cell and that of the defectcontaining cell is used to calculate formation energies of point defects, defect clusters and helium-filled vacancy clusters in SiC. Binding energies, and migration energies of the point defects are then deduced. Re-exponential factors of point defect diffusion coefficients are calculated from potential profile obtained. Calculated activation energies and preexponential factors of thermal diffusion through vacancy mechanism are compared to experimental data. Good agreement backups our construction of potential functions and MD calculations.

Work supported by the Office f Fusion Energy, US DOE under grant DE-FE03-91ER54115

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Defect energetics in covalent materials have not yet been studied by the Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation technique. Several approximate calculations were performed for vacancy formation energies[1-61 in germanium, diamond, silicon, and silicon-carbide. These studies can be classified into two categories. The first one[1,2,3] employed a Morse-type potential and made several approximations about the relaxation of atoms neighboring a vacancy. Shortcomings of this approach are: (1) it does not fully relax the defected lattice, and (2) it does not consider surface distortion associated with forming a vacancy. The second approach[4,5,6], however, considered the elecuonic structure of a defected lattice and omitted lattice relaxation. Since a defected lattice does relax, this approach shouldn't be able to give reasonable results. Surprisingly, results of both approaches are in **good** agreement with experimental **data**.

In the present numerical simulations, an empirically calibrated potential function given by Pearson et al[8] is modified to accurately fit experimental sublimation energy of β -SiC. Many-body effects are taken into account by employing a phenomenological three-body potential. Inter-atomic potential functions among silicon, carbon, and helium atoms are also developed. Using the constructed potential functions, we study defect energetics in β -SiC by the Molecular Dynamics simulation technique. When an atom is put on a crystal's surface, some bonds will be formed. Meanwhile, there will also be surface distortion. This effect is included in the present computation.

Nuclear reactor components are affected by neutron irradiation. Changes of their macroscopic properties are determined not only by point defect production rate but also by defect evolution processes. In order to understand the defect evolution processes, defect energetics have to be studied first. Generally, there are several possible defect cluster configurations. One factor that determines the defect configuration is its formation energy. Study of defect binding energies can also help **us** to determine importance of backward reactions with respect to forward reactions. These results are critical to defect clustering processes to be studied later.

MD Simulation

The Molecular Dynamics simulation method is well established. Calibrating interatomic potential functions and constructing a representative computational cell are generally the two most important considerations when the MD simulation technique is applied to a variety of problems.

Inter-atomic Potentials in Silicon Carbide

Two-body potentials have been widely used for metals (e.g., ref 9). When one considers a covalent material (e.g., SiC), directional dependent interactions become important. A many-body potential appropriate for this purpose has been developed by Born and Oppenheimer[7], and is given in the form:

$$\Phi_{i}(\underline{r}_{1},\underline{r}_{2},\ldots,\underline{r}_{n}) = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{j\neq i} V^{(2)}(\underline{r}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{k\neq} \sum_{j\neq i} V^{(3)}(\underline{r}_{ij},\underline{r}_{ik},\underline{r}_{jk}) + \ldots + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{q\neq} \ldots \sum_{m\neq} \sum_{m\neq} V^{(n)}(\underline{r}_{ij},\ldots,\underline{r}_{iq},\ldots,\underline{r}_{mq})$$
(1)

To make this many-body potential usable in practice, Pearson et al[8] truncated the expansion up to the three-body level. For SiC, they combined the Lennard-Jones two-bcdy potential[9] and Axilrod-Teller three-body potential[10] in the form:

$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}} \left| \mathbf{n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{R}_{o}}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}} \right)^{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{m} \left(\frac{\mathbf{R}_{o}}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}} \right)^{\mathbf{n}} \right|$$
(2)

$$\mathbf{V}^{(3)}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ij},\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ik},\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{jk}) = \mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1+3\cos\theta_{ij}\cos\theta_{ik}\cos\theta_{jk}}{\left(\mathbf{r}_{ij}\mathbf{r}_{ik}\mathbf{r}_{jk}\right)^3}\right]$$
(3)

where the energy parameters (E, Z) and the two-body structure parameters ($\mathbf{m}_{,\mathbf{r}}$, \mathbf{R}_{O}) were adjusted to fit experimental data for bulk solid and atomic clusters (sublimation energies and bond length). A set of potential parameters is given by Pearson et al[8]. Unfortunately, the calculated Si-C sublimation energy (15.409 eV) with their parameters is at discrepancy with corresponding experimental data (12.865 eV) for β -SiC. Since defect formation energies are generally on the order of several eV, higher accuracy of the potential function is required. To improve this fit and keep other constants unchanged, we modify the Z parameter for the Si-C-C system. The Si-Si-C and Si-C-C systems are symmetrical with respect to silicon and carbon atoms. Assuming that combution of a carbon atom to three-body interaction is underestimated, we multiply Z_{Si-C-C} by a factor f and $Z_{Si-Si-C}$ by $\frac{f}{2}$. The factor f is adjusted to fit the experimental sublimation energy of β -SiC. With this simple procedure, we derive the following potential function parameters:

Inter-atomic Potentials among He, C, and Si Atoms

The interaction between closed shell atoms is dominated by a Van der Waals mechanism. Using a perturbation method, Slater et al[11] calculated inter-atomic potential function between two helium atoms (V_{He-He}). Their calculated result of polarizability is close to that measured experimentally, and their potential function can be written **as:**

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{He-He}} = -\frac{0.91}{r^6} \mathrm{eV} \tag{4}$$

The Van der Waals potential is proportional to the polarizability of participating atoms[21]. Polarizability data for silicon or carbon atom is not available while that for noble gas atoms is available. Since a carbon atom has fewer electrons than a neon atom, it should have a smaller polarizability. On the other hand, a carbon atom has an open electronic structure while a neon atom has a closed shell configuration. A carbon atom should therefore have larger polarizability than a neon atom. As a first order approximation, the polarizability of a carbon atom (α_{s_i}). Likewise, the polarizability of a silicon atom (α_{s_i}) is taken as equal to that of a neon atom (α_{a_r}).

According to Slater et al[11], the polarizability of a helium atom $(a_{,,})_{a}$ neon atom (α_{Ne}) , and an argon atom $(a_{,})$ are 0.205×10^{-24} cm³, 0.39×10^{-24} cm³, and 1.65×10^{-24} cm³, respectively. The Van der Waals potential between helium and carbon

atoms (V_{He-C}) can then be derived from the helium-helium Van der Waals potential and is written as:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{He-C}} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{C}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{He}}}\right) \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{He-He}}$$
(5)

Similar expression holds for the helium-silicon Van der Waals potential (V_{He-Si}). The two-body potential for Si-He or C-He system can be written in the same form as for Si-C system. The equilibrium distance is approximated as the sum of the atomic radii of interacting atoms. When bonds are formed, the atomic radii of helium, carbon, and silicon atoms are 0.93 Å, 0.77 Å, and 1.11 Å, respectively[12].

Since the three-body Van der Waals potential is also proportional to the polarizability of each participating atom[22], the potential function parameter for Si-Si-He system can be derived from that of silicon and carbon systems, and is written **as:**

$$Z_{\text{si-Si-He}} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{He}}}{\alpha_{\text{He}}}} Z_{\text{si-Si-Si}} \frac{\alpha_{\text{He}}}{\alpha_{\text{C}}} Z_{\text{si-Si-C}}$$
(6)

Similar expressions hold for Z_{C-C-He} and $Z_{si-C-He}$. In these expressions, differences in covalent and Van der Waals interactions are not included. A three-body system containing a helium atom is less directionally dependent than that containing silicon and carbon atoms alone. In other words, participation of helium atoms reduces the covalent nature of the three-body system. We found that a reduction of energy by a factor of two will give reasonable results (i.e., helium stabilizes a silicon vacancy and has positive interstitial formation energy). The two-body and three-body potential parameters involving a helium atom **are** summarized as:

(m, n) value: (12, 6) E value(eV): (Si-He)=0.0677, (C-He)=0.2193 $R_0 \text{ value}(Å)$: (Si-He)=2.04, (C-He)=1.70 $Z \text{ value}(eVÅ^9)$:(Si-Si-He)=78.3, (Si-C-He)=32.4, (C-C-He)=14.9

Construction of a Computational Cell

A computational cell consists of inner atoms and boundary atoms. The thickness of boundary layer atoms is larger than the effective range of interactions in the crystal. The boundary consists of a hybrid of fixed and flexible atoms. Due to the cutoff of interatomic interactions, a net force on an atom in a perfect crystal is not zero. External forces are applied to lattice atoms to balance these net forces. **A** vacancy is created by moving an inner lattice atom to the crystal's surface. **A** vacancy cluster is created by moving several neighboring atoms to the crystal's surfaces. These atoms **are** located far away from each other on the surfaces, so that mutual interactions do not take place. **An** antisite defect is formed by replacing a silicon (or carbon) atom by a carbon (or silicon) atom. **A** cavity consisting of a vacancy and a helium atom is formed by: (1) creating a vacancy, and (2) filling the vacancy by a helium atom. When an extra atom is added to the lattice, an interstitial is formed. Two tetrahedral interstitial configurations are the most favorable, one is that surrounded by four carbon lattice atoms (T_C) and the other is that surrounded by four silicon lattice atom (T_{Si}).

A computer code for solving these coupled equations was developed at UCLA[13]. The standard Leap-frog numerical method was used in solving the set of coupled differential equations. In the simulation process, a velocity component is quenched to zero whenever it is in the opposite direction to the corresponding acceleration component.

Defect Energetics

Potential energy of the computational cell is calculated before any defect is introduced. The computational cell is then set at a defect configuration and the increased potential energy is calculated. Relaxation of the defected lattice results in an energy decrease. Net increase of the potential energy from a perfect configuration to a relaxed defected configuration is formation energy of the defect. Clustering of two defects usually results in decrease of their total potential energy. This decrease is **binding** energy of the two defects. The relaxation of lattice atoms surrounding a silicon single vacancy is shown in figure (1), where it is clearly shown that the four nearest neighbors of the vacancy undergo the largest displacement from their equilibrium positions. The magnitude of this relaxation decreases rapidly with distance away from the vacancy. Relaxation of nearest neighbors to a silicon vacancy and that of those to a carbon vacancy tend to form bonds. When a silicon vacancy is created, the four nearest neighbors relax significantly. On the other hand, the nearest neighbors of a carbon vacancy don't relax as much, because of the geometrical asymmetry of silicon and carbon atoms.



Fig 1. Relaxation field of lattice around a silicon vacancy. The length of the lines corresponds to the manitude of displacement.



Fig 2. Relaxation of the nearest neighbors around (a) a silicon vacancy, and (b) a carbon vacancy

When a defect migrates, it must cross a potential barrier. Formation energies of the defect at an equilibrium position (E^{eq}) and a saddle point position (E^{sad}) can be calculated in the same way as for defect formation energies. The migration energy of a defect can then be deduced as the difference of E^{sad} and E.

It is found that T_C is energetically the most favorable interstitial position. The most favorable path of interstitial migration is $T_C \Rightarrow T_{Si} \Rightarrow (\text{another } T_C)$. The most favorable path for a silicon vacancy (V_{Si}) is $V_{Si} \Rightarrow T_{Si} \Rightarrow (\text{nearest silicon lattice})$. Similarly, a carbon vacancy migrates through a T_C . If we assume that the potential profile along the migration path, E(x), can be approximated by a parabola, the Debye frequency (v) and diffusion coefficient (D) of the defect can be calculated as[23]:

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{d^2 E}{dx^2} \right)_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{eq}} \right]^{1/2}$$
(7)
$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \left[\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{d^2 E}{dx^2} \right)_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{eq}} \right]^{1/2}$$
(8)

$$D = \frac{1}{6} \lambda^2$$
 (8)

where $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a_0$ is jump distance and $\delta = 12$ is number of the nearest identical neighbors for the jumping atom or defect. a_0 is the lattice constant.

For SiC, thermal diffusion data has been documented by Ghoshtagore et al[14], Hong et al[15,16] and Hon et al[17,18]. A vacancy diffusion mechanism is strongly suggested by the experimental data[14-18]. It is found that acceptor dopants always occupy Si-sites[19,20]. Hong et al[15,16] found that n-doping increases Si diffusivity and decreases C diffusivity. These results imply that Si atom diffuses through Si vacancies while C atom diffuses through C vacancies. Based on these experimental evidences, we can take the measured activation energy as the sum of vacancy formation energy and vacancy migration energy. The pre-exponential factors for self-diffusion will therefore correspond to those of vacancy diffusion coefficients.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The calculated defect energetics data for point defects are summarized in table 1 and those for extended defects are summarized in table 2. From the calculated results, several salient conclusions can be made:

(1) The surface distortion energy is found to have **an** important contribution to defect energetics calculation.

(2) A silicon atom can be spontaneously replaced by a carbon atom from the energy point of view. This means that more carbon atoms may be present in non-stoichiometric cases.

(3) Atoms surrounding a silicon vacancy undergo substantial relaxation while those surrounding a carbon vacancy do not relax as much. This is due to the size asymmetry of silicon and carbon atoms

(4) The sum of calculated formation energy and migration energy of a vacancy agrees quite well with the corresponding experimental data on self-diffusion in SiC, indicating the validity of the modified Pearson potential.

Data		Calculated	Experimental		
Species	formation E ^f (eV)	migration E ^m (eV)	diffusion D ₀ (cm ² /s)	E ^f +E ^m (eV)	diffusion D ₀ (cm ² /s)
Si interstitial (T _C)	2.49	7.32	1.09x10-2	N/A	N/A
Si interstitial (T _{Si})	9.81	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C interstitial (T _C)	3.31	1.47	1.31x10-2	N/A	N/A
C interstitial (T _{Si})	3.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
He interstitial (T _C)	0.70	0.68	1.38x10 ⁻²	N/A	N/A
He interstitial (T _{Si})	1.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Si vacancy	3.62	7.39	1.10x10 ⁻²	9.5	8.4x107
C vacancy	2.66	6.10	1.51x10 ⁻²	8.7	2.6x10 ⁸
Antisite Si replaces C	3.66	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antisite C replaces Si	-3.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TABLE 1: Energetics of Point Defects in SiC

Data	Cal	culated	7
Data	formation	binding of last	configuration
Species	E ^f (eV)	defect (eV)	
He+vacancy	3 /3	-0.19	A helium atom fills
He replaces Si	5.45	0.17	a Si vacancy
He+vacancy	2 22	10.00	A helium atom fills
He replaces C	5.52	+0.00	a C vacancy
Divacancy	5.75	-0.53(Si/C)	Two vacancies occupy
SiC		****	nearest lattice points
Trivacancy	9.21	-0.16(Si)	Two Si vacancies enclose
<u>SiSiC</u>	7.21		one C vacancy
Trivacancy	8.22	-0.19(C)	Two C vacancies enclose
SICC			one Si vacancy
Diinterstitial	2.81	-2.17(Si)	I wo atoms occupy
5151			two nearest interstices
Diinterstitial	3.65	-2.15(Si/C)	I wo atoms occupy
SIC Dilatartitial			two nearest interstices
Dinterstitia	4.35	-2.27(C)	two nearest interstices
Triinterstitial			Three atoms form
	3.22	-2.08(Si)	a line along [110]
Triinterstitial		-2 48(C)	Three atoms form
SiSiC [110]	3.64	-2.50(Si)	a line along [110]
Triinterstitial		-1.55(C)	Three atoms form
SiCC [110]	5.41	-1.43(Si)	a line along [110]
Triinterstitial	6.05	1 (1(0)	Three atoms form
CCC [110]	0.05	-1.61(C)	a line along [110]
Triinterstitial	2.04	2.2((0))	Three atoms form
SiSiSi {100}	2.94	-2.30(31)	a {100} plane
Triinterstitial	1.07	-1.75(C)	Three atoms form
SiSiC {100}	4.37	-1.77(Si)	a {100} plane
Triinterstitial	4.07	-2.69(C)	Three atoms form
SiCC {100}	4.07	-2.57(Si)	a {100} plane
Triinterstitial	- 10	2.47(0)	Three atoms form
CCC {100}	5.19	-2.47(C)	a {100} plane
Triinterstitial	5.50	10.20(83)	Three atoms form
SiSiSi {111}	5.59	+0.29(31)	a {111} plane
Triinterstitial		-2.34(C)	Three atoms form
SiSiC {111}	3.78	-2.36(Si)	a {111} plane
Triinterstitial		-0.43(C)	Three atoms form
SiCC {111}	6.53	-0.49(Si)	a {111} plane
Triinterstitial			Three atoms form
CCC {111}	7.81	+0.15(C)	a {111} plane
. ,	I		A

 TABLE 2: Energetics of Extended Defects in SiC
(5)Helium-filled silicon vacancies are more stable as compared to unoccupied ones. The effect of helium in stabilizing vacancies is therefore important.

(6) Defects tend to cluster. A divacancy is always composed of one silicon vacancy and one carbon vacancy because the nearest neighbors are always of different types.

(7) The calculated pre-exponential factors of vacancies **are** not in **good** agreement with experimental data. This may be accounted for by the complexity of experimental conditions. In reality, many diffusion mechanisms may operate at the same time.

FUTURE WORK

The results of defect energetics will be examined by checking their dependences on inter-atomic potentials. We will study defect energetics using different inter-atomic potentials. Experimental data and ab initio calculations will be used to calibrate our calculations.

Once equiped with reliable defect energetics, we will study defect evolution in silicon carbide and correlate the microscopic evolution to its macroscopic responses to radiation damage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Special thanks are directed to Mr. A. El-Azab for passing on the MD subroutines to **us**, and to Mr. Ed Aguilar for his assistance in typing the manuscript. Support of the Office **cf** Fusion Energy, through the DOE-grant DE-FG03-91ER54115 with UCLA, is greatly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1 **R.** Swalin, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 18(1960)290
- 2 A. Scholz, Phys. Status Solidi 3(1063)43
- A. Scholz and A. Seeger, Phys. Status Solidi 3(1963)1480
- 4 C. Hwang and L. Watt, Phys. Rev. 171(1965)958
- 5 **K.** Bennemann, **Phys. Rev.** 137A(1965)1497

- 6 J. Bemholc, S. Kajhara, C. Wang, and A. Antonelli, Mater. Sci. Engr. B11(1992)265
- J. Lennard-Jones, Interatomic Forces, Special Publication No. VIII, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Calcutta, India, 1939
- 8 E. Pearson, T. Takai, T. Halicioglu and W. Tiller, J. Crystal growth 70(1984)33
- 9 G. Maitland et al, Intermolecular Forces, Oxford, 1981, Appendix 1.
- 10 B. Axilrod and E. Teller, J. Chem. Phys. 54(1971)2129
- 11 J. Slater and J. Kirwood, Phys. Rev. 37(1931)690
- 12 Table of Periodic Properties of the Elements, Sargent-Welch Scientific Company, USA, 1992
- 13 P. Chou and N. Ghoniem, **Phys. Rev.** B43(1991)2490
- 14 R. Ghoshtagore and R. Coble, Phys. Rev. 143(1966)623
- 15 J. Hong and R. Davis, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 63(1980)546
- 16 J. Hong, R. Davis and D. Newbury, J. Mater. Sci. 16(1981)2485
- 17 M. Hon and R. Davis, J. Mater. Sci. 14(1979)2411
- 18 M. Hon and R. Davis, J. Mater. Sci. 15(1980)2073
- 19 W. Choyke and L. Patrick, Phys. Rev. B2(1970)4959
- 20 Y. Vodakov and E. Mokhov, Silicon Carbide-1973, ed by R. Marshall, J. Faust Jr, and C. Ryan, University of Southern California Press, 1974,p508
- 21 D. Adams, Inorganic Solids/An Introduction to Concepts in Solid-state Structural Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, London, 1974
- 22 B. Axilrod and E. Teller, J. Chem. Phys. 11(1943)299

MODELING DEFECT PRODUCTION IN HIGH ENERGY COLLISION CASCADES - H. L. Heinisch (Pacific Northwest Laboratory'), B. N. Singh **(Risø** National Laboratory) and T. Diaz de la Rubia (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to determine the energy and temperature dependence of defect production and microstructure evolution for the development of fission-fusion correlations.

SUMMARY

A multi-model approach (MMA) to simulating defect production processes at the atomic scale is described that incorporates molecular dynamics (MD), binary collision approximation (BCA) calculations and stochastic annealing simulations. The central hypothesis of the MMA is that the simple, fast computer codes capable of simulating large numbers of high energy cascades (e.g., BCA codes) can be made to yield the correct defect configurations when their parameters are calibrated using the results of the more physically realistic MD simulations. The calibration procedure is investigated using results of MD simulations of **25** keV cascades in copper. The configurations of point defects are extracted from the MD cascade simulations at the end of the collisional phase, similar to the information obtained with a binary collision model. The MD collisional phase defect configurations are used **as** input to the ALSOME annealing simulation code, and values of the **ALSOME** quenching parameters are determined that yield the best fit to the post-quenching defect configurations of the MD simulations.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The processes of radiation damage, from the initial production of defects to the evolution of the microstructure, occur over a wide range of time and size scales. The initial displacement-producing collisions take place in the **fust** few tenths **of** a picosecond, producing nanometer-scale damage regions. The thermal spike develops and dissipates within ten picoseconds. and defect interactions continue in the cascade region for tens **of** nanoseconds. Beyond this "primary damage state," long range migration of the mobile defects causes microstructure evolution on a scale well beyond the atomic level. A single theoretical model does not exist that can encompass all elements in this range and lead to a quantitative understanding of the damage process. A good description of the primary damage state at the atomic scale can be obtained. in principle, using molecular dynamics (MD) techniques. However, the present computational limits of MD effectively prevent it from being used for simulating large numbers of high energy cascades or for following the motion of defects by thermally activated diffusion. However, an atomic-level description of the primary damage state, through the short-term annealing **stage**, can he obtained using the proposed multi-model approach (MMA).

The central hypothesis of the MMA is that simple, fast computer codes capable of simulating large numbers of high energy cascades and containing the essence of the physical aspects being modeled, such **as** binary collision approximation (BCA) calculations and stochastic annealing simulations (SAS), can he

^{*} Pacific Northwest Laboratory is **operated** for the **U.S.** Department of Energy by Battelle **Memorial** Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO **1830**

structured and calibrated to yield the correct defect configurations using the results of the computationally intense but more physically realistic MD simulations. The BCA code MARLOWE' simulates the collisional stage only. and it has been used to investigate the morphology of large numbers of high energy cascades? The SAS code ALSOME simulates the diffusion and interaction of defects. treating the defects as interacting entities and ignoring the interactions of individual atoms. In earlier work, summarized in Ref. 3, the collisional stages of cascades were simulated with MARLOWE. and the defect distributions were followed through the shon-term annealing stage with ALSOME. The considerable effects of the thermal spike were accounted for by using "quenching" values for the ALSOME parameters, detennined empirically by requiring tool and freely migrating defect yields to he similar to "measured" defect yields. Of course, defect production from individual cascades cannot be measured directly. Experimental defect yields, which are averages over time and volume, are inferred from measurements of diffusion-driven phenomena. These inferences are drawn on the basis of assumptions regarding defect production which may not necessarily be appropriate.' Although the freely migrating defect yields from individual cascades obtained in the early work compare favorably with recent MD simulations,⁵ the MMA will be more useful if it is based on a realistic description of the behavior of defects in individual cascades.

In the present work, MARLOWE and ALSOME are employed as in the earlier work, except that the quenching parameters are determined by comparison with MD results. The calibration is performed using results of MD simulations for cascades in copper of 25 keV, which is near the threshold energy for subcascade formation. The configurations of point defects are extracted from output of the MOLDYCASK⁶ MD cascade simulations at the end of the collisional stage, obtaining distributions of vacancies and displaced atoms similar to those obtained with the BCA code MARLOWE. The MD collisional stage defect configurations are used as input to ALSOME, and values of the ALSOME quenching parameters are determined that yield the best fit to the post-quenching defect configurations of the MD simulations. Thus, both the initial collisional stage configuration and the final configuration of the quenching stage come from the same MD cascade. These quenching parameter values are then used in ALSOME when applied to high energy MARLOWE BCA cascades.

Calculations

Defect Distributions in the Collisional Phase. For the quenching scheme to he useful, it is crucial that the collisional stage information extracted from MD output have vacancy-interstitial pair separations similar to those obtained in the BCA cascades. Collisional phase information was extracted from the output of the MOLDYCASK code for the two 25 kev cascades generated in copper at 10 K. As in MARLOWE, the atoms in MOLDYCASK receiving kinetic energy greater than a selected threshold energy ECUT (4.8 eV) are identified and followed over many time steps until their energies fall below a cut-off value. Their initial lattice sites are considered to be vacant sites, and the positions of the atoms where their energies fall below the cut-off are taken as the locations of the displaced atoms. In MARLOWE the cut-off energy has the same value as the threshold energy ECUT, and each displaced atom is located at the turning p i n t of its last collision. In the MOLDYCASK output, each displaced atom identified is located at the position occupied during the last recorded time step in which it had energy greater than the cut-off energy. To maintain the same average vacancy-displaced atom pair separation distributions as MARLOWE, it was necessary to follow displaced atoms in the MOLDYCASK cascades until their kinetic energy dropped well below ECUT (2 eV). It should be noted that the collisional stage configurations from MOLDYCASK have somewhat fewer defect pairs, somewhat smaller volumes. and somewhat higher vacancy densities than the average values for 100 MARLOWE cascades. but the MOLDYCASK values are within one standard deviation of the MARLOWE distributions.

Calibrating ALSOME. ALSOME is based on a simple model of defect diffusion and interaction. Point defects and defect clusters are considered to be spherical and centered on lattice sites, with radii related to their cluster size. Mobile defects. including clusters of any size if desired, move one lattice site at a

time in randomly chosen directions. The order in which the defects **are** chosen to move is determined by random selection, depending on the number of mobile defects and their assigned relative jump probabilities. Two defects interact when their separation falls within the assigned critical reaction distance for that interaction. The interaction product is placed at the target defect's lattice site. The adjustable parameters **are** the defect species (type and size) that are considered mobile (e.g., mono-, di- and mi-vacancies: mono-, di-, tri- and tetra-interstitials for normal 'annealing in this work), the relative jump probabilities for each of the mobile species, and the critical reaction distances. The annealing is modeled **as** a sequence of events rather than **as** a function of elapsed real time, and it continues until no mobile defects remain in the volume being annealed, unless some other criterion is imposed. When simulating the short-term annealing in a single cascade region, the cascade is placed at the center of a volume that is somewhat larger than the cascade in all directions. During annealing, defects escaping this volume **are** no longer followed, and they are considered to be migrating defects.

ALSOME was designed to simulate normal, thermally activated diffusion, and it is most physically correct when applied to relatively dilute concentrations of defects. It is the aim of this work to determine if ALSOME can be effectively applied to the quenching stage of high energy cascades. To be sure, the actions of defects within a thermal spike (if they could be defined during the short-lived, extremely non-equilibrium excursion above the melting temperature) cannot be simulated using the assumptions of **ALSOME**. However, it may be possible to simulate the results of those actions, i.e., the dismibution of remaining defects, by choosing ALSOME parameter values for the quenching stage that result in the required amount of clustering and annihilation in the cascade region. The anticipated success of this simple approach derives from the strong influence of the collisional stage defect configuration on the configuration after quenching.

The MD simulations show that during the quenching of a thermal **spike**, the vacancy distribution becomes concentrated toward the center of the cascade, and interstitial atoms are largely eliminated from the spike region. This is illustrated in Table 1, where the size of a defect distribution is represented by the root

	VACANCY	INTERSTITIAL
COLLISIONAL STAGE CASCADE A CASCADE B	29 28	32 31
AFTER QUENCHING' MOLDYCASK MMA	19 33	40 38
AFTER SHORT-TERM ANNEALING' MOLDYCASK MMA	13 26	32 20

TABLE 1 Average Radius of Defect Distributions in 25 keV Cascades in Cu (values in Angstrom units)



Figure 1. Vacancy cluster size distributions at the end of the thermal spike quenching stage for 25 keV cascades in copper. The open bars are for the quenched configuration directly from MOLDYCASK and the striped bars are fur the quenched configuration as simulated with ALSOME, starting from the collisional stage in MOLDYCASK.



Figure 2. Interstitial cluster size distributions after shon-term annealing for a time and temperature at which single vacancies diffuse from the cascade region, for 25 keV cascades in copper. The open bars are for the configuration when starting from the quenched cascades from MOLDYCASK and the striped bars are for the configuration as simulated with ALSOME, starting from the collisional stage in MOLDYCASK.

mean square distance of defects from the centroid. The sizes of the vacancy and displaced atom distributions for the two 25 keV MOLDYCASK cascades are about the same after the collisional stage. After the quenching stage, the displaced atom distributions are about the same size as before, hut the vacancy distributions **are** about half their previous size.

The collisional phase was extracted from the MOLDYCASK cascades in the form of a distribution of vacant sites and displaced atoms. There was no attempt to define stable defects or clusters of defects, since the cascade energy still resides in the significant kinetic energies of a relatively **small** number of atoms at that pint. Following development and quenching of the thermal spike (at 11-12 ps, when the MOLDYCASK runs were terminated), p i n t defects were identified as vacancies or self-interstitial atoms (SIAs) in terms of the occupation of Wigner-Seitz cells. As the list of point defects is raid into **ALSOME**, defect clusters are immediately identified according to the clustering criteria represented by the critical reaction distances. Figure 1 shows the distribution of vacancy clusters at 12 ps, after the quenching stage (open bins). Both large clusters and single point defects are present after the quench. This is true in the SIA distribution **as** well.

The ALSOME quench was performed on the extracted collisional stage configurations in Monte Carlo fashion, running many times over different sets of random numbers with each set of trial parameter values. The criteria for a successful simulation of the quenching stage of the MOLDYCASK cascades are that 1) the correct number (about 60) of trial point defect pairs should remain 'after the quench, 2) the vacancy distribution should collapse to about half its collisional stage size, and 3) the cluster size distributions should be similar to those of the quenched configuration, especially in having large clusters as well as individual point defects.

Unfortunately, no set of parameter values was found that could simultaneously satisfy all three criteria. In particular, it was not possible to reduce the size of the vacancy distribution without eliminating the population of mobile vacancies through clustering. Subsequently, a revised set of criteria were adopted that involved comparing the results of short term annealing on the quenched cascades remaining at the end of the MOLDYCASK runs to the results after quenching and short term **annealing** of the collisional stage configurations extracted from MOLDYCASK. The criteria for selecting **parameter** values in this case are simply that the total number **of** remaining defect pairs should be the same, **and** the numbers of vacancies and SIAs escaping the cascade should be the same, respectively. The short-term annealing is carried out at an effective temperature and time such that no mobile defects remain in the cascade region.

In Table 1 the sizes of the vacancy and SIA distributions 'after quenching and short term annealing with ALSOME are Listed. Compared with the MD standard, the interstitial distributions are slightly smaller. while the vacancy distributions are almost twice the size of the standard. The vacancy cluster size dismbution after quenching is in Fig. 1, and the SIA cluster distribution after short term annealing, starting from both the quenched standard and the collisional stage, are shown in Fig. 2. After short term annealing the small mobile clusters are gone, either clustering, annihilating or escaping. The large SIA clusters obtained from annealing the MD standard were not produced when starting from the collisional stage. This is also true for vacancy clusters.

A set of 100 cascades of **25** keV in copper was produced with MARLOWE and run through the ALSOME quenching and short term annealing. The average defect production from those cascades is listed in Table **2.** The total number of defects **at** each stage agrees well with the MOLDYCASK cascades, but there are twice **as** many freely migrating vacancies and 50% more freely migrating SIAs on average resulting from the MARLOWE cascades. *As* described above, the collisional stage configurations of the two MOLDYCASK cascades are smaller and more compact than the average 25 keV MARLOWE cascade. The closer proximity of the defects in the compact MOLDYCASK cascades evidently results in the greater clustering observed.

STARTING CONFIGURATION	TOTAL DEFECT PAIRS QUENCHED ANNEALED		FREELY MIGRATING VACANCIES SIAS	
QUENCHING STAGE	60	49 ± 4	8 ± 4	9 f 3
COLLISIONAL STAGE	61 ± 10	48 ± 7	X f 3	9 f 4
MARLOWE, 100 CASCADES	65 ± 15	45 ± 11	16 ± 6	15 ± 5

Discussion

The ALSOME annealing code was unable to simulate all the features of the quenched MOLDYCASK cascade defect distributions using only the simple adjustment of its defect jumping and interaction parameters. It was, however, quite successful in simulating the proper yields of total and freely migrating defects after short term annealing.

ALSOME, as used, did not produce the compaction **of** the vacancy distribution during quenching that **is** observed in the MD results. **This** is understandable, since, after the collisional **stage**, a highly disordered (molten) region develops in which the actions of point defects cannot be recognized. This thermal spike then rapidly quenches to the crystal temperature. To model that behavior in terms of only interacting defects is probably impossible. On the other hand, the quenched configuration exists only for a short time. Defect interactions continue to rake place in the cascade region long after the spike has cooled.

The large clusters produced during the quenching stage in the MOLDYCASK cascades, and which continue to exist even after short term annealing, were not produced **as** frequently in quenching and short term annealing with ALSOME. This may be due primarily to the simplicity of the model used in ALSOME. in which all defect migration is by uncorrelated jumps. A region of high local defect density that collapses to a large cluster may form two or more smaller clusters under ALSOME, depending on the statistical variation of the uncorrelated random jumps, which would tend to favor the production of multiple clusters in such a case. With respect to defect production, this may not he a serious limitation. The same total number of defects is tied up in clusters, and two closely spaced medium-sized clusters may have about the same effect on subsequent defect disposition **as** a single large cluster.

An important cascade feature that ALSOME is presently incapable of simulating is the direct formation of both vacancy and interstitial loops in cascades'. The 25 keV cascades used in this work contain a loop of 14 vacancies in a single (111) plane and a loop of 17 SIAs, also on a single (111) plane.

Conclusions

The MMA, utilizing MOLDYCASK. MARLOWE and ALSOME, provides *a* feasible approach to modeling the production of defects in high energy cascades. Quenching parameter values were determined for ALSOME by calibration to results from 25 keV MD cascades in copper produced with MOLDYCASK. Cascades at the collisional stage produced using MARLOWE, then quenched and short term annealed using ALSOME, give defect yields consistent with cascades generated in MOLDYCASK MD simulations.

The **ALSOME** simulation in its simplest form cannot duplicate the compactness of the vacancy distribution or the large clusters or loops formed in the MD simulations. This limitation may be important when cascade and subcascade interactions or vacancy cluster dissociation are involved. However, present computing capabilities now allow the **rules** governing migration and interaction of defects in ALSOME to be much more complex. Continuing work will develop those **rules** from the actions of defects observed in detail in MD simulations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in pan by the US. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 and in part by the European Fusion Technology Programme.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. T. Robinson and I. M. Torrens, <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 9. 5008 (1974)
- 2. H. L. Heinisch and B. N. Singh. <u>Phil. Mag. A</u> 67,407 (1993).
- 3. H. L. Heinisch, <u>Rad. Eff. and Def. in Solids</u> **113**, 53 (1990).
- 4. S. J. Zinkle and B. N. Singh, <u>J. Nucl. Mater.</u> in press.
- 5. H. L. Heinisch and B. N. Singh. J. Nucl. Mater. 191-4, 1083 (1992)
- 6. T. Diaz de la Ruhia and M. W. Guinan, J. Nucl. Mater. 174, 12.5 (1990).
- 7. T. Diaz de la Rubia and M. W. Guinan, <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 66, 2766 (1991)

INFLUENCE OF HELIUM GENERATION RATE AND TEMPERATURE HISTORY ON MECHANICAL PROPER'I'IES OF MODEL Fe-Cr-Ni ALLOYS IRRADIATED IN FFTF AT RELATIVELY LOW DISPLACEMENT RATES - M.L. Hamilton, F.A. Garner and D.J. Edwards (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)^a

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the influence of high helium generation rates on evolution of mechanical properties of simple model fcc alloys.

SUMMARY

In agreement with earlier studies conducted at higher displacement rates, the evolution of mechanical properties of model Fe-Cr-Ni alloys irradiated at lower displacement rates in the ⁵⁹Ni isotopic doping experiment does not appear to be strongly affected by large differences in helium generation rate. This insensitivity to helium/dpa ratio is exhibited during both isothermal and non-isothermal irradiation. The overall behavior of the model alloys used in this study is dominated by the tendency to converge to a saturation strength level that is independent of thermomechanical starting state and helium/dpa ratio, but which is dependent on irradiation temperature and alloy composition.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Until recently, it has been almost impossible to conduct experiments in which spectrum-related parameters such as helium/dpa ratio were varied without also accepting variations in other important parameters such as displacement rate or temperature history. A technique currently being used, however, allows the study of the influence of helium alone on density change, microstructural evolution and mechanical properties. This technique utilizes isotopic tailoring to vary the helium production rate without introducing changes in specimen composition, neutron spectrum or displacement rate (1,2). This generates substantial variations in He/dpa ratio without varying any other important parameter by using alloys whose only difference is the presence or absence of ⁵⁹Ni, an isotope that does not occur naturally (3), and irradiating doped and undoped specimens side-by-side in the appropriate reactor spectrum (1).

The extensive ⁵⁹Ni isotopic tailoring experiment conducted in the FFTF reactor since 1985 to study the effects of helium generation rate on mechanical properties is now approaching completion. Whereas previous papers (3,4) have concentrated on the irradiation sequences conducted at higher displacement rates, this report focuses on the tests conducted at lower displacement rates, reaching cumulative displacement levels ranging from 0.2 to 13.9 dpa. These two groups explored both LMR relevant helium/dpa ratios and more fusion-relevant ratios of 4.4 and 20, as well as a higher-thanfusion rate of 62 appm/dpa. The alloys studied were Fe-15Cr-25Ni (both with and without 0.02 P) and Fe-15Cr-45Ni, all in weight percent. Two of the three irradiation series reported here involved

^aPacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorical Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

comparison of isothermal and non-isothermal irradiations, since the details of temperature history are now known to have dominated many earlier experiments directed toward the influence of helium (5).

Experimental Details

The alloys employed in this study were irradiated in both the cold worked and annealed conditions. The acquisition of the ⁵⁹Ni, and the production of the ⁵⁹Ni-doped miniature tensile specimens and microscopy disks, as well as their irradiation conditions, are described by Simons and coworkers (1). The miniature tensile specimens measured 5.1, 1.0, and 0.25 mm in gauge length, width and thickness, respectively. They were tested at room temperature at a strain rate of 4.7 x 10^{-4} s⁻¹ in a horizontal test frame described in ref. 6. Yield strengths were determined at 0.2% offset. The majority of test conditions involved single specimens, but two specimens were tested for most alloy conditions in the 600°C series.

Figure 1 shows the discharges from the final three irradiation sequences of the 59 Ni experiment, each defined by its target irradiation temperature and its location in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) in the FFTF reactor. The experiment was initiated in the MOTA 1D irradiation segment, but one-third of the way through the irradiation segment there was a pronounced temperature excursion of relatively short duration (-1hr), referred to as an over-temperature event. This event compromised the integrity of many of the experiments in the **MOTA** and **a** programmatic decision was therefore made to run the MOTA in the helium-purged mode for the remainder of FFTF cycles 7 and 8 while a series of reactivity feedback tests were conducted. The majority of the MOTA ID. Isothermal irradiation at the original target temperatures then proceeded in MOTA segments 1E, 1F and 1G.

Specimens in the out-of-corc irradiation sequence conducted at 465°C were thought to have been affected very little by the temperature history event and their irradiation was continued, with discharges



occurring at 0.14, 0.26 and 0.40 dpa. The specimens in the original in-core sequence at 490°C were not returned to the reactor after reaching 2.0 dpa, hut were replaced with a repeat sequence in MOTAs 1E through 1G with discharges at 2.1, 4.2 and 6.7 dpa. The specimens in the in-core sequence at 600°C were very strongly impacted by the temperature history, both in the overtemperature and under-temperature portions, and irradiation wits discontinued after 8.7 dpa. A decision was made later, however, to repeat this sequence for two irradiation segments in MOTA 2B and 2C. Unfortunately, both groups of specimens at 000°C had to be retrieved when FFTF was shut down before MOTA 2C was initiated. Only 13.9 dpa was attained in this repeat sequence.

The successful conduct and interpretation of these experiments is contingent on measurement of the helium levels attained, The details of the helium measurements are presented in refs. 7-9. The displacement levels were calculated from dosimetry measurements using the standard NRT model for dpa.

Results and Discussion

The yield strength and total elongation data for the full series of irradiations at 490, 465° C and 600°C are shown in Figures 2-4. At 490°C the yield strengths of the cold worked and annealed specimens appear to be converging in each of the three alloys studied. The elongation data exhibit a similar convergence. This behavior is in agreement with a similar tendency toward convergence observed in many other irradiation experiments (IO). While the yield strengths of both the annealed and cold worked alloys exhibit essentially no dependence on helium/dpa level, there is possibly a small effect of helium on the total elongation, but only lor the annealed Fc-15Cr-25Ni-0.04P alloy. However, the maximum difference between doped and undoped specimens is comparable to the range of variation observed in the unirradiated specimens. The cold worked alloys clearly do not exhibit an influence of helium on elongation. The impact of the non-isothermal temperature history appears to be significant only for the Fe-15Cr-25 Ni alloy in both starting conditions.

Convergence in tensile properties also appears to be occurring in the high-helium, low-dose sequence at 465°C, with very little or no consistent influence of helium on the yield strength. Approximately 25 appm have accumulated in the doped specimens at an exposure of 0.4 dpa in this low dose rate experiment. There may he some small and possibly increasing influence of helium, however, on the elongation of the cold worked Fc-15Cr-25Ni-0.04P alloy with increasing dpa level.

At 600°C convergence in the tensile properties also occurs in the isothermal scquence, but very little change is occurring in the annealed condition. The impact of the over-temperature/under-temperature history is very pronounced, but with one possible exception (total clongation, annealed 45% Ni alloy), there appears to be no significant effect of the differences in helium generation rate. Convergence in the non-isothermal sequence is approached by both the cold worked and annealed specimens, reflecting the lower average temperature over the last two-thirds of the sequence. Once again, however, the helium generation rate does not appear to be a significant factor.

The microstructural origins of the non-isothermal response at 600°C and 8.7 dpa have been presented previously (11), but microscopy has not yet heen performed for the isothermal repeat sequence. Assuming that the response differs only in the details of the microstructure, it is expected that changes in tensile properties can be successfully related to the densities and sizes of cavities, dislocation loops, and dislocation segments, as well as phosphide precipitates in the case of the Fe-15Cr-25Ni-0.04P alloy. Mitchell has shown, however, that the superposition rules employed to estimate the combined influence of various microstructural components must be modified when needle-like phosphides exhibit large aspect ratios, and also when the phosphides are directly associated with cavities (12).



Fig. 2. Influence of thermomechanical starting state, isotopic doping and temperature history on yield strength and elongation following irradiation just above the top of the FFTF core at 490°C. The dotted lines correspond to the isothermal repeat sequence. The boxes on the y-axis show the range of values obtained for the unirradiated specimens.



Fig. 3. Influence of thermomechanical starting state and isotopic doping on yield strength and elongation following irradiation high above the FFTF core at 465" C.

Whereas success has been attained in describing the microstructural origins of strength changes at high displacement rates in terms of relatively large microstructural components (11-14), the annealed Fe-15Cr-25Ni alloy in the low exposure sequence at 465°C was found not to develop cavities or dislocations, but to be dominated by the formation of many small point defect clusters (15). In this case, high levels of helium were observed to decrease the density of such clusters, with a concurrent



Fig. 4. Influence of themomechanical starting state, isotopic doping and temperature history on yield strength and total elongation following irradiation at the top of the core at 600°C. The dotted lines corrospond to the isothermal repeat sequences.

increase in their mean size. This helium-induced difference led, in turn, to a small but measurable change in alloy hardness (15) that may account for the difference observed in the total elongation of the annealed Fc-15Cr-25Ni alloy.

CONCLUSIONS

In agreement with other studies (3,4,10) these results demonstrate the strong tendency of austenitic alloys to converge during irradiation to a yield strength that is independent of the thermomechanical starting state.

When the results of this and the previous study at higher displacement rates (4) are compared, it appears that the influence of helium/dpa ratio on the evolution of mechanical properties in three model Fe-Cr-Ni alloys is small or insignificant when compared to the scatter exhibited **by** the unirradiated material, or when compared to the action of compositional variations, temperature or cold work level. The impact of temperature history on tensile properties is often quite strong, but is somewhat dependent on alloy composition. The role of helium/dpa ratio in these alloys is small even during non-isothermal irradiation, however.

FUTURE WORK

This work will continue, using the TEM specimens irradiated at 600°C to conduct shear punch tests for small specimens development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC06-76 RLO 1830 with Pacific Northwest Laboratory, and contract DE-FG06-89ER-75522 with Associated Western Universities. The assistance of Jill Walters and Diane Forsyth in preparing the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. L. Simons, H. R. Brager and W. Y. Matsumoto, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 1057
- 2. G. **R.** Odette, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 1011.
- 3. F. A. Gamer, M. L. Hamilton, R. L. Simons, and M. K. Maxon, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 554.
- 4. M. L. Hamilton and F. A. Gamer, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 1239
- 5. F. A. Gamer, N. Sekimura, M. L. Grossbeck, A. M. Ermi, J. W. Newkirk, H. Watanabe, M. Kiritani, J. Nucl. Mater., in press.
- N. F. Panayotou, S. D. Atkin, R. J. high, and B. A. Chin, in: The Use of Small-Scale Specimens for Testing Irradiated Material, eds., W. R. Corwin and G. E. Lucas, ASTM STP 888 (Am. Soc. for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1986) p. 201.
- 7. L. R. Greenwood, F. A. Gamer, and B. M. Oliver, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 1051

 F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, L. R. Greenwood, J. F. Stubbins, B. M. Oliver, in: Proc. of 16th Inter. Symp. of Effects of Radiation on Materials, STP 1175, Philadelphia: American Society for Testing Materials (in press).

F.A. Garner, B. M. Oliver, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-0313/14, in press.

- 10. F. A. Garner, Proc. Conf. an Evolution of Microstructure in Metals During Irradiation, Sept 29-0ct 2, 1992, J. Nucl. Mater. in press.
- 11. H. Kawanishi, F. A. Garner and R. L. Simons, J. Nucl. Mater 179-181 (1991) 511
- 12. **M.** A. Mitchell, "A Microstructural Evaluation of Fast Flux Irradiated Fe-15Cr-XNi-YP Alloys at 490°C to 6.7 dpa," Master's Thesis, University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana, 1993.
- 13. J. F. Stubbins and F. A. Garner, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 523
- 14. J. F. Stubbins and F. A. Garner, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 1300.
- 15. H. Kawanishi and F. A. Garner, to be published in **J.** Nucl. Mater., proceedings of ICFRM-6, 1994.

MICROSTRUCTURAL EVOLUTION OF NEUTRON-IRRADIATED Fe-Cr-Ni TERNARY ALLOYS AT HIGH HELIUM GENERATION RATES - N. Sekimura (University of Tokyo) and F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)"

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the influence of helium and other important variables on the radiation-induced evolution of metals and alloys.

SUMMARY

A series of Fe-Cr-Ni ternary alloys were irradiated to 12-14 dpa in the Oak Ridge Research Reactor (ORR). In this experiment, most of helium atoms were produced by the ${}^{58}Ni(n,\gamma){}^{59}Ni(n,\alpha){}^{56}Fe$ sequential reaction, and, therefore, the helium generation rate was almost proportional to the nickel content, ranging from 27 to 58 appm He/dpa in 20 to 45 wt.% Ni alloys. Irradiation temperatures were 330, 400, 500 and 600°C, and the specimen variables were the nickel level, the chromium level and cold working. The high levels of helium, combined with details of the temperature history, were found to have dominated to microstructural development, sometimes overwhelming and even reversing the action of a given material variable. Microscopy examination showed that there was an unprecedented refinement of cavity microstructure at all temperatures, which accounts for the substantial alterations in both swelling and mechanical properties observed in earlier studies.

PROGRESS & STATUS

The influence of helium generation rate on microstructural evolution in austenitic stainless steels during neutron irradiation has been the focus of many experimental studies over the past two decades. Recently, the focus of some studies has shifted toward experiments in which variations in the helium generation rate were put in competition with variations in other important material or environmental variables. This allows for the relative importance of helium and other variables to be assessed. Three major approaches have been used. These are isotopic tailoring (1,2), spectral tailoring (3), and comparative irradiations in two reactors with significantly different neutron spectra, and, therefore, different helium generation rates (4,5).

The latter approach has been used in a recent series of studies conducted in both EBR-II and ORR on

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated **for** the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO **1830**.

simple ternary austenitic alloys. The principle difference in the two reactor environments was originally anticipated to be the magnitude of the heliunddpa ratio, although it was recognized that the ORR irradiation proceeded at a displacement rate roughly an order of magnitude lower than that in EBR-II. It was later discovered, however, that the ORR experiment was subjected to frequent and numerous (-600) intermittent reductions in temperature, while the EBR-II irradiation proceeded in a much more isothermal manner (5,6).

These reductions in temperature caused periodic and profuse production of small dislocation loops, known to be efficient nucleation sites for small helium bubbles. Once formed, these bubbles are sessile and remain after the loop disappears when the target temperature is re-established. This leads to an extensive and unprecedented refinement of the cavity microstructure that is very atypical of isothermal irradiation. This, in turn, leads to much larger increases in yield strength compared to that developed during isothermal irradiation (4).

The comparative irradiation experiments in ORR and EBR-II were designed to examine the relative influence of helium/dpa ratio, alloy composition, irradiation temperature and cold-work level. Some portions of the influence of nickel content on microstructural development in competition with helium generation rate were reported earlier for annealed specimens (5). This paper presents the influence of chromium content and cold-working at 500 and 600°C, and then compares these results with those arising from variations in nickel content and heliunddpa ratio.

Experimental Details

The specimens used in the ORR experiment were in the form of standard 3 mm diameter microscopy disks with nominal composition Fe-15Cr-xNi (x=20, 25, 30, 35, 45 wt%) and Fe-yCr-35Ni (y=7.5, 15, 22 wt%). Table I presents the actual compositions. While most specimens were prepared in the annealed condition, Fe-15Ni-25Cr and Fe-15Cr-35Ni were also prepared in the 30% cold-worked condition.

The various alloys were irradiated side-by-side in sealed helium-filled subcapsules in ORR at **330**, 400, 500 and 600°C. The large thermal component of the neutron spectrum in ORR caused a high generation rate of helium to occur via the two step ${}^{58}Ni(n,\gamma){}^{59}(N,\infty){}^{56}Fe$ sequential reaction. Spectral tailoring was employed during the latter portion of the experiment to produce a nearly constant generation rate of helium.(3,4) Thus, the generation rate was roughly proportional to the nickel content of the alloys, with measured values varying from 27 to **58** appm/dpa (5).

As shown in Table 2, the dpa levels also varied **as** a function of nickel content and local neutron spectrum (12.2 to 14.3 dpa). This difference in dose level arises from the large recoil energy of 56 Fe and, therefore, varies with nickel level and neutron spectrum (7). Thus, the experiment does not allow for a complete separation of the relative influence of nickel level and heliunddpa ratio.

Composition	EBR-II		ORR	
(wt %)				
	Tensile	TEM Disk	Tensile	
Fe-19.7Ni-14.7Cr		SA		
Fe-24.4Ni-14.9Cr	SA	SA,CW	SA	
Fe-30.1Ni-15.1Cr		SA		
Fe-34.5Ni-15.1Cr	SA	SA,CW	SA	
Fe-45.3Ni-15.0Cr	SA	SA	SA	
Fe-35.5Ni-7.5Cr	SA	SA		
Fe-34.1Ni-21.7Cr	SA	SA	SA	

Alloys used in the EBR-II and OKR experiments"

^{a)} SA = solution annealed (954°C/15 m/AC),

CW = 30% cold worked.

Table 1

Composition (wt%)	330 and	330 and 400°C		500 and 600°C	
	dua	appm He	dua	appm He	
Fe-19.7Ni-14.7Cr	13.4	371	12.2	332	
Fe-24.4Ni-14.9Cr	13.6	463	12.4	414	
Fe-30.1Ni-15.1Cr	13.8	555	12.6	495	
Fe-34.5Ni-15.1Cr	14.0	647	12.7	573	
Fe-45.3Ni-15.0Cr	14.3	832	13.1	740	

Table 2 Displacement and helium levels in the MFE-4 experiment"

^{a)} These values were calculated using dosimetry calculations and measurements provided in ref. [13] for individual elements irradiated in the ORR equipment. Selected measurements on four of the ORR microscopy specimens later confirmed that these calculations were correct within 4-8% (14).

Density measurements were conducted on the disk specimens using a immersion technique established to be accurate to $\pm 0.16\%$ swelling for typical disks. The specimens were then electropolished using standard techniques and examined in an electron microscope operating at 200 KeV. Examination focused primarily on cavity microstructure.

Results

Figure 1 and Table 3 show the bulk swelling of Fe-15Cr-xNi alloys for both the ORR and EBR-II experiments. Significant swelling occurred in ORR only at 500°C and was dependent on nickel content.



Fig. 1. Comparison of swelling determined by an immersion density technique for Fc-15Cr-xNi alloys irradiated in the ORR and EBR-II reactors.

For all nickel levels, the cavity densities of these alloys at 330° C were so high ($\ge 10^{24}$ m⁻³) that they could not be measured accurately, although it was possible to measure the average sizes. As shown in Figure 2a, the cavity density is a strong function of irradiation temperature, a relatively weak function of nickel content and is essentially insensitive to cold-working. Figure 2b shows that the average cavity size was not a strong function of nickel content except at 500°C, where it declined strongly with nickel content. Cold-working had no significant effect at this temperature. At 600°C,

	Swelling' (%)				
	Fe-25Ni-15Cr		Fe-35N	li-15Cr	
Temperature (°C)	SA	C W	SA	C W	
330	0.21	0.15	-0.05	-0.10	
400	0.04	0.04	0.14	-0.06	
500	I.04	0.29	0.55	-0.11	
600	-0.21	0.16	-0.14	-0.01	

 Table 3 Density data on the effect of cold-work

* Negative density changes, particularly for Fe-15Cr-35Ni, can result From radiation-induced spinodal-like decomposition (15).



Fig. 2. Influence of irradiation temperature, nickel content and cold-work on cavity characteristics; a) cavity density and b) average cavity diameter.



Fig. 3. Cavity size distributions observed in Fe-15Cr-xNi alloys irradiated at a)500°C and b)600°C in ORR.



Fig. **4.** Comparison of cavity distributions in annealed and cold-worked alloys irradiated at 500 and 600°C in **ORR**.



Fig. 5. Swelling determined by microscopy for Fe-15Cr-xNi alloys irradiated in ORR at 500 and 600°C



Fig. 6. Comparison of swelling determined by an immersion density technique for Fe-35Ni-Cr alloys irradiated in the ORR and EBR-II reactors.

the effect **of** cold-work on the cavity distribution was small, hut somewhat variable, depending on the nickel content.

The size distribution of cavity sues at 500°C extended down to the smallest resolvable size, while at 600°C there are no cavities at the smallest resolvable size, **as** shown in Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. This implies that cavities are continuously nucleating and growing at 500°C, hut nucleation at the 12-14 dpa level has ceased at 600°C. The cavity size distributions are somewhat reduced in width in the



Fig. 7. Average cavity characteristics and swelling in Fe-35Ni-Cr alloys irradiated at 500 and 600°C in **ORR**.

cold-worked alloys at 500°C (Figure 4), suggesting that some small delay in cavity nucleation may have occurred, probably in the early stages of the irradiation. The effect of cold work is not as large **as** usually observed in irradiations conducted at lower helium generation rates, however.

The cavity swelling measured by microscopy (Fe-15Cr-xNi alloys) is shown in Figure 5 and agrees well with that determined by immersion density. While cold-working reduced swelling at 500°C, the effect at 600° C varies with nickel content, but was not large at any nickel level.

Swelling of Fe-35Ni-Cr alloys measured by immersion density is shown in Figure 6, increasing a small amount with increasing chromium content except at 600°C, where swelling is very low. These trends are confirmed by microscopy, **as** shown in Figures 7 and **8**. The effect of chromium on swelling is smaller than that observed at lower helium generation rates (9).



Fig. 8. Cavity size distributions observed in Fe-35Ni-Cr alloys irradiated at 500 and 600°C in ORR.

Discussions and Conclusions

It is well known that swelling in fast reactors with low helium generation rates decreases with increasing nickel content in the range **20-45%** Ni and increases with increasing chromium level (8,9). Similar but **less** pronounced behavior appears to occur in this study at significantly higher helium generation rates, as shown in Figure 9. The cavity distribution as a function of nickel content behaves very differently in ORR, however, compared to that in EBR-II. Not only are the cavity densities much higher in ORR, but their dependence on nickel content in general exhibits the opposite sign, reflecting the coupling between nickel content and helium generation rate.

The significantly higher density of cavities in ORR is not only a result of the higher helium generation rates (27 to 58 appm/dpa compared to 0.66 to 1.2 appm/dpa in EBR-II), but is thought to arise as a consequence of the frequent set-backs in temperature experienced in ORR. These set-backs induce dense populations of small dislocation loops that are instrumental in nucleating helium bubbles (6). Independent confirmation of such history-dependent loop nucleation was provided by Sekimura and Ishino in another similar experiment conducted in FFTF (11).



Fig. 9. Comparison of cavity densities observed in irradiations conducted in ORR and EBR-II. Data at 510°C taken from ref. 10.

Another consequence of the higher cavity density is that the mean cavity size at lower irradiation temperatures (330, 400°C) is pushed below the critical cavity size for bubble-to-void conversion, thus suppressing the onset of void growth **as** shown in Figure 1. The cavity size distributions at 330 and 400°C suggest that, at all nickel levels, most cavities are probably helium bubbles. At 500°C the cavity size distribution shifts from void-like to bubble-like character **as** the nickel level increases. At 45% Ni and 500°C, the cavity distribution is clearly typical of bubbles. It is important to note that the critical cavity size is also predicted to be a function of nickel content, decreasing at higher nickel levels due to nickel's influence on vacancy diffusivity (12). Thus, the normal tendency toward suppression of swelling and void nucleation with increasing nickel content is amplified by nickel's indirect effect on helium levels and thereby on bubble nucleation.

It appears, therefore, that the combined influence of helium and temperature history dominates the microstructural evolution of Fe-Cr-Ni alloys irradiated in ORR and thereby reduces or even reverses the trends observed with cold-work, nickel content, and chromium content at lower helium generation rates.

Acknowledgement

This work was sponsored by the Japan/US collaboration in FFTF/MOTA established between the U. S. Department of Energy (Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830) and Monbusho, the Japanese Ministry for Education, Science and Culture. The assistance of Jill Walters in preparing the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. G.R. Odette, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 1011.
- F.A. Gamer, M.L. Hamilton, L.R. Greenwood, J.F. Stubbins, and B.M. Oliver in: 16th International Symposium on Effects of Radiation on Materials, ASTM STP 1175, A.S. Kumar, D.S. Gelles, R.K. Nanstad, and E.A. Little, Eds., (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1993) in press.
- A.F. Rowcliffe, A. Hishinuma, M.L. Grossbeck, and S. Jitsukawa, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 125.
- 4. M.L. Hamilton, A. Okada, and F.A. Gamer, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 558.
- 5. N. Sekimura, F.A. Gamer, and R.D. Griffin, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 1234.
- 6. F.A. Gamer, N. Sekimura, M.L. Grossbeck, A.M. Ermi, J.W. Newkirk, H. Watanabe, and M. Kiritani, to be published in J. Nucl. Mater.
- 7. L.R. Greenwood, J. Nucl. Mater. 115 (1983) 137.
- F.A. Gamer and H.R. Brager, in: Effects of Radiation on Materials: 12th International Symposium, ASTM STP 870, F.A. Gamer and J.S. Perrin, Eds. (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1985) pp. 187-201.

- F.A. Gamer and A.S. Kumar, in: Radiation-Induced Changes in Microstructure: 13th International Symposium (Part I), ASTM STP 955, F.A. Gamer, N.H. Packan, and A.S. Kumar, Eds. (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1987) pp. 289-3 14.
- IO. T. Muroga, F.A. Gamer, and S. Ohnuki, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 546.
- 11. N. Sekimura and S. Ishino, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 542.
- W.A. Coghlan and F.A. Gamer, in: Radiation-Induced Changes in Microstructure: 13th International Symposium (Part I), ASTM STP 955, F.A. Gamer, N.H. Packan, and A.S. Kumar, Eds. (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1987) pp. 315-329.
- L.R. Greenwood, in: Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOEIER-03 1316 (1989) pp. 29-35.
- F.A. Gamer and B.M. Oliver, in: Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Repon DOE/ER-0313/9 (1992) pp. 58-60.
- F.A. Gamer and J.M. McCarthy, in: Proceedings of Symposium on Physical Metallurgy of Controlled Expansion Invar-Type Alloys, K.C. Russell and D.F. Smith, Eds. (The TMS Ferrous Metallurgy Committee, Warrendale, 1987) pp. 187-206.

MICROSTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT IN IRRADIATED VANADIUM ALLOYS - D.S. Gelles, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)', and J. F. Stubhins (University of Illinois)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to provide further understanding of swelling response in vanadium alloys.

SUMMARY

Three simple vanadium alloys, V-5Al, V-1Ni, and V-2Ti-1Ni have been examined to determine the effects of fast neutron irradiation on microstructural evolution. Specimens were irradiated in EBR II at temperatures in the range 425 to 600°C to doses of 15 and 31 dpa. Each alloy responded very differently to irradiation. All V-5AI specimens were generally void-free and contained high densities of coherent precipitates, and moderate densities of network dislocations. Swelling was much higher in V-1Ni. Voids were uniformly distributed, but with widely varying shape and size. Precipitation of two types also developed. The response in V-2Ti-1Ni was intermediate to that of the other two alloys. Irradiation produced extensive precipitation of thin rods and a moderate density of large voids often associated with much larger precipitate particles. The dislocation structure strongly interacted with the rod precipitate particles.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Vanadium alloys are being seriously considered **as** candidate fusion reactor first wall materials based on inherent radiation damage resistance, low activation and high temperature refractory properties.',' However, recent results have demonstrated that vanadium alloys such **as** V-5Fe can **lose** radiation damage resistance.²⁻⁶ Swelling **as** high **as** 100% was observed in V-5Fe specimens following irradiation at 520 and 600°C to 52 dpa,³ and more recently 93.2% swelling was observed following irradiation at 600°C to 34.2 dpa.⁶ V-Cr alloys in the 10 to 15% Cr range with minor **AI** additions developed 40% swelling after irradiation at 600°C to **34.2** dpa,⁶ and 27% swelling after irradiation at 600°C to 45 dpa,⁵ and V-2Si developed 35% swelling after irradiation at 600°C to 34.2 dpa,⁶ and 27% swelling after irradiation at 600°C to 45 dpa,⁵ and V-2Si developed 35% swelling after irradiation at 600°C to 34.2 dpa.⁶ Finally, V-12Ni developed 15% swelling following irradiation at 600°C to about 80 dpa.² The swelling rates that can be estimated from these results are summarized in Table I. This high swelling response is in contrast to much lower swelling rates found in all other body centered cubic metal alloys. For example, a rate of 0.06%/dpa is the highest found in simple ferritic alloys.'

The effort described here is intended tu study the effects of fast neutron irradiation on the microstructures of three simple vanadium alloys: V-5Al, V-1Ni, and V-2Ti-1Ni. Specimens were irradiated in the Experimental Breeder Reactor (EBR) II at temperatures in the range 425 to 600°C to doses of 15 and 31 dpa in order to expand our understanding of microstructural evolution in vanadium **alloys** not previously studied.

[&]quot;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

Alloy	Irradiation Temperature (°C)	Dose (dpa)	Swelling (%)	Swelling Rate (%/dpa)	Reference
V-5Fe	520 600	52 34.2	100 93.2	2.0 2.7	3 6
V-Cr	600	80	210	0.5	4
V-5Cr	600 600	34.2 45	24.6 27	0.7 0.6	6 5
V-2Si	425 520	45 45	35 35	0.8 0.8	5 5
V-1Si	600	34.2	18.7	0.5	6
V-12Ni	600	80	15	0.2	2

Alloy Composition (Heat #)	Heat Treatment	Specimen ID	Irradiation Temperature (°C)	Dose (dpa)	Swelling (%)
V-5Al (RAC 153)	1250°C/1h	5AJ1	425	15	NA
"		5AJ2	500	15	NA
"		5AJ3	600	15	NA
H		5A92	500	31	0.13
V-1Ni (RAC 156)	1250°C/1h	5BJ2	500	15	NA
n		5B03	600	15	NA
		5B92	500	31	3.37
V-2Ti-1Ni (RAC 157)	1250°C/1h	5EJ1	425	15	NA
ı		5EJ2	500	15	NA
"		5E92	500	31	0.72

Table 2. Compositions and irradiation conditions for vanadium alloy specimens in this study

NA - not available, never measured for density.

Results

V-5Al

Specimens of V-5AI were examined following irradiation at 425, 500 and 600°C to 15 dpa and at 500°C to 31 dpa. The samples were remarkably free of voids. Only the specimen irradiated at 600°C was found to contain any voids, and those were nonuniformly distributed in regions near grain boundaries and associated with large precipitate particles. The dislocation structure formed a network in all conditions with highest dislocation densities occurring at the lowest irradiation temperatures and doses. Comparison of $\vec{g} = 200$ and 110 images of the same area following irradiation at 600°C indicated that the primary Burgers vector is $\frac{a}{2} < 111 >$. Associated with the dislocation structure was a high density of precipitate particles. In general, precipitates were smaller and at higher density for the lower irradiation temperatures.

Microstructures of V-5A1 are presented in Figure 1. Figures 1 a) through c) show dislocation and precipitate structures in V-5AI irradiated to 15 dpa as a function of increasing temperature. The precipitates in 1 c) appear largest. Figure 1 d) provides a precipitate dark field image using $\vec{g} = \frac{3}{4}(200)$ of the region in 1 b); comparison of the two images indicates a close to one-to-one correspondence of particles. Figure 1 e) gives examples of voids associated with large precipitate particles near grain boundaries shown at a lower magnification following irradiation at 500°C. Figures 1 f) through h) provide examples of V-5Al following irradiation at 500°C to 31 dpa. Figure 1 f) shows the dislocation structure, and Figures g) and h) provide comparison of precipitate structures under bright and dark field $(\vec{g} = \frac{3}{4}(200))$ imaging conditions. Therefore, Figures 1 b) and d) can be compared to 1 f) and h) to demonstrate dislocation evolution and precipitate growth with increasing dose at 500°C.



Figure 1. Microstructures in V-5AI following irradiation to 15 dpa at 425 in a), at 500° C in b), and at 600° C in c) with d) showing precipitates at 500° C in dark field contrast, at lower magnification for the 600° C condition in e) and following irradiation to 31 dpa at 500° C in dislocation contrast in f) and in bright and dark field precipitate contrast in g) and h).

V-1Ni

Specimens of V-1Ni were successfully examined following irradiation at **500** and **600°C** to **15** dpa and at **500°C** to **31** dpa. All specimens contained extensive void swelling and precipitation. The lower irradiation temperature produced voids and precipitates on a finer scale, as expected. Voids produced at **500°C** were generally cuboidal. The dislocation structure produced at **500°C** consisted of an unfaulted network and faulted regions on (**100**) planes believed to be associated with precipitate structure, whereas following irradiation at **600°C**, a dislocation network was created with few connections to precipitates and voids. Comparison of $\vec{g} = 200$ and **110** images of the same area following irradiation at **600°C** resulted in disappearance of the faulted structure and a very unusual dislocation contrast indicative of helical coiling of the dislocations.

The microstructures of V-1Ní following irradiation are provided in Figure 2. Figures 2 a) and b) show dislocation, void, and precipitate structures in V-1Ni irradiated at **500** and **600°C**, respectively, to **15** dpa using $\vec{g} = 110$. Two precipitate types can be identified in Figure 2 a): dark, blocky, equiaxed particles uniformly distributed and occasionally associated with voids; and plate-like features apparently on (**100**) planes and often containing stacking fault features. Figure 2 b) indicates voids and blocky precipitates are much larger following irradiation at the higher temperature. Note that the specimen irradiated at **600°C** contains a surface contaminant that formed bubbles under the electron beam; those circular bubble features should be ignored.

Figure 2 c) shows the microstructural features following irradiation at 500° C to 31 dpa using the same imaging condition as in Figure 2 a). Comparison of Figures 2 a) and c) reveals that irradiation to higher dose creates a major change in the dislocation structure, so that dislocations evolve into helical arrays and display unusual contrast, indicative of solute segregation effects. Figures 2 d), e), and f) show the same area as in 2 c) using precipitate dark field contrast to show the blocky precipitate in various orientations. At least three different orientations can be identified. Figures 2 g) and h) provide low magnification examples of grain boundary migration following irradiation at 500° C to 15 dpa. The grain boundary moved vertically in Figure 2 g) and toward the lower right in 2 h). Note enhanced void nucleation in the latter case.

Figure 3 was prepared to provide understanding of the dislocation structures in irradiated V-1Ni. Figures 3 a) and b) show V-1Ni irradiated at **500°C to 31** dpa using $\vec{g} = 110$ and **002 near** a (110) orientation. The horizontal dislocations at the upper left and lower center in both images indicate a Burgers vector of type $\frac{3}{2} < 111$. The helical d'?agonal-imagesalso appear in both images, but these images are not typical of dislocations. Therefore, strain in <111> directions is again indicated, but precipitation due to solute segregation at dislocations is thought to be the cause. Note that similar diagonal images are developing in association with the horizontal dislocations, suggesting that those

 $\frac{a}{2}$ <111> dislocations are beginning to climb into helical configuration.

Also of interest is the wide variation in void shape in this micrograph series. Based on the geometries displayed, these voids are cuboidal with (110) or dodecahedral truncation, but the degree of truncation on the specific truncation planes varies widely from void to void. Wide variations in truncation are often due to associated precipitation or solute segregation. Figures 3 c) and d) show V-1Ni irradiated at 600°C to 15 dpa using $\vec{g} = 110$ and 200 near an (001) orientation. Because all dislocation images in 110 contrast also appear in 200 contrast, $\frac{3}{2} < 111 >$ Burgers vectors are again indicated. However, the tendency for solute segregation appears to be reduced at the higher irradiation temperature.



Figure 2. Microstructures in V-1Ni following irradiation to 15 dpa at 500°C in dislocation contrast in a), and at 600°C in dislocation contrast in b), to 31 dpa at 500 in dislocation contrast in c) and in precipitate dark field contrast in d), e) and f), and to 15 dpa at 500°C showing grain boundary migration in g) and h).


Figure 3. Examples of dislocation contrast in V-1Ni following irradiation at **500°C** to 31 dpa in a), and b), and at 600°C to 15 dpa in c) and d).

V-2Ti-1Ni

Specimens of V-2Ti-1Ni were examined following irradiation at 425 and 500°C to **15** dpa and at 500°C to **31** dpa. All specimens contained extensive precipitation, but precipitate morphologies were very different at the two irradiation temperatures. Moderate swelling was found following irradiation at 425°C, hut following irradiation at 500°C, voids were only found in association with grain boundary structure and only at the higher dose. Therefore, additions of titanium completely altered the microstructural evolution when 1% nickel was present.

Examples of V-2Ti-1Ni microstructures are provided in Figure 4. Figures 4 a) and b) show void and precipitate structures in V-2Ti-1Ni that was irradiated to 15 dpa at 425 and **500°C**. Precipitates are highly elongated in <100> directions following irradiation at 425°C, whereas after irradiation at 500°C, they are smaller and more equiaxed. Voids formed at 425°C are cuboidal with (100) faces, whereas no voids were observed following irradiation to 15 dpa at 500°C. Figures 4 c) and d) provide higher magnification examples of the structure formed following irradiation at 425°C. Figure 4 c) shows an area in absorption contrast to reveat precipitates and voids, and Figure 4 d) shows the same area in $\hat{g} = 200$ contrast to show the dislocation structure. The dislocation structure in Figure 4d is difficult to interpret, consisting of short line segments connected to precipitate particles.

ľ



Figure 4. Microstructures in V-2Ti-Ni following irradiation to 15 dpa at 425 in a), and at 500°C in **b**, **a**t higher magnification to 15 dpa at 425°C in c) and in dislocation contrast in d) and **a**t 500°C to **31** dpa in dislocation contrast in **e**) and **f**) and in precipitate contrast in g), and showing voids at *grain* boundaries in h).

Figures 4 e) through 4 h) give examples of the microstructure in V-2Ti-1Ni following irradiation at 500°C to 31 dpa. Figures 4 e), f), and g) show the same area under different contrast conditions. Figure 4 e) uses $\vec{g} = 110$ and f) uses 200 for a foil near (001). However, the dislocation images in these figures are very difficult to compare due to precipitate images that also appear. Figure 4 g) shows the precipitate particles in $\frac{5}{8}$ (200) dark field contrast, although other precipitate reflections showed similar images. From this figure, it is apparent that particle sizes vary from 3 to 6 nm, with some as large as 10 nm. Figure 4 h) shows one of several examples where a few voids are found in the vicinity of grain boundaries. The voids vary considerably in shape and size. Precipitate particles are also present (based on the mottled background contrast), so precipitate denuding due to grain boundary migration does not explain the presence of the voids.

Discussion

It has been proposed that the high swelling of vanadium alloys can be interpreted in terms of the atomic size effect of the alloying elements! Solute atoms that are smaller in size will diffuse by an interstitial mechanism and be preferentially segregated to point defect sinks such as dislocations and voids, and there, may significantly alter the sink bias for point defects! Based on a relative atomic size measurement from extrapolation of the lattice constant data for the alloy, it was shown that additions of Fe, with a relative atomic size of **0.86**, developed higher swelling than did addition of Si (0.88) and Cr (0.93), which in turn developed higher swelling intermediate between Cr and V, and that AI and Ti additions produce response similar to Mo. However, if one uses the metallic radii estimates by Asaroff,⁸ the order of atomic size (in Å) is Si:1.17, Fe:1.24, Ni:1.24, Cr:1.25, V1.31, Mo:1.36, Al:1.43, and Ti:1.44. Therefore, a different measure of the tendency for solute segregation is created that predicts the strongest effect with Si additions; next in potency would he Fe, Ni, and Cr and then pure V, Mo, AI, and Ti.

A large number of simple vanadium alloys have now been examined following neutron irradiation, and the present study adds to that list. Microstructural examinations have previously been reported for pure $V_{,6,10}^{6,9,10}$ V-5Fe,^{2,6} V-1Si,⁶ V-10 to 15Cr(-.2Al),^{6,9} V-5 to 22Ti,^{9-11,12} V-5 to 15Cr-5 to 25Ti,¹³⁻¹⁵ V-4 to 5Mo,^{6,9} and V-8.6W.⁹ Those studies have shown that V-5Fe swells rapidly because of rapid void growth and rapid dislocation evolution.^{3,6} It was assumed that the cavities also developed an iron-rich surface layer. Rapid void growth can also occur with Si additions at low irradiation temperatures (410°C) and with Cr additions at 600°C (although only to half the level obtained with Fe)! The present results for void growth in V-1Ni at 600°C are similar to those for V-5Cr. A value of about 150 nm was found following irradiation at 600°C in EBR-11 to 31 dpa, whereas Nakajima and coworkers found a mean cavity size of 200 nm following irradiation at 600°C in the Fast Flux Test Facility to 34 dpa.⁶

Additions of Ti, Mo, and W have previously been shown to reduce swelling.^{6,9} The present studies have shown that AI, and Ti additions to V-1Ni have a similar effect. However, the mechanism that controls the reduction of swelling that can be identified from the present work is fine scale precipitation, similar to the mechanism proposed for V-15Cr-5Ti¹³ and ascribed to Ti_5Si_3 ,¹⁴ and similar to the previous observations on V-10Ti.⁹ The present work has indicated that dislocation movement would be inhibited by the precipitation in these systems. Therefore, a means for prevention of swelling in vanadium alloys may he precipitation rather than a high relative atomic size of solute additions.

CONCLUSIONS

The effects of solute additions of Ni, AI and Ti/Ni to vanadium have been studied based on microstructural examination of alloys V-5Al, V-1Ni, and V-2Ti-1Ni following irradiation in EBR-IJ in the temperature range 425 to 600°C. Based on these examinations, Ni additions promote swelling and precipitation on a coarse scale similar to but not **as** effectively **as** Cr additions, whereas additions of AI and Ti/Ni inhibit swelling and promote precipitation on a fine scale.

Therefore, solute additions of a small relative size are confirmed to promote swelling in vanadium alloys, and solute additions of a large relative size inhibit swelling.

FUTURE WORK

This work will be continued when suitable specimens become available.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the assistance of F. A. Garner, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, for his assistance in the planning for this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor Task Proposal BL-RD-6, "Assessment, Characterization and Development of Vanadium Structural Materials," issued by the ITER design team, May 1993.
- 2. B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mafer, 191-4 (1992) 84.
- 3. H. Matsui, D. S. Gelles and Y. Kohno, in *Effects & Radiation on Maferials: 15th Infernational Symposium*, ASTM **STP** 1125, R. E. Stoller, A. S. Kumar and D.S. Gelles, **Eds.** (ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992) 928.
- 4. B. A. Loomis, D. L. Smith and E A. Gamer, J. Nucl. Mafer, <u>179-81</u> (1991) 771.
- F. A. Garner, D. S. Gelles, H. Takahashi, S. Ohnuki, H. Kinoshita and B. A. Locmis, in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending September 30, 1991, DOE/ER-0313/11 (1992) 233.
- 6. N. Nakajima, S. Yoshida, Y. Kohno and H. Matsui, J. Nucl. Mafer, <u>191-4</u> (1992) 952.
- 7. D. S. Gelles, in *Effects* of *Radiation on Materials: 14th International Symposium*, Volume 1, ASTM STP 1046, N. H. Packan, R. E. Stoller and A. S. Kumar, Eds. (ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1990) 73.
- 8. L. V. Azaroff, Introduction to Solids, (McGraw-Hill, N.Y., 1960) Appendix 3, Table 4, 441.
- S. Ohnuki, D. S. Gelles, B. A. Loomis, F. A. Gamer and H. Takahashi, J. Nucl. Mater, 179-80 (1991) 775.

- 10. H. Kawanishi, Y. Arai and S. Ishino, J Nucl. Mater, 191-4 (1992) 933.
- 11. L. I. Ivanov, V. V. Ivanov, V. M. Lazorenko, Yu. M. Platovand V. I. Tovtin, *J Nucl. Muter*, 191-4 (1992) 928.
- 12. H.M. Chung and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater, 191-4 (1992) 942.
- D. S. Gelles, S. Ohnuki, B. A. Loomis, H. Takahashi, and F. A. Garner, J. Nucl. Mater, 186 (1991) 71.
- 14. M. Satou and H. M. Chung, in *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report* for the Period Ending September 30, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/13 (1992) 227.
- 15. M. Satou, K. Abe and H. Matsui, J. Nucl. Mater, 191-4 (1992) 938

6.0 DEVELOPMENT OF STRUCTURAL ALLOYS

6.1 Ferritic Stainless Steels

REPORT ON IEA COLLABORATION ON FERRITIC/MARTENSITIC STEELS -- R. L. Klueh (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

An international collaboration **is** being planned with the objective of developing an optimized reduced-activation ferritic **steel** for fusion.

SUMMARY

A collaborative program is underway under the auspices of the E A (International Energy Agency) to develop a reduced-activation ferritic **steel** for a demonstration fusion power plant. A task has been initiated for which Japan will produce a 5000-10000 kg heat of reduced-activation8Cr-2WVTa **steel**. Once the steel is produced, the Japanese, EC, and US fusion programs will conduct detailed cooperative test programs on the steel. Two meetings have been held to plan the collaboration, and the **results** from those meetings are reviewed.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

'n

The proposal for an IEA collaborative program originated at the workshop on ferritic/martensitic steels for fusion held under the auspices of the IEA Fusion Materials Working Group Task Annex II at Tokyo, October **26-28**, 1992. At the workshop, the Japanese delegation offered to produce two large heats of candidate reduced-activation ferritic steels for **use** in a collaborative effort to determine the feasibility of using such a steel and then optimize the composition, for the structural material for a demonstration fusion power plant. According to the proposal, these steels would be tested in Japan, Europe, and the United States in a series of agreed-upon tasks. The cost of the production was to be borne by the Japanese program. Since the Japanese offer was made near the end of the Tokyo workshop, there was no time to act on the proposal at that meeting.

Workine Group Meetine. Oak Ridge

An IEA Working Group meeting on ferritic/martensitic steels was held in *Oak* Ridge on May **21.** 1993 to follow up on the Japanese proposal to funher clarify their proposal and determine how it might be implemented. The Working Group consisted of three members from Japan (Drs. A. Hishinuma, A. Kohyama, and M. Tamura). three from the United States (Drs. D. S. Gelles, R. L. Klueh. and G. **R.** Odette), and one from the European Community (Dr. D. R. Harries).

Selection of Composition

The general consensus at the Tokyo Workshop was that reduced-activation steels with 7-10% Cr, 2% W, 0.25% V (all compositions are in wt %), and small amounts of tantalum show the most promise for successful fusion applications. It was acknowledged, however, that only a limited amount of irradiation data was available for these steels. At the **Cak** Ridge meeting, the Working Group reviewed data for the most promising of the 8-10Cr-2WVTa steels. This review demonstrated that steels from each national program show promise, and confined that the most promising are the high-chromium steels. for which the most irradiated **data** are available. It was recognized that the ferritic/martensitic reduced-activation compositions investigated to date have not been optimized and that further improvement in properties by the suitable choice of composition may be possible.

In **Cak** Ridge, the Japanese delegation **restated** their offer to purchase one or two large heats of reduced-activation steel that would then be investigated in Japan, *Europe*, and the United States in a series of agreed-upon tasks. Alternate possibilities for procuring material were proposed by the Japanese delegation as follows: (1) Two large hears (F82H and JLF-1), (2) One large heat and a small heat, (3) One large commercial-type heat and two small heats.

Different possibilities for producing the steels were still being explored in Japan at the time of the meeting.

After discussing the merits of producing one or two large heats, the Working Group concluded the best approach would be to produce one large (5000-10000 kg) heat and several smaller heats. The discussion to arrive at a composition for the large IEA heat resulted in a proposal for a 8Cr-2WVTa steel (a modified F82H). The composition is given in Table I. The 8Cr (rather than 9Cr) composition was selected because of the past experience NKK Corporation developed from producing a large heat (5 tons) of F82H, which has the 8Cr composition. That experience will be utilized, because it is expected that NKK will produce the heat.

Element	Composition. wt. %		
	Typical	Range	
C	0.1	0.08.0.12	
Si	0.1	<03	
Mn	0.1	<0.5	
P	0.005	<0.01	
S	0.002	<0.01	
Ni	0.03	<0.1	
Cr	8.0	7.5-8.5	
Мо	0.001	LAP*	
W	2.0	1.8-2.2	
V	0.20	0.15-0.25	
Та	0.04	0.01-0.06	
Nb	0.0002	LAP	
Ti	0.005	LAP	
Cu	0.01	<0.05	
Al	0.01	LAP	
В	0.0003	<0.001	
N	0.005	<0.02	
Fe	BALANCE	BALANCE	

Table I Proposed specification of large E A heat of reduced-activation martensitic steel

Low **as** possible

Once the reduced-activation steel ingot is obtained, it was proposed that it be worked into plate and tube. Plates $3m \times 1m \times 15mm$ and $3m \times 1m \times 25mm$ would be obtained. Tube dimensions were unspecified at the Oak Ridge meeting.

Rather than seek to purchase two large heats, the Working Group decided to supplement the work on the large heat with work on four smaller (approximately 50 kg) heats. The following compositions were selected to investigate the effects of variations in some of the major alloying element concentrations:

- (1) 7% Cr with other elemental compositions similar to the large heat.
- (2) 9% Cr with other elemental compositions similar to the large heat.
- (3)1% W with other elemental compositions similar to the large heat
- (4) 1% Mn with other elemental compositions similar to the large heat

Results from tests on these steels will be used to optimize compositions for the 7-9Cr-WVTa steels.

Although the production arrangements were not yet finalized at the time of the Oak Ridge meeting, the smaller heats were expected to be produced by the Nippon **Steel**. Company as part of the Japanese universities (MONBUSHO) program. Drs. Hishinuma and Kohyama assumed the task of seeking formal approval in Japan to proceed with the procurement of the steels. They agreed to distribute a detailed plan for the production and fabrication of the heats and recommend the tasks to be undertaken on the steel plate, welds, and possibly, some prototypic fusion reactor components.

It was estimated that the steels could be made and the products distributed to the parties participating in the tests by January 1995.

International Test Program

The Working Group discussed the types of tests to be performed on the large heat. Table II lists the tests envisaged for the large heat of steel. Tests will be required on irradiated and unirradiated material. Not all of the tests in Table II are of equal importance. Fracture toughness of irradiated material is crucial. These and other tests of irradiated material, especially over the temperature range 200-350°C, will be of highest priority. On the other hand, tests, such as those for physical property measurements, may not have as high priority, since these properties will not change much from those determined on conventional ferritic/martensitic steels and those determined previously on smaller heats of reduced-activation steels. A detailed coordinated test program will have to be determined at a future **E** A meeting.

Because of the importance of tests at 200-350°C and the fact that results from irradiation tests for the new heats will not be completed before 1997, the Working Group concluded that it is imperative that efforts continue to acquire such data with the experimental heats of reduced-activation steels now available. As part of such an effort, the planned cooperative irradiation experiment instigated at the Tokyo Workshop was cited. The experiment is planned for HFR in Petten, The Netherlands, in 1994/95. Conventional and reduced-activation martensitic steels will be irradiated to 3 dpa (maximum) in the range 250-450°C; steels to be included are the EC steels MANET I and II, and OPTIFER, the US steels 7.5Cr-2W and 9Cr-2WVTa steels, and the Japanese F82H steel. Sub-size Charpy V-notch specimens form the bulk of the loading, but some tensile samples **are** included.

Metallurgical characterization	Out-of-Reactor Effects	Irradiation Effects	
Processing	Tensile	Tensile	
Heat Treatment Optimization	Fracture Toughness	Swelling & Microstructure	
Tensile	Fatigue	Fatigue	
Charpy Impact Toughness	Thermal creep	Fracture Toughness	
creeprupture	Charpy Impact	Charpy Impact	
Physical Properties	Thermal Aging	Irradiation creep	
Weldability	Microstructure	Creep-Fatigue	
	Creep-Fatigue	Stress-Relaxation	
	Corrosion/Compatibility		
	Stress-Relaxation		
	Fatigue Crack Growth		
	Thermal Fatigue		
	Multiaxial Fatigue		
	Hydrogen Effects		

Table 11 Proposed tests for large heat of reduced-activation steel

Working Group Meeting, Stresa, Italy

The **IEA** Working Group reconvened in Stresa, Italy, September 29, 1993, to update the schedules for the production of the large and small IEA heats of reduced-activation ferritic steel discussed at **Oak** Ridge.

Dr. Hishinuma reported that the large **IEA** had been ordered and should be available by February 1994, to be distributed to the participating parties by April 1994. It was decided that plates 300 mm by 500 mm with thicknesses of 25, 15, and 7 mm will be produced. Some TIG and EB welds will also be provided.

Professor Kohyama stated that of the four 50 kg heats discussed at Oak Ridge, no 7Cr heat would be **made**, and the 9Cr heat will be a one-ton heat These three heats are scheduled for the next Japanese fiscal year (beginning April 1994) and should be available in the summer of 1994.

Lists of the proposed tasks on the large heat and the amounts of steel required by the U.S. and the European Community are to be submitted to Dr. Hishinuma by November 1, 1993. The U.S. and EC will be informed by the Japanese concerning the tasks they will take on. A Working Group meeting will be convened in Sun Valley, Idaho in June 1994 to develop a coordinated program and task sharing plan.

EFFECT OF LOADING MODE ON THE FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF A FERRITIC/MARTENSITIC STAINLESS STEEL - Huaxin Li (Associated Western Universities-Northwest Division), R. H. Jones (Pacific Northwest Laboratories)' J. P. Hirth (Washington State University-Pullman) and D. S. Gelles (Pacific Northwest Laboratories)'

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this research is to investigate the effect of hydrogen and loading mode on the fracture toughness of a reduced activation ferritic stainless steel (F-82H).

SUMMARY

The critical J integrals of mode I (J_{IC}), mixed-mode I/III (J_{MC}), and mode III (J_{IIC}) were examined for a ferritic stainless steel (F-82H) at ambient temperature. A determination of J_{MC} was made using modified compact-tension specimens. Different ratios of tension/shear stress were achieved by varying the principal axis of the crack plane between 0 and 55 degrees from the load line. J_{IIIC} was determined using triple-pantleg like specimens. The results showed that F-82H steel is a very tough steel. Both J_{IC} and J_{IIC} are about 500 kJ/m², and mode I tearing modula (T_{I}) is about (360 kJ/m²)/mm. However, J_{MC} and mixed-mode tearing modula (T_{M}) values varied with the crack angles and were lower than their mode I and mode III counterparts. Both the minimum I_{I} and T_{M} values occurred at a crack angle between 40 and 50 degrees, where the load ratio of σ_i/σ_{iii} was 1.2 to 0.84. The J_{min} was 240 kJ/m², and ratios of J_{IC}/J_{min} and J_{IIIC}/J_{min} were 2.1 and 1.9, respectively. The morphology of fracture surfaces was consistent with the change of I_{I} and T_{M} values. While the upper shelf-fracture toughness of F-82H depends on loading mode, the J_{min} remains very high. Other important considerations include the effect of mixed-mode loading on the DBT temperature, and effects of hydrogen and irradiation on J_{min} .

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Traditionally, mode I fracture has been used to study elastic-plastic fracture mechanics. However, in recent years, mixed-mode fracture has become a focus of many studies because many observed failures included shear components [1-9]. Fracture characteristics have been found to differ from one another when subjected to mixed-mode I/III loading, depending on the microstructure, strength, and toughness level of materials. In low-toughness high-strength alloys, such as 0.29C-0.83Cu steel, and 1.25C bainitic steel [7-10], mode III contributions to mode I loading had little or no effect on the overall value of J_{iC} , the mode I component of the J integral for mixed-mode crack initiation, and tended to increase J_{m} the total J integral for mixed-mode crack initiation. In toughermaterials (such as 3.5NiCrMoV steel, A710A, and a high-punty rotor steel (HPRS) [1-9], which failed primarily by a microvoid nucleation and growth mechanism) mode III contributions lowered the J_{MC} values considerably from their mode I values. The J_{MC} values passed through a minimum at a position between mode I and mode III on a plot of J_{MC} vs crack inclination angle.

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

A steel with 0.1C-8Cr-2W-0.2V-0.04Ta (designated as F-82H) has been developed as a reduced activation ferritic/martensitic steel and considered as one of the candidates for the first-wall material of a fusion reactor. Preliminary J integral data [11] obtained from three point bending specimens showed that F-82H is a very tough steel. It is possible that introducing mode III components to mode I loading would also lower the total J integral of F-82H as in the case of HPRS. Hence, investigation of the effect of mixed-mode loading on fracture toughness of F-82H is critical if the steel is to be used as first-wall material.

Material and Exuerimental Methods

1. Material

The F-82H plate used in this study was supplied by Nippon Kokan Steel Company (NKK) in Japan. The chemical composition of the plate (as provided by NKK) is (by wt%): 0.096C-7.71Cr-2.1W-0.18V-0.04Ta-0.003P-0.003S. Specimens used in this study were cut in the orientation of T-L as specified in ASTM E399-90 and were heat-treated using 1000°C/20 h/air cooling (AC), 1100°C/7 min/AC, and 700°C/2 h/AC. The microstructure was tempered martensite. The average intersection distance grain size was 25 μ m (ASTM #7.5). The heat-treatment resulted in a yield strength (σ_y) of 648 MPa, ultimate tensile strength (σ_{uts}) of 735 MPa, elongation of 16.7%, and reduction of area of 70%.

2. Experimental Methods

The details of experimental methods were reported in Ref. 12. In order to make a complete and independent report, and also for the sake of reader's convenience, the important portions of experimental methods are summarized here.

The geometry of modified compact-tension (MCT) specimens used for mixed-mode I/III testing is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The magnitude of mode III components can be varied by changing the crack slant angle Φ . An angle of 0 degree represents mode I loading and the geometry of a 0-degree specimen becomes the standard compact-tension (CT) specimen **as** specified in ASTM standard E813-89. As Φ increases, the contribution of mode III components increases. The crack-inclination angles used in

this study were 0, 10, 20, **30**, 40, 45, 50, and **55** degrees. Side grooves of 20% reduction of total thickness were incorporated in all specimens.

These side grooves can increase the triaxiality at the edges of a growing crack and constrain the

fichkeinestriggastaeiklg instehred sonäglindikeckaenodept I/(61)

and horizontal displacement ($\delta_{\rm h}$) of load points. A pair of knife edges was secured to the front face of a specimen. A standard clip crack opening distance (COD) gage was positioned on the knife edges. The load-line **6**,**s** were calculated from the front face $\delta_{\rm v}$ s with the method proposed by Saxena and Hudak, Jr. [13]. It was found that the 6, increased with 6, in a linear mode [1,3]. Hence **6**,**s** were calculated approximately using a relation of $\delta_{\rm h} = \alpha \ x \ \delta_{\rm v}$, where ${\bf a} = \delta_{\rm hmax} / \delta_{\rm vmax}$.

An electric discharge machine (EDM) was used to make thin cuts with a small radius (radius = 0.051



Fig. 1. The geometry of a modified compact tension specimen.

nun) and approximately 1.3 mm long. The cuts were used **as** a substitute for a pre-fatigued-crack (PFC) because a PFC tends to grow out of the original crack plane in mixed-mode specimens. The tine **EDM** cut was found to give identical values of J_{IC} **as** for a PFC [4]. The EDM cuts were made after final heat-treatment, and specimens with cuts were heated at about 100°C for 24 h in a vacuum oven (10⁻³ Pa) to outgas possible hydrogen introduced by EDM cutting [14]. The single-specimen technique was used in this study, which allows a J-R curve (J vs crack extension Aa) to be generated with one specimen. During testing, the specimen was frequently and partially unloaded, and the partial unloading compliances were used to calculate the corresponding crack lengths following the procedure described in E813-89 and Ref. 13. Values of J matching those crack lengths were also calculated by means of Eq. (I) in the next section. At least 12 pairs of J-Aa data were used to construct a J-R curve.

The triple-pantleg like specimens (Fig. 2) [3-5,121 were used to determine J_{mc}. The specimens had two cracks that led to a symmetric loading and minimized an out-of-plane bending [4]. Two 1.3-mm EDM cuts were also made **as** substitutes for PFCs. Indirect crack-length monitoring techniques, such as the electrical potential method drop or unloading compliance, are affected by the contact of the asperities on the two specimen surfaces during mode III (shearing) deformation. Hence. a multiple-specimen (five specimens) technique was adopted, and the crack lengths were measured after breaking open the



Fig. 2. The geometry of a specimen used for a mode III fracture toughness test.

Data Analysis

specimens.

The mode I integral J, and mixed mode I/III integral J, were calculated from the area under the load vs load-line-displacement curve by means of Eq. (1) [15]:

$$J = \frac{2}{B_{net} b_o} \int_0^{6} P \, d \, V \tag{1}$$

where

= $0.8B/\cos\Phi$ (the net crack front width excluding the 10% side grooves on each side) B_{net} B

= the overall specimen thickness Φ = the crack-inclination angle

= W - a, (the initial unbroken ligament) b

W = the specimen width

= the initial physical crack length. a,

To construct J-R curves and determine critical J values (J_{IC} and J_{MC}), ASTM E813-89 was used. The slope of the blunting line for mixed mode I/III was calculated using Eq. (2):

$$m_{i/iii} = \frac{m_i \cos\Phi + m_{iii} \sin\Phi}{\sin\Phi + \cos\Phi}$$
(2)

where $m_i = (\sigma_v + \sigma_{uts})$ and $m_{y,z} = (\sigma_v + \sigma_{uts})/2$, which are blunting line slopes of pure mode I and mode 111, respectively. When Φ equals 0 and 90, $\mathbf{m}_{i/ii}$ is equal to m, and \mathbf{m}_{iii} , respectively. A best straight line was also made using the J-Aa data between the upper and lower exclusion lines, and the slope of the straight line was taken as the unnormalized tearing moduli (T, or T_M) for each specimen. The critical mode I and mode III J components (J_{iC} and J_{iiiC}) in mixed-mode specimens could also be calculated in terms of the corresponding resolved loads and displacements. The calculations of resolved mode I and mode III load and displacement and the determinations of J,, and J_{iiiC} have been reported in detail in Ref. 6, 7, and 12.

Due to the presence of two cracks in the "triple-pantleg" specimen, Eq. (1) needs to be divided by a factor of 2. Due to the 20% side grooves on each side, $B_{net} = 0.60B$. The slope of the blunting line is $J_{III}/\Delta a$ $=2\tau_{\rm f}$, where $\tau_{\rm f} = (\sigma_{\rm y} + \sigma_{\rm ms})/4$. The values of J_m, and T_m, were determined in the same way as that used in mode I and mixed mode I/III tests.

Results

1. The Critical J Values

The effect of crack angle, i.e. the ratio of tension to shear stress, on J, (the total critical J values) was demonstrated by Fig. 3. In the limits of $\Phi = 0$ and 90 degrees, J, is equal to J, and J₁₀, respectively, while for $0 \le \Phi \le 90$ degrees J_{MC} represents the total critical J values under mixed-mode loading. A solid circle was used for J_{mc} in Fig. 3 because a different specimen geometry and different technique, compared to the CT and MCT specimens, were used to determine J,,,... The J_{INC} reported here is not accurate because the energy stored as strain energy in a specimen after finishing testing was not deducted from the total energy used to determine the J,... Accordingly, as it will be discussed later, J,..., was overvalued about 15%. While the J_{MC} data have some scatter, the trend is clear. As the crack inclination angle Φ increases, i.e. the mode III component increases, and J, decreases to a minimum at an angle between 40 and 50 degrees. Curve fitting showed that the change of \mathbf{J} , with $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ could be represented by a polynomial function of order 2, as shown in Fig. 3. Calculations from the best tit curve indicated that J, and J, were 511 and 490 kJ/m², respectively. The minimum J value (J_{min}) was about 240 kJ/m² and occurred at a crack angle between 40 and 50 degrees. The magnitude of J_{min} was only 48% of J_{1C} and 50% of J_{IIIC} , respectively. The variation of I, with crack angle in F-82H was similar to other tough steels [5-7],



Fig. 3. The dependence of the critical total J integrals of F-82H on the crack inclination angles. The dash-dot lines represent a $\pm 20\%$ error band from the best fitted line (dash line). The solid circle represents J_a which was determined with a multiple-specimen technique (five specimens). The J_a vs Φ equation was generated by **a** least-squares curve fit.

where adding a mode III load component to mode I loading caused a dramatic drop in the critical J values in tough steels. However, F-82H steel was more sensitive to combined stress loading, and accordingly the reduction of J, values was more pronounced than that in the Ni-Cr-Mo-V rotor steel (HPRS) [5]. For example, J_{MC} at $\Phi=15$ degrees was 83% of J_{IC} for HPRS, but only 68% of J_{IC} for F-82H steel. The J_{min} of HPRS was 54% of J_{IC} and 85% of J_{L} respectively.

The effect of crack angle on critical J values of component I (J_{ic}) and component III (J_{iiiC}) are shown in Fig. 4 and 5. It can be seen that as Φ increases, i.e. increasing mode III loading, J_{ic} drops dramatically until Φ reaches 35 degrees, then decreases moderately. On the other hand, J_{iiiC} increases linearly with Φ increase. The same trends of J_{ic} and $J_{,,,,,}$ were also found in the case of HPRS [5]. It is worthy of noting that J_{IIIC} in Fig. 5 is well above the best tit line. Because $I_{i} = J_{iC} + J_{,,,,,}$ the large drop in J_{MC} between $\Phi = 0$ and 35 degrees is primarily due to the dramatical decrease of J_{iC} .

The critical J values of 1.5 nun exclusion line (J_{MEXC}) are plotted vs Φ in Fig. 6. One can see that J_{MEXC} has less scatter than I, (Fig. 3). However, the trend is similar. As Φ increases, J_{MEXC} decreases and reaches a minimum at about 50 degrees.

2. The Tearing Moduli (T,)

Combined mode I/III loading decreased not only the energy for crack initiation (J_{MC}) , but also the resistance to stable crack growth, which can be evaluated by the mixed-mode tearing moduli (T_M) . The **unnormalized** tearing moduli corresponding to crack angles are shown in Fig. 7. The variation of T, with Φ was also found to obey a polynomial function of order 2 (see Fig. 7). Furthermore, the $T_M \cdot \Phi$ data had much less scatter than those of $J_{MC} \cdot \Phi$. From Fig. 7, it is apparent that 360 kJ/m² is needed for a mode I crack to grow 1 nun and 295 kJ/m² for a mode III crack, but only 110 kJ/m² for a mixed mode I/III specimen with a crack angle of 40 degrees.



Fig. 4. A plot of J_{iC} vs crack angle showing J_{iC} nonlinearly decreasing with $\Phi.$



Fig. 5. A plot of J_{iiiC} vs crack angle showing J_{iiiC} linearly increasing with Φ .

3. Fractography

The crack fronts of all specimens remained in their initial orientation during J testing. All specimens exhibited a microvoid-coalescence type of fracture. Most voids initiated at sulfides and a few of the big voids initiated at Al_2O_3 particles, **as** shown in Fig. 8 a, b. The average size of sulfide particles is $0.3 \,\mu$ m, and that **of** Al_2O_3 particles is $3 \,\mu$ m. There is only a small amount of Al_2O_3 particles (Fig. 9 a). Sulfide particles are distributed uniformly, but two or three Al_2O_3 particles tend to group together. While adding a mode III loading component to mode I loading did not change the nature of fracture, the roughness of the fracture surfaces and the size **as** well **as** uniformity of the voids varied significantly with Φ .



Fig. 6. The dependence of critical J values for the 1.5 mm exclusion line (J_{MEXC}) on the crack inclination angles.



Fig. 7. The dependence of the total tearing moduli on the crack inclination angles .

Generally, **as** the mode III component increased, the fracture surfaces became smooth, and the size of the voids became more uniform and smaller. The most dramatic change in the fracture surfaces occurred between $\Phi = 10$ and **30** degrees, where large drops in J J_{iC} , and T_M occurred. The fracture surfaces of the specimens with $\Phi=10$ and 55 degrees are shown in Fig. 9. The fracture surface of the 10-degree specimen (Fig, 9 a) consisted of very small voids along the tearing ridges where final rupture occurred and larger voids where nucleation and substantial growth of voids occurred before the final rupture. On the other hand, the voids of the 55-degree specimen (Fig. 9 b) were small and relatively uniform. The small dimples are associated with low-energy dissipation and low J_{MC} and T_M . Shear-stress components also distorted the shape of the voids and produced voids elongated in the shear direction (see Fig. 9 b). A fracture surface of a mode III specimen is shown in Fig. 10 a and b. It can be seen that the surface



Fig. 8. SEM fractographs showing the microvoids initiated at sulfide particles (a) and aluminum-oxide particles (b).



Ε

(a)

(b)

Fig. 9. SEM fractographs showing the effect of crack angles on the morphology of the fracture surfaces of F-82H. The photos were taken at the areas immediately close to pre-existing cracks. The arrows indicate the shear directions. (a). 10 degree specimen; (b). 55 degree specimen.



Fig. 10. SEM fractographs showing the morphology of a fracture surface of a mode III specimen, which consists of severely distorted voids and featureless facets. (a). Low magnification; the arrow indicates a featureless facet; (b). High magnification; the arrows indicate sulfide particles.



Fig. 11. The effect of the J_{iiic} (mode III component) on the J_{kc} (mode I component), which represents the sensitivity of F-82H to the shear damage.

The significance of the present investigation shows once again that for tough materials, mode I loading may not be the most severe **stress** condition for a preexisting **crack** to initiate and propagate, and it might be **necessary** to measure the mixed mode **K** or J values for a tough material and use them **as** design criteria. In a complex engineering component, it is expected that **cracks** will exist at a variety of angles relative to the principal stresses. Therefore, the results of this study indicate that J_{min} is probably the safest value to be used in design.

CONCLUSION

F-82H steel is a very tough steel. Both J_{IC} and J_{IIC} are about **500** kJ/m², and T_I is about (360 kJ/m²)/mm. Mixed mode I/III loading dramatically lowers both the J_{MC} and T_M . The lowest J_{MC} and T_M are about 240 kJ/m² and (110 kJ/m²)/mm, respectively, and occur at a crack angle between 40 to 50 degrees, where the

144

F

consists of microvoids and some featureless facets. The voids initiated also at sulfides/oxides particles (Fig. 10 b) and were severely distorted in the shear direction by the shear stress, showing intensive plastic deformation during crack initiation and propagation. Most particles were believed to be covered by the distorted voids. Consequently, only some of them were exposed to SEM. The featureless facets are believed to be caused by the friction of two fracture surfaces during mode III testing. A more comprehensive analysis of the fracture-surface morphology and its dependency on Φ is currently underway.

Discussion

It has been found recently that adding mode III component to mode I loading could increase, decrease, or have little or no effect on the J_{MC} , depending on the toughness of the materials. For brittle materials, such as glass [16], 0.29C-0.83Cu steel [4,8-10] and 1.25C bainitic steels [4,8-10], where fracture was controlled by tensile stress and the local crack-opening displacements, adding mode III components had little or no effect on the J_{iC} (mode I J component of J_{m}), but tended to increase J_{MC} . For tough steels, such as HPRS, which failed primarily by microvoid coalescence, additional shear stress produced incompatibility stresses at the particle interfaces in the trajectory of the crack, causing decohesion or particle fracture (shear damage). This process led to void formation that limits the mode I plastic flow field and caused premature separation of voids by mode I stress. Accordingly, tough materials exhibited lower fracture toughness for a mixed-mode crack than that for mode I crack. For those materials with intermediate toughnesses, such as AISI 1090 steel, introducing a mode III component decreased J, moderately, and had little effect on J_{MC} .

F-82H steel is a very tough steel and very sensitive to incompatibility stresses at particles interfaces caused by the mode 111 component. F-82H steel shows a similar trend to HPRS, i. e. J, decreased as mode III load component increased until reaching a minimum at a crack angle between 40 and SO degrees. Then critical J value tended to increase until reaching $J_{\mu\nu}$. The higher $J_{\mu\nu}$ is believed due to intensive shear deformation and friction between two fracture surfaces. The J_{IDC} reported here is believed higher than what it should be, because there was some strain-energy was found stored in specimen after testing. The strain-energy would lead to an overestimate of J_{mc}. The overestimate of J_{mc} is shown indirectly by Fig. 5 in which the $J_{\rm mc}$ is well above the best fit line. The residual strain-energy depends upon the toughness of **a** material. The tougher the material, the higher the strain-energy. In the case of F-82H steel, $J_{\mu\nu}$ is believed 15% higher than the actual value. In order to measure J_{IIIC} accurately, a small modification to the fixture is needed. The sensitivity to shear damage was manifested in Fig. 11 by plotting normalized J_{IC}/J_{IC} vs J_{iiiC}/J_{IIIC} . One can see that adding mode III loading greatly reduced J, values. The least-square curve fit showed that J_{iC}/J_{IC} was related by an equation of $(J_{iC}/J_{IC})^m + (J_{iiiC}/J_{IIIC})^m = I$ with m = 0.56, as shown also in Fig. 11. The value of m is an index to the sensitivity of a material to shear damage. The greater the value of m, the less sensitive the material is to shear damage. Accordingly, m would be greater than 1 for brittle materials, such as ceramics, glasses, 0.29C-0.83Cu steel, and 1.25 C bainitic steel; m would be approximately equal to unity for intermediate-toughness steel, such as AISI 1090 steel and less than unity for tough materials, such as HPRS and F-82H steel. Furthermore, a curve fit to the data from Ref. 5 for HPRS yielded m = 0.74, which was greater than 0.56 for F-82H steel and indicated that F-82H steel was more sensitive to shear damage. However, F-82H steel had \mathbf{a} higher toughness, and the minimum mixed-mode toughness (J integral) was still more than 200 kJ/m², higher than J, of some tough and intermediately tough steels, such as HPRS (J_{IC}: 186 kJ/m², J_{min}: 100 kJ/m²) [5] and AISI 1090 steel $(J_{1C}: 73 \text{ kJ/m}^2, J_{min}: 73 \text{ kJ/m}^2)$ [7].

ratio of of mode I to mode III loading, σ_i / σ_{iii} , is 0.84 to 1.2. The fracture surface roughness is also reduced by the presence of mode III components. The fracture surface of a mode I specimen consisted of both large and small voids, but those for the specimens with crack angles of 30 to 55 degrees consisted of only small voids, which corresponded with low J_{MC} and T_M values. While the upper shelf toughness of F-82H depends on the loading mode, the J_{min} remains higher than many tough materials.

FUTURE WORK

Further research is in progress to evaluate the effect of mixed-mode loading on the ductile-brittle-transition temperature, and effects of hydrogen and irradiation on J_{min} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The assistance of Dr. A. Kumar at Washington State University, Pullman and Mr. J. L. Humason at Pacific Northwest Laboratory in developing the test methods is gratefully acknowledged. The material was supplied by Dr. A. Hishinuma of JAERI whose help is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by the Office of Fusion Energy of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 with Battelle Memorial Institute.

REFERENCES

- M. T. Miglin, I.-H. Lin, J. P. Hirth, and A. R. Rosenfield, Fracture Mechanics: 14th Symp. --Vol. II: Testing and Applications, ASTM STP 791, J. C. Lewis and G. Sines, Eds., ASTM, Philadelphia, 1983, pp. II-353-II-369.
- 2. M. T. Miglin, J. P. Hirth and A. R. Rosenfield, <u>Res. Mech.</u> 11 (1984), pp. 85-95.
- 3. J. G. Schroth, J. P. Hirth, R. G. Hoagland, and A. R. Rosenfield, <u>Met. Trans. A</u>, 18A (1987), pp. 1061-1072.
- 4. M. Manoharan, J. P. Hirth, and A. R. Rosenfield, <u>J. of Test. and Eval.</u>, 18(1990), No.2, pp. 106-114.
- 5. S. Raghavachary, A. R. Rosenfield, and J. P. Hirth, Met. Trans. A, 21A (1990), pp. 2539-45.
- 6. **1.** A. Gordon, J. P. Hirth, A. M. Kumar, and N. R. Moody, Jr., <u>Met. Trans. A</u>, 23A (1991), pp. 1013-1020.
- 7. M. Manoharan, S. Raghavachary, J. P. Hirth, and A. R. Rosenfield, <u>**1**. Eng. Mater. Technol.</u> 111 (1989), October, pp. 440-442.
- 8. A. M. Kumar and J. P. Hirth, <u>Scripta Metall. Mater.</u>, 25 (1991), pp. 985-90
- 9. M. Manobaran, Scripta Metall. Mater., 26 (1992), pp. 1187-1192.
- 10. M. Manoharan, J. P. Hirth and A. R. Rosenfield, <u>Scripta Metall. Mater.</u>, 23 (1989), pp. 763-766 and pp. 1647-1648.

- Yoshiro Kuriki, Akihide Yoshitake, and Manabu Tamura, "Fracture Toughness Test Results of Low Activation Ferritic Steel for First Wall Material (F-82H)", NKK Steel Research Center, Japan, April, 1989.
- H. Li, R. H. Jones, P. J. Hirth and D. S. Gelles, <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress</u> <u>Report</u> DOEIER-031317, Oak Ridge National Lab., Oak Ridge, TN (March, 1993).
- 13. Ashok Saxena and S. J. Hudak, Jr, Int. J. Fract., 14 (1978), pp. 453-468.
- 14. T. Foecke, P. G. Marsh, N. R. Moody, and W. W. Gerberich, <u>Scripta Metall. Mater.</u>, 28 (1993), pp. 77-80.
- 15. J. R. Rice, P. C. Paris, and J. G. Merkle, ASTM STP 536, ASTM, Philadelphia, 1973, pp. 231-235.
- 16. A. R. Rosenfield and W. H. Duckworth, Int. J. Fract., 32, (1987), p. R59.

IMPACT TOUGHNESS OF IRRADIATED REDUCED-ACTIVATION FERRITIC STEELS -- R. L. Klueh and D. J. Alexander *(Oak* Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The goal of this study is the development of a reduced-activation ferritic steel.

SUMMARY

Eight chromium-tungsten steels ranging from 2.25 to 12 wt% Cr were irradiated at 365°C to 13-14 dpa in the Fast Flux Test Facility. Post irradiation Charpy impact tests showed a loss of toughness for all steels. as measured by an increase in the ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) and a decrease in the upper-shelf energy. The most irradiation-resistant steels were two 9% Cr steels: **the** DBTT **of** a 9Cr-2W-0.25V-0.1C steel increased 29°C, and for the same composition with an addition of 0.07% Ta the DB1T increased only 15°C. This is the smallest shift ever observed for such a steel irradiated to these levels. The other steels developed shifts in DBTT **of**100 to 300°C. A 2.25% Cr steel with 2% W, 0.25% V. and 0.1% C was **less** severely affected by irradiation than 2.25% Cr steels with 0.25% V and no tungsten. 2% W and no vanadium, and with 1% W and 0.25% V. Irradiation resistance appears to be associated with microstructure and microstructural manipulation may lead to improved **propenies**.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Reduced-activation or fast induced-radioactivity decay (FIRD) ferritic steels **are** being developed for fusion power plant applications'. Reduced-activation steels can be more readily disposed of or recycled after the reactor service lifetime than conventional alloys². A FIRD alloy cannot contain molybdenum and niobium², important constituents in conventional Cr-Mo steels (i.e., 2 1/4Cr-1Mo, 9Cr-1MoVNb, and 12Cr-1MoVW) of interest for fusion. The experimental FIRD steels discussed in this paper were developed based on compositions of conventional Cr-Mo steels with molybdenum replaced by tungsten and niobium replaced by tantalum'. Compositions are given in Table 1, along with the designation for each alloy*.

Information on microstructure³, tempering and tensile behavior⁴, and Charpy impact behavior⁵ of the FIRD steels in the unirradiated condition has been reported. Results were also published on the tensile⁶ and Charpy properties⁷ after irradiation to \approx 7 dpa at 365°C in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF).

In this paper, the effect on Charpy-impact toughness of the FIRD steels irradiated to 13-14 dpa at 365°C in the FFIF is presented. Impact behavior is extremely useful, because neutron irradiation causes an increase in the ductile-brittletransition temperature (DBTT) and a decrease in upper-shelf energy (USE) of ferritic steels; those effects generally (but not always) reflect a degradation in fracture toughness. Developing steels with minimal changes in these parameters is crucial if ferritic steels are to be useful structural materials for fusion.

^{*}As a generic designation, the new class of steels are referred to as Cr-W steels. (The only exception is the 2 1/4CrV steel. which contains no tungsten.) This designation follows the procedure used for the Cr-Mo steels, after which these steels are patterned, even though both types of steel may contain other alloying elements.

Alloy	Cr	Nominal Chemic W	al Composition ^a V	Ta	Wt % C
2.25CrV	2.25		0.25		0.1
2.25Cr-1WV	2.25	1	0.25		0.1
2.25Cr-2W	2.25	2			0.1
2.25 Cr-2WV	2.25	2	0.25		0.1
5Cr-2WV	5	2	0.25		0.1
9Cr-2WV	9	2	0.25		0.1
9Cr-2WVTa	9	2	0.25	0.12	0.1
12Cr-2WV	12	2	0.25		0.1

Table 1. Nominal Compositions for Reduced-Activation Steels

^a Balance iron

Exoerimental Procedure

Eight electroslag-remelted heats of FIRD steel with the nominal compositions given in Table 1 were prepared by Combustion Engineering, Inc. These steels were used in previous studies, and melt compositions have been published³⁻⁷. In addition to nominal compositions of Cr, V, W. C, and Ta, concentrations of elements normally found in steels, such as Mn, P, Si. etc., were adjusted to levels typical of commercial practice³.

Steels were normalized and tempered as 15.9-mm-thick plate. The 2 1/4Cr-2W steel was austenitized 1 h at 900°C and air cooled. The other seven heats were austenitized 1 h at 1050°C and air cooled; the higher normalizing temperature assured that any vanadium carbide present dissolved during austenitization. The 2 1/4CrV, 2 1/4Cr-1WV, and 2 1/4Cr-2W steels were tempered 1 h at 700°C; the other five heats were tempered 1 h at 750°C.

Six Charpy specimens from each heat were irradiated in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) of FFTF in the below-core specimen canister, a sodium "weeper" operating at $\approx 365^{\circ}$ C. The 2 1/4Cr steels, the 9Cr-2WVTa, and the 12Cr-2WV were irradiated to $3.4-3.5 \times 10^{26} \text{ n/m}^2$ (>0.1 MeV), which produced ≈ 13 dpa. The 5Cr-2WV and 9Cr-2WV steels were irradiated to $3.6 \times 10^{26} \text{ n/m}^2$, ≈ 14 dpa. Less than 1 appm He formed in the specimens.

One-third-size Charpy specimens measuring $3.3 \times 3.3 \times 25.4$ mm with a 0.51-mm-deep 30° V-notch and a 0.05- to 0.08-mm-root radius were irradiated and tested. Specimens were machined along the rolling direction with the notch transverse to the rolling direction (L-T orientation). Details on the test procedure for the subsize Charpy specimens have been published⁸.

Alloy Designation	Specimen Condition (dpa) ^a	DBTT ^b (·C)	ADBTI (°C)	Upper-Shelf Energy (J)	AUSE (%)	Δσ _y
2 1/4CrV	N&T	14		10.0		
24,000	I (7)	250	236	4.2	-58	301
	I (13)	317	303	2.1	-79	270
2 1/4Cr-	N&T	-28		11.8		
1WV	I (7)	192	220	5.6	-53	282
	I (13)	243	271	2.8	-76	337
2 1/4Cr-2W	N&T	-19		9.2		
	I (7)	140	150	4.6	-50	262
	I (13)	231	250	3.9	-58	295
2 1/4Cr-	N&T	4		9.1		
2 w v	I (7)	111	115	5.2	-43	261
	I (13)	145	141	4.2	-54	298
5Cr-2WV	N&T	-70		9.2		
	I (7)	33	103	6.5	-29	192
	I (14)	46	116	6.0	-35	190
9Cr-2WV	N&T	-60		8.4		
	I (7)	8	68	6.4	-24	161
	I (14)	-31	29	6.3	-25	141
9Cr-2WVTa	N&T	-88		11.2		
	I (7)	-84	4	8.6	-23	125
	I (13)	-73	15	8.5	-24	147
12Cr-2WV	N&T	-18		8.3		
	I (7)	156	174	5.9	-29	335
	<u> </u>	126	134	4.8	-42	339

^a N&T - Normalized and tempered; I -- Irradiated; dpa in parentheses.

Evaluated at an energy level halfway between the upper and lower shelves.

Increase in yield stress caused by irradiation to -7 and 14 dpa were calculated from **data** in reference 6 and unpublished **data**, respectively.

Results

Table 2 gives the **DBTT** and USE for each FIRD steel in the unirradiated condition and after irradiation in **FFIF** at 365°C to 13-14 dpa. The shift (increase) in **DBTT** (Δ **DBTT**) and the percent change (decrease) in USE (AUSE) caused by irradiation are also given. The DBTT was determined at an energy

level midway between the upper-and lower-shelf energies. For comparison, results after \approx 7 dpa at 365°C are also given'.

All four 2 1/4Cr steels had a large **ADBTT** and **AUSE** after 7 dpa⁷, with a larger increase in **ADBTI** and decrease in **AUSE** after 13 dpa than after 7 dpa (Table 2). The magnitude of the changes decreased in going from 2 1/4CrV to 2 1/4Cr-1WV to 2 1/4Cr-2W to 2 1/4Cr-2WV. Of the 2 1/4 Cr steels, 2 1/4Cr-2WV had the smallest **ADBTI** and **AUSE** after 7 and 13 dpa and had the smallest increase in **ADBTT** in going from 7 to 13 dpa.

The 5-12Cr steels showed a much smaller difference in **ADBTT** and **AUSE** between 7 and 13-14 dpa (Table 2). The 9Cr-2WV and the 12Cr-2WV steels actually had a smaller **ADBTT** after the higher fluence. In both cases, however, the difference was relatively small, and it was concluded that the $\Delta DBTT$ for the 5-9Cr steels saturated with fluence by \approx 7 dpa. Except for the 12Cr-2WV, the USE of these steels also showed no large change beyond the 7 dpa irradiation. The most significant observation is the small **ADBTT** and small **AUSE** for the 9Cr-2WV and 9Cr-2WV as steels.

Discussion

The observations confirmed the previous results that showed the 9Cr-2WVTa steel to have the smallest **ADBTT** ever observed for this type of steel for such irradiation conditions'. The present work also showed the 9Cr-2WV to have excellent properties.

Microstructures of the normalized-and-tempered 15.9-mm steels have been published³. The 2 1/4Cr steels contained tempered bainite and polygonal or proeutectoid ferrite: $2 \frac{1}{4CrV}$ contained $\approx 30\%$ bainite, 70% ferrite; $2 \frac{1}{4Cr-1WV}$ contained $\approx 55\%$ bainite, 45% ferrite; $2 \frac{1}{4Cr-2W}$ steel was 100% bainite; and $2 \frac{1}{4Cr-2WV}$ steel was $\approx 80\%$ bainite, 20% ferrite. The 5Cr-2WV, 9Cr-2WV, and 9Cr-2WVTa steels were 100% tempered martensite, and the 12Cr-2WV steel was martensite with -25% delta-ferrite³.

In the previous work⁷, the 7 dpa data for **ADBTT** and **AUSE** were plotted against the change in yield stress, $\Delta \sigma_y$. Tensile data were obtained on specimens from 0.76-mm-thick sheet that were irradiated in MOTA to \approx 7 dpa at 365°C and then tensile tested at 365°C⁶. Note that in this case the 0.76-mm-thick tensile specimens were heat treated, and because of the thinner sections, all 2 1/4Cr steels were 100% bainite.

Odette et a19 found a direct proportionality between **ADBTT** and $\Delta \sigma_y$ for pressure-vessel steels irradiated in light-water reactors. The data for the eight steels irradiated to ~7 dpa were fit with a straight line through the origin. and although there was considerable scatter, it appeared that such a relationship between **ADBTT** and hardening might apply'. However, it was concluded that the 9Cr-2WVTa steel deviated excessively from the line; it had a very small **ADBTT** (4°C) for a large $\Delta \sigma_y$ (125 MPa), based on the observations for the other steels. This was taken to mean that some mechanism other than hardening dominated the shift in **DBTT** for this steel⁷.

Figure 1 shows the $\Delta DBTT-\Delta\sigma_y$ data for the combined 7 and 13-14 dpa tests (yield stress changes after 7 and 14 dpa are listed in Table 2). Also included in the figure are data for 9Cr-1MoVNb and 12Cr-1MoVW steels after irradiation to 7 dpa at 365°C in MOTA^{6,10}. Individual data points have been identified according to which steel they represent. The linear relationship between ADBTI and $\Delta\sigma_y$ postulated for the 7 dpa data⁷ was not confirmed for the combined data. (A line through the origin could be fit to the four data points near the top of the figures, but since this is only a small portion of the data, such a curve was not drawn.) A linear relationship is suggested at small ADBTT values, but not with a line passing through the origin. These data, which include the 5Cr-2WV, 9Cr-2WV, 9Cr-2WVTa, and 9Cr-1MoVNb steels, suggest a threshold $\Delta\sigma_v$ that must be exceeded for a shift in DBTT to occur. A more

realistic conclusion is that no general $\Delta DBTT \cdot \Delta \sigma_y$ relationship exists that applies to all steels. and different relationships apply to different steels or classes of steels. The 2 1/4Cr steels **are** bunched near **the** top of the figure, the 12Cr-2WV and 12Cr-1MoVW steels to the right center, and the 5Cr and 9Cr steels **at** the bottom.



Fig. 1. The shift and 14 dpa at 365°C.

Figure 2 shows schematically for two steels how the steel with the lower DB'IT will have a smaller ADB'IT. Flow stress and fracture stress are shown as a function of temperature, with their intersection defining the DBIT. For simplicity, the two steels are assumed to have the same flow curves before and after irradiation, but to have different fracture curves. If irradiation shifts the flow stress by $\Delta \sigma_y$ and the fracture stress is unaffected. the difference between T_1 and T_1^0 and between T_2 and T_2^0 defines $\Delta DBTT_1$ and $\Delta DBTT_2$, respectively. A similar argument follows for two steels with different unirradiated and irradiated flow curves having the same or different fracture stresses and the same or different $\Delta \sigma_y$. The idealized conditions described here imply that the steel with the lowest DB1T in the unirradiated condition should always develop the lowest $\Delta DBTT$, thus illustrating the importance of a low DB1T in the unirradiated condition.

The diagram also indicates why a single linear relationship would not be expected to apply to the different steels plotted in Fig. 1. For the case where the steels have different fracture stress curves and a similar $\Delta \sigma_y$, $\Delta DBTT_1 = K_1 \Delta \sigma_y$ and $\Delta DBTT_2 = K_2 \Delta \sigma_y$, where K_1 and K_2 are constants. This means a single $\Delta DBTT \cdot \Delta \sigma_y$ relationship does not apply. The only time a single relationship would apply is if the steels have the same fracture stress and flow curves, which is unlikely for the variation of microstructures observed in the Cr-W steels tested here. Although the pressure-vessel steels examined by Odette et a19 fit a single $\Delta DBTT = K \Delta \sigma_y$ relation, those steels encompass much less chemical and microstructural variation than the steels tested here.

to 7



It was concluded previously that the low DBTT and small $\Delta DBTT$ for 9Cr-2WVTa may be due to the smaller prior-austenite grain size and/or smaller lath size caused by the tantalum⁷. A small lath and grain size leads to a low DBTT and could provide a more effective sink for irradiation-produced defects. The 9Cr-2WV and 9Cr-2WVTa had ASTM grain size numbers of 5 and 7, respectively. and the 9Cr-1MoVNb had a grain size number 8. Re-examination of lath size indicated that there are only minor differences among the three steels. A more probable explanation for the superior behavior is a combination of the 9Cr composition and microstructure. Variations among the 9Cr steels are then determined by how microstructure is affected by composition (i.e., by additions of W, Mo, Ta, and Nb). There is some indication that the 9Cr-2WVTa has a finer carbide distribution than the other two 9Cr steels', which may explain part of the difference in the 9Cr steels.

The 9Cr composition may be the reason for the superior behavior of these steels over other compositions. To a large extent, the mechanical properties of the 9Cr and 12Cr steels are determined by $M_{23}C_6$ precipitates. It has been suggested that the larger, more plentiful precipitates in the 12Cr-1MoVW, with 0.2% C, compared to the 9Cr-1MoVNb, with 0.1% C and only half as much precipitate, give the 9Cr-1MoVNb the lower DB'M in the unirradiated condition and the smallest ADBTT after irradiation¹⁰. The 12Cr-2WV contains only 0.1% C, but behaves as the 12Cr-1MoVW. However, the 12Cr-2WV also contains 25% δ -ferrite; no ferrite was detected in the 12Cr-1MoVW¹⁰.

Anderko et al.¹¹ showed that for 12Cr steels containing δ -ferrite, it is $M_{23}C_6$ on martensite/d-ferrite boundaries that lowers the DB1T. Considerable $M_{23}C_6$ forms on the interfaces in the 12Cr-2WV in the unirradiated condition³. This could mean that $M_{23}C_6$ controls fracture in both 12Cr-2WV and 12Cr-1MoVW. Precipitates (mainly M_7C_3) may also control the behavior of the 2 1/4Cr-2WV, which contained $\approx 20\%$ ferrite with extensive precipitation on the ferrite/bainite interface³. This implies that reducing the size of the precipitates in the 12Cr-1MoVW¹⁰ or the elimination of ferrite or precipitates at ferrite/martensite or ferrite/bainite boundaries would be the most likely way to minimize ADBTT. In the 2 1/4Cr-2WV, the polygonal ferrite can be eliminated by heat treating. It has been demonstrated that heat treating to produce 100% bainite significantly lowered the DB1T of unirradiated 2 1/4Cr-2WV¹².

Odette el **al**.⁹ found a direct proportionality between AUSE and $\Delta \sigma_y$ for pressure-vessel steels, and such a plot was presented for the 7 dpa results⁷. Although there was considerable scatter in the **data**, a direct proportionality appeared to exist. The only exception was the 12Cr-2WV steel, which developed a relatively small change in USE for a large increase in yield stress, compared to the other seven **state**. A first glance **at** Fig. 3 for the combined **data** indicates that a straight line through the origin might fit all the **data** but that for 12Cr-2WV (7 and 13 dpa), 12Cr-1MoVW (7 dpa), and *the* 13 dpa **data** of 2 1/4CV and 2 1/4Cr-1WV. On closer examination, however, it appears that separate curves would better fit the ⁵Cr and 9Cr martensitic steels and the 2 1/4Cr bainitic-ferritic steels. Therefore, just **as** was true for $\Delta DBTT$, it is concluded that chemistry and microstructure affect the relationship between fracture and hardening.



Fig. 3. The decrease in USE plotted against increase in yield stress for ferritic steels irradiated to 7 and 14 dpa at 365°C.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Miniature Charpy specimens from eight reduced-activation Cr-W ferritic steels were irradiated to 13-14 dpa in FFTF at 365°C. Chromium concentrations ranged from 2.25 to 12wt% Cr. The 9Cr steels were least affected by irradiation, with the 9Cr-2WVTa showing only a **15°C** increase in DB1T after 13 dpa. This steel showed only a 4°C shift in DBTT in a previous irradiation to 7 dpa at 365°C. These **are** the lowest shifts in DBTT for this type of steel irradiated to these conditions. The results are encouraging **because** they indicate that the effect of irradiation on toughness can be favorably affected by changing the composition and microstructure.

REFERENCES

1. R. L. Klueh and E. E. Bloom, Alloy Development for Fast Induced Radioactivity Decay for Fusion Reactor Applications, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-8894 (March 1984).

2. R. W. Conn et al., Panel Report on Low Activation Materials--Report to WE, University of California, Los Angeles, PPG-753 (March 1983)

3. R. L. Klueh and P. J. Maziasz, Met. Trans. 20A (1989) 373.

4. R. L. Klueh, Met. Trans. 20A (1989)463.

5. R. L. Klueh and W. R. Corwin, J. Materials Eng. 11 (1989) 169.

6. R. L. Klueh, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 728.

7. R. L. Klueh and D. J. Alexander, J. Nucl. Mater. 186(1992) 185.

8. D. J. Alexander, R. K. Nanstad, W. R. Corwin, and J. T. Hutton, Applications of Automation Technology to Fatigue and Fracture Testing, ASTM STP 1092, A. A. Braun, N. E. Ashbaugh, and F. M. Smith, Eds. (American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, 1990)p. 83.

9. G. R. Odette, P. M. Lombrozo, and R. A. Wullaert, Effects of Radiation on Materials: Twelfth International Symposium, ASTM STP 870, Eds. F. A. Garner and J. s. Perrin, (American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, 1985) p. 840.

10. R. L. Klueh and D. J. Alexander, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 896.

11. K. Anderko, L. Schafer, and E. Materna-Morris, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 492.

12. R. L. Klueh and D. J. Alexander, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 728.

MICROSTRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT IN REDUCED ACTIVATION FERRITIC ALLOYS IRRADIATED TO 200 DPA AT 420°C - D. S. Gelles, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)'

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to determine lifetime limits for reduced activation ferritic alloys.

SUMMARY

Density change and microstructural development are reported for nine reduced activation ferritic steels covering the range 2.3 to 12 Cr with varying additions of V and/or W for hardening and up to 6.5 Mn for austenite stability. Specimens were examined following irradiation in FFTF/MOTA at 420°C to a dose exceeding 200 dpa. Void swelling was found, but the swelling remained at 5% or below, with the worst case in an alloy of 9Cr-2Mn-1WV. The carbide structure pinning Martensite lath boundaries remains in place.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Reduced activation ferritic alloys appear to be promising first wall and structural materials for commercial fusion reactors. A design window is viable using these steels that should provide long reactor vessel lifetimes, limited primarily by the buildup of manganese by transmutation **frcm** iron. The present effort investigates the high dose limit of these steels by examining the effect of irradiation in a fast reactor for a series of experimental reduced activation ferritic/Martensitic steels.

This report is **the** fifth in a series describing microstructural response in the same series of reduced activation alloys following fast neutron irradiation in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA).¹⁴ The present effort examines these alloys after irradiation to a dose in excess of $4x10^{23}$ n/cm² (E>0.1MeV), or 200 dpa, at a temperature corresponding to the peak swelling temperature for this alloy class.

Experimental Procedure

Compositions for the reduced activation alloys of interest are given in Table 1. Alloy preparation was described in earlier reports." Specimens of the reduced activation ferritic alloys for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were put into in-core weeper positions of the FFTF/MOTA at the beginning of cycle 4 and were reinserted for each of the following cycles throughout the operation of FFTF. The *irradiation* history was **as** follows: MOTA 1B basket IE-4 to 2.21×10^{22} n/cm² (all fluences are given **as** E > 0.1MeV) at an average temperature of 407°C, MOTA 1C basket 2C-4 to 7.25×10^{22} n/cm² at 425°C, MOTA 1D basket 2C-2 to 5.46×10^{22} n/cm² at 406°C, MOTA 1E basket 2C-2 to 7.81×10^{22} n/cm² at 403°C, MOTA IF basket 2C-1 to 9.58×10^{22} n/cm² at 406°C, MOTA 1G basket 3A-1 to 8.26×10^{22} n/cm² at 431°C, and MOTA 2B basket 3A-4 to 8.50×10^{22} n/cm² at 430°C. Therefore, during that period, the

⁶Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.
INDOR
/ THE VE
PLIED BY
S AS SUP
ALLOYS
FERRITIC
IVATION
ED ACT
REDUC
COMPOSITIONS OF
Table 1.

			=.			<u> </u>	— ,	=,	=,	<u> </u>	
heat	I reatment	А	Α	А	В	c	С	С	С	U	
	Other			Ti: 0.003 Cu: 0.04			_	_	_		
	S	0.003	0.002	0.015	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.004	0.005	
	Р	<0.005	<0.005	0.007	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	
((Z	0.004	0.003	0.015	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	
sition (w/c	Si	0.08	0.08	0.30	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	
compo	Mn	<0.01	<0.01	0.30	0.02	1.08	2.68	6.47	2.44	6.47	
	Ŵ	<0.01	<0.01	1	0.01	0.02	0.01	<0.01	0.89	0.89	
	۸	0.50	1.01	1.50	0.52	1.23	0.51	1.05	0.27	0.28	
	C	0.086	0.093	0.11	0.096	l 0.197	0.097	0.089	0.101	0.097	
	cr	2.32	2.38	2.46	9.13	9.14	9.02	12.19	8.82	11.81	
Heat	No.	V02262	V02263	UC-19	V02264	V02265	V02266	V02267	V02268	VO2269	
Alloy	No.	1.1	1.2	L3	14	1.5	<u>۶</u> 1	<i>L</i> .1	8.1 l	6T	

×

specimens received a dose of 4.91×10^{23} nlcm' at an average temperature of $416 \pm 13^{\circ}$ C, hereafter reported as 420°C. The dose achieved, calculated from reference' which is based on dosimetry measurements from MOTA IF, varies from 203 to 209 dpa, depending on alloy composition.

Microstructural examinations were performed on a JEM 1200EX scanning transmission electron microscope operating at 120 kV and outfitted with a Tracor Northern EDX detector and TN5500 computer and with a Gatan Electron energy loss spectrometer. Determination of precipitate compositions from extraction replicas involved computer analysis of EDX spectra which included analysis for tungsten.

<u>Results</u>

Swelling

Results of density change measurements for reduced activation alloy specimens irradiated at 420°C to 200 dpa are provided in Table 2. Table 2 contains dose estimates for each alloy based on conversions from reference.' Table 2 also included results for Modified 9Cr-1Mo (T9), and HT-9 in two conditions (due to different preirradiation tempering treatments as described in reference!) As shown from Table 2, swelling values are 5% or less. The highest swelling value, 5.04%, is found in alloy L8, and the next highest swelling, 4.66%, is in alloy L6. These alloys contain 9% Cr and 2.5% Mn, L8 with 0.9% W and L6 with 0.5% V. In comparison, reducing the manganese level to 1.0% and increasing the vanadium to 1.2% (LS) reduces the swelling to 2.20%, and reducing the manganese to negligible levels (LA) reduces the swelling to 0.54%. Swelling values for the 2.3Cr and 12Cr alloys are lower, in the 1% range. Comparisons with

Table 2.	Swelling	as measured	by	density	change	for	reduced	activation	ferritic	alloys	specimens	with
compariso	on of Mod	ified 9Cr-1M	o a	nd HT-9	Э.							

CODE	. Alloy	Dose (dpa)	Density Irradiated	Density Unirradiated	Swelling (%)
P3FL	Ll	203.2	7.74366	7.8298	1.11
P4FL	L2	203.5	7.78771	7.88886	1.30
P5FL	L3-UC19	204.0	7.71331	7.83021	1.52
P6FL	L4	204.8	7.7434	7.78532	0.54
P7FL	L5	205.7	7.63738	7.80516	2.20
P9FL	L6	206.0	7.48531	7.83446	4.66
RAFL	L7	208.8	7.55892	7.6673	1.43
RBFL	L 8	204.4	7.44347	7.8188	5.04
REFL	L9	206.9	7.81535	7.7552	-0.77
PTFL	9Cr-1Mo	204.5	7.65245	7.7869	1.76
RFFL	HT-9@700	204.5	7.78535	7.7924	0.09
RHFL	HT-9@760	204.5	7.76143	7.84079	1.02

the data for commercial alloys T9 and HT-9 show that irradiation of the reduced activation alloys produces similar levels of swelling, and T9 swells slightly more than HT-9. Therefore, reduced activation ferritic alloys, and ferritic alloys in general, are further demonstrated to be highly swelling resistant.

Microstructural examination

Microstructural examinations confirmed that swelling, **as** measured by density change, was generally due to void swelling. The highest void swelling levels were found in alloys **L8** and **L6**. However, void distributions were not uniform, with voids forming within Martensite laths, and boundary regions containing void-free zones. In comparison, alloys with less swelling contained lower void densities and smaller voids within martensite laths.

Examples of the microstructures at low magnification **are** given in Figure 1, and the microstructural observations are summarized in Table 3. As shown from Figure 1, void swelling is present in all conditions hut the highest swelling is generally in those alloys with the largest voids. The exceptions are alloys L7 [Figures 1 (g)] and L9 [Figure 1 (i)] where the voids are large but the number densities are low. The L9 specimen yielded a negative swelling value of -0.77, indicative of phase change during irradiation; therefore, the densification due to phase change was greater than -0.77%. All conditions retained carbide precipitation in the form of equiaxed particles at grain and lath boundaries, and alloys L7 and L9 contain fine equiaxed particles previously identified **as** α' .¹⁴ However, alloys L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, L7 and L9 also contain elongated precipitate particles within grains and subgrains. These features correspond to vanadium carbide particles (V₄C₃) except perhaps in the cases of L7 and L9, where FeCrMn chi is expected. Also, the L7 and the L9 conditions shown in Figures 1 (g) and (i) had been selectively etched during electropolishing, possibly in association with the indicated phase change. Therefore, it is apparent that the Bainite and tempered Martensite structures created before irradiation remain stable, that precipitation of both vanadium carbide and M₂₃C₆ coarsens, and that void swelling develops in regions away from grain and lath boundaries.

Microstructural examinations included attempts to define the dislocation structure in each of these alloys by assuming that only $\frac{a}{2} < 111$ and a < 001 Burgers vectors coexisted, and hy comparison of $\vec{g} = 002$ and 110 images to indicate relative proportions. Void shape information could also he extracted from these Examples are shown in Figure 2 and the results are summarized in Table 3. Figures 2 (a) and images." (b) compare the same region in a specimen of alloy L4. Figures 2 (c) and (d) and Figures 2 (e) and (f) provide similar images for alloys L6 and L8 respectively. In each pair, the 110 image appears on the left and the 002 image appears on the right. Dislocations that appear in the 110 image and not in the 002 image must be of type a < 001 whereas dislocations that appear only in the 002 image may he of both types, $\frac{3}{2}$ < 11 > or a < 001>, but the a < 001> dislocations will appear in much stronger contrast. Therefore, from comparison of Figures 2 (a) and (b), both Burgers vector types are present in alloy L4 following irradiation but the majority are a<001>, whereas in alloys L6 and L8, at least in the vicinities of martensite lath boundaries, the dislocations are predominantly $\frac{a}{2} < 111$. The voids are found to be shaped **as** follows: in alloy L4, voids are primarily dodecahedra with minor 100 truncation, and in alloys L6 and L8, two void shapes appear, primarily larger dodecahedra voids with minor 100 truncation, hut also containing smaller voids of an intermediate shape between cube and dodecahedra, with voids in alloy L8 tending more towards cuboidal shapes.

Discussion

The present results provide encouragement for using a reduced activation ferritic alloy **as** a fusion reactor structural material. The microstructures are very resistant to radiation damage, with excellent resistance to swelling, good phase stability, and normal dislocation evolution, albeit with a second Burgers vector (a<100>) developing during irradiation. Vanadium additions promote precipitation within grains, manganese





Figure 1. Microstructures of Reduced Activation Ferritic Alloys Following Irradiation at 420° C to 200 dpa (a) L1, (b) L2, (c) L3, (d) L4, (e) L5, (f) L6, (g) L7, (h) L8, and (i) L9.





Figure 2. Dislocation and Void Microstructures for $\vec{g} = 110$ and 002 near (110) in L4 in (a) and (b), L6 in (c) and (d) and L8 in (e) and (f).

additions promote phase instability in the form of chi (and austenite at 600° C)⁴ as well as a coarsening of the boundary precipitate structures, but tungsten appears only to promote growth of the $M_{23}C_6$ phase.

If the present gross microstructural features are compared with the preirradiation microstructures described in,' it can be demonstrated that coarsening of the lath structure does occur and subgrain structure is rearranged with some carbide pinning removed, but the maximum carbide particle size stabilizing the lath structure is relatively unchanged, except in the cases of L6, L8, and L9. In alloys L6, L8, and L9, the volume fraction of precipitate stabilizing the boundary positions appears to increase significantly. The increase has not been quantified, but estimates of a factor of 2 or 3 are not unreasonable. The net result is that the subgrain structure is retained, and mechanical properties can be expected to be maintained.

CODE	Alloy	Dose (dpa)	Dislocation Structure	Void Shape
P3FL	Ll	203.2	network of equal parts $a < 100 > \& \frac{a}{2} < 111 >$	truncated dodecahedra
P4FL	L2	203.5	a<100> loops & ^a / ₂ <111> network	truncated dodecahedra
P5FL	L3-UC19	204.0	primarily a<100> loops	truncated dodecahedra and cubes
P6FL	L4	204.8	primarily a<100> network, some $\frac{a}{2}$ <111>	primarily dodecahedra, some large dodecahedra/cubes
P7FL	L5	205.7	primarily $\frac{a}{2} < 111 >$ network	primarily dodecahedra, some large dodecahedra/cubes
P9FL	L6	206.0	primarily ^a 2<111> network	primarily dodecahedra, some smaller dodecahedra/cubes
RAFL	L7	208.8	primarily $\frac{a}{2} < 111 >$ network with some loops	dodecahedra with slight truncation, some smaller cubes
RBFL	L8	204.4	primarily $\frac{a}{2} < 111 >$ network, some $a < 100 >$	primarily dodecahedra, some smaller dodecahedra/cubes
REFL	L9	206.9	primarily $\frac{a}{2} < 111 >$ network, some $a < 100 >$	primarily cuboidal/ dodecahedra

Table 3. Summary of microstructural observations.

It is not yet clear how much of the precipitate volume fraction increase is due to manganese addition, **as** opposed to tungsten and vanadium additions. Formation of manganese-containing chi phase has **been** demonstrated in L9,² but chi has not been observed in alloys containing tungsten or in alloys containing lower levels of chromium. It is reasonable to expect that manganese is promoting precipitate formation at boundaries, and that alloys containing lower manganese will be less susceptible to such precipitation. Degradation of mechanical properties in some reduced activation ferritic alloys has been attributed to the presence of **manganese**.^{8,9} As a result, manganese is no longer recommended **as an** alloying ingredient in reduced activation ferritic steels. Unfortunately, manganese will be formed by transmutation from iron in service in a fusion device. One can anticipate ahout 1% Mn produced by transmutation in a ferritic alloy following irradiation to about 200 **dpa**.¹⁰ Therefore, the effects of manganese will be unavoidable.

CONCLUSIONS

Reduced activation ferritic alloys are highly resistant to fast neutron irradiation. Predictions that swelling will remain low in these materials are upheld, with a maximum of **5%** swelling observed after 200 dpa at the peak swelling temperature. Microstructural evolution is moderate, with precipitates forming due to vanadium or manganese additions, and dislocation network development generally incorporating both $\frac{a}{2} < 11 l >$ and a < 100 > Burgers vectors.

FUTURE WORK

This work is a continuing effort

REFERENCES

 D. S. Gelles and M. L. Hamilton, "Effects of Irradiation on Low Activation Ferritic Alloys," <u>Alloy</u> <u>Development for Irradiation Performance Semiannual Progress Report, DOE/ER-0045/13</u> (September 1984) 128.

2. D. S. Gelles and M. L. Hamilton, "Effects of Irradiation on Low Activation Ferritic Alloys to 45 dpa," IBID, <u>DOE/ER-0045/16</u> (March 1986)

3. D. S. Gelles and M. L. Hamilton, <u>J. Nucl. Mater.</u>, <u>148</u> (1987) 272.

4. D. S. Gelles, in <u>Reduced Activation Materials for Fusion Reactors</u>, ASTM STP 1047, R. L. Klueh, D. S. Gelles, M. Okada, and N. H. Packan, Eds. (ASTM, Philadelphia, PA 1990) 113.

5. F. **A.** Gamer and L. R. Greenwood, "Calculation of Displacement Levels for Pure Elements and Most Multicomponent Alloys irradiated in FFTF MOTA-I F," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials</u> Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31,1992, <u>DOE/ER-0313/12</u> (July 1992) 54.

6. D. S. Gelles and A. Kohyama, "Microstructural Examination of HT-9 Irradiated in the FFTF/MOTA to 110 dpa," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials</u> Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31, 1989, <u>DOE/ER-0313/6</u> (March 1989) 193.

7. D. S. Gelles, R. M. Claudson and L. E. Thomas, <u>Proc. 8th International Congress for Electron</u> <u>Microscopy</u>, (San Francisco Press, San Francisco CA, 1990) 844.

8. N. S. Cannon and D. S. Gelles, J. Nucl. Mater., <u>186</u> (1991) 68.

9. A. Kimura, L. A. Chariot, D. S. Gelles, D. R. Baer and R. H. Jones, <u>J. Nucl. Mater.</u>, <u>191-194</u> (1992) 885.

10. F. M. Mann, "Transmutation of alloys in MFE Facilities **as** Calculated by REAC," HEDL-TME 81-37, August 1982.

IRRADIATION CREEP AND SWELLING OF THE U.S. FUSION HEATS OF HT9 AND 9Cr-1Mo TO 208 DPA AT -400°C · M. B. Toloczko (University of California at Santa Barbara), F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory"), C. R. Eiholzer (Westinghouse Hanford Company)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the relationship between void swelling and irradiation creep in steels of interest to the U.S. fusion materials program.

SUMMARY

The irradiation creep and swelling behaviors of the fusion heats of HT9 and 9Cr-1Mo at -400°C have been measured to exposures **as** large **as** 208 dpa, using both diametral and density measurements of helium-pressurized creep tubes. Void swelling was found in both alloys at 208 dpa to occur at rates of 0.012%/dpa or less, with the swelling of HT9 exhibiting a larger degree of stress enhancement than 9Cr-1Mo. The creep rate of HT9 is rather nonlinear in its response to hoop stress level in the **range** 0-200 MPa, but 9Cr-1Mo exhibits only slightly greater than linear behavior with stress level. The creep-swelling coupling coefficients for 9Cr-1Mo are consistent with values obtained for other steels.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

introduction

Ferritic-martensitic steels are being considered for structural applications in fusion reactors. In order to provide data on the response of such steels to radiation, a series of experiments have been conducted in FFTF. One of these experiments involves the simultaneous measurement of irradiation creep and void swelling. Helium-pressurized creep tubes constructed from the ferritic-martensitic alloys HT9 and 9Cr-1Mo (U.S. fusion heats 9607R2 and 30176) have completed their irradiation in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) at a nominal temperature of -400° C.

Experimental Details

The composition and heat treatment of these two alloys is listed in Table 1. These tubes (2.24 cm long by 0.46 cm diameter) were periodically discharged from the reactor, and prior to reinsertion into reactor, diameter measurements were made using laser profilometry.' The tubes were 'inserted in all MOTA vehicles from MOTA-19 through MOTA-1G and then continued into MOTA-2B. Both alloys were irradiated at hoop stress levels of 0, 60, 100, and 140 MPa, and HT9 was also irradiated at 200 MPa. irradiation temperatures varied somewhat from one MOTA to the next, but during any one irradiation interval, the temperature was actively controlled within ± 5 °C of the nominal temperature. Table 2 presents the target temperatures of these tubes in each MOTA irradiation segment. These tubes reached exposure dpa levels as large as 208 dpa. The strains of these tubes were last reported at -150 dpa.'

Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

	C	Si	Mn	Р	S	Cr	Мо	Ni	v	W	Ν	AI	Ti
НТ9	0.20	0.17	0.57	0.016	0.003	12.1	1.04	0.51	0.28	0.45	0.027	0.006	0.001
9Cr-1Mo	0.08	0.11	0.37	0.010	0.003	8.61	0.89	0.09	0.21	<0.01	0.055	0.007	0.004

МОТА	TARGET TEMPERATURE,°C	INCREMENTAL DOSE, dpa
1B	407	~11
1C	425	~34
1D	406	~26
1E	403	~37
1F	406	~40
1G	420	~35
2B	433	~24

Table 2. Irradiation History of Pressurized Tubes

Upon termination of the experiment, each tube was sectioned to produce two rings approximately **7.5** mm in length, one from each side of the axial center line. Each ring was then sliced in half, and the density of each of the four half-sections was determined using an immersion density technique known to be accurate to $\pm 0.2\%$ change in density. Upon sectioning, it was found that the HT9 tube at 60 MPa contained some sodium, indicating that a small unnoticed failure had occurred earlier in the irradiation sequence. Thus, this tube was unpressurized for some unknown period.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 presents both the total diametral strain and midwall creep strain for HT9, and Figure 2 presents the strains for 9Cr-1Mo. The midwall creep strain is calculated using the traditional assumptions that the strain at zero stress arises only from void swelling, and that swelling is isotropic and unaffected by **stress**.

The strains observed in 9Cr-1Mo exhibit some complexity, especially at higher fluence. Since such complexity was not observed in HT9, and both sets of tubes were irradiated together, it is assumed that the origin of the complexity is related to a material difference from HT9, rather than some artifact of reactor history. Note that the 0.8% linear swelling strain at zero stress for 9Cr-1Mo is somewhat larger than that of HT9 at 0.35% and implies swelling levels of 2.4 vs. 1.1 vol.%.



Fig. 1. Total diametral strains and midwall creep strains for HT9.



Fig. 2. Total diametral strains and midwall creep strains for 9Cr-1Mo.



Fig. 3. Stress-normalized midwall creep strains for HT9, ignoring stress-enhancement of swelling.

When the midwall *creep* strains are normalized to their respective hoop stresses and the possibility of stress-enhanced swelling is ignored, it is obvious that the response to stress of HT9 is quite non-linear **as** shown in Figure 3. Figure 4, however, demonstrates that **the stress** exponent of 9Cr-1Mo is only slightly greater than **1.0** to doses of \leq 150 dpa. Above 150 dpa the complexity of response mentioned earlier dominates the normalized creep behavior of 9Cr-1Mo.

As shown in Figure 5, the swelling strains measured by immersion density at 208 dpa show that at zero stress, the swelling strains of 9Cr-1Mo and HT9 are somewhat variable for the four different tube segments, with mean values of -2.3 ± 0.3 and $1.2 \text{ M} \cdot \text{l}$ volume percent, respectively. The mean values agree very well with the swelling values deduced from diameter changes, however. While the swelling of 9Cr-1Mo appears to be only slightly sensitive to stress level, there is a fairly large effect of stress on



Fig. **4.** Stress-normalized midwall creep strains for 9Cr-1Mo, ignoring stress-enhancement of swelling.



Fig. 5. Swelling measured for individual tube segments at 208 dpa.

the swelling of HT9, increasing from -1.2% at 0 MPa to -2.6% at 200 MPa. Since the 60 MPa tube failed, its density was discounted **as** not being stress-enhanced throughout the irradiation.

Microscopy examination confmed that void swelling indeed had occurred by 208 dpa and was accelerated by stress, as demonstrated in Figure 6. If all the density change is ascribed to voids, this implies that the average swelling rates in this experiment were $\leq 0.012\%/dpa$.

Since the influence of stress-enhanced swelling *can* only be assessed at 208 dpa, it was ignored in deriving the stress-normalized creep rates shown in Figures 3 and 4. The impact of including the stress enhancement at 208 dpa can be seen to be relatively small, however, as shown in Figure 7. The stress exponent for HT9 appears to be -2.

If we assume that stress enhancement for 9Cr-1Mo is small enough that it can be ignored, and that the *creep* rate responds linearly with stress, then we can obtain creep coefficients for the $\frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma} = B_o + D\dot{S}$ *creep* model,' where kis the effective strain \mathbf{rt} , $\overline{\sigma}$ is the effective stress, \mathbf{B}_o is the creep compliance, D is the creep-swelling coupling coefficient and \mathbf{S} is the instantaneous swelling rate. Using this approach, the derived values for \mathbf{B}_o and D are on the order of 0.5 x 10⁻⁶ MPa⁻¹ dpa⁻¹ and 0.7-1.0 x 10⁻² MPa⁻¹, respectively. This \mathbf{B}_o value agrees rather well with the 0.44 x 10⁻⁶ value obtained for the ferritic steels EM10 and EM12 at 400-490°C in the PHENIX reactor', and the D coefficient is comparable to the values near 0.6 x 10⁻² MPa that are routinely observed in austenitic steels.'



Fig. 6. Void microstructures observed at hoop stresses of 0 and 200 MPa in HT9 after irradiation at $\sim 400^{\circ}$ C to 208 dpa.



Fig. 7. Comparison of creep strains for HT9 at 208 dpa, calculated using both stress-free and stress-affected swelling.

CONCLUSIONS

Irradiation of HT9 and 9Cr-1Mo to very high neutron exposure at -400° C confirms the inherent swelling resistance of this class of steels, although the application of high stress levels accelerates swelling somewhat. The irradiation creep rate of 9Cr-1Mo is roughly linear with stress and comparable to that of other ferritic steels. The creep rate of HT9, however, exhibits a stress exponent of -2 at this temperature.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. R. Gilbert and B. A. Chin, in *Effects & Radiation on Materials: Tenth Conference*, ASTM STP 725 (1981) 665-679.
- 2. F. A. Gamer and R. J. Puigh, J. Nucl. Mater., 179-181 (1991) 577-580
- 3. K. Ehrlich, J. Nucl. Mater., 100 (1981) 149.
- I. L. Seran, V. Levy, P. Dubuisson, D. Gilbon, A. Maillard, A. Fissolo, H. Touron, R. Cauvin, A. Chalony, and E. Le Boulbin, *Effects of Radiation on Materials: 15th Inter. Symposium*, STP 1125, Philadelphia, American Society for Testing and Materials (1992) 1209-1233.
- 5. F. A. Gamer, M. B. Toloczko, and C. H. Woo, presented at ICFRM-6.

6.2 Austenitic Stainless Steels

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF CANDIDATE MATERIALS FOR ITER FIRST WALL, BLANKET, AND SHIELD STRUCTURES ... J. E. Pawel, D. J. Alexander, M. L. Grossbeck, A. W. Longest, A. F. Rowcliffe (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), G. E. Lucas (University of California, Santa Barbara), S. Jitsukawa, A. Hishinuma, and K. Shiba (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute)

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this work is to determine the effectof irradiation at low temperatures (less than 300° C) to damage levels of about 3 dpa on the mechanical properties, in particular the fracture toughness, of candidate materials for the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) first wall/blanket structures.

SUMMARY

Although the design of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor is still in an evolutionary phase, the most probable choice for a structural material is a 300 series austenitic stainless steel. **An** experiment was carried out in the High Flux Isotope Reactor to quantify the effects of neutron irradiation on the fracture toughness properties of a range of austenitic stainless steels. The alloys investigated were provided by programs in the European Community, United States, and Japan; they included 316, 316L, and titanium-stabilized steels in cold-worked, annealed, and welded conditions. Subsize disk compact tension specimens were irradiated to a dose of about 3 dpa and 50 appm helium (the expected fusion value) at temperatures of either 60 to 125° C or 250 to 300°C. With the exception of a cold-worked air-melted heat of 316, all materials retained excellent fracture toughness, with K₃ values varying from 150 to **400** MPaJm over the test temperature range from 90 to 250"C.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The choice of an alloy system for the first wall/shield (FW/S) structure for the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) is a subject of continuing debate. Four classes of materials are under consideration: austenitic stainless steels, ferritic-martensitic steels, vanadium alloys, and high strength copper alloys. Of these four groups, the austenitic steels have the lowest capacity to withstand thermal stresses and their selection for the FW/S could restrict the thermal performance of ITER. On the other hand, there is an enormous reservoir of experience in fabricating and operating code qualified austenitic stainless steel components in nuclear systems. In addition, the austenitics do not suffer from the transition from ductile-to-brittle fracture behavior that is characteristic of body-centered-cubic alloy systems. However, there are several problems with the irradiation performance of austenitics over the temperature range of 100 to 400°C. For example, a drastic loss of work hardening capacity is frequently observed in tensile tests following neutron irradiation in the range 200 to 350°C. Uniform clongation values below 1% have been reported [1]. This phenomenon may be related to the development of microstructures which encourage flow localization, rather than generalized flow and dislocation multiplication. A correlation has been observed, for example, between loss of work hardening capacity over the range 200 to 350°C and the

development of microstructures dominated by faulted Frank loops 10 to 20 nm in diameter [2]. A major concern is that this deformation mode may restrict crack tip ductility and lead to low values of fracture toughness properties.

This paper describes some initial results from a study of the effects of neutron irradiation in the range 60 to 300°C on the fracture toughness of several austenitic stainless steels. The first part of this study deals with irradiation to a dose of about 3 dpa, which approaches the accumulated dose at the end of the physics or basic phase of operation of ITER.

Experimental Procedure

To accommodate the high nuclear heating rate in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) target region, where the irradiations were conducted, a small disk compact tension specimen, designated DC(T), 12.5 mm in diameter and 4.63 mm thick was developed [3]. Techniques were developed for generating the J-integral resistance (J-R) curves using unloading compliance (UC) to monitor crack extension [4,5]. Initial trials showed that useful fracture toughness data could be generated with these small specimens [3-5].

Four major alloy types were included in this experiment: EC316L, JPCA, J316, and US316. The compositions of the alloys are given in Table 1. Specimens were in solution annealed (SA), cold-worked (CW), or welded conditions. There were a total of 11 variants in composition and thermomechanical treatment. The EC316L was welded using 16-8-2 filler metal (see Table 1) and gas tungsten arc (GTA) welding with argon cover gas. Both the plate and the filler wire were provided by Joint Research Centre-Ispra from the European Fusion Stockpile. The JPCA and J316 plate material were supplied by the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. The JPCA specimens were welded with filler wire of composition not significantly different from the base metal (see Table 1) for both the case of GTA welding and electron beam (EB) welding. The US316 material was from the U. S. fusion program standard heat. In addition to the DC(T) specimens, each capsule also contained 0.76 mm thick sheet tensile specimens and transmission electron microscopy disks.

Alloy				С	compositic (wt %)	on			
	Fe	Ni	Cr	Ti	Мо	Mn	Si	с	N
JPCA	Bal	15.95	14.3	0.21	2.4	1.6	0.54	0.064	0.003
US316	Bal	12.4	17.3		2.1	1.7	0.67	0.061	
EC316L	Bal	12.3	17.4		2.3	1.8	0.46	0.024	0.06
J316	Bal	13.95	16.77		2.31	0.23	0.04	0.038	0.011
16-8-2 weld wire	Bal	8.98	16.28		2.16	1.82	0.060	0.036	0.029
JPCA weld wire	Bal	16.06	14.39	0.23	2.44	1.77	0.35	0.057	0.0095

Table 1. Specimen alloy compositions.

All specimens were fabricated from the middle of the thickness of the parent plate of material, with the notch oriented so that crack growth would occur parallel to the rolling direction (T-L orientation). The EC316L was found to contain stringers of delta ferrite. Because there was concern that this anisotropy might influence fracture toughness, a limited number of specimens were also machined such that the crack propagated perpendicular to the rolling direction (L-T orientation). All specimens were fatigue precracked at room temperature and side grooved 10% of their thickness on each side, prior to irradiation, in order to promote a straight crack front. Filler pieces were inserted in the loading holes and in the notches to reduce disturbances in the flow of cooling water over the specimens in the capsules during irradiation and to improve the uniformity of heat transfer across the specimens.

The irradiation took place in the HFIR target capsules designated HFIR-MFE-JP17, -18 and -19. Details on the irradiation capsules are available elsewhere [6-8]. The capsules were irradiated for two cycles lo a peak damage level of 3.2 dpa. They were designed to give crack growth region temperatures between 250 and 300°C for JP17, and 60 and 125°C for the other two capsules [6]. The specimens in the low-temperature capsules were cooled directly by reactor cooling water. The helium concentration generated as a result of transmutation of nickel was about 50 appm; this is in the range expected for the ITER first wall blanket and shield structure after a neutron exposure of about 3 dpa.

Fracture tests were conducted in general accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards E813-89, "Standard Test Method for J_{ic} , A Measure of Fracture Toughness," and E1152-87, "Standard Test Method for Determining J-R Curves." The specimens were tested with **a** computer-controlled testing and data acquisition system [9]. Tests in the cold laboratory used an 89-kN capacity servohydraulic test machine. In the hot cell, a 445-kN servohydraulic testing machine with an ultraprecision 22-kN load cell was used. All tests were run in strain control. The displacements were measured with an "outboard" clip gage that seated in grooves machined on the outer edge of the specimen along the load line [4,5]. This arrangement provided very good load-displacement and UC results. Test temperatures were maintained within $\pm 2^{\circ}C$ of the desired temperature with a split-box furnace that enclosed the specimen and the grips during the test. Temperature was monitored throughout the testing with a thermocouple that was held in contact with the specimen by a spring-loaded clip. Tensile data from the corresponding tensile specimens were used, where availahlc, in the calculations for the J-R curves (see Table 2). Estimated values of the yield and ultimate tensile strengths and Young's moduli were used in the remaining cases. These estimated values arc given in Table 2.

After testing. the specimens were heat tinted on a hot plate, cooled, and then broken open. The initial and final crack lengths for the unirradiated specimens were measured with an optical measuring microscope. For the irradiated specimens, photographs of the fracture surfaces were fastened to a digitizing tablet to measure the crack lengths.

Results and Discussion

Fracture toughness data for both unirradiated and irradiated specimens arc shown in Table 2. Testing of the full specimen matrix is not complete at this time.

Materials with very high toughness and low yield strength, such as the annealed austenitic stainless steels, proved to he more difficult to test than material with lower toughness such as the US316 material, The soft, tough materials showed enormous crack-tip blunting before stable crack

Material	Specimen	Calculated irradiation temperature ^a	Test temperature	J_Q^b	K _J ¢ (MPa Im)	Т	Sta	rength ^d MPa)	Young's modulus ^d
	number	(°C)	(°C)	(10/111)	(1911 ajiii)		Yield	Ultimate tensile	(GPa)
EC316L	FA14			769	385		275	580	193
innealed	FA22			889 60/	407		100	480	180
	FA16			775	387	109	6M	690	193
	FA3			199	393	95	6M	690	193
	FA6		100	658	350	130	610%	675 ^g	186
	FA17	75	200	498	299	168	600 575	675	179
	FA18		250	351	251	62		700	179
EC316L	FS1	90	90	831	393	I <i>02</i>	610	675	186
innealed				<u> </u>					
EC316L	FB14		90	616	355	I	230	510	186
veld	FB4	80	90	340	32	119	610	670	186
316	FC8	е	22	798	393	f	275	575	193
innealed	FC24	е	90	958	422	f	230	500	186
	FC12	85	90	733	369	100	6908	6958	186
316	FD5	e	90	538	317	88	675	110	186
:old-	FD12	90	90	479	299	46	8238 800	8358	186
vorked	FD11	270	250	1.36	156	-18		825	179
PCA	FF10	e	25	672	360	I	255	\$80	193
innealed	FF13	e	100	705	362		240	525	186
	FF20	e 70	200	499	299	⊿ 1	215	490	1/9
	FF16	70	100	306	239	34	7158	7408	186
	FF2	70	200	500	198	38	675	700	179
	FF18	270	250	123	148	21	6M	670	179
PCA	FE6	e	22	356	262	43	675	725	193
old-	FE3	e	90	296	234	48	655	705	186
vorked	FE8	90	90	168	177	25	9258	950 ⁸	186
PCA	FG13	e	90	1026	431		24 0	525	186
veld	FG8	90	90	317	242	46	715	740	186
	FG12	270	250	237	206	42	6M	670	179
PCA 3B weld	FR11	85	90	882	405	102	715	140	186
JS316	FK6	e	90	233	208	70	230	500	186
innealed	FK7	80	<u>90</u>	155	170	10	690	695	186
JS316	FL13	e	22	37	85	3	725	785	193
old-	FL8	e	90	39	85	_1	715	770	186
vorked	FL15	80	90	21	62	0	850%	865 ^g	186
	FL5	270	250	20	60	0	825	860	179

Table 2. Fracture Toughness Data for Austenitic Stainless Steels.

^aAll irradiated specimens were irradiated to approximately 3 dpr. ^bJ was calculated according to ASTM E1152-87. ^cK_J² = JE, where E is Young's modulus. ^dAll tensile properties are estimated from literature values, except as noted ^cUnirradiated.

'Not available; not enough data were obtained to caculate a J-R curve.

^gTaken from tensile test at 90°C.

growth began. This resulted in gross changes in the specimen geometry, and so the crack length predictions were not very accurate. The J-R curves for such materials are generally much steeper than the calculated blunting line. In these cases, the data were used to calculate a blunting line. A straight line was fit by eye through the initial portion of the data points, and a second line was drawn parallel to the first but offset by an amount corresponding to a crack extension of 0.2 mm as dictated in the ASTM standards. The candidate toughness J_Q value was then determined from the intersection of the data with this offset line. In cases where the data rose very steeply, the test was terminated before there was enough crack growth to cross the second exclusion line (drawn corresponding to a crack extension of 1.5 mm as defined in the ASTM standards). As a result, no tearing modulus (T) value could be calculated. Materials with lower toughness, such as the cold-worked austenitic stainless steels, behaved in a conventional manner. For these materials, the data followed the calculated blunting line quite closely, so no additional construction was required. These specimens also showed good agreement between the measured and predicted final crack lengths.

During neutron irradiation at temperatures in the range 60° to 300°C, rapid hardening occurs due to the formation of a high density of black spot defect clusters and faulted Frank loops [2]. Following irradiations to 10 dpa. yield stresses for annealed austenitic steels are usually of the order 750 to 800 MPa; yield stresses for cold-worked materials range from 850 to 950 MPa [2,10]. In the current experiments, irradiated to 3 dpa, yield stresses are in the range 610 to 715 MPa for annealed materials and 825 to 925 MPa for cold-worked materials (see Fig. 1). Thus, these cold-worked materials are close to the maximum hardness level and the annealed materials have reached approximately 75% of the saturation level.

Both before and after irradiation, the fracture toughnesses of the solution annealed materials, as shown in Fig. 2, are generally very high (K, > 150 MPaJm) in the test temperature range. The toughness decreases slightly as the temperature increases, but remains very high, even after irradiation and testing at 250°C. The EC316L and J316 annealed steels show only a slight decrease in toughness (about 50 MPaJm) after irradiation. The JPCA annealed material undergoes a larger decrease in toughness (about 100 MPaJm) after irradiation. Even in this case, the toughness is still high, with a K_1 value of 150 MPaJm (Table 2). The range of fracture toughness values of these materials is in the upper range of previously reported data from a variety of steels, reactor environments, and test methods [11-13]. The US316 material has the lowest toughness both before and after irradiation. This heat of material was air melted and contains an unusually high volume fraction of nonmetallic inclusions which are likely to promote rapid microvoid growth and coalescence.

The fracture toughness of the cold-worked material is generally lower than that of the annealed material by about 75 MPaJm, both before and after irradiation. Even so, the fracture toughnesses of the cold-worked JPCA and J316 materials remain high. It is important to note that the uniform elongations of these materials, tested at 90°C, are less than 1%, while the fracture toughness and tearing modulus values arc still high. The toughness of the cold-worked US316 material, which had the lowest toughness of the austenitic steels in the solution annealed condition, was by far the lowest toughness value of the set. with a K_J value of 65 MPaJm. This material also had very low values of tearing modulus. Note that the negative value reported in Table 2 is not physically real, but is merely an artifact of the curve-fitting procedure.

Electron beam welds of JPCA and GTA welds of JPCA and EC316L proved to be very tough, both before and after irradiation. The JPCA GTA welded material had approximately the same toughness as the JPCA SA material ($K_J \cdot 240$ MPaJm) after irradiation and testing at 90°C, while the JPCA EB-welded material had an even higher toughness.



1. Radiation-induced increase in yield strength after irradiation to 3 dpa. Irradiation and test temperatures were 90°C.



2. Fracture toughness values of solution annealed materials as a function of test temperature. The open symbols represent unirradiated specimens and the closed symbols represent irradiated specimens. All specimens tested at 20-200°C were irradiated at 90°C. The specimens tested at 250°C were irradiated at 250°C.

As pointed out above, the unirradiated EC316L material contained ferrite stringers running along the rolling direction of the plate. However, it was found that specimens with the crack propagation direction parallel to the direction of the delta ferrite stringers had essentially the same toughness as specimens with the crack propagation perpendicular to the stringer direction.

CONCLUSIONS

Specimens of several austenitic stainless steels have been irradiated in HFIR to about 3 dpa at either 60 lo 125°C or 250 to 300°C with fusion levels of helium. Eleven variants in composition and thermomechanical treatment have been investigated. With the exception of the air-melted coldworked US316 alloy, all exhibited high fracture toughness after irradiation with K_3 values in the range of 150 to 400 MPaJm over a temperature range of 20 to 250°C. Fracture toughness generally decreases with increasing temperature, both hefore and after irradiation. The effect of irradiation is most noticeable at higher test temperatures. The JPCA alloy undergoes a larger decrease in fracture toughness after irradiation than does either the EC316L or the J316 alloy. Both before and after irradiation, the cold-worked materials have lower fracture toughness than the annealed steels. The welded specimens have lower toughness than the base metals but the toughness is still high, both before and after irradiation. Ferrite stringers in EC316L in the rolling direction do not significantly affect the toughness.

FUTURE WORK

Additional fracture toughness specimens will be tested in both the irradiated and unirradiated conditions in order to complete the experimental matrix. Tensile tests will be completed for each alloy variant. Transmission electron microscopy will also be performed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The fracture toughness testing was performed by R. L. Swain. The authors are grateful to Drs. R. L. Klueh and S. K. Iskander for constructive reviews of the manuscript. The authors also would like tu thank J. L. Bishop and G. L. Burn for the preparation of the manuscript. Research sponsored by the Office of Fusion Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc, and Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute.

REFERENCES

[1] M. L. Grossheck, <u>J. Nucl. Mater</u>. 179-181 (1991) 568.

[2] M. L. Grossheck, P. J. Maziasz and A. F. Rowcliffe, *L. Nucl. Mater.* 191-194 (1992) 808.

[3] C. Elliot, M. Enmark, G. E. Lucas, G. R. Odette and A. Rowcliffe, <u>J. Nucl. Mater</u>. 179-181 (1991) 434.

[4] D. J. Alexander, "Fracture Toughness Measurements with Subsize Disk Compact Specimens," Fusion Reactor Marcrials Semiannual Proeress Report for Period Endine March 31, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/12, U. S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy. 1992, p. 35. [5] D. J. Alexander, in: <u>Small Specimen Test Techniques Applied to Nuclear Reactor Vessel Thermal Annealing and Plant Life Extension</u>, **ASTM** STP 1204, Eds. W. R. Corwin, F. M. Haggag, and W. L. Server (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1993) p. 130.

[6] A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, K R. Thoms and J. E. Corum, "Design and Fabrication of HFIR-MFE-JP Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Endine March 31. 1991</u>, DOE/ER-0313/10, U. S. DOE **Office** of Fusion Energy, 1991, p. 3.
[7] A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, J. E. Wolfe, K. R. Thoms and J. E. Corum, "Fabrication and Irradiation of HFIR-MFE-JP-17, -18, and -19 Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Endine September 30, 1991</u>, DOE/ER-0313/11, U. S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1992, p. 30.

[8] A. W. Longest, D. W. Heatherly, K. R. Thoms and J. E. Corum, "Fabrication and Irradiation of HFIR-MFE-JP-17, -18, and -19 Target Irradiation Capsules," <u>Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Proceess Report for Period Endine March 31, 1992</u>, DOE/ER-0313/12, U. S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy, 1992, p. 24.

[9] R. K. Nanstad, D. J. Alexander, R. L Swain, J. T. Hutton and D. L. Thomas, in: <u>Applications of Automation Technology to Fatieue and Fracture Testing</u>, ASTM STP 1092, Eds. A. A. Braun, N. E. Ashbaugh and F. M. Smith (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1990) p. 7.

[10] M. L. Grossbeck, K. Ehrlich and C. Wassilew, J. Nucl. Mater. 174 (1990) 264.

[11] G. E. Lucas. J. Nucl. Mater. 206 (1993) in press.

[12] G. R. Odette and G. E. Lucas, <u>J. Nucl. Mater</u>. 179-181 (1991) 572.

[13] G. R. Odette and G. E. Lucas, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 50.

Tensile Properties **of** Base and Weld Metal Specimens of Austenitic Stainless Steels Irradiated in the High Flux Isotope Reactor - K. Shiba and S. Jitsukawa (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute) and J. E. Pawel and A. F. Rowcliffe (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to determine the neutron irradiation effects on the tensile properties of weld joints of type 316 stainless steel.

SUMMARY

Tensile properties of Japanese Primary Candidate Alloy (JFCA) base metal and welded specimens irradiated in HFIR target positions were investigated. Electron beam (EB) and tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding techniques were used to make welded specimens. Welded specimens included weld metal and weld joint specimens. These specimens were irradiated up to 18 dpa at 300, 400, 500 and 600°C. JPCA solution annealed base metal had maximum irradiation hardening. at 300 °C, and it showed minimum elongation at that temperature. EB welded specimens exhibited almost the Same tensile properties as the base metal. Welded specimens retained uniform elongations in the range of 2 to 7% after irradiation. TIG welded specimens had less ductility than the EB welded specimens, however the other tensile properties of the TIG welded specimens were almost same **as** for the EB welded specimens.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Austenitic stainless steels are the prime candidate alloy for the first wall and shield of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER). Although the design of ITER is not fixed yet, welding will be used extensively in the construction of the structural components. Therefore, it is important to know the irradiation behavior of the welded joint. Unfortunately only limited irradiation data are available currently on welded joints. The welded joint consists of different structural parts, such as base metal, weld metal and heat affected zones. These parts have different thermal histories and variations in solute concentration due to solidification of molten metal. These structural differences cause differences in irradiation **behavior**^{1,2}. To get good irradiation response of a welded joint, welding techniques have to be optimized. This study examines the effect of neutron irradiation in HFIR on the tensile properties of JPCA weldmenu.

Experimental Procedure

The alloy investigated was the Japanese Primary Candidate Alloy (JPCA) in both the solution annealed (SA) and 15% cold worked (CW) conditions. The chemical composition of this alloy is shown in Table 1. Elecuon beam (EB) welding and tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding techniques were used to make welded specimens. EB welding was performed without using filler metal. The chemical composition of the filler metal used for TIG welding is also listed in Table 1. Tensile specimens were fabricated to contain all weld metal (WM) or to contain the weld joint at the center of the gage (WJ). Fig. 1. One side of the fusion line was placed at the center of the weld joint specimen. Some EB welds were made with a titanium foil insert to increase the titanium content of the weld metal, The thickness of Ti foil was $10 \mu m$. Sheet tensile (SS-3) specimens with a gage section of 7.62 mm in length, 0.762 mm in thickness and 1.524 mm

in width were used. The lengths of weld metal of the weld joints were 2-3 mm and 5-10 mm for EB and **TIG** respectively.

Table 1	Chemical	composition	of alloys	(wt%)

Alloy	<u> </u>	Si	Mn	Р	S	Cr	Ni	Mo	Ti	В	Ν	Со	Cu	Fe
JFCA	0.052	0.51	1.78	0.028	0.005	14.3	15.5	2.3	0.24	0.003	0.004	0.003	-	bal.
JPCAW	0.057	0.35	1.77	0.008	0.002	14.4	16.1	2.4	0.23	0.0023	0.0095	0.008	-	bal.
JPCAW is filler metal for TIG welding, EB welding was performed without filler														

Tensile specimens were irradiated in HFIR target position capsules JP-IO, 11, 13 and **16** for **11** cycles to 20279 MWd. Damage level at mid-plane of capsule was approximately 18 dpa. Nominal irradiation temperatures were 300,400, 500 and 600 "C. Helium concentration due to the two-step Ni reaction with thermal neutrons was about 400 • 1400appm³.

Specimens were tested in vacuum on an Instron universal testing machine at the nominal irradiation temperature of each specimen. The strain rate was $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Fracture surface areas were measured using an optical microscope and video system after tensile testing.



Fig. 1 Sampling location for welded specimens from weld joint.

Results and Discussion

Tensile data, including 0.2% offset yield stress (YS).ultimate tensile stress (UTS), uniform elongation (E_u), total elongation (Et), reduction of area (RA) and fracture stress (FS) were measured. The RA value was calculated by the formula (A₀-A_f)/A₀, where A₀ is the initial cross sectional area and A_f is the fracture surface area, measured by optical microscopy after the tensile test was completed. Fracture stress was calculated from the RA value and the nominal fracture stress obtained from the stress-strain curve. The results of tensile testing are listed in Table 2.

There was some scatter in the data taken at 400 and 500 "C. Because irradiation hardening is very sensitive to irradiation temperature around 400 °C^{4,5}, small deviations in temperature in this range *can* have a large effect on mechanical properties. These temperature deviations could be the result of irradiation capsule and vertical reactor position differences in the experiment The accumulated dose also varied among the specimens, from 8 to 18 dpa. The RA values and fracture stress tended to be lower for specimens receiving the higher dose.

1. Base Metal

The unirradiated yield **stress** decreases slightly with temperature over the range investigated (236 MPa at 300 "C and 194 MPa at 600 °C). At each temperature investigated, the irradiation-induced strength increase of the base metal (BM) was accompanied by a decrease in elongation. Maximum irradiation

Tensile properties of JFCA base metal and welded specimensbefore and after irradiation in HFIR target position.

	Irrad. & Test	Damage _	Stre	ngth (<u>M</u> P	a)	Elongation	n (%)	RA
Material	<u>Temp.(°C)</u>	<u>(dpa)</u>	<u>Ys</u>	<u>UTS</u>	<u>FS</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
SA/BM	300	15	809	845	1322	4.2	12.3	0.55
	400	18	599	693	999	8.3	14.2	0.48
	400	8	591	662	-	7.7	14.2	-
	400	18	785	789	-			
	500	18	470	562	794	9.5	16.5	0.47
	500	10	500	611	1279	10.7	15.8	0.63
	600	10	363	467	664	12.7	19.7	0.45
	300	0	236	460	-	36.0	44.0	0.87
	400	0	238	488	1218	40.3	48.0	0.75
	600	0	194	451	1027	36.3	46.7	0.77
CW/BM	500	18	655	743	995	7.8	13.8	0.42
	500	0	547	612	-	8.0	15.7	-
SA/EB/WM	300	15	783	787	1248	3.0	10.7	0.55
	400	18	563	645	882	7.4	14.5	0.48
	400	10	727	749	-	1.8	8.3	-
	400	10	443	518	917	6.3	11.3	0.56
	500	18	428	528	900	12.0	17.3	0.53
	600	10	337	388	389	2.8	2.8	0.02
	300	0	257	455	1483	30.7	39.7	0.81
	400	0	251	464	1010	36.7	42.0	0.69
	500	0	246	441	1053	36.0	45.3	0.74
	600	0	230	428	1087	32.3	39.0	0.77
SA/EB/WJ	300	15	728	746	1109	3.1	12.3	0.54
	400	17	679	692	1110	1.3	6.8	0.56
	500	18	427	498	865	7.0	14.0	0.59
	600	10	335	416	759	7.3	14.6	0.61
	300	0	275	440	1312	9.0	16.0	0.81
	400	0	271	435	1381	9.7	17.3	0.80
	500	0	250	408	1396	10.7	17.3	0.79
	600	0	247	401	1237	10.3	17.3	0.77
SA/TIG/WM	400	16	680	689	•	1.7	8.7	-
	500	16	610	622	745	2.0	6.8	0.34
	400	0	250	417	1558	11.3	20.7	0.85
	500	0	311	288	1216	12.0	17.7	0.79
SA/TIG/WJ	400	16	665	673	848	1.2	7.0	0.44
	500	16	647	657	735	1.3	10.3	0.37
	400	0	348	448	1323	14.3	19.3	0.74
	500	0	332	423	<u>1500</u>	13.7	16.7	0.84
SA/Ti-insert EB/WM	600	8	245	356	554	14.7	23.2	0.51
	600	0	175	403	1062	18.0	56.0	0.74

hardening was observed at 300 °C for the solution annealed **(SA)** specimens, as shown in Fig. 2. As the irradiation temperature increased, the hardening decreased and a corresponding increase in elongation was observed. Uniform elongation and total elongation of JPCA/SA/BM were 4.2% and 12.3%. respectively. at 300 °C. Thus, it retained relatively high elongation even at the temperature at which maximum hardening occurred. Many austenitic stainless steels have less than 1% uniform elongation under similar irradiation conditions⁶. The fracture **stresses** were generally reduced with increasing temperature. **RA** values decreased from 300 °C to 400 °C and then remained generally constant.

The base metal in the 15% cold worked (CW) condition was tested only at 500 °C. Both before and after irradiation, the CW material had higher strength and lower elongation than the **SA** material, indicating that the influence of cold work before irradiation was still apparent after irradiation.



Fig. 2 Tensile properties **as** a function of temperature for **JPCA** SA base metal and welded specimens irradiated in HFIR up to 18 dpa in the temperature range of **300** to **600°C**. (a) yield stress and uniform elongation. (b) ultimate tensile **stress** and **total** elongation, (c) fracture stress and (d) reduction of area.

2. Electron Beam Welded

Before irradiation, the weld metal **and the** weld joint specimens both had higher yield strengths and smaller uniform elongations at each temperature than the corresponding base metal specimens. After irradiation, the weld metal and weld joint specimens had slightly smaller yield strengths and uniform elongations than the base metal specimens, **as** shown in Fig. 2. The differences between the three types of specimens (base metal, weld metal, weld joint) at a given temperature were not very large. **The** temperature dependencies of **YS** and E_u of the weld metal and weld joint specimens were generally the same **as** those of the base metal specimens across this temperature range. However, the uniform elongation of EBNJ and WM specimens appeared to have a relative minimum at **400** "C.

Both before and after irradiation, all of the weld joint specimens fractured in the weld metal region. The yield **stresses** of the weld joint specimens were almost the same as those of the weld metal specimens, but the elongations of the WJ specimens were smaller. This suggests initial deformation occurred in the weld metal region of the weld joint specimens because of its lower yield stress, compared to the base metal. Furthermore, deformation was observed at a narrow weld metal region for an EB weld joint. The weld metal region of the weld joint specimens was about one-third of the gage length for the EB specimens. Therefore, the small elongation of weld joint specimens may be caused by the nonuniform deformation in the weld metal region. Because of this, E, may not adequately indicate the ductility of the weld joint specimens. In such cases, the RA value can be used **as** an estimate of the ductility. The RA values for the three types of specimens were essentially the same at 300 "C. The RA values of EB/WJ specimens were higher than BM or WM above **400** "C, and the RA values of WJ specimens increased with temperature although the BM and WM values decreased. This indicates that the weld joint specimens do retain some ductility after irradiation up to **18** dpa and **1400** appm He.

The EB weld metal exhibited a large ductility loss at 600 °C. After irradiation at this temperature. the uniform elongation was only 2.8%, compared to 12% at **500** °C, and the RA value was essentially zero, compared to 0.76 at 500 °C. It is known that the precipitation of TiC can modify the distribution of helium, especially above **500** °C⁷, and that titanium can segregate during the welding process {1,2}. To investigate the possibility of improving weld propenies, specimens were EB welded using a titanium-foil insert in the weld metal. For the standard EB weld, irradiation to –10 dpa at 600 "C lowers the uniform elongation to 2.8%. For the specimen made with a Ti-foil insert in the EB weld, the **E**_u decreased only from **18** to **15%** after irradiation. The post-irradiation **E**_u and RA values were even larger than those of the base metal. The Ti-foil insert EB weld metal had an irradiation-induced hardening of about 30% whereas the **base** metal hardened by **45%** at this temperature. These **data** indicate that the Ti-foil insert is effective in minimizing irradiation hardening and loss of ductility under these conditions.

3. TIG Welded Specimens

The TIG weld material, consisting of both weld metal and weld joint specimens, was irradiated at 400 and **500** "C. The TIG weld joint and weld metal specimens showed higher strength and lower elongation than EB welded specimens at 500 °C. The strength and elongation of TIG WM and WJ were generally the same as EB welded specimens at 400 "C. The RA values of the TIG welded specimens were smaller than EB welded specimens at these temperatures. The fracture stresses of TIG welded specimens were also lower than for corresponding specimens made by EB.

4. Comparison With Previous ORR Results

In general, for this experiment, the TIG welded specimens broke at lower fracture stresses and with less reduction in **area** than did the corresponding EB welded specimens, even at **400** °C where the yield strengths and uniform elongations were essentially the same. This tendency of TIG welds was also observed for type 316 stainless steel (J316) TIG welds irradiated in spectrally tailored capsules in the Oak Ridge Research Reactor (ORR)^{8,9}. The results of the ORR irradiation showed that the TIG welds had a significantly lower RA after irradiation and testing between 330 and **400** °C than did EB welds. The EB joints retained relatively high ductility in this experiment. These results, combined with the current investigation, suggest that EB welds of JPCA will perform satisfactorily after neutron irradiation to about 20 dpa from **300** to **600** "C.

Conclusions

JPCA solution annealed base metal had maximum strength and minimum elongation after irradiation at 300 °C. The electron beam welded specimens had only slightly lower strength than the base **metal** and retained uniform elongations greater than 2% after irradiation at temperatures less than 600 "C. The reduction of area of the electron beam weld metal is very low at 600 "C but the titanium-foil insert in the weld lessened the effect of irradiation at this temperature. Radiation-induced hardening was also reduced in this specimen. The TIG welded specimens had about the same strength hut less ductility than the EB specimens after irradiation. These results, combined with those of previous experiments, suggest that the tensile properties of EB welds of JPCA will be satisfactory after neutron irradiation to about 20 dpa in the temperature range of 300 - 600 °C.

FUTURE WORK

Microstructural studies on irradiated specimens are scheduled to begin during the next reporting period. A higher dose capsule (JP-14, 34 dpa) has been irradiated and disassembled. Specimens irradiated in this capsule will be tested soon.

REFERENCES

1. T. Sawai. K. Fukai, S. Hamada, K. Suzuki and A. Hishinuma, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 444-447.

2. T. Sawai, K. Fukai, T. Kodaira, T. Nishida. M. Nayama and A. Hishinuma, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 861-865.

3. L.R. Greenwood, J. Nucl. Mater. 115 (1983) 137-142.

4. M.P. Tanaka, S. Hamada, A. Hishinuma and M.L. Grossbeck, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 957-962.

5. M.P. Tanaka, M. Suzuki, S. Hamada, A. Hishinuma and T. Kondo, Fusion Engineering and Design 9 (1989) 153-158.

6. M.L. Grossbeck, K. Ehrlich and C. Wassilew, J. Nucl. Mater. 174 (1990) 264-281.

7. T. Sawai, PJ. Maziasz and A. Hishinuma, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 519-522.

8. S. Jitsukawa, PJ. Maziasz. T. Ishiyama, L.T. Gibson and A. Hishinuma, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 771-775.

9. A. Hishinuma, S. Jitsukawa and M.L. Grossbeck, Fusion Reactor Material Semiannual Progress Report. DOE/ER-0313/11, Office of Fusion Energy (1991) 163-166.

A PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF CRACK GROWTH IN TYPE 316L STAINLESS STEEL IN AIR AND WATER UNDER CYCLIC LOADING CONDITIONS FOR ITER FIRST-WALL DESIGN' M. R. Fox, W. K. Soppet, W. E. Ruther, and T. F. Kassner (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

Austenitic stainless steels (SSs) are being considered **as** a structural material for first-wall/blanket systems in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER).¹ Information on the corrosion fatigue properties of candidate SSs under ITER-relevant conditions will help to identify an optimal combination of structural material, coolant chemistry, and operational conditions for ongoing ITER design work. The objective of this task *is* to provide baseline information on corrosion fatigue of candidate SSs in oxygenated water that simulates many important parameters anticipated in ITER first-wallblanket systems.

SUMMARY

Initial results on stress corrosion cracking (SCC) susceptibility²⁻⁶ and crack growth rate⁷ (CGR) of Type 316L SS in oxygenated water wcre used with fracture-mechanics relations to compare the fatigue life (cycles to failure) in air and water at 200°C of a coolant tube within the ITER first-wall structure for burn and pulse times of 480 and 1000 s, respectively. Fatigue life was evaluated as a function of maximum stress intensity, initial flaw depth in the 3.2-mm wall of the tube, water purity, and threshold stress intensity for SCC.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Research and development needs of the ITER with respect to aqueous corrosion include establishing a reliable data base on reference materials, viz., Types 316, 316L, and 316NG **SS** in the solution-annealed, cold-worked, and welded conditions. A nominal ITER water chemistry would most likely be high-purity (HP) water containing (a) stable radiolysis/electrolysis producls, e.g., dissolved O_2 , H_2O_2 , and H_2 , at ppm levels, and (b) ionic species (at ppb levels), e.g., soluble corrosion products and impurities in the makeup water and from release by ion–exchange resins in water purification systems.

The nominal ITER coolant pressure (-10 MPa), mechanical loads, and temperature gradienu across the first-wall structure will produce both static and cyclic stresses, which, when coupled with residual tensile stresses associated with welds, can exceed the yield strength of the material. High tensile stresses under ITER cyclic operation ($\approx 10^4$ cycles) are conducive to crack initiation and propagation under corrosion fatigue conditions.

Previous work focused on the susceptibility to SCC of Types 316NG, 316L, and 304 SS by conducting slow-strain-ratc-tensile (SSRT) tests under crevice and noncrevice conditions.²⁻⁶ Crack growth data on fracture-mechanics specimens fabricated from Type 316L and two heats of Type 316NG SS were also obtained from corrosion fatigue tests in water containing dissolved oxygen and chloride (Cl⁻) at 150, 185, and 225°C.⁷ The experimental results wcre used to formulate analytical expressions that quantify the effects of water chemistry and temperature on the CGR of the material. The SSRT and crack growth properties of Type 316L SS were used with fracture-mechanics relations, postulated surface flaws of various size, and a range of loading conditions that may encompass those cncountered in ITER design analyses to assess fatigue life of a coolant tube with a wall thickness of 3.2 mm.

^{*} Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

Background

Under normal operating conditions, a heat-transport system can be subjected to combinations of loads acting at the same time. Current design codes specify allowable stress intensities for four load categories.^{8,9} The first category addresses primary mean stresses P_m that act over the full cross section of a tube or pipe. These stresses **are** associated with the internal pressure of the coolant and must not exceed the stress intensity limit S_m , which is 2/3 of the yield stress of the material. The tangential (hoop), axial, and radial stresses are given by

$$\sigma_{t} = P \cdot r_{i}/h, \ \sigma_{a} = P \cdot r_{i}/2h, \ \text{and} \ \sigma_{r} = -P/2,$$
 (1)

respectively, where P is the internal pressure and r_i and h, respectively, are the inside radius and thickness of the tube. The value of S_m limits sustained loads that could cause gross collapse of the structure. The second category limits to $1.5S_m$ the combined stresses of P_m , the primary bending stress P_b by weights and other forms of sustained loads and by internal pressure on flanges, and any secondary mean stresses $Q_m(s)$ caused by bending loads at junctions with attachments to a pipe. If the tube or pipe is also subjected to thermal transients, a third category limits to $3S_m$ the above loads plus those attributed to secondary mean stress due to temperature $Q_m(t)$, secondary bending stress due to sustained loads $Q_b(s)$, and secondary bending stress due to linear temperature distribution $Q_b(t)$. The fourth load category addresses peak stresses due to temperature and localized stress concenuation.

The dependence of tangential stress on temperature for Type 316L SS piping of several sizes is shown in Fig. 1, along with the variation of ultimate tensile stress (UTS), yield stress (YS). and S_m with temperature, taken from the ASME Code.⁸ In relation to ITER first wall designs, 3/8–80S pipe with an O.D. and thickness of 17 and 3.2 mm, respectively, was used in the following analysis of fatigue life in air and water. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the hoop stresses due to coolant pressure at temperatures $\leq 200^{\circ}$ C are ≤ 12 MPa for pipes of all sizes. If the pressure of water in the coolant system is set at 10 MPa, a value that is larger by a factor of 7 than the pressure of saturated steam at 200°C, a hoop stress of =17 MPa for the 3/8–80S pipe is still much lower than S_m (106 MPa) at 200°C.



In an analysis of propagation of small surface cracks in a tube that could be introduced by fabrication (lack of penetration during welding). fatigue, or localized corrosion, a semiellipsoid shape is frequently chosen.

The stress intensity factor K associated with a surface crack of depth a, with its major axis in either the axial or circumferential directions, is given by ^{10,11}

$$K = 1.12 \sigma (\pi a)^{1/2}.$$
 (2)

This expression is valid for flat plates with a crack length-to-depth ratio of 2; however, it is **a** good approximation for small flaws in pipes.¹² Figure 2 shows the relationship between stress and flaw depth for several values of K between 10 and 50 MPa·m^{1/2} (Eq. 2). The YS, UTS, and S_m levels for Type 316L SS at 200°C and the range of initial flaw depths corresponding to ~10% of the wall thickness of pipes of various size are denoted on the figure. Figure 2 also shows that, for stress levels to $3S_m$ and flaw depths between ≈ 0.2 and **3.2 mm** (through wall in the case of the 3/8-80S pipe), K values range from =10 to 40 MPa·m^{1/2}. Threshold stress intensity factors for SCC of SSs in oxygenated water are not well known, but reponed values for sensitized Type 304 SS¹³ and Type 316NG SS¹⁴ at 289°C are -10 and 20 MPa·m^{1/2}, respectively. Consequently, for initial flaw depths of $\approx 10\%$ of the wall thickness and maximum stress levels near $3S_m$ during cyclic loading from thermal transients, propagation of existing flaws in the piping appears to be possible.



Nondestructive evaluation methods are used to detect corrosion wastage, pitting, and stress corrosion cracks in lubes and pipes of various sizes. The probability of detecting pitting and wastage is -90% for wall losses >40%.¹⁵ However, reliable detection and sizing of cracks by eddy current testing is difficult. The probability of detecting a crack increases with crack depth because the voltage response of the probe increases. Estimates of the probability of detection are 50% for relatively shallow flaws (<40% through wall) and better than 80% for deep flaws >80% through wall.¹⁶

To determine the CGR of the steel in air (CGR_{air}), we used the relations (Eq. 3) given by the current **ASME** Section XI correlation at 288°C, based on the work of James and Jones, 1^7 i.e.,

$$CGR_{air} = 3.43 \times 10^{-12} S(R) \Delta K^{3.3} / t_r \text{ (m·s^{-1})},$$

$$S(R) = 1 + 1.18R \qquad R \le 0.8.$$

$$S(R) = 43.35 + 57.97 R \qquad R < 0.8,$$
(3)

where t_r is the rise time of the loading wave form, R is the load ratio ($\sigma_{min}/\sigma_{max}$ or K_{min}/K_{max}) and $\Delta K = K_{max} - K_{min}$. The dependence of CGR_{air} on load ratio for K_{max} values of 20, 30, and 40 MPa·m^{1/2} and rise times of 10 and 480 s, from Eq. 3, is shown in Fig. 3. The rise times of 10 and 480 s correspond to a







The load ratios applicable to the present analysis are typically rather low (<0.2), based upon a circumferential stress (σ_{min}) of -17 MPa and maximum stress levels (σ_{max}) from S_m to $3S_m$ (106 to 320 MPa). Therefore, the relevant values for CGR_{air} are 10^{-10} – 10^{-9} m·s⁻¹ for stress intensities between 20 and 40 MPa·m^{1/2} and a bum time of 480 s. Figure 4 shows that CGR values of this magnitude would imply that cracks would grow through wall in ≈ 2 to 24 months of continuous operation for a thin–wall component such as a 3/8–80S pipe, if crack growth occurred only during the 480–s burn of an $\approx 1000-s$ pulse. The estimated service life may decrease when the steel is exposed to water. The decrease is caused by environmental enhancement of the CGRs, which depends on water purity and the threshold stress intensity K_{th} for SCC.





Corrosion Fatigue Analysis in Water

Because Section XI of the **ASME** Code currently provides only **an** in–air design curve, corrosion fatigue data in simulated boiling–water–reactor environments, obtained at Argonne National Laboratory and from the literature, have been analyzed to develop corrosion fatigue curves for SSs in aqueous **environments**.¹⁸ The approach is basically an update of the work of Gilman el **al**.,¹⁹ incorporating additional data that are now available. The modified equations from Section XI of the ASME Code for fatigue crack **gr**owth of Type 304 SS in air (ASME air curve) and crack growth curves for Types 316L and 304 SS in water**7**,17 form the basis for predictions under specific loading conditions at lower temperatures.

As was described previously? CGR_{water} is written as a superposition of terms that represent the contribution of SCC, CGR_{SCC} ; a corrosion fatigue term CGR_{env} , for additional CGR under cyclic loading due to the environment; and a mechanical fatigue term CGR_{air} , for fatigue crack growth in air:

$$CGR_{water} = CGR_{SCC} + CGR_{env} + CGR_{air}.$$
(4)

For the SCC term, the correlation given in the US. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report NUREG-0313, Rev. 2, January 1988, is used for water chemistries with 8 ppm dissolved oxygen:

$$CGR_{SCC} = 2.1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ K}^{2.161} \text{ (m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\text{)}.$$
(5)

The air term is given by the current ASME Section XI correlation at 288°C (Eq. 3), and following Shoji et al.²⁰ and Gilman et al.,¹⁹ the corrosion fatigue term is assumed to be related to CGR_{air} through a power law,

$$CGR_{env} = A \cdot (CGR_{air})^m.$$
(6)

The values of the coefficient A and the exponent **m** for water with 8 ppm dissolved oxygen at 288°C, obtained by an empirical power-law curve fit to the existing data for R < 0.9 (where cyclic loading dominates and the stress corrosion term in the superposition model Eq. **4**, can be ignored), are

$$A = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$$
(7)
m = 0.5,

for CGRs in $m \cdot s^{-1}$ and K in MPa·m^{1/2}. Available experimental daw' for Type 316L SS in oxygenated water at 185 and 225°C are consistent with correlations based on Eqs. 3–7.

A hyperbolic tangent expression was used to relate CGR_{water} to a SCC susceptibility parameter (namely, the strain ratio from SSRT tests in water and in air)⁶ that further accounts for the effect of water purity on crack propagation. The dependence of the strain ratio, i.e., the strain at failure in water to that in air, on concentration in oxygenated water and temperature is shown in Fig. 5. The dependence of this parameter at 200°C on the concentration of Cl⁻ and conductivity of the water is shown in Fig. 6. The strain ratio is 1.0 in HP water (<0.1 μ S·cm⁻¹) containing <0.01 ppm Cl⁻; however, as the Cl⁻ level and conductivity increase, the strain ratio decreases.⁶ For the purpose of this analysis, strain ratios of -0.7 and 0.5, which correspond to water Conductivity values of -0.3 and 1.0 μ S·cm⁻¹, respectively, were used to assess the effect of water purity on crack growth in the tube. From the standpoint of susceptibility to SCC, a further increase in conductivity (decrease in water purity) will not produce additional degradation of the material.



Figure 6. Dependence of strain ratio SCC parameter for Type 316L SS on Cl⁻ concentration and conductivity of oxygenated water at 200 °C

In Fig 7, CGR_{water} is plotted **as** a function of strain ratio for several values of CGR_{air} in the range of 10^{-7} to 10^{-12} m·s⁻¹. The results show that, for conditions that produce high CGRs in air (e.g., the top curve in the figure, 10^{-7} m·s⁻¹), environmental enhancement of CGRs in water under cyclic loading is relatively small when the strain ratio decreases from a value of 1.0, which denotes no environmental enhancement becomes significant (CGR_{water} $\approx 10^{-9}$ m·s⁻¹) as the strain ratio decreases from ≈ 0.8 to 0.4, reflecting the influence of poorer water quality on crack propagation. Mechanical fatigue is predominant at high CGRs. whereas the contribution of SCC to crack propagation can become significant under loading conditions that produce low CGRs in air.




Figures 8 a and b show crack depth (% of wall thickness) as a function of time for an initial crack depth of 0.4 mm in a 3.2-mm-thick tube in air and water (conductivity $1 \mu S \cdot cm^{-1}$). The curves correspond to maximum stress levels of $3S_m$, $2S_m$, and $1.5S_m$ at an R value of 0.1, a threshold stress intensity for **SCC** of 10 MPa·m^{1/2}, and a rise time of 480 s. Crack growth was assumed to occur only during the 480-s rise time of the 1000-s pulse duration. The marked influence of water, compared to that of an air environment, and stress level on the time for a crack to grow through wall is depicted in the two figures. The effect of a relatively low value of K_{th} (i.e., 10 MPa·m^{1/2}) on time to failure in water is evident, particularly at a stress level of $3S_m$.

Crack depth is shown **as** a function of the number of bum cycles in Figs. 9 a and b for the same water chemistry and loading conditions depicted in Figs. 8 a and b. At a maximum stress level of $3S_m$, the crack grows through wall in ≈ 2000 cycles in water and $\approx 47,000$ cycles in air. Whereas the life in air exceeds the design life of 10,000 cycles for normal operation, the life in water is lower than the design life under these conditions. However, as the stress level decreases from $3S_m$ to $2S_m$, the design life of 10,000 cycles for normal operation is achieved in both air and water. At the 1.5 S_m level, the design goal of 100,000 cycles at reduced power operation can be achieved with some margin of conservatism.



Figure 8. Crack depth versus time in air and water at 200°C for an initial crack depth of 12.5% in a 3.2mm-thick Type 316L SS rube under low-R loading at maximum stress levels $(a) 3S_m$, and (b) 2 S_m and 1.5 S_m



Figure 9. Crack depth versus burn cycles in air and water at 2009: for an initial crack depth of 12.5% in a 3.2-mm-thick tube under low-R loading at stress levels of (a) $3S_m$, and (b) $2S_m$ and $1.5S_m$

The dependence of the cycles to failure on K_{th} was determined for water with conductivity levels of 0.3 and 1.0μ S·cm⁻¹. Results at the three stress levels are shown in Fig. 10 along with the cycles to failure in air.



At $3S_m$, the design goal of 10,000 cycles can be attained when K_{th} is >13 MPa·m^{1/2} in water With a conductivity of $1 \mu S \cdot cm^{-1}$. At any value of K_{th} , life is longer in water of higher punty (e.g., $0.3 \mu S \cdot cm^{-1}$). At $2S_m$, a design goal of 100,000 cycles can be achieved at this threshold stress intensity and both water purity levels. Virtually no decrease of life in water compared with that in air is predicted when K_{th} is >20 MPa·m^{1/2} at stress levels of $2S_m$ and $1.5S_m$. These figures illustrate the effects of water chemistry, K_{th} , and stress level on expected life of a 3.2-mm-wall tube with an initial flaw depth of 12.5% or $\approx 0.4 \text{ mm}$.

In addition to the other variables, initial flaw depth plays an important role in component lifetime. Figure 11 shows cycles to failure **as** a function of initial flaw size at the three stress levels in air and in water of two purity levels at a somewhat higher K_{th} value of 16 MPa·m^{1/2}. The R value and **rise** time are the same **as** in the previous figures. The results show that lifetime increases markedly for small initial flaw sizes of <5% of the wall thickness at all stress levels. Life also increases significantly.i.e., from -15,000 to 250,000 cycles, in 0.3 μ S·cm⁻¹ water, for a tube with larger initial flaw sizes of -15-20% of the wall thickness **as** the stress level decreases from 3S_m to 1.5S_m.



DISCUSSION

Environmental, material, and loading parameters can have a major influence on the operational life of a coolant system. Components such as steam generators, heat exchangers, and large-diameter piping in electric

generating plants typically have a design life of ≈ 40 yr. Although corrosion and cracking processes in watercooled nuclear power plants are controlled through system design, materials **selection** and fabrication. coolant chemistry, and operating procedures. numerous thermal-fluctuation- and mechanical-vibration-induced fatigue failures have occurred. During normal operation, the components are not subjected to frequent thermal transients, and stresses in piping due to internal coolant pressure or differential coolant pressure across **tubes** in a steam generator are relatively constant ($\mathbf{R} = \approx 1$) and well within Code-allowable values. Nevertheless, these stresses, coupled with residual stresses from welding, can be near *the* **YS**, where environmentally enhanced crack growth can occur. At high load ratios (>0.95 in Fig. 3), CGRs in air **are** quite low (<10⁻¹² m·s⁻¹) and significant enhancement in the rates may not occur in HP water (Figs. 6 and 7). This indeed must be valid for components containing postulated small flaws and wall thicknesses of 1-30 mm to achieve a design life of -40 yr (by extrapolation of the lines in Fig. 4). However, failures have occurred in relatively short times when thermal *striping* (mixing of hot and cold water) and cyclic thermal stratification (hot fluid riding on the top of a cold fluid in horizontal lengths of pipe) produce temperature gradients with peak-to-peak amplitudes of $\approx 40^{\circ}$ C and time periods of ≈ 120 to **1200 s**.

Although primary stresses in coolant tubes in ITER designs may be lower than those in water reactor components, mainly because of lower operating temperature, secondary thermal and bending stresses inherent in a **pulse** mode of heat generation may be higher than those encountered in conventional nuclear plants.

CONCLUSIONS

The present results show that although a defect-free coolant tube may achieve the design life in terms of number of cycles to failure in air, based on Section III of Appendix I of the ASME Code, small flaws that are introduced in a **tube** during fabrication, fatigue, or localized corrosion can propagate and decrease fatigue life in air and in water, particularly if high secondary stress levels associated with thermal cycling **are** present. These conditions would require high initial quality during fabrication, reliable pre- and in-service inspection by nondestructive evaluation methods, and capability to repair or remove coolant tubes from service before cracks progress through wall. A more quantitative assessment of flaw tolerance of a coolant system within the first-wall structure of ITER will require better knowledge of the threshold stress intensity for SCC and a detailed analysis of secondary stresses that arise from cyclic loading. Regions where high stresses occur must be identified and attempts should be made to minimize their magnitude to achieve design life.

FUTURE WORK

CGR tests will be conducted on another **set** of compact–tension specimens from several heats of Type 316NG **SS** in oxygenated water to determine K_{th} at lower load ratios and lower frequencies that are typical of ITER loading conditions. The **tests** will provide a technical basis for selecting appropriate crack growth curves for design and analysis **cf** fist–wall structures.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. W. Conn. V. A. Chuyanov. N. Inoue, and D. R. Sweetman, *The International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor*, Scientific American 226 (4) 1992.
- A. B. Hull, M. R. Fox, J. W. Pascoe, and T. F. Kassner, *Environmental Effects on Aqueous Stress Corrosion* of *Candidate Austenitic Steels for ITER Structural Applications*, 9th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending September 30, 1990, DOE/ER-0313/9, *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory, p. 22R.
- A. B. Hull and T. F. Kassner, Aqueous Stress Corrosion of Candidare Austenitic Steels for ITER Structural Applications, 10th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1991, DOE/ER-0313/10, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 241.

- 4. M. R. Fox, A. B. Hull, and T. F. Kassner, Stress Corrosion Cracking of Candidare Structural Materials under Simulated First-Wall/Aqueous Coolant Environments, Fusion Technol. 19, 1619–1628 (1991).
- A. B. Hull, P. R. Luebbers, M. R. Fox, W. K. Soppet, and T. F. Kassner, Aqueous Stress Corrosion of Candidare Ausrenitic Sreelsfor ITER Structural Applications. 12th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/12, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 225.
- W. K. Soppet, D. M. French, and T. F. Kassner, Aqueous Stress Corrosion & Candidare Austenitic Steels for ITER Structural Applications, 14th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31,1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 380.
- W. E. Ruther and T. F. Kassner. Corrosion Fatigue of Candidate Austenitic Sreelsfor ITER Structural Applications, 14th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 395.
- 8. Cases of ASME boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Case N-47-28, Class I Components in Elevared Temperature Service. Section 111, Division 1, (1989), American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York.
- 9. D. Burgreen, Design Methods for Power Plant Structures, 1sted., C. P. Press, Jamaica, NY (1975).
- 10. P. C. Paris and G. C. Sih, Stress Analysis of Cracks, in Fracture Toughness Testing and Its Applications, ASTM STP 381, American Society of Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, p. 30 (1965).
- 11. W. E. Senschak and O. E. Widera, Application of Fracture Mechanics to Nuclear Piping Systems, Eng. Fracture Mechanics 4, 877–982 (1972).
- D. D. Dedhia and D. O. Harris, Stress-Intensity Factorsfor Surface Cracks in Pipes: A Computer Codefor Evaluation by Use of Influence Functions, EPRI NP-2425, Electric Power Research Institute. Palo Alto, CA (June 1982).
- D. A. Hale, J. D. Heald, R. M. Horn, C. W. Jewett, J. N. Kass, H. S. Mehta, S. Ranganath, and S. R. Sharma. *The Growth and Stability of Stress Corrosion Cracks in Large Diameter BWR Piping Vol.* 2: Appendices, EPRI NF-2472 Vol. 2 (July 1982).
- W. E. Ruther, J. Y. Park, W. K. Soppet, and T. F. Kassner, in *Environmentally Assisted Cracking in Lighr Warer Reactors. Semiannual Report October* 1988–March 1989, NUREG/CR-4667 Vol. 8, ANL-90/4, pp. 2–5 (June 1990).
- 15. E. R. Bradley, P. G. Doctor, R. H. Ferris, J. A. Buchanan, Sream Generaror Group Project Task 13 Final Report: Nondestructive Examination Validation, NUREG/CR-5185, PNL-6399 (August 1988).
- R. A. Kurtz, Sreom Generator Tube Inregrity Program/Steam Generator Group Project. Final Project Summary Report, NUREG/CR-5117 (May 1990).
- L. A. James and D. P. Jones, *Fatigue Crack Growrh Correlation for Austenitic Stainless Steels in Air*. Proc. Conf. on Predictive Capabilities in Environmentally-Assisted Cracking, R. Rungta. ed., PVP Vol. 99, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, pp. 363–414 (1985).
- W. J. Shack, in Environmenrally Assisted Cracking in Light Water Reactors Semiannual Report. October 1990—March 1991, NUREG/CR-4667 Vol. 12, ANL-91/24, pp. 31-37 (August 1991).
- 19. J. D. Gilman, R. Rungta, P. Hinds, and H. Mindlan, Corrosion-Fatigue Crack Growth Rates in Austenitic Stainless Sreels in Light Water Reacror Environments. Int. J. Pressure Vessel Piping 31, 55-68 (1988).
- 20. T. Shoji, H. Takahashi, M. Suzuki, and T. Kondo. A New Parameter for Characterizing Corrosion Fatigue Crack Crowlh. J. Eng. Mater. Tcchnol. 103, 298-304 (1981).

DENSITY MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED ON ISPRA SECOND GENERATION AMCR ALLOYS IRRADIATED IN MOTA-2A AND MOTA-2B · F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)", P. Schiller (Ispra Establishment), and H. Takahashi (Hokkaido University)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to provide information on the radiation response of reduced activation alloys considered for fusion application.

SUMMARY

Density change measurements have been completed on the lspra second generation AMCR alloys irradiated in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B. The various compositional modifications induce a wide variation of swelling behavior in the range 423-600°C at 32-61 dpa

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

In the early FFTF irradiation studies on commercial Fe-Cr-Mn alloys it was shown that the AMCR alloy supplied by Creusot-Marrel (Fe-10Cr-18Mn-0.7Ni-0.6Si-0.06N-0.2C) exhibited somewhat better performance than the other alloys investigated.' Researchers at the Ispra Establishment have developed a series of lower activation variations on this steel,' which was inserted for irradiation in MOTA-2A during FFTF cycle 11 and MOTA-2B during cycle 12 at 423, 520, and 600°C. (*See* Table I for the composition of these steels.) Cycle 12 has been completed and density measurements performed. The results for cycle 11 were reported earlier.)

Alloy	Cr	Mn	Ni	Мо	С	N	Si	S	Р
ISPRA-A	12.5	10.5	2	<0.1	0.10	<0.05	0.5	<0.01	<0.02
ISPRA-B	12.5	10.5	<0.25	<0.1	0.30	<0.05	0.5	<0.01	<0.02
ISPRA-C	12.5	17.5	2	<0.1	0.10	<0.05	0.5	<0.01	<0.02
ISPRA-D	10.0	17.5	<0.25	<0.1	0.30	<0.05	0.5	<0.01	<0.02
ISPRA-E	17.0	10.5	2	<0.1	0.10	<0.05	0.5	<0.01	<0.02

 Table 1

 Composition (wt%) of ISPRA Second Generation AMCR Alloys

[&]quot;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

Alloy	Cu	Al	Nb	v	w	Ta	Pb	Со	В
А	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	0.75	1.4	<0.005	<0.001	<0.1	<0.005
В	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	0.75	1.4	<0.005	<0.001	<0.1	<0.005
С	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	<0.1	2.0	<0.005	<0.001	<0.1	<0.005
D	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	<0.1	2.0	<0.005	<0.001	<0.1	<0.005
Е	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	0.75	2.0	<0.005	<0.001	<0.1	<0.005

Results

Table 2 presents the results of the density measurements, and demonstrates that a large variation in swelling behavior occurs. Note that the AMCR steel used in the previous U.S. studies was also included in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B for comparison with the behavior of the new Ispra alloys. Figures 1a and 1b show that the swelling of all alloys at 423-427 and 600°C is relatively small compared to that of several alloys at 520°C. The relative swelling varies **frcm** one temperature to the next, but alloys Ispra-C and Ispra-E seem to be more prone to accelerated swelling at the two lowest temperatures. The data at 600°C are suggestive of phase instabilities, indicated by late term densification.

Table 2 Swelling (%) of FeCrMn Ispra Alloys and AMCR in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B[•]

Alloy	Original Density (g cm ⁻³)	423°C 36.1 dpa**	427°C 60.3 dpa**	520°C 36.3 dpa**	520°C 60.8 dpa**	600°C 32.3 dpa**	600°C 54.2 dpa**
ISPR A-A	7.94768	0.96	1.56	1.23/0.28	2.36	-0.15	86
ізгка-в	7.94965	0.30	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.99	0.37
ISPRA-C	7.98265	I.49	3.71	3.91	11.9	1.96	1.91
ISPRA-D	7.97152	0.28	0.55	0.58	2.58	-0.72	-0.99
ISPRA-E	7.85483	0.52	1.91	4.08	8.40	0.58	1.66
AMCR	7.86452	0.96	1.16	0.71	1.82	1.19	3.49

FUTURE WORK

The specimens from MOTA-2A have been examined by microscopy at Hokkaido University The MOTA-2B specimens will be shipped to Hokkaido during the next reporting period



Fig. 1. Swelling measured in AMCR and five Ispra variants irradiated in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B.

REFERENCES

1. F. A. Garner and J. M. McCarthy in *Reduced Activation Materials for Fusion Reactors*, ASTM **STP 1047**, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia (1990) 19-29.

2. G. Piatti, D. Boerman and J. Heritier in *Fusion Technology 1988*, Proceedings of 15th Symposium on Fusion Technology (1989) 983-990.

3. E A. Gamer, F Schiller and H. Takahashi, *Fusion Reactor Semiannual Progress Report* DOE/ER-0313/14 (1993) in press.

DENSITY CHANGES INDUCED BY NEUTRON IRRADIATION IN DYNAMICALLY COMPACTED TUNGSTEN AND PCA IN MOTA's 2A AND 2B - F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)^a and J. Megusar (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the potential of rapid solidification and dynamic compaction **as** tools for improving the performance of candidate fusion materials.

SUMMARY

Dynamically compacted tungsten with a starting density of 95.3% of the theoretical value densified **2** to 3% when irradiated in FFTF/MOTAs 2A and 2B at three temperatures between 423 and 600°C and displacement levels corresponding to 32 to 36 dpa in stainless steel during the first irradiation segment and 54.2 to 60.8 dpa during the second segment. Rapidly solidified and dynamically compacted PCA with high levels of titanium and carbon were also irradiated at these conditions. The density changes were variable between nominally identical specimens but were small enough to determine that significant swelling had not occurred. Microscopy is necessary to determine whether any void growth occurred in addition to precipitate-related strains.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Rapid solidification and dynamic compaction have been proposed **as** methods to produce alloys for use in fusion environments.'.' One such material, a titanium-modified austenitic stainless steel designated PCA, was irradiated earlier in EBR-II to 15 dpa in the range 395 to 550°C. A total absence of swelling was observed in this alloy, although some swelling was observed in fully annealed specimens that were also irradiated.'

Both the as-produced and fully annealed materials were also irradiated in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B at 423, 520 and 600°C. Density measurements have now been performed on all specimens. Dynamically compacted tungsten was also included in the same packets. This latter material might serve as an armor material for the first wall or divertor.

Experimental Procedure

The titanium-modified austenitic stainless steel (PCA) was provided by the *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory, with the following composition (in wt%): 16.59 nickel, 14.27 chromium, 1.96 molybdenum, **0.32** titanium, 1.62 manganese, 0.53 silicon, 0.046 carbon, 0.008 nitrogen, 0.04 cobalt, 0.014 phosphorus, 0.002 sulfur, and balance iron. Additional carbon and titanium were added in a remelt followed by rapid solidification and dynamic compaction. This material contained approximately triple the nominal amounts of carbon and titanium (that is, 0.17 wt% carbon and 0.92 wt% titanium) originally in PCA. Rapid solidification was performed in a roller quenching apparatus, with **an** estimated cooling rate of 10^5 K/s.

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

Dynamic compaction was performed at the Institut Cerac, Ecublens, Switzerland. Rapidly solidified foils were cut into pieces with a length to thickness ratio of 30 to 1 or less and were compacted with the gun speed at 1200 m/s. The diameter of the compacted material was **5** cm, and the height **was** 1 cm. Compaction was achieved with a shock wave that was produced by impact of the projectile on the powder. Calculations showed the following relation between the shock speed, particle velocity, and the internal energy. Steel powder of -50% loose density and compacted to a shock pressure of **5** GPa gave a shock velocity of **1600 m/s**, a particle velocity of 800 m/s, and an internal energy change of 3×10^5 J/kg. This energy change corresponds to a temperature rise of **600** K, and if the energy is considered to be deposited predominantly at the powder particle surface, the value of 2×10^4 J/m² for energy density is deduced.

Some of this material was subsequently annealed 8 h at 650°C, resulting in a homogeneous precipitation of coherent TiC precipitates approximately 5 nm in size. Some recovery of dislocation structure probably took place during annealing, as evidenced by a large drop in the Knoop microhardness. Both the asproduced and annealed conditions were irradiated in MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B along with dynamically compacted tungsten. The latter was produced at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Density changes were measured by an immersion density technique determined to be accurate to \pm 0.15% swelling. The density change data for MOTA-2A was presented earlier.'

Table 1 presents the density change **data** for the specimens discharged from both MOTA-2A and MOTA-2B. It is obvious that the dynamically compacted tungsten is densifying on the order of 2 to 3%, with most of the change occurring in MOTA-2A. The preirradiation density of 18.4 g cm^{-3} is 95.3% of the textbook value of 19.3 g cm^{-3} . Therefore, during irradiation the compacted tungsten is approaching, but has not yet reached, the theoretical density. Some portion of the densification may also result from transmutation of tungsten to rhenium and osmium.' At 427° C and 60.3 dpa, the specimens were found to be very brittle and broke into many small pieces during density measurements. This embrittlement will be studied in more detail in the next reporting period.

Specimen	Pre- Irradiation Density	423°C 36.1 dpa	427°C 60.3 dpa	520°C 36.3 dpa	520°C 60.8 dpa	600°C 32.3 dpa	600°C 54.2 dpa
W**	18.4098	-2.23	-2.75	-2.05/ -3.19	-29.5	-2.07	-2.59
РСА	7.8677	-0.29	-0.87	+0.28	0.03	-0.04	+0.09/ -0.07
PCA- Annealed	7.9083	+0.51	0.08	+0.37	0.64	+0.13/ -1.04	+0.67/ -0.32

Table 1 Swelling in Percent from Dynamically Compacted Specimens in MOTA's 2A and 2B*

*Unless two values are given, the swelling is the average of two separate specimens which agreed within $\pm 0.2\%$.

**dpa for W is less than that of steel, but has not yet been calculated.

The density changes for PCA in both starting conditions are small enough to preclude drawing conclusions concerning the onset of swelling. Both positive and negative changes were observed, indicating that precipitation-related changes in lattice parameter are occurring.

FUTURE WORK

Microscopy and EDX analyses will be performed by J. Megusar.

REFERENCES

I. N. J. Grant, O. K. Harling, G. Kohse, J. Megusar, and T. Lee, J. Nucl Mater. 141-143 (1986) 427.

2. D. Imeson et al., three successive papers in *J. Nucl. Mafer*. 122 & 123 (1984) 266-271; 272-277; 278-283.

3. J. Megusar, in *Effects & Radiafion on Maferials: 14th InfernationalSymposium*, Volume 1, ASTM STP 1046, N. H. Packan R. E. Stoller, and A. S. Kumar, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1989, pp. 185-192.

4. F. A. Gamer and J. Megusar, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report* DOE/ER-0313-14 (1992) in press.

5. F. A. Gamer and L. R. Greenwood, *Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-*0313/14 (1993) in press.

6.3 Refractory Metal Alloys

.

EFFECTS OF FABRICATION VARIABLES ON IMPACT PROPERTIES AND MICROSTRUCTURE OF V-CR-TI ALLOYS. **H.** M. Chung, J. Gazda, L. J. Nowicki, J. E. Sanecki, and D. **L.** Smith (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to determine the effects of thermomechanical treatment and trace impurities on the impact properties and microstructure of V-(4-5)Cr-(3-5)Ti alloys, and thereby to identify undesirable variables of alloy fabrication that are detrimental to malerial toughness.

SUMMARY

In spite of the small differences in composition of alloying elements, V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys exhibited surprisingly large variations in ductile-brittle transition temperatures (DBTTs) (<-190, -60, and -85°C, respectively) in previous investigations. To provide a better understanding of the origin of the variation, effects of fabrication parameters (extrusion, forging, annealing temperature, and quality of Ti raw material) on the microstructure and impact properties were investigated in this work. Fracture surfaces of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys, which exhibited DBTTs ≈110°C higher than that of V-4Cr-4Ti, were characterized by relatively large precipitates (2,000-17,000 nm) which appear to be complex vanadium compounds containing different compositions of C, S, O, Cl, Ca, Na, K, Cr, and Cu. The two alloys also contained high-density precipitates 30-70 nm in size that seem to be vanadium compounds rich in O, C, S, and Ca. This unusual precipitation of vanadium compounds in the V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys seems to be associated in part wilh Ti sponge (relatively rich in Cl, Na, and K) that was used to prepare the alloy ingots. Based on thermodynamic consideration, formation of some of the complex precipitates (e.g., vanadium oxycarbochloride) is preferred to titanium oxycarbonitrides in alloys containing a high level of Cl. Vanadiumbased precipitates were absent in V-4Cr-4Ti, which was fabricated using vacuum-arc-melted Ti plates low in Cl, Na, and K. \vee -5Cr-3Ti, which was forged at \approx 650°C rather than extruded at the usual temperature of 1150°C, also contained unusually small titanium oxycarbonitrides in high-density. Cleavage was the predominant process in brittle fracture of V-5Cr-5Ti. However, evidence of intergranular separation, apparently associated with grain-boundary segregation of Ti, S, and P, was also observed in the material. The origin of the higherthan-expected DBTTs of the V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys seems to be associated with the unusual precipitation of vanadium compounds and intergranular separation. Effect of post-fabrication annealing on ductile-brittle-transition behavior was secondary, although annealing at -1050 °C produced the most favorable impact properties. In contrast to V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti, V-4Cr-4Ti remained ductile for impact temperatures higher than -196°C regardless of annealing at 1050 or 1125°C.

INTRODUCTION

Vanadium-base alloys arc considered promising candidate structural materials for a fusion reactor first wall because they offer many important advantages, e.g., inherently low irradiation-induced activity, good mechanical properties, good compatibility with lithium, high thermal conductivity. and good resistance to irradiation damage. Based on previous investigations in which an alloy composition of ≈ 5 wt.% Cr and ≈ 5 wt.% Ti has been identified as the most promising, mechanical properties of V-5Cr-5Ti, V-4Cr-4Ti, and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys have recently been examined in detail.¹⁻⁶ Among the three alloys, the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy in particular exhibited good tensile,¹ creep,² and impact properties³ and superior resistance to irradiation-induced embrittlement⁴⁻⁵ and swelling.⁶

Despite the **small** difference in composition of alloying elements, DBTTs of unirradiated V-5Cr-5Ti (-60°C) and V-5Cr-3Ti (-85°C) alloys wcrc. however, significantly higher than that of V-4Cr-4Ti (<-190°C).³ Although these DBTTs arc still very low compared to those of any other candidate structural materials for application in a fusion reactor, a concern has been raised as **to** the origin of the variation in DBTT and the reproducibility of the excellent properties of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy. Therefore, supplementary impact tests and

^{*} Work supported by the Office of Fusion Energy. U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

microsuuctural analyses were conducted in this work to determine the effects of thermomechanical treatment and trace impurities on the variation in DBTTs of the three alloys, and thereby to identify undesirable variables of alloy fabrication that are detrimental to material toughness.

MATERIALS AND PROCEDURES

The chemical composition and fabrication parameters (i.e., extrusion, forging, and annealing temperatures) of the 3.8-mm-thick plates of the three alloys, from which the one-third-size Charpy impact specimens were machined, are given in Table 1. In the table, compositions of unalloyed V and V-3Ti are also given. As indicated in the table. different types of Ti raw material were used to arc-melt the alloys. Titanium is usually produced by the Kroll process, in which TiCl₄ is passed over and reduced by molten sodium. The raw Ti sponge produced from this process contains significant levels of Cl, Na, and K. In contrast, Ti plates. processed further by vacuum-arc-mclting of the sponge, contains a relatively low level of Cl, **as** indicated in the table.

Table I. Chemical composition and fabrication parameters of V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys

ANL IDNo.	Alloy Type	DBTT (°C)	Cr (wt.%)	Ti (wl.%)	0 (wppm)	N (wppm)	C (wppm)	Si (wppm)	Extrude or Forge (°C)	Rolling Temp. (°C)	Annealing Temp. (°C) ^a	TL Raw Material
BL-47	4Cr-4Ti	<-190	4.1	4.3	350	220	200	870	extrude 1150	400	1050 4 h	plateb
BL-63	5Cr-5 Ti	-60	4.6	5.1	440	28	73	310	extrude 1150	25	1100 2 h	sponge'
BL-54	5Cr-3T i	-85	5.1	3.0	480	82	133	655	forge 650	25	1175 1 h	sponge ^C
BL-62	3Ti	<-196		3.1	320	86	109	660	-	-	-	sponge ^c
EL-51	v	-150	-	-	570	49	56	370	_	_	-	-

^aAnnealed after rolling by the supplier.

^bCl 5-8 wppm.

^cCl ≈380 wppm.

Final annealing of the Charpy specimens was performed for 1 h at 950-1125°C in a vacuum of 2.6 x 10^{-6} Pa in an ion-pump system. Hydrogen content in the specimens reached after the final annealing is typically <30 appm. The Charpy-impact tests were conducted with an instrumented Dynatup drop-weight impact test machine at temperatures higher than -190°C. Impact velocity and load for the tests were 2.56 m·s⁻¹ and 15 kg, respectively.

Microstructure of the alloys was characterized by JEOL JSM-50 scanning electron microscope (**SEW**, JEM 100CX-II (100 keV) and Philips CM-30T (200 keV) transmission electron microscopes (TEMs), and JEOL JAMP-10 scanning Auger electron microscope (SAM). The JEOL JAMP-10 SAM was equipped with an in-situ fracture device operated at -196°C. Clean fracture surfaces of the alloy specimens were produced in-situ in the ultrahigh vacuum (2.6×10^{-7} Pa) environment of the SAM using this device. The fracture surface chemical composition was analyzed by Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) immediately following the fracture without an exposure of the surface to atmosphere.

EFFECT OF ANNEALING TEMPERATURE

Effects of the final (1 h) annealing temperature on the impact properties of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys are shown in Fig. 1. For V-5Cr-5Ti alloy, annealing at 1050 and 1125°C for 1 h resulted in a DBTT of =-110 and \approx -60°C, respectively (Fig.1A). DBTT of the specimens annealed at 950°C appears to be comparable to or higher than that of the material annealed at 1050°C. Impact behavior of unannealed as-received specimens indicated the highest DBTT for this alloy. The DBTT of V-5Cr-3Ti was similar to that of V-5Cr-5Ti, i.e., -85°C. Based on these observations, it was concluded that the optimal annealing temperature is close to \approx 1050°C. However, it became clear that, contrary to the initial expectation, a high impact energy

 $(C_v - 140 \text{ J} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$ and a ductile behavior at >-196°C (remarkable behavior exhibited by V-4Cr-4Ti, Fig. 1B),^{3,5} cannot be induced by laboratory annealing of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys. In contrast. V-4Cr-4Ti exhibited superior impact behavior regardless of annealing condition. Therefore, it was suspected that the variation in DBTTs of V-5Cr-5Ti, V-5Cr-3Ti, and V-4Cr-4Ti is probably due to a fundamental microstructural character that cannot be modified by the simple annealing treatments; hence, extensive analyses by SEM, TEM, and SAM were conducted to identify the microsucture and relevant metallurgical process.



Figure 1. Effects of annealing temperature on impact properties of V-5Cr-5Ti (A) and V-4Cr-4Ti (B)

MICROSTRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

SEM-EDS Analysis

Brittle-cleavage surfaces of the Charpy specimens of V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti alloys, produced from impact tests at <-100°C, were characterized by hitherto unknown large precipitates. They could be readily imaged and analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in SEM. Examples of the fracture surfaces of the two alloys are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. In V-5Cr-5Ti, intergranular-fracture morphology was observed in minorproportion. Some of the large precipitates (2-15 mm in size) appear to be embedded in the alloy material. Others seemed to be located loosely on the free cleavage surfaces, raising a question that they may be artifact particles. However, holes that are comparable to the protruding particles in size, density, and shape were observed on the fracture surfaces, indicating that they are true precipitates contained in the alloys. Under a focused electron beam that heats a localized area under examination. the irregular-shaped precipitates were observed to change shape (to oval or spherical shape), indicating that these precipitates softened or decomposed at a relatively low temperature.

Neither cleavage nor intergranular fracture could be produced in V-4Cr-4Ti, even at an impact temperature of -196°C (liquid nitrogen temperature), unless a sufficient amount of hydrogen was charged in the specimens. None of these precipitates however, were observed on the cleavage fracture surfaces of V-4Cr-4Ti,

Also shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are EDS spectra of the precipitates. The precipitates were generally rich in V, C, O, and Cl. In addition to these elements, some precipitates indicated Na, S, Ca, or K in their composition (e.g., Fig. 3B). Examination of EDS spectra similar to those of Figs. 2 and 3 indicated that Ti is not a constituent element. Note that the Ti peak in Fig. 2B is from background material. Elements that were found to be higher in composition in the V-base precipitates than in matrix are summarized for each alloy in Table 2.

AES Analvsis

Clean in-situ fracture surfaces, produced in the ultrahigh vacuum environment of SAM, were examined primarily to determine if the characteristic precipitates are indeed contamination-induced artifacts formed on the





Figure 2. SEM morphology (A) and EDS spectra (B) & characteristic precipitates observed on brittle-fracture surface & Charpy-impact specimen of V-5Cr-3Ti



Figure 3. SEM morphology (A) and EDS spectra (B) of characteristic precipitates observed on brittle-fracture surface of Charpy-impact specimen of V-5Cr-5Ti

Charpy specimens after impact testing. Results, however, were essentially similar to those observed in **SEM**. An example of the Auger analyses is given in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4A. cleavage- and intergranular-fracture surfaces and precipitates are visible. **AES** spectra, obtained from the three types of microstructural features, **are** shown in Figs. 4B, 4C, and 4D, respectively.

Intergranular-fracture surfaces exhibited generally higher levels of Ti, O, S, N, and P than cleavage surfaces. Clear resolution of grain-boundary segregation of Ti or N was difficult because **AES** peaks from the two elements virtually overlap. There was indication of slightly higher level of Cl on some grain boundaries (See Table 2); however, the evidence was inconclusive.

Heat IDNo.	Alloy Type	PPT [∎] Ⅲ	GB ^b ₪	Analysis Method	Size (nm)	v	Cr	Ti	Cu	0	С	N	s	Р	CI	Na	Ca	Si	K
BL-63	5Cr-5Ti	17N		SEM-EDS	11,000	x				x	x				x				
/	/	AI		AES	4.500	x	x		x	x	x		x		x				
1	1	B3		AES	2.600	x	x		x	x	X ·	x	x		x		x		
1	1	B5		AES	1.700	x	x		x	x	x	x	x		x		x	x	
1	1	D5		AES	2,100	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x				
1	1		C1	AES	-		x	x		x		x	x	x	x				
/	1		B2	AES	-		X	x		x		x	x	x					
1	1		B4	AES	-			x		x		x	x	x					
1	1		C3	AES	-			x		x		x	x	x					
1	1		D1	AES	-			x		x		x	x	x	x				
/	1		D2	AES	-			x		x		x	x	x					
BL-54	5Cr-3Ti	1'4		SEM-EDS	15,000	x				x	x		x		x	x			x
1	1	P3		SEM-EDS	17,000	x				x	x		x		x	x			
1	1	P2		SEM-EDS	8,000	x				х	x				x	x			
1	1	P6		SEM-EDS	11,000	x				x	x				x	x			
1	1	8305		AEM-EDS	35	x				x			x				x		
BL-62	3Ti	621		SEM-EDS	9,000					x	x				x	x			x
/	1	622		SEM-EDS	11,000	x				x	x				x	x			
1	1	623		SEM-EDS	10,000	x				X ·	x				x	x			
1	1	624		SEM-EDS	8.000	x				x	x				x	x	x		x
EL-51	v	VI		AEM-EDS	70	x				x	x		x				x		
1	1	V2		AEM-EDS	50	x				x	x							x	
/	/	V3		AEM-EDS	60	x					x					x			

 Table 2.
 Summary of constituent elements in vanadium-base precipitates and elements found to segregate to grain boundaries

^aPPT = precipitate.

 $^{b}GB = grain boundary.$

On the in-situ fracture surface shown in Fig. 4A, two types of precipitates were identified. One was the Ti(O,N,C) precipitates (300-500 nm in size) that are normally present in Ti-containing vanadium-base alloys. The other type was complex vanadium compounds, most of them rich in V, Cr, Cu, O,C, S, and Cl, and some of them rich in N, Ca, and Si as well (Table 2). The higher O content in the precipitate analyzed in Fig. 4D can be deduced from a comparison of the spectra of Figs. 4D and 4B. the latter spectra being the background signal from a cleavage surface.

To show more directly Cl, Cr, Cu, Ti, N, and O contents of the precipitates relative to those of cleavage surfaces, raw intensities of these elements are compared in Figs. 5A to 5F. AES peaks of some of the elements are superimposed, c.g., O (510eV) and V (509eV) peaks and N (381eV) and Ti (383eV) peaks. From Fig. 5, it is clear that V-base precipitates are rich in Cl, Cr, Cu, N, and O. However, Fig. 5D indicates that Ti is not a constituent element of the precipitales, because peak heights of Ti (418 eV) from the precipitates were somewhat lower than those from cleavage surfaces. Higher N content in the precipitates can be deduced from a comparison of Figs. 5D and 5E. The larger precipitates analyzed by SEM-EDS did not contain Cr (Figs. 2 and 3). In contrast, all V-base precipitates analyzed by AES that were significantly smaller than those analyzed by SEM contained Cr and Cu (see Table 2).



Figure 4. In-situ fracture surface morphology of V-5Cr-5Ti (A). Typical AES spectra from cleavageand intergranular-fracture surfaces and precipitates are shown in (B), (C), and (D), respectively.

Fracture surfaces of V-4Cr-4Ti produced in-situ at 196°C had a ductile-dimple morphology and none of them exhibited precipitates similar to those of Fig. 2 or 3 (i.e., precipitates rich in V, O, C, and Cl). Only relatively large Ti(O,N,C) precipitates 300-500 nm in size were observed. Cl or Na **peak** was absent in **AES** spectra obtained from precipitates or ductile-dimple surfaces in V-4Cr-4Ti.

TEM Analysis of Ti Precipitates

TEM microstructures of V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti alloys were similar and were characterized by **dual-size** distribution of precipitates: a group of relatively large precipitates (300-500 nm in diameter) in low density and a group of relatively small precipitates (30-80 nm in diameter) in high density. In V-4Cr-4Ti, only the former group of precipitates, identified as the normal Ti(O,N,C), was observed.' These two contrasting precipitate distributions are shown in Fig. 6.

212



Figure 5. Relative intensities & Auger electrons & alloying and impurity elements from cleavage surfaces (denoted "C") and precipitates (denoted "P") in V-5Cr-5Ti. Source elements and Auger electrons are identified in insets.

Further analysis showed that the Ti(O,N,C) phase in V-5Cr-3Ti had a dual-size distribution. i.e., Ti(O,N,C) precipitates of 300-500 and 30-80 nm. These are shown in the dark-field image in Fig. 7A. Small Ti(O,N,C) precipitates, shown in the dark-field image in Fig. 7B, are aligned along linear features that appear to be dislocations, probably formed in association with forging at 650°C. In V-5Cr-5Ti, which was extruded at -1150° C. small Ti(O,N,C) precipitates were not seen.



Figure 6. Unusual dual-size distribution of precipitates characteristic of V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti (A) and normal distribution of Ti(O,N,C) in V-4Cr-4Ti (B)



Figure 7. Dark-field images \notin Ti(O,N,C) in V-5Cr-3Ti showing dual-size distribution (A) and small Ti(O,N,C) linearly aligned in high densify(B)

TEM Analysis of V Precipitates

Diffraction characteristics of the regions containing the smaller precipitates (30-80 nm in size) of high density in both V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti specimens were examined in more detail. An example of such regions in V-5Cr-3Ti is shown in Fig. 8A. Diffraction analysis of such regions showed that at least one type of hitherto unidentified precipitates was present in both alloys in high density. Reciprocal lattice spacings of -0.652. 0.620. 0.605, 0.450. 0.363. 0.311, 0.235. 0.219, 0.168, 0.153, and **0.147** nm were measured from selected-area-diffraction (SAD) patterns characteristic of the regions containing the unknown type(s) of precipitates. These d-spacings could have originated from more than one type of precipitate. The diffraction patterns, however, could not be indexed. An example of such SADs is shown in Fig. 8B. The dark-field image in Fig. 8A was produced using one of the precipitate reflections. The characteristic SADs indicated that the unidentified phase is a low-symmetry structure, most likely a monoclinic system.

The dark-field image (Fig. 8B) indicates that most of them **are** 30-70 nm in size, although **some are as** large **as** \approx 1,000 nm, a size similar **to** the precipitates of Fig. **4** that were shown to be rich in V, O, C, Cl, S, and Ca. From a selected area containing one of the small precipitates, EDS spectra were obtained in the Philips CM-30 analytical electron microscope. **EDS** spectra from the precipitate and mamx are shown in Figs. 8C and 8D. respectively. Based on these results, it **is** believed that most of the small precipitates in Fig. 8A **are** indeed

vanadium precipitates rich in O, S, and Ca. The same type of precipitate was also observed in unalloyed V (BL-51).⁷ This is shown in Fig. 9. The coherent precipitate shown in Fig. 9A produced an oval-shaped dark strain contrast on the right side of the precipitate. Precipitate reflections (d-spacing of 0.620 nm) shown in the corresponding diffraction pattern (Fig. 9B) and EDS spectra (Fig. 9C) are essentially the same as **these** observed in V-5Cr-3Ti (Figs. 8B and 8C), except for double diffraction spots in Fig. 9B and a higher C content in Fig. 9C. In the unalloyed V, similar vanadium precipitates of somewhat different compositions were also **observed** (Table 2).⁷



Figure 8. V-base precipitates in V-5Cr-3Ti. (A)dark-field image showing dual-size distribution: (B) selected area diffraction pattern showing (100)zone of Vand weak reflections (d-spacing 0.620 nm)from a small precipitate in (A); (CJEDS signal from the precipitate; and (D) signal from nearby matrix.

Based on these results, it seems evident that some of the vanadium precipitates, relatively large in size (2,000-17,000 nm), form during ingot melting and solidification. Smaller (30-80 nm) vanadium precipitates of somewhat different compositions scem to form in high density during secondary fabrication processes (extrusion, forging, and annealing).

TEM Analysis of Grain Boundaries

Some grain boundaries in V-5Cr-5Ti were characterized by unknown precipitates, although most of them were free of precipitate phase. There were two different types of precipitates: a continuous film and irregular-shaped panicles. These are shown in dark-field images in Figs. 10A and 10B, respectively. Diffraction patterns from both types of precipitates could not be indexed

DISCUSSION

Based on microstructural analyses, the higher-than-expected DBTTs of V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti seen to be associated with an unusual precipitate structure characterized by dual-size distribution of two types of phases.

One type was a Ti compound, namely, the relatively large Ti(O,N,C) precipitates (300-500 nm in size) that apparently form during ingot melting and solidification. and small Ti(O,N,C) particles 30-80 nm in size that form in **the** forged V-5Cr-3Ti alloy during secondary fabrication. In V-4Cr-4Ti or V-5Cr-5Ti, both of which were extruded, small Ti(O,N,C) panicles did not form.

In V-5Cr-3Ti, V-5Cr.5Ti, and VJTi alloys for which sponge Ti was used in ingot melting, complex Vbase precipitates seem to have formed unexpectedly. The results of SEM, AES, and AEM analyses in Table 2 summarize of the constituent elements of V-base precipitates and elements that segregate to grain boundaries. Although V compounds have slightly different combinations of impurity and trace elements, O, C, Cl, S, Ca. Cr, and Cu seem to promote precipitation.

AES analyses indicate that many of the V-base precipitates **are** a form of vanadium oxycarbochloride, most likely a variation of vanadium dioxychloride (VO_2Cl). To this basic composition. impurities such **as** Cr, Cu. S, Ca, K, or Na seem to be incorporated in different proportions. Sulfur has been known to dissolve readily in some vanadium oxychlorides.⁸

Normally, compounds of VO. VC, VN, or V(O,N,C) are not present in V-base alloys containing Ti because the free energies of formation of TiO, TiN, TiC, or Ti(O,N,C) are significantly larger than those of the V compounds. However, in alloys that contain a high level of Cl, the formation of vanadium oxychlorides or vanadnium oxycarbochlorides is favored thermodynamically. The free energy of formation and crystal structure of VO₂Cl or V(O_{1-x}C_x)₂Cl could not be found in the literature: however, thermodynamic data for similar vanadium oxychlorides are available.⁸ Based on this information, the free energies of formation of vanadium oxychlorides at 1000°C have been calculated and are summarized in Table 3 along with values for V and Ti compounds.⁹ According to Table 3, the formation of a vanadium oxychloride is strongly favored in a Cl-rich alloy, in particular VO₂Cl. A similar rationale could be extended to other types of complex V compounds, e.g., a compound rich in O, Ca, and S (Fig. 8).

Based on these observations, we believe that the higher-than-expected DBTTs of V-5Cr-3Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti alloys are associated primarily with the precipitation of V compounds high in O, C, Cl, Na. K, S, and Ca. The use of higher-quality raw materials could, in principle, lower the DBTTs. **A** more deleterious microstructure that contains grain-boundary phases (Fig. IO) is present in the V-5Cr-5Ti alloy.

CONCLUSIONS

- Despite the small difference in composition of the alloying elements, V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys exhibited a surprisingly large variation in ductile-brittle-transition temperatures (DBTTs). To provide a better understanding of the origin of this variation. effects of fabrication variables on microstructure and impact properties were investigated in this work. V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys were characterized by high-density precipitation of complex V-base compounds, which seems to be aggravated by higher levels of Cl, S, Ca, Na, or K. Higher levels of O and C, not scavenged by Ti and left in solution in the matrix, could also promote precipitation of V compounds under an otherwise similar condition.
- 2. V-5Cr-3Ti, which was forged at ≈650°C rather than extruded, was also characterized by an unusual dual-size distribution of titanium oxycarbonitrides. Small titanium oxycarbonitrides (30-80 nm in size) seem to have formed in high density during secondary fabrication.
- 3. Intergranular separation, apparently associated with grain-boundary segregation of Ti, S, and P, was observed in V-5Cr-5Ti.
- 4. The origin of the higher-than-expected DBTTs of the V-5Cr-5Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys seems to be associated primarily with the unusual precipitation of complex V compounds and the small Ti oxycarbonitrides hat formed during secondary fabrication. It is believed that an excellent ductile-bride-transition behavior, similar to hat of V-4Cr-4Ti, can be produced in V-(4-5)Cr-(3-5)Ti alloys by using high-quality raw materials low in Cl, S, P, Ca, Na, and K.
- 5. The effect of postfabrication annealing on ductile-brittle-transition behavior was secondary, although annealing at ≈1050°C produced the most favorable impact properties.







Figure 9. Vanadium-base precipirare in unalloyed V. (A) bright-field image showing swain contrast near a precipirare; (B) selected area diffraction pattern of (A) showing (111) zone of V, precipirare reflections (d-spacing 0.620 nm), and double diffruction spots; and (C) EDS signal from the precipirare in (A).



Figure 10. Dark-field images of rwo types of groin-boundary precipirates in V-5Cr-5Ti; (A) continuous film and (B) irregular-shaped particles

Reaction	∆G ⁰ (kJ/mol)	Reaction	ΔG^{0} (kJ/mol)
$Ti + 1/2 N_2 = TiN$	-435	$\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{Cl}_2 = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{Cl}_2$	-245
$\mathrm{Ti} + 1/2 \mathrm{O}_2 = \mathrm{TiO}$	-398	$V + 3/2 Cl_2 = VCl_3$	-200
Ti + C = TiC	-170	$V + 2 Cl_2 = VCl_4$	-195
$V + 1/2 N_2 = VN$	-240	V + 1/2 O ₂ + 1/2 Cl ₂ = VOCl	-507
$\mathbf{V} + 1/2 \mathbf{O}_2 = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{O}$	-335	$V + 1/2 O_2 + Cl_2 = VOCl_2$	-539
V + C = VC	-93	$V + 1/2 O_2 + 3/2 Cl_2 = VOCl_3$	-303
$2V + C = V_2C$	-145	$V + O_2 + Cl = VO_2Cl$	-575 to -614ª

 Table 3. Free energy offormation of selected reactions that produce Ti and V compounds (calculated for 1000°C)

^aAssuming △S^o (25°C) of 119-150 J/°C mol. a value similar to that of VOCl₂. See "Extractive Metallurgy of Vanadium." C. K. Gupta and N. Krishnamurty. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1992. pp. 395-474.

FUTURE WORK

238

New V-(4-5)Cr-(3-5)Ti alloys will be fabricated with high-purity raw materials and extrusion at ~1150°C. Charpy impact tests will be conducted to confirm the effects of impurities and secondary fabrication on DBTT.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. A. Loomis, J. Gazda, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith. "Relationship of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties for V-Cr-Ti Alloys," this report: also presented at the 6th Intl. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials, Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1993, Stresa, Italy.
- H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Thermal Creep Behavior of V•5Cr-5Ti and V•10Cr•5Ti Alloys," Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, pp. 309-317.
- 3. B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith, "Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of Unirradiated Vanadium Alloys Based on Charpy-ImpactTesting," ibid. pp. 318-325.
- B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Tensile Properties of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," this report; also presented at the 6th Intl. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials, Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1993, Stresa, Italy.
- **5.** B. A. Loomis, H. M. Chung. L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation and Hydrogen on Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," ibid.
- 6. H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effect of Irradiation Damage and Helium on **the** Swelling and Structure of Vanadium-Base Alloys," ibid.
- 7. J. Gazda, "Analytical Electron Microscopy Study of Vanadium and Vanadium-Base Alloys," MS Thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1993.
- 8. C. K. Gupta and N. Krishnamurty, <u>Extractive Metallurev of Vanadium</u>. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1992. pp. 395-474.
- 9. T. Schober and D. N. Braski, Met. Trans. 20A (1989) 1927-1932.

Effect of Neutron Irradiation on Tensile Properties of V-Cr-Ti Alloys' - B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith. Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South **Cass** Avenue, Argonne, II 60439.

Abstract

The tensile properties of V-4Cr-4Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-14Cr-5Ti, V-3Ti-1Si, V-5Ti, and V-18Ti alloys were determined at 25, 420, 520, and 600°C after neutron irradiation to 28-46 atom displacements per atom at 420,520, and 600°C. The yield strength of the unirradiated alloys increased significantly (-50%) upon neutron irradiation. Irradiation hardening of the alloys at 25°C after irradiation at 420°C was in the order of V-18Ti < V-3Ti-1Si < V-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-14Cr-5Ti. Total elongation of the alloys in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-3Ti-1Si < V-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-18Ti. The total elongation of the alloys at 600°C after irradiation ranged from 11% to 23%, with total elongation of the alloys in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-5Ti < V-3Ti-1Si < V-3Ti-1Si < V-18Ti. The total elongation of the alloys at 600°C after irradiation ranged from 11% to 23%, with total elongation of the alloys in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-5Ti < V-3Ti-1Si < V-3Ti-1Si < V-18Ti.

1. Introduction

Vanadium-base alloys with Cr and Ti **as** the principal alloying additions have a number of attractive physical and mechanical properties for application as structural material in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in fusion reactors that may be constructed in the future [1]. These alloys offer an excellent combination of low, long-term radioactivation, high-temperature capability, and resistance to irradiation damage [1-5]. At the present time, the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is recommended for the near-optimal combination of desirable physical and mechanical properties for a vanadium alloy structural material [1]. In this paper, we present the tensile properties of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy and, in addition, tensile properties of V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-3Ti-1Si, VJTi, and V-18Ti alloys after neutron irradiation to 28-46 atom displacements per atom (dpa) at 420, 520, and 600°C.

2. Materials and procedure

Vanadium alloys with compositions listed in Table 1 were obtained in the form of 50% coldworked sheet with a thickness of 0.9 mm. Tensile specimens with a gauge length of 7.62 mm and **a** gauge width of 1.52 mm were machined from the as-received sheet. The surfaces of the tensile specimens were mechanically ground and polished to a surface finish of 0.3 μ m. The surface-finished specimens were recrystallized by annealing for 1 h in a vacuum of 2 x 10⁻⁵ Pa. The V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys were annealed at 1125°C. The V-5Ti, V-3Ti, and V-3Ti-1Si alloys were annealed at 1050°C. These annealing conditions resulted in an average recrystallized-grain diameter of 0.02-0.04 mm and a dislocation density of $-10^{13}/m^2$ in the specimens.

The tensile specimens were irradiated in Li⁷-filled TZM molybdenum capsules at 420, 520, and 600°C in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) during Cycles 9-11 of the reactor.

The tensile specimens were tested at a tensile strain rate of 0.0011 s^{-1} and a crosshead speed of $0.008 \text{ mm} \text{ s}^{-1}$. All of the tensile tests were conducted in an environment of flowing argon of 99.9999% purity. Specimen temperature during the tensile test was determined from a chromel-alumel thermocouple that was arc-welded to the edge of the specimen in the guage length.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Yield and ultimate tensile strength

The dependence of yield strength (0.2% strain) on (Cr + Ti) concentration for unirradiated vanadium alloys at 25°C and 420-600°C from Ref. [6] is shown in Fig. 1. Yield strength of the alloys

¹ Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

Nominal Composition	ANL LD.		Composit (wt.%)	ion		Composition (wt. ppm)					
		Cr	Ti	Fe	0	Ν	С	Si			
V-3Ti-1Si	BL 45		2.5	0.01	345	125	90	9900			
VJTi	BL 46		4.6		300	53	85	160			
V-18Ti	BL 15		17.7	0.04	830	160	380	480			
V-4Cr-4Ti	BL47	4.1	4.3		350	220	200	870			
V-9Cr-5Ti	BL 43	9.2	4.9	0.02	230	31	100	340			
V-14Cr-5Ti	BL 24	13.5	5.2	0.05	1190	360	500	390			

generally increases with increase of (Cr +Ti) concentration in an alloy. Yield strength of an alloy in the range of 420-600°C is essentially unchanged with test temperature. The data in Fig. 1 show a significant increase of yield strength for alloys **on** increasing the (Cr + Ti) concentration in an alloy from 8 to 10 wt.%. The yield strength of the unirradiated alloys at 25°C and 420-600°C is in the order of V-20Ti > V-14Cr-5Ti > V-9Cr-5Ti > V-3Ti-1Si > V-4Cr-4Ti > V-5Ti.

Yield strength and ultimate tensile strength of V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-4Cr-4Ti, V-18Ti, VJTi, and V-3Ti-1Si alloys at 25,420,520, and 600°C after neutron irradiation at 420, 520, and 600°C to 28-46 dpa are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Irradiation hardening, i.e., increase of yield strength, of the alloys is shown in Fig. 4. The irradiation hardening of the alloys after irradiation at 420, 520, and 600°C to 28-46 dpa is in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti > V-9Cr-5Ti > V-4Cr-4Ti > V-5Ti > V-3Ti-1Si > V-18Ti. Irradiation hardening of the alloys decreases significantly with increase of irradiation temperature from 420°C to 600°C; yield strength of the V-18Ti alloy is nearly unchanged after irradiation at 600°C.

3.2. Uniform and total elongation

Figures 5 and 6 show uniform and total elongation, respectively, of V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-4Cr-4Ti, V-18Ti, V-5Ti, and V-3Ti-1Si alloys at 25,420,520, and 600°C after irradiation at 420,520, and 600°C to 28-46 dpa. Uniform elongation of the alloys (Fig. 5) at 25°C after irradiation at 420°C ranges from 3% to 11% with the uniform elongation of the alloys in the order of V-18Ti > V-5Ti > V-3Ti-1Si > V-4Cr-4Ti > V-9Cr-5Ti > V-14Cr-5Ti. The uniform elongation of unirradiated V-18Ti, V-5Ti, V-3Ti-1Si, and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys (25°C) after irradiation at 420°C is reduced ~60%, whereas the uniform elongation of unirradiated V-9Cr-5Ti and V-14Cr-5Ti alloys (25°C) is reduced ~60% by this irradiation. Uniform elongation of the unirradiated alloys is described in Ref. [6]. The uniform elongation of the alloys after irradiation at 600°C ranges from 9% (V-14Cr-5Ti) to 17% (V-18Ti). Total elongation of the alloys (Fig. 6) at 25°C after irradiation at 420°C ranges from 3% (V-14Cr-5Ti) to 11% (V-18Ti), but total elongation after irradiation at 600°C ranges from 11% (V-14Cr-5Ti) to 23% (V-18Ti). The V-14Cr-5Ti alloy on tensile testing at 25°C exhibits no significant capability to withstand plastic deformation, whereas the V-9Cr-5Ti, V-2OTi, V-5Ti, and V-3Ti-1Si alloys at 25°C can undergo -2% plastic deformation.

4. Discussion

The experimental results presented in this paper tend to confirm the selection of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy as the vanadium alloy with the near-optimal combination of physical and mechanical properties for use as structural material in a fusion reactor. Although irradiation hardening of this alloy is significant, its ductility at 25°C is >8% after irradiation at 420°C to 34 dpa. Even though the V-3Ti-1Si, V-5Ti, and





Fig. 1. Dependence of yield slrength on (Cr + Ti) concentration in unirradiated vanadium alloys at 25^oC and $420\text{-}600^oC.$

Fig. 2. Dependence of yield slrength of irradiated vanadium alloys on irradiation/test temperature.





Fig. 3. Dependence of ultimate tensile slrength of irradiated vanadium alloys on irradiation/test temperature.

Fig. 4. Dependence of irradiation hardening (increase cf yield slrength) of irradiated vanadium alloys on irradiation/test temperature.



Fig. 5. Dependence of uniform elongalion of irradiated vanadium alloys on irradiation/test temperature.

Fig. 6. Dependence of total elongation of irradiated vanadium alloys on irradiation/test temperature.

V-18Ti alloys undergo less irradiation hardening and have higher ductility after irradiation, it has been shown that a vanadium alloy structural material will benefit from 3-5 wt.% Cr in the alloy for high-temperature creep strength [1]. Although the creep strength of a V-(3-5)Ti alloy is increased significantly by the presence of >5 wt.% Cr in the alloy [1], the ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) of these alloys on irradiation is also significantly increased (>100°C) [7]. Moreover, the DBTT (on impact loading) of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is lower than the V-3Ti-1Si and V-18Ti alloys after neutron irradiation at 420°C to 34 dpa [7]; and swelling of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is low (<0.3%) after neutron irradiation at 420-600°C to 24-34 dpa [8].

5. Conclusions

• Irradiation hardening of vanadium alloys after irradiation at 420-600°C to 28-46 dpa is in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti > V-9Cr-5Ti > V-4Cr-4Ti > V-5Ti > V-3Ti-1Si > V-18Ti.

• The total elongation *of* vanadium alloys after irradiation at 420-600°C to 28-46 dpa is 5-24%, with total elongation of the alloys in the order of V-14Cr-5Ti < V-9Cr-5Ti < V-4Cr-4Ti < V-5Ti < V-3Ti-1Si < V-18Ti.

References

[1] B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 84.

- [2] T. Noda, F. Abe, H. Araki and M. Okada, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 581.
- [3] R. Santos, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 589.
- [4] S. J. Piet, H. G. Kraus, R. M. Neilson, Jr. and J. L. Jones, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 24.

[5] D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis and D. R. Diercks, J. Nucl. Mater. 135 (1985) 125.

[6] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/10, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1991) p. 145.

[7] B. A. Loomis, H. M. Chung, J. Gazda, L. J. Nowicki and D. L. Smith, Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.

[8] H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.

IRRADIATION-INDUCED DENSITY CHANGE AND MICROSTRUCTURAL EVOLUTION OF VANADIUM-BASE ALLOYS' H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, L. Nowicki, J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

V-(4-5)Ti and V-(3-5)Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys have been identified recently as very promising for application as fusion reactor first-wall structure. The objective of this work is to determine resistance of these alloys to irradiation-induced density change and provide an understanding of the swelling behavior in correlation with microsuuctural evolution.

SUMMARY

Irradiation-induced density change (swelling) and microsuuctural evolution of V-Ti, V-Cr-Ti, and V-Ti-Si alloys were investigated after irradiation in a fast reactor at 420-600°C up to 114 dpa. The alloys exhibited swelling maxima in the damage range of 30-70 dpa and swelling decreased on irradiation to higher dpa. The swelling resistance of the alloys was associated with high-density precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃, and it was concluded that Ti>4 wt.% and 400-1000 wppm Si are desirable to effectively suppress swelling. Swelling resistance of V-4Cr-4Ti was excellent. and this alloy is virtually immune to irradiation at 520-600°C and dense formation of dislocation loops for irradiation at <420°C.

INTRODUCTION

Vanadium-base alloys have significant advantages over other candidate alloys (such as austenitic and ferritic steels) for use as structural materials in fusion devices, e.g., in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in magnetic fusion reactors (MFRs). These advantages include intrinsically lower levels of long-term activation. irradiation afterheat, neutron-induced helium- and hydrogen-transmutation rates, biological hazard potential, and thermal stress factor.¹⁻⁵ However. to make use of ihe favorable neutronic and physical properties of these structural materials in fusion systems, the **alloys** must be resistant to neutron-induced density change, creep, and embrittlement, and they must also be. compatible with the reactor coolant and environment.

As part of a program to screen candidate alloys and develop an optimal alloy, extensive investigations have been conducted on the swelling behavior, tensile properties, impact toughness, and microsuuctural evolution of V. V-Ti. V-Cr, V-Cr-Ti, and V-Ti-Si alloys after irradiation by fastneutrons at **420**, 520, and 600°C. From these investigations, V-Cr-Ti alloys containing 5-7 wt.% Cr, 3-5 at.% Ti, 400-1000 wt. ppm Si, and <1000 wt. ppm O+N+C were identified **as** most desirable alloys that exhibit superior resistance to swelling, cmbrittlement, and hydrogen-induced effects during fast-neutron irradiation in **lithium.⁶⁻¹⁰** As a result, recent attention has focused primarily on the ternary alloys V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-5Cr-3Ti, and on the binary alloy V-5Ti containing 400-1000 wt. ppm Si. Recent studies on tensile properties, '' impact *toughness*, ¹² and ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT)¹², ¹³ of **unirradiated**¹³ and irradiated^{11,12} specimens showed excellent mechanical propenies and superior resistance to irradiation-induced embrittlement of some of these alloys, in particular V-4Cr-4Ti and V-5Ti. Thermal creep behavior of V-4Cr-4Ti has been also reported to be superior to those of austenitic and ferritic **steels**.¹⁴ For these alloys, however, no data base has been reported on irradiation-induced swelling, and a favorable irradiation-induced microstructural evolution commensurate with the superior resistance of the alloys to embrittlement and creep has not been demonstrated. In the work reported here, irradiation-induced density

^{*} Work supported by the Office of Fusion Energy. U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

change and microstructural evolution of a number of the promising binary and ternary alloys were investigated after irradiation at 420-600°C up to 114 dpa in the Fast **Flux** Test Facility (FFTF).

MATERIALS AND PROCEDURES

Density measurement and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were conducted on standard-size disk specimens irradiated in the FFTF, a sodium-cooled fast reactor located in Richland. Washington, USA. The chemical composition of the alloys is given in Table 1. One of the alloys (V-20Ti, BL-15) was contaminated inadvertently with a significant level of **boron**.¹⁵ The boron content in the alloy was not known prior to irradiation. TEM disks were irradiated at 420, 520, and 600°C to neutron fluences (E > 0.1 MeV) of 7.8 x 10²² n·cm⁻² (≈44 dpa) and 1.9 x 10²³ n·cm⁻² (≈114 dpa). They were sealed in TZM capsules filled with 99.99%-enriched ⁷Li during irradiation to prevent contamination with O, N, and C impurities dissolved in the sodium coolant of the FFTF and also to prevent formation of unacceptable levels of helium and tritium from ⁶Li. The irradiated specimens were jet-thinned for TEM in a solution of 15% sulfuric acid-72% methanol-13% butyl cellosolve maintained at -5°C. TEM was conducted with a JEOL 100CX-II scanning transmission electron microscope operating at 100 keV.

 Table 1
 Composition **d** vanadium alloys irradiated in fast flux testfacility materials open test assembly

	Nominal Composition	Co	oncentration	n (wt. ppm	1)
ANL ID	(wt.%)	0	Ν	С	Si
BL-11	4.9Ti	1820	530	470	220
BL-46	4.6Ti	305	53	85	160
BL-34	8.6Ti	990	180	420	290
BL-12	9.8Ti	1670	390	450	245
BL-13	14.4Ti	1580	370	440	205
BL-15 ^a	17.7Ti	830	160	380	480
BL-16	20.4Ti	390	530	210	480
BL-21	13.7Cr-4.8Ti	340	510	180	1150
BL-22	13.4Cr-5.1Ti	300	52	150	56
BL-23	12.9Cr-5.9Ti	400	490	280	1230
BL-24	13.5Cr-5.2Ti	1190	360	500	390
BL-40	10.9Cr-5.0Ti	470	80	90	270
BL-43	9.2Cr-4.9Ti	230	31	100	340
BL-44	9.9Cr-9.2Ti	300	87	150	270
BL-47	4.1Cr-4.3Ti	350	220	200	870
BL-27	3.1Ti-0.25Si	210	310	310	2500
BL-42	3.1Ti-0.5Si	580	190	140	5400
BL-45	2.5Ti-1Si	345	125	90	9900

^aContains boron as impurity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Swelling Behavior-Density Change

Swelling of the V-Ti, V-Cr-Ti, and V-3Ti-Si alloys, determined from density measurements after irradiation at 420 and 600°C, is shown as a function of irradiation damage (dpa) in Figs. 1-3, respectively. Most of the Ti-containing alloys exhibited swelling maxima in the damage range of 30-80 dpa. When irradiated to higher dpa, swelling in these alloys decreased monotonically. However, for irradiation at 600°C, sufficient data for higher dpa were not available for some of the alloys, i.e., V-5Ti, V-10Ti, V-15Ti, V-20Ti (BL-16), and V-15Cr-5Ti (BL-24), and it was not possible to verify whether swelling actually decreased or saturated **a1** higher dpa. The anomalous behavior that exhibited actual decrease of swelling (i.e., increaseof density) for increased irradiation damage is in distinct contrast to the normal swelling of other binary alloys of V containing Fc, Ni, Cr, Mo, W. and Si, which increases monotonically for increasing **dpa**.^{7,10} Swelling determined from measurements of density and void distribution by TEM was generally consistent. Closer examination of Figs. 1 and 2 indicates the tendency for a higher content of Ti (except the B-containing V-20Ti [EL-151) to lower maximum swelling in the V-Ti binary alloys, whereas a higher content **of** Cr **is** conducive to a higher level of swelling maxima in the V-Cr-Ti ternary alloys. Therefore, it seems evident that Cr addition >9 wt.% in the ternary alloys is not desirable from the standpoint of not only irradiation embrittlement¹⁰ but also **of** irradiation-induced swelling.



Figure 1. Density change of V-Ti alloys as function of dose (dpa) after irradiation at 420 (A) and 600 °C (B)



Figure 2. Densify change of V-Cr-Ti alloys as function of dose (dpa) after irradiational 420 (A) and $600^{\circ}C(B)$



Figure 3. Density change of V-3Ti-Si alloys as function of dose (dpa) after irradiation at **420** (A) and 600°C (B)

Swelling of the V-3Ti-0.25Si and V-3Ti-0.5Si alloys was relatively higher despite the high level of Si. These alloys are known to exhibit relatively higher yield¹³ and creep¹⁶ strengths, which have been attributed to a tendency to form a relatively high density of Ti(O,N,C) precipitates during ingot melting and fabrication.¹³ Therefore, unless the combined impurity level of O, N, and C is extremely low, virtually all of the Ti solutes in the alloys are believed to be tied up in Ti(O,N,C) precipitates. This will prevent irradiation-induced precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ particles, to which resistance to swelling of Ti-containing V-base alloys has been attributed.⁹ It has been reported previously that the relatively high swelling observed for the V-3Ti-0.25Si alloy was associated with absence of the Ti₅Si₃ precipitates in the alloys. When O+N+C content is <400 wppm.¹⁸ Therefore, it is expected that by limiting the impurity contents in the V-3Ti-Si alloys below <400 wppm (hence, preventing thermal precipitation of titanium oxycarbonitrides) swelling can be effectively suppressed in the alloys.

However, Loomis et al.¹² have reported that high Si content in the V-3Ti-Si alloys is conducive to higher DBTT and increased effect of hydrogen. Accordingly, unless O+N+C and Si levels in a V-3Ti-Si alloy are strictly controlled to <400 and 400-1000 wppm. respectively, V-(4-5)Ti alloys containing 400-1000 wppm Si appear to be a more attractive choice than V-3Ti-Si alloys. V-5Ti alloy containing 220 wppm Si (BL-11, Table 1) exhibited relatively low swelling in the present investigation, i.e., maximum swelling of ≈ 0.8 and $\approx 1.8\%$ for irradiation to <120 dpa at 420 and 600°C. respectively. V-5Ti alloy containing somewhat less Si (≈ 160 wppm) exhibited relative higher level of density change, 2,2, 2.2, and 1.7%, respectively, after irradiation at 420, 520, and 600°C to ≈ 34 dpa.

Swelling resistance of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy (**BL-47**) containing O+N+C and Si of -770 and \approx 870 wppm. respectively, was excellent (<0.4% for <120 dpa) compared to those of other alloys. This is shown in Fig. 4. V-4Cr-4Ti, designated as one the primary candidate alloys, also exhibited an excellent resistance to irradiation embrittlement. That is, after irradiation to \approx 34 dpa at 420,520, and 600°C, DBTT remained below -196°C.¹² Uniform and total elongations of the alloy measured at room temperature after the same irradiations were 8.1 and 10.2%, respectively.¹¹ Thermal creep behavior of the alloy was also excellent compared to those of austenitic and ferritic/martensitic steels.¹⁴



Figure 4. Maximum swelling (density change) of several vanadiumbase alloys for irradiation at 420-600 ℃ up to ≈120 dpa

Model of Swelling Dependence on Irradiation Damaee

The Ti-containing alloys that exhibited relatively low swelling contained high-density ultrafine precipitates of Ti₅Si₃. These precipitates were not visible in bright-field imaging condition and could be imaged only under dark-field condition. As an example, dark-field images of Ti₅Si₃ precipitates are shown in Fig. 5 for V-4Cr-4Ti and V-20Ti specimens that were irradiated at various conditions.

Void swelling and density change in Ti-containing alloys were generally consistent and could be correlated well with the number density of the ultrafine Ti_5Si_3 Precipitates. That is, a greater number density of the precipitates is conducive to lower swelling during irradiation. This could be attributed to the large interface area generated between the matrix and the high-density precipitates of Ti_5Si_3 . This interface area is believed to act as an efficient sink for vacancies and thereby inhibits nucleation and growth of voids. Swelling was in general significant in Ti-containing alloys in which Ti_5Si_3 precipitation was absent or negligible.

Anomalous swelling behavior (i.e., maximum in density change vs. dpa) has been also reported by Maziasz¹⁹ for some heats of 14Cr-16Ni-2.5Mo-2Mn austenitic stainless steels stabilized by an addition of Ti of -0.25% with and without P doping. In these steels, irradiated in the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at 500°C, swelling reached maxima of -2% at -34 dpa and decreased to 0.6-1.1% at **57** dpa. The decrease of swelling was accompanied by high-density precipitation of ultrafine MC carbides. According to Maziasz, such profound microstructural changes could disrupt the balance between sink strengths of dislocations, voids, and precipitates, so that MC precipitates and voids now become the dominant sinks for vacancies and interstitials. The breakdown of the "steady-state" biased partitioning of defects then would lead to increased defect recombination at the large interface area generated between the matrix and precipitates, leading to reduction or elimination behavior **are** dramatic, they could cause the critical radius of voids (necessary to maintain stability) to increase enough so that small existing voids would become unstable. Existing subcritical-size voids will then stop growing or actually begin shrinking with increasing damage level, and further nucleation of voids will be prevented.

The anomalous swelling maxima of the vanadium-base alloys could be explained in a similar manner, with the high-density ultrafine precipitates of Ti₅Si₃ playing a role similar to that of MC carbide in the steels. Apparently, the dramatic changes in microstructure, sink density, and upset of the "steady-

state" biased partitioning of defects are produced only when the size of the ultrafine precipitates is comparable to those of critical-size microvoids and when precipitation occurs in a very high density, e.g., as seen in Fig. 5.



Figure 5. Bright- (BF) and dark-field (DF)microsrructures *c* vanadium-base alloys showing void and Ti₅Si₃ distributions (A)BF and (B) DF *c* Ti₅Si₃ in V-4Cr-4Ti irradiated at 420 and 600 °C, respectively, to ≈34 dpa; (C)BF *c* voids and (D) DF *c* Ti₅Si₃ in V-20Ti (without B, BL-16) irradiared at 420 °C to 114 dpa; (E) BF *c* voids and (F) DF *o*f Ti₅Si₃ in V-20Ti (containing B, BL-15) irradiared at 420 °C to 46 dpa
According to this model, swelling dependence on the damage level is expected to be strongly influenced by the kinetics of the irradiation-induced precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ phase, **as** illustrated in Fig. 6. For Case I irradiation in the figure, irradiation-induced precipitation of ultrafine particles, such as Ti₅Si₃ in vanadium-base alloys or MC in the multiply stabilized austenitic steels, does not occur and swelling will increase monotonically with dose. For Case II, swelling is expected to exhibit a maximum after an incubation period and diminish subsequently at higher damage levels. For Case III, the precipitation kinetics are relatively faster, and only minimal swelling is observed. The swelling kinetics schematically illustrated in Fig. 6 seem to be consistent with the observed swelling behaviors shown in Figs. 1-3.



Figure 6. Schematic illustration of relationship between kinetics of Ti_5Si_3 precipitation (A) and swelling (B) as function α irradiation damage in Ti-containing vanadium alloys

Density of Ti_5Si_3 has been reported to be -4.32 g/cm^3 , approximately 1.4 times less than the estimated density of -6.1 for V-4Cr-4Ti.²⁰ Therefore, the specific volumes of vanadium-base alloys will increase rather than decrease on precipitation of Ti₅Si₃. Thus, the decrease in specific volume shown in Figs. 1-3 and illustrated schematically in Fig. 6 cannot be explained on the basis of density change associated with precipitation of Ti₅Si₃.

It seems that the effect of irradiation temperature on the kinetics of the irradiation-induced precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ phase is strongly dependent on alloy type. In previous papers, observations of high-density precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ have been reported for V-20Ti alloys (BL-15 and BL-16, Table 1) that were irradiated at 420°C.^{9,21} In contrast to this, no evidence of precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ was observed in a specimen of V-4Cr-4Ti alloy irradiated at 420°C to -34 dpa. In this specimen, the primary feature of irradiation-induced microsuuctural change was dense formation of small dislocation loops ("black-dot defects") <2 nm in size. Similar observation of dense dislocation loops in the absence of irradiation-inducedTi₅Si₃ precipitates was also reported for V-5Cr-5Ti-1Al-1Si-0.8Y irradiated at 406°C to 51 dpa (density change 0.27%).¹⁸ Thus, for irradiation at relatively low temperatures, dense formation of ultrafine dislocation loops seems to be associated with the low irradiation-induceddensity change of the V-(4-5)Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys.

Effect of Boron and Helium Production

Swelling (density change) in the B-containing V-20Ti alloy (BL-15) was found to be somewhat higher than that in the similar V-20Ti alloy (BL-16) that contained a negligible level of B at all the irradiation temperatures (420, 520, and 600°C). This is shown in more detail in Fig. 7. TEM examination of the two alloys indicated a similar trend: the volume fraction of voids was significantly higher in the

former alloy after irradiation at 420°C to 46 dpa (Fig. 5E). Evidently, the higher swelling is a result of helium generation in the B-containing alloy from transmutation of ${}^{10}B$. However, sink strength of the ultrafine high-density Ti₅Si₃ precipitates in both alloys seems to have been so strong after irradiation to 114 dpa that swelling was decreased to <0.4% at the high dose.



Figure 7. Density change of V-20Ti (A) without B (BL-16) and (B) with B impurity (BL-15) as function of dose (dpa) after irradiation at 420.520.and 600°C

CONCLUSIONS

- Swelling of V-(5-20)Ti, V-Cr-(4-5)Ti, and V-3Ti-Si alloys was determined by density measurement and TEM microvoid examination after irradiation at 420, 520, and 600°C in lithium up to 114 dpa. Swelling resistance of V-4Cr-4Ti, identified as the most promising candidate alloy primarily on the basis of its good mechanical properties and superior resistance to irradiation embrittlement and creep, was excellent (density change <0.3% after irradiation up to =34 dpa at these temperatures).</p>
- 2 Most of the Ti-containing alloys exhibited swelling maxima in the damage range of **30-80** dpa. When irradiated to higher dpa, swelling in these alloys decreased monotonically. The anomalous swelling behavior that exhibited actual decrease of swelling for increased dose is in distinct contrast to the normal swelling behavior of other binary alloys of V containing Fe, Ni, Cr, Mo, W, and Si, which increases monotonically for increasing dose.
- Void swelling and density change in Ti-containing alloys were generally consistent and could be correlated well with the number density of the ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ precipitates for irradiation at 520 and 600°C. That is, a greater number density of the Ti₅Si₃ precipitates is conducive to lower swelling during irradiation. The anomalous swelling behavior seems to be caused by the profound microstructural evolution associated with the high-density precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃, which could upset the steady-state balance between sink strengths of dislocations and voids. Large interface area created between the matrix and precipitates seems to act as dominant sinks, leading to increased defect recombination to reduce or eliminate the vacancy supersaturation. When changes in microstructure and defect partitioning are dramatic, it could increase the critical size of stable voids significantly and cause existing voids to become unstable. A model of swelling dependence on damage level has been developed to show that the kinetics of irradiation-induced precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ play an important role.
- 4 The excellent resistance to swelling of V-4Cr-4Ti under irradiation at <420°C appears to be associated with dense formation of ultrafine dislocation loops.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. Noda, F. Abe, H. Araki, and M. Okada, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 581.
- 2. R. Santos, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 589.
- 3. S. J. Piet et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 24.
- 4. F. L. Yaggee, E. R. Gilbert and J. W. Styles, J. Less-Comm. Met. 19 (1969) 39.
- 5. D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis. and D. R. Diercks. J. Nucl. Mater. 135 (1985) 125.
- 6. B. A. Loomis, A. B. Hull, and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater., 179-181 (1991) 148-154.
- 7. B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater., 191-194 (1992) 84-91.
- 8. H. M. Chung and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 942-947.
- H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, and D. L. Smith, "Irradiation-Induced Precipitation in Vanadium-Base Alloys Containing Titanium," Effects of Radiation on Materials: 16th International Symposium, ASTM STP 1175, A. S. Kumar, D. S. Gelles, R. K. Nanstad. and T. A. Little, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1993.
- 10. D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis, and H. M. Chung, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Vanadium-Base Alloys," Plasma Devices and Operations, Vol. 3, 1992.
- B. A. Loomis, H. Tsai, H. M. Chung, J. Gazda. L. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation and Helium on Tensile Properties of Vanadium-Base Alloys," paper presented at the Sixth Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials. September 27-October 1, 1993. Stresa, Italy.
- 12. B. A. Loomis, H. M. Chung, H. Tsai, J. Gazda, L. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation, Hydrogen, and Helium on Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of Vanadium-Base Alloys," ibid.
- 13. B. A. Loomis, J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith, "Relationship of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties for V-Cr-Ti Alloys," ibid.
- 14. H. M. Chung. B. A. Loomis, and D. L. Smith, "Creep Properties of Vanadium-Base Alloys," ibid.
- H. M. Chung, "Microstructural Evolution Induced by Boron Transmutation in Neutron-Irradiated Vanadium Alloys," in <u>Fusion Reactor Materials. Semiannual, Proe. Report</u>, DOE/ER-0313/14, *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1993). pp. 299-305.
- 16. D. Harrod and R. Gold, "Mechanical Properties of Vanadium and Vanadium Base Alloys," Int. Met. Rev. 25 (1980) 163-221.
- 17. H. M. Chung, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, in <u>Fusion Reactor Materials. Semian nual. Prog.</u> <u>Report</u>, DOE/ER-0313/11, *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory, *Oak* Ridge. TN (1992) 215-226.
- 18. M. Satou and H. M. Chung, in Fusion Reactor Materials. Semiannual. Proe. Report, DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1993) 227-234.
- 19. P. J. Maziasz, in Fusion Reaclor Materials. Semiannual, Proe. Report, DOE/ER-0313/12, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1992), 163-174.
- 20. G. Frommeyer, R. Rosenkranz, and C. Luedecke, Z. Metallkunde 81 (1990) 307.
- H. M. Chung, "Effects of Irradiation-Induced Precipitation on Properties of Vanadium Alloys," in <u>Fusion Reactor Materials. Semiannual. Proe. Renort</u>, DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge. TN (1992), pp. 194-202.

Relationship of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of V-Cr-Ti Alloys' - J. Gazda', B. A Loomis', L. J. Nowicki', D. L. Smith', and S. Danyluk²

Summary

The relationship of composition, number density, and diameter of precipitates in the microstructures of unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys to the yield strength, hardness, creep stress, and ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) of these materials was determined from electron microscopy analyses of precipitates in these materials and from mechanical property data. Unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys with ≤ 3 wt.% Ti contained VC and Ti(CNO) precipitates, which were coherent with the matrix. The most common precipitates in the alloys were Ti($C_{1.xy}N_xO_y$) that were noncoherent with the matrix. The number density of noncoherent precipitates was maximal in V-3Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys, and the average diameter of noncoherent precipitates was minimal in V-(1-3)Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys. The increase of yield strength and hardness of V on alloying with Ti and Cr was shown to be primarily due to coherent precipitates, solute-atom misfit, and shear-modulus difference effects. The creep stress for rupture in 1000 h was related to the number density of precipitates, whereas the DB'IT was related to the volume fraction of precipitates.

1. Introduction

Vanadium alloys are being considered for use as structural material in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in fusion reactors that may be constructed in the future [1]. When compared with other candidate materials, e.g., austenitic and ferritic steels, vanadium alloys exhibit an eminent combination of low long-term neutron activation, low neutron irradiation afterheat, low neutron-induced helium and hydrogen transmutation rates, low biological-hazard potential, low thermal stress factor, high-temperature tensile and long-term creep strength, low ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT), and resistance to irradiation damage [1-6]. At present, the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is recommended for the near-optimal combination of desirable physical and mechanical properties for a vanadium alloy structural material [1]. To further optimize the combination of physical and mechanical properties of a vanadium alloy, the relationship of yield strength, hardness, creep stress, and DB'IT to the composition, number density, and diameter of precipitates in unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys was determined from comprehensive electron microscopy analyses of these materials and from mechanical-property data.

2. Materials and procedure

Unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys with the nominal compositions listed in Table 1 were obtained in sheet form with 50% thickness reduction for preparation of specimens for observation by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys were annealed at 1050 and 1125° C, respectively, for 1 h in a vacuum of 2 x 10⁻⁵ Pa. These annealing conditions resulted in an average recrystallized-grain diameter of 0.02-0.04 mm and a dislocation density of $\sim 10^{13}$ m⁻² in the specimens.

Specimens for observation by TEM were obtained by electrolytic polishing in a solution composed of 15% (by volume) sulfuric acid, 72% methanol, and 13% butyl cellosolve at -35°C. The general observations of the specimens for determination of the number density and size of precipitates in the specimens were conducted on a JEOL 100 CX-II scanning-transmission electron microscope equipped

Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. **Cass** Ave. Argonne, Il, 60439.

Work supported by the **U.S.** Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

² University of Illinois at Chicago, CEMM Department, Chicago, II; present address: Georgia Institute of Technology, The G.W. Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering, Atlanta, Ga. 30332.

Nominal Composition	ANL LD.	Concentration (wt.%)			Concentration (ppm)				
		Cr	Ti	Fe	0	Ν	С	Si	
v	BL 51				570	49	56	370	
V-1Ti	BL 50		1.0		230	130	235	1050	
V-3Ti	BL 62	• .	3.1		320	86	109	660	
V-3Ti-1Si	BL 45		2.5	0.01	345	125	90	9900	
V-5Ti	BL 46		4.6		300	53	85	160	
V-10Ti	BL 12		9.8	0.63	1670	390	450	245	
V-18Ti	BL 15		17.7	0.04	830	160	380	480	
V-14Cr	BL 5	14.1		0.06	330	69	200	50	
V-14Cr-1Ti	BL 26	14.1	1.0	0.06	560	86	140	50	
V-5Cr-3Ti	BL 54	5.1	3.0		480	82	133	655	
V-4Cr-4Ti	BL 47	4.1	4.3		350	220	200	870	
V-5Cr-5Ti	BL 63	4.6	5.1		440	28	73	310	
V-8Cr-6Ti	BL 49	7.9	5.7		400	150	127	360	
V-9Cr-5Ti	BL 43	9.2	4.9	0.02	230	31	100	340	
V-14Cr-5Ti	BL 24	13.5	5.2	0.05	1190	360	500	390	
V-15Cr-5Ti	BL 41	14.5	5.0	0.02	330	96	120	400	
V-10Cr-9Ti	BL 44	9.9	9.2	0.04	300	87	150	270	
V-7Cr-15Ti	BL 10	7.2	14.5	0.09	1110	250	400	400	

Table 1. Compositions of V and V-Cr-Ti alloys.

with an X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) attachment. For detailed analyses of the structure and composition of precipitates, the specimens were observed on a Phillips CM30 analytical transmission electron microscope equipped with a thin-window EDS detector. Additional information on observations and procedures for analysis of precipitates in the specimens is presented in Ref. 7. The yield strength (at 0.2% strain) and Vickers hardness number (VHN) for the unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys in Table 1 were obtained from Refs. 8 and 9, respectively. The stress (on creep deformation) for rupture in 1000 h of unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys at 650°C was obtained from Refs. 1, 10, and 11. The DBTTs of unalloyed V and V-Cr-Ti alloys (on impact testing) were obtained from Refs. 1, 12, and 13.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Precipitate compositions

Three types of precipitates that were noncoherent with the matrix were observed in the V-Cr-Ti alloys. (1) The most common noncoherent precipitates in the alloys were faceted, rectangular-shaped Ti($N_{1,x,y}C_xO_y$) with face-centered-cubic (fcc) NaCl structure. (2) A second type of noncoherent precipitate was observed either on high-angle grain boundaries or in the immediate vicinity of such boundaries. These precipitates were not **as** common as the Ti($N_{1,x,y}C_xO_y$) precipitates and were identified as **Ti**₁₇**P**₁₀ from selected-area-diffraction (SAD) and EDS data. (3) The third type of noncoherent precipitate was a titanium-sulfur compound. These precipitates were identified as **Ti**₈**S**₃ and were found only in the matrix of V-Cr-Ti alloys. Noncoherent precipitates containing V or Cr were not found in the V-Cr-Ti alloys. However, in unalloyed V, most of the precipitates were V compounds. The most common precipitate in unalloyed V was V₆O₁₃, with rectangular, faceted shape, and large variation in length (100-900 nm). The second most common precipitate in V was VS₄, with rectangular shape and -500 nm length. **A** third type of precipitate identified in unalloyed V was oval-shaped γ -Ti₃O₅ with a diameter of 200-300 nm.

The characteristic images of strain fields associated with precipitates that were coherent with the matrix were only observed in unalloyed V, V-Ti alloys containing ≤ 3.1 wt.% Ti, and V-Cr-Ti alloys containing ≤ 1.0 wt.% Ti. All of the coherent precipitates were rectangular (with eight facets) or oval

shaped with a diameter of 50-100 nm. Two distinct types of coherent precipitates were found in the alloys. The V₄C₃ precipitate, with fcc structure, was found in V-1Ti, V-3Ti, V-14Cr, and V-14Cr-1Ti alloys, and the TiO precipitate, with hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) structure, was only found in the V-3Ti alloy. The composition of the coherent precipitates in unalloyed V could not be positively identified. A combination of **TEM** imaging, SAD patterns, and EDS spectra allowed only the identification of elements present in these precipitates and some of the lattice-plane spacings. Compounds that were consistent with the lattice-plane spacing, EDS, and SAD data were γ -Na₃VO₄, CaV₄O₉, Ca₃Si₂O₇(CO₃)₂, and (Na,Ca)₂Si₆(O,OH)₁₂(CO₃)_{0.5}. Additional information on the precipitates and the lattice parameters of the alloys **can** be found in Ref. 7.

3.2, Precipitate number density and diameter

The number density, average diameter, and volume fraction of precipitates in unalloyed V, V-Ti alloys, and V-Cr-Ti alloys are listed in Table 2. The number density of noncoherent precipitates in the alloys was maximal $(-2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3})$ in the V-3Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys, and the average diameter of non-coherent precipitates was minimal (100-175 nm) in the V-(1-3)Ti and V-5Cr-3Ti alloys. In the case of V-Ti alloys, coherent precipitates with average diameter of 75-100 nm and number density of -0.5×10^{18} m⁻³ were present only in V, V-1Ti, and V-3Ti alloys. In the case of V-Cr-Ti alloys, coherent precipitates with average diameter of V-15Cr-1Ti alloys. The number with average diameter of 50-60 nm were present only in the V-15Cr and V-15Cr-1Ti alloys. The number

		Precipitate						
4 11	ANL	Number Density	Average Dia.	Volume Fraction ^a				
Alloy	I.D.	(m)	()	(mn)				
V	BL 51	5.0 x 10 ¹⁸	300	0.071				
		0.5 x 10 ¹⁸ b	90 ⁶	<0.001 ^b				
V-1Ti	BL 50	9.0 x 10 ¹⁸	100	0.005				
		0.5 x 10 ¹⁸ b	100^{b}	<0.001 ^b				
V-3Ti	BL 62	1.9 x 10 ¹⁹	175	0.053				
		0.5 x 10 ^{18 b}	75°	< 0.001				
V-5Ti	BL 46	8.1 x 10 ¹⁸	310	0.126				
V-10Ti	BL 12	3.2×10^{18}	575	0.318				
V-18Ti	BL 15	1.4 x 10 ¹⁸	800	0.375				
V-14Cr	BL 5	0.5 x 10''	200	0.002				
		4.1 х 10 ^{19 в}	50 ^b	0.003 ^b				
V-14Cr-1Ti	BL 26	6.0 x 10 ¹⁸	275	0.066				
		5.0 x 10 ^{19 b}	60 ^b	0.006 ^b				
V-5Cr-3Ti	BL 54	2.1 x 10 ¹⁹	100	0.011				
		2.6 x 10 ¹⁸	400	0.087				
V-4Cr-4Ti	BL 47	3.4×10^{18}	500	0.222				
V-9Cr-5Ti	BL 43	6.5×10^{18}	300	0.092				
V-14Cr-5Ti	BL 24	2.2×10^{18}	500	0.143				
		4.8×10^{18}	150	0.008				
V-10Cr-9Ti	BL 44	3.3 x 10 ¹⁸	400	0.110				
V-7Cr-15Ti	BL 10	1.4 x 10 ¹⁸	500	0.092				
		9.3 x 10 ¹⁸	200	0.039				

Table 2. Number density, average diameter, and volume fraction of precipitates in V and V-Cr-Ti alloys.

^a Volume Fraction = $1/6\pi d^3N$

^b Coherent precipitates.



Fig. 1. Dependence of number density and diameter far precipitates in V-Ti alloys.

Fig. 2. Dependence of number density and diameter for precipitates in V-Cr-Ti alloys.

density of coherent precipitates in the V-15Cr and V-15Cr-1Ti alloys was exceptionally high (4-5 x 10^{19} m⁻³), hut decreased significantly, to 0.5 x 10^{16} m⁻³, in the V-15Cr-1Ti alloy. The volume fraction of precipitates (coherent and noncoherent) in the V-Ti alloys was minimal (0.005-0.054) in the V-(1-3)Ti alloys and increased substantially with increasing concentration of Ti in these alloys to 0.375 volume fraction in the V-18Ti alloy. The volume fraction of precipitates (coherent and noncoherent) in the V-Cr-Ti alloys was maximal (0.222) in the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy. Figures I and 2 show the dependence of number density and average precipitate diameter on concentration of Ti and Cr for V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys, respectively.

3.3. Relationships of microstructure to mechanical properties **3.3.1.** Yield strength and hardness

The contributions of several strengthening mechanisms to the yield strength of V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys were calculated from theoretical models. The yield strength of unalloyed V (259 MPa at 25°C) was chosen as the reference for these calculations [8]. The strengthening $(\Delta \sigma_{y_s})$ mechanisms that were considered included coherent precipitate strengthening $(\Delta \sigma_{c-ppt})$, noncoherent precipitate strengthening $(\Delta \sigma_{s-ppt})$, solid solution strengthening $(\Delta \sigma_{s_s})$, and strengthening due to shear-modulus difference $(\Delta \sigma_{mod})$. The increase of yield strength of V on alloying with Ti and Cr was evaluated with

$$\Delta \sigma_{ys}^{n} = (\Delta \sigma_{nc-ppt})^{n} + (\Delta \sigma_{c-ppt})^{n} + (\Delta \sigma_{ss})^{n} + (\Delta \sigma_{mod})^{n}, \text{ with } n = 1.55.$$
(1)

Strengthening of V alloys by non-coherent precipitates was evaluated [14] with

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{nc-ppt}} = 1.73 [\alpha_{p} \text{Gb}(\rho_{d})^{0.5} + \beta_{p} \text{Gb}(N_{p} d_{p})^{0.5}]. \tag{2}$$

In Eq. 2, ρ_d , N_n and d, are the dislocation density (~10¹³/m²), number density of precipitates, and average diameter of precipitates, respectively, and a_r and β_p are interaction parameters of gliding dislocations with forest dislocations and noncoherent precipitates. For the purpose of this paper, we shall use $a_r = 0.5$ and $\beta_p = 0.2$ [15]. Also in Eq. 2, G is the shear modulus and b is the Burgers vector lor V, with values of 4.7 x 10' MPa and 0.26 nm, respectively [14].

Alloy strengthening by coherent precipitates was evaluated from the following equation [7,15,16]

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{c-ppt}} = 6G(r_{\text{p}}f/b)^{0.5} \epsilon^{1.5} .$$
(3)

In Eq. 3, G, r_p , f, b, and e are the shear modulus, average precipitate radius, volume fraction of precipitates, length of the Burgers vector, and strain factor (equal to normalized difference in lattice parameters of matrix and the precipitate), respectively.

Solid solution strengthening of V by Ti and Cr was evaluated from the following equation [7,8,18,19]

$$AD_{s} = [0.25G\epsilon c^{0.5}]_{0 < c < 5} + [0.25G\epsilon c]_{5 < c < 20}, \qquad (4)$$

where c is the concentration of either Ti or Cr.

Strengthening of V alloys due to shear-modulus difference effects was evaluated with the equation

$$\Delta \sigma_{\rm mod} = 0.25 \,\mathrm{Gyc} \quad [7,16]. \tag{5}$$

In Eq. 5, $\eta = (G_{v}-G_{T,Cr})/G_{v}$.

The yield strength of the V-Cr-Ti alloys was calculated with Eqs. 1-5 and experimental data in Table 2. The dependence of the theoretical and experimental yield strength and VHN of V-Cr-Ti alloys on (Cr + Ti) concentration is shown in Fig. 3. Although the yield strength of the V alloys computed Eqs. 1-5 overestimates the experimental yield strength [8] by 50-100 MPa, the agreement of the theoretical and experimental yield strength dependence on (Cr + Ti) concentration suggests that Eq. 1 incorporates the principal strengthening processes in V on alloying with Cr and Ti. The VHN data (Fig. 3) also suggest that Eq. 1 incorporates the principal strengthening processes, which could he expected because the yield strength of V-Cr-Ti alloys is linearly related to the VHN of the alloys [9], viz.,

yield strength =
$$2.22(VHN) + 20$$
 for V-Ti alloys, and (6)

yield strength =
$$3(VHN) - 110$$
 for V-Cr-Ti alloys. (7)

Evaluation of the separate contributions of the strengthening processes, i.e., Eq. 1, to the strengthening of V on addition of Ti and Cr is presented in Table 3. In summary, noncoherent precipitates contribute minimally (30-40 MPa) to the strengthening of V on addition of Ti and Cr, whereas coherent precipitates, solute-atom misfit (solid solution), and shear-modulus difference effects contribute approximately equally to the strengthening of V on addition of Ti and Cr.

3.3.2. Creep stress-to-rupture

The dependence on Ti concentration of creep stress for rupture in 1000 h of V-Ti alloys at 650°C and the number density of precipitates in V-Ti alloys is shown in Fig. 4. These results suggest that the creep strength of V-Ti alloys is primarily determined by the number density of precipitates (coherent and noncoherent) in the alloys, and the highest creep strength of a V-Ti alloy is obtained for an alloy with the highest number density of precipitates, i.e., the V-3Ti alloy. The creep stress for rupture of the V-13Cr-3Ti and V-15Cr-5Ti alloys at 650°C is also shown in Fig. 4. These limited experimental data for creep of V-Cr-Ti alloys suggest that the highest creep strength of V-Cr-Ti alloys (with comparable Cr concentration) is also obtained for a V-Cr-Ti alloy containing -3 wt.% Ti. Although creep data are not currently available for V-(4-5)Cr-(3-4)Ti alloys, it might be expected that the creep strength of the V-5Cr-3Ti alloy will be significantly higher than that of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy on the basis of the higher number density of precipitates in the V-5Cr-3Ti alloy (shown in Table 2).

The stress-to-rupture in 1000 h for the V-Ti alloys is significantly increased by the addition of Cr to the alloys [1]. The data in Table 2 suggest that the higher stress-to-rupture for V-Cr-Ti alloys can, in general, be attributed to a higher number density of precipitates with smaller average diameter.

Alloy Comp	ANL ID	$\Delta\sigma_{ m nc-ppt}$	$\Delta\sigma_{ ext{c-ppt}}$	$\Delta\sigma_{ m SS}$	$\Delta\sigma_{ m mod}$	$\Delta\sigma_{ m ys}$	σ_{ys} theoretical
V	BL 51	0	0	0	0	0	259
V-1Ti	BL 50	37.43	74.56	13.44	17.17	97.58	357.52
V-3Ti	BL62	35.86	74.56	23.35	51.69	118.65	378.65
V-5Ti	BL 46	40.12	0	61.05	76.05	122.05	382.05
V-10Ti	BL 12	39.15	0	129.61	166.70	242.01	502.01
V-18Ti	BL 15	37.89	0	234.82	295.10	422.35	682.35
V-14Cr	BL 5	34.75	88.5	96.60	225.W	299.82	559.82
V-14Cr-1Ti	BL 26	38.85	212.4	142.10	239.70	414.01	674.01
V-5Cr-3Ti	BL54	35.35	0	78.27	125.39	171.31	431.31
V-4Cr-4Ti	BL 47	38.93	0	90.35	128.48	183.47	443.47
V-9Cr-5Ti	BL43	39.32	0	133.80	218.66	288.53	548.53
V-14Cr-5Ti	BL 24	37.85	0	167.70	291.70	373.38	633.38
V-10Cr-9Ti	BL 44	38.27	0	201.W	292.85	396.63	656.63
V-7Cr-15Ti	BL 10	36.95	0	259.90	327.60	467.07	727.07

Table 3. Contributions **of** various strengthening mechanisms to the Yield Strength **of** Vanadium-base allovs fin MPa).

3.3.3. DBTT

The dependence **af** DBTT and volume fraction of precipitates on Ti concentration in V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys is shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. The data in Fig. **5** suggest that the minimum DBTT for **V-(1-5)Ti** alloys is related to a minimum volume fraction of precipitates with small average-diameter (100-310 nm) in these alloys. The relationship between volume fraction of precipitates and DBTT for V-Ti alloys may be more significant than is apparent in Fig. **5**, inasmuch as the lowest possible test temperature (-190°C) was above the DB'IT. The data in Fig. 6, to the contrary, suggest that the low (c-220°C) DBTT **af** the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is due to the high volume-fraction of relatively large averagediameter (500 nm) precipitates in this alloy. The V-5Cr-3Ti alloy has an unexpectedly high DB'IT (-85°C), which may he related to a high number-density of precipitates with small average diameter (100 **rm)**.

The data in Fig. 6 also show that the minimum DBTT for a V-4Cr-4Ti alloy increases significantly ($\sim 100^{\circ}$ C) with ± 1 wt.%-change of Ti concentration. Moreover, the minimum DBTT of a V-4Cr-4Ti alloy Z increases significantly with increase of Cr concentration; the DBTTs for V-4Cr-4Ti, V-5Cr-5Ti, and V-9Cr-5Ti are <-220^{\circ}C, -112°C, and -60°C, respectively.

4. Discussion

Vanadium-base alloys with Cr and Ti as the principal alloying additions exhibit several attractive physical and mechanical properties for application as structural material in ITER and future fusion reactors. These alloys offer an excellent combination of low, long-term radioactivation, high-temperature tolerance, and resistance to irradiation damage. The V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is believed to exhibit the near-optimal combination of these properties. The DB'IT (on Charpy-impact testing) of this alloy is particularly noteworthy in that the DB'IT is <-200°C before and after neutron irradiation at 420-600°C to 24-34 atom displacements per atom (dpa) [1,19]. Moreover, the swelling df the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is



Fig. 3. Dependence of theoretical and experimental yield strength and VHN of V-Cr-Ti alloys on Cr + Ti concentration.

Fig. 4. Dependence of creep stress for 1000-h rupture at 650° C and of number density of precipitates in V-Cr-Ti alloys on Ti concentration.





Fig. 5. Dependence of **DBTT** and volume fraction of precipitates in V-Ti alloys on Ti concentration.

Fig. 6. Dependence of DBTT and volume fraction of precipitates in V-Cr-Ti alloys on Ti concentration.

0.1-0.2% after neutron irradiation at 420-600°C to 24-34 dpa, and the ductility of the alloy is substantial (IO-16%) after irradiation at 420-600°C to 28-34 dpa [1,19]. However, the experimental DBTT data presented in this paper suggest that Cr and, in particular, Ti concentration in the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy should he controlled to ± 0.5 wt.% deviation from the nominal composition. It is possible that the deviation of Cr and Ti concentration could be increased to ± 1.0 wt.%, which would result in an increase of creep strength, without significant impact on the DBTT by suitable heat treatment of a V-(4-5)Cr-3Ti alloy.

5. Conclusions

- Non-coherent precipitates contribute minimally (30-40 MPa) to the strengthening of V on addition of Ti and Cr, whereas coherent precipitates, solute-atom misfit, and shear-modulus difference effects contribute approximately equally to the strengthening of V on addition of Ti and Cr.
- The highest creep strength of V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys is obtained for alloys containing -3 wt.% Ti because of the highest number density of precipitates.
- The lowest (<-220°C) DBTT for V-Ti alloys is obtained for V-(1-5)Ti alloys because of the presence of a minimum volume fraction of precipitates with small average diameter in these alloys.
- The lowest DBTT (<-220°C) for V-Cr-Ti alloys with >1 wt.% Ti is obtained for the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy because of a high volume fraction of relatively large average-diameter precipitates in this alloy.
- The nominal composition of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy should deviate <0.5 wt.% to maintain the nearoptimal combination of mechanical properties.

References

- [1] B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 84.
- [2] T. Noda, F. Abe, H. Araki and M. Okada, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 581.
- [3] R. Santos, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 589.
- [4] S. J. Piet, H. G. Kraus, R. M. Neilson, Jr. and J. L. Jones, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 24.
- F. L. Yaggee, E. R. Gilbert, and J. W. Styles, J. Less-Comm. Met. 19 (1969) 39.
- [6] D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis and D. R. Diercks, J. Nucl. Mater. 135 (1985) 125.
- [7] J. Gazda, Analytical Electron Microscopy Study of Vanadium and Vanadium-Base Alloys, Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for degree of Master of Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1993.
- [8] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/10, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1991) p. 145.
- [9] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1992) p. 217.
- [10] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1992) p. 214.
- [11] H. Böhm, in: Proceedings of Second International Conference on the Strength of Metals and Alloys, Vol. 1 (American Society of Metals, Pacific Grove, CA, 1970) 341.
- [12] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/11, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1991) p. 227.
- [13] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1993).
- [14] B. A. Loomis, B. J. Kestel and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 1305.
- G. E. Dieter, Mechanical Metallurgy (McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1986) p. 216.
- I. Le May, Principles of Mechanical Metallurgy (Elsavier, New York, 1981) p. 177.
- J. Friedel, Dislocations (Pergamon Press, New York, 1964) p. 381.
- [18] J. P. Hirth and J. Lothe, Theory of Dislocations (McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1968) p. 626.
- [19] B. A. Loomis, H. Chung, J. Gazda, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, presented at the 6th Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.

MICROSTRUCTURAL STABILITY OF V-4Cr-4Ti DURING NEUTRON IRRADIATION'

H. Matsui and M. Tanno (Tohoku University) and J. Gazda and H. M. Chung (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to characterize the microstructural stability of V-4Cr-4Ti alloy during irradiation by fast neutrons, and thereby to provide a better understanding of long-term performance of the alloy under fusion conditions.

SUMMARY

Microstructural evolution of V-4Cr-4Ti, an alloy recently shown to exhibit excellent tensile and creep properties, virtual immunity to irradiation embrittlement, and good resistance to swelling, was characterized after irradiation in a lithium environment in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) (a sodium-cooled fast reactor located in Richland. Washington) at 420, 520, and 600°C to 24-34 dpa. The primary feature of microstructural evolution during irradiation at 520 and 600°C was high-density formation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ precipitates and short dislocations. For irradiation at 420°C, precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ was negligible, and "black-dot" defects and dislocations were observed in significantly higher densities. In spite of their extremely high densities, neither the "black-dot" defects nor Ti₅Si₃ precipitates are overly detrimental to ductility and toughness of the alloy, yet they very effectively suppress irradiation-induced swelling. Therefore, these features, normally observed in V-base **alloys** containing Ti and **Si**, are considered stable. Unstable microstructural modifications that are likely to degrade mechanical properties significantly **were** not observed, e.g., irradiation-induced formation of fine oxides, carbides, nitrides, or Cr-rich clusters.

INTRODUCTION

Vanadium-base alloys have significant advantages over other candidate alloys (such **as** austenitic and ferritic steels) for **use as** structural materials in fusion devices, e.g., in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in magnetic fusion reactors. These advantages include intrinsically lower levels of long-term activation, irradiation afterheat, neuvon-induced helium- and hydrogen-transmutation rates, biological hazard potential, and thermal suess factor.

As part of a program to screen candidate alloys and develop an optimal alloy, extensive investigations have been conducted on the swelling behavior, tensile properties, impact toughness, and microstructural evolution of V, V-Ti, V-Cr, V-Cr-Ti, and V-Ti-Si alloys after irradiation by fast neutrons at 420, 520, and 600 °C up to ≈ 120 dpa. From these investigations, V-Cr-Ti alloys containing =4-5 wt.% Cr, 3-5 wt.% Ti, 400-1000 wt. ppm Si, and <1000 wt. ppm O+N+C were identified **as** the most desirable alloys that exhibit superior resistance to swelling. embrittlement, and hydrogen-induced effects during fast-neutron irradiation in Li.¹⁻⁵ Of these alloys, V-4Cr-4Ti has been shown recently to exhibit the most attractive Combination of mechanical **properties**, ⁶⁻¹² i.e., tensile properties? impact energy?.' 1 and ductile-brittle-transition temperature (DBTT) before^{6,11} and after irradiation⁸ in the FFTF. In these investigations, it was shown that V-4Cr-4Ti is virtually immune to irradiation-induced embrittlement, a property very remarkable for a body-centered-cubic or hexagonal-close-packed alloy. Thermal creep behavior of V-4Cr-4Ti has also been reported to be superior to that of austenitic and ferritic steels, ¹⁰ and resistance of the alloy to irradiation-induced density change was also reported to be very good.⁹

In view of the excellent performance of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy during fission-neutron irradiation, it was considered important that we understand the nature of the irradiation-induced microstructural evolution and

^{*} Work supported by the Office of Fusion Energy, U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

thereby provide a sound basis for predicting the alloy performance during irradiation under fusion-neutron conditions to higher damage levels. Therefore, microstructural evolution of the alloy was investigated in this work after irradiation at 420-600°C up to 34 dpa under the fission-neutron spectrum of the FFTF.

MATERIALS AND PROCEDURES

The elemental composition of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is given in Table 1. Microstructural characterization of the alloy was conducted on standard transmission electron microscopy (TEM) disks after irradiation in the FFTF. TEM disks were irradiated at 420, 520, and 600°C to neutron fluences (E > 0.1 MeV) of 60 x 10²² $n \cdot cm^{-2}$ (-34 dpa), 4.3 x 10²² $n \cdot cm^{-2}$ (≈24 dpa), and 5.0 x 10²² $n \cdot cm^{-2}$ (-28 dpa). respectively. The disks were sealed in TZM capsules filled with 99.99%-enriched ⁷Li during irradiation to prevent contamination with O,N, and C impurities dissolved in the Na coolant of the FFTF and formation of unacceptable levels of He and tritium from transmutation of ⁶Li.

After irradiation, the specimens were thoroughly cleaned of Li and annealed at 400°C for 1 h in a vacuum of 2.6 x 10^{-7} Pa to expel residual H and tritium. The irradiated specimens were jet-thinned in a solution of **15%** sulfuric acid-72% methanol-13% butyl cellosolve maintained at -5°C. **TEM** was conducted with a JEOL 100CX-II scanning transmission electron microscope operating at 100 keV or with a Philips CM-30T transmission electron microscope operating at 200 keV.

Cr (wt.%)	Ti (wt.%)	О (wppm)	N (wppm)	C (wppm)	Si (wppm)	DBTT before irradiation (°C)	Irradiation temperature (°C)	Damage level (dpa)	DBTT after irradiation (°C)
4.1	4.3	350	220	200	870	<-190	420	34	<-190
	-	_	-	-	-	_	520	24	<-190
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	20	<-190

Table I. Elemental composition and irradiation conditions of V-4Cr-4Ti alloy (ANL ID BL-47 In FFTF

MICROSTRUCTURAL EVOLUTION

Structure of Unirradiated Material

An ingot of V-4Cr-4Ti was produced by vacuum arc melting. The ingot was extruded at 1150°C, followed by several repeated steps of rolling (at 400°C) and annealing (at 1100°C) to produce sheets 3.80 and 0.89 mm thick.¹² These sheets were then annealed and recrystallized at 1125°C for 1 h in a vacuum of 2.6 x 10⁻⁷ Pa before they were machined into TEM disks and tensile specimens. Subsequently, the specimens were encapsulated for irradiation. A typical TEM microstructure of the alloy after the final anneal at 1125°C is shown in Fig. 1. In the figure, Ti(O,N,C) precipitates, 200-600 nm in size, were observed in a number density of $-3 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and a volume fraction of -10%. The Ti(O,N,C) phase has been observed to precipitate in most of the V-base alloys containing Ti during ingot melting and solidification.^{4,13} A few titanium phosphide precipitates in the form of Ti₅P₃ (hcp structure. $a_0 = 0.7234$ nm, $c_0 = 0.5090$ nm) were also observed in a number density approximately one order of magnitude lower than that of the Ti(O,N,C). The phosphides, shaped as stretched ellipsoids, were ≈200-400 nm thick and 400-800 nm long (see Fig. 2).

Specimens Irradiated at 600°C

An example of typical microstructures of specimens irradiated at 600°C is shown in Fig. 2. In the bright-field micrograph of Fig. 2A, images of nearly spherical Ti(O,N,C), large elongated-ellipsoid-shaped Ti₅P₃ (denoted "P). dislocation loops, and short dislocations are visible. In addition to these features, ultrafine precipitates ≈ 1 nm thick and 2-10 nm long are visible in high density. From dark-field imaging and indexing of selected-area diffraction (SAD) patterns, these fine precipitates were identified as Ti₅Si₃ (hcp structure,

 $a_0 = 0.7463 \text{ nm}, c_0 = 0.5165 \text{ nm}$).⁴ A dark-field image produced with (210) reflection of the titanium silicide is shown in Fig. 2B. In previous investigations, good resistance to swelling of V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys was attributed to dense precipitation of Ti₅Si₃.^{3,4}



Figure 2. Microstructure & V-4Cr-4Ti irradiated at 6009: to -28 dpa; (A)bright-field image & large Ti(O,N,C) and Ti5P3 (denoted "P"), dislocation loops, short dislocations. and ultrafine Ti5Si3 in high density; (B) dark-field image produced with (210)refection & Ti5Si3

The average size of the Ti(O,N,C) precipitates in the irradiated material (Fig. **2A**) was considerably smaller than that of unirradiated material (Fig. 1), i.e., -130 nm vs. -250 nm. This is probably because of transfer of O atoms from the V-alloy matrix to Li during irradiation, which, consequently, resulted in partial dissolution of Ti(O,N,C) precipitates.

Specimens Irradiated at 520°C

Microstructures of the specimens irradiated at 520°C were. similar to **these** of specimens irradiated at 600°C. Examples of bright- and dark-field images of the alloy irradiated at 520°C to \approx 24 dpa **are** shown in Figs. **3A** and 38, respectively. The density of short dislocations was higher in this material than in the specimens irradiated at 600°C. The precipitation behavior of Ti₅Si₃ at both 600 and 520°C seemed to be similar, although size was somewhat smaller after irradiation at 520°C (Fig. 38). The dark-field micrograph (Fig. 3B), produced with (110) reflection of V (d-spacing 0.218 nm) superimposed on (300) reflection of Ti₅Si₃ (d-spacing 0.215 nm), shows images of short line dislocations, **Ti₅Si₃** precipitates. and "black-dot" defects (clusters of vacancy or interstitial defects) <1 nm in size. Although care must be exercised to discern "black-dot" defects seems to be higher in specimens irradiated at 520°C (Fig. 3A) than in specimens irradiated at 600°C (Fig. 2A).



Figure 3. Microstructure dV-4Cr-4Ti irradiated at 520°C to =24 dpa; (A)bright-field image d large Ti(O,N,C), "black-dot" defects. short dislocations, and Ti₅Si₃ in high density; (B) dark-field image d dislocations and Ti₅Si₃ produced with (110) reflection dV superimposed on (300) reflection dT Ti₅Si₃

Specimens Irradiated at 420°C

Examples of typical microstructures of specimens irradiated at 420° C to -34 dpa **are** shown in Fig. 4. In the low-magnification micrograph (Fig. 4A), the overall grain size and phase structure are shown. In this micrograph, most of the Ti(O,N,C) precipitates 100-200 nm in size are surrounded by concentric shells of distinct contrast, indicating that larger original Ti(O,N,C) precipitates. 200-500 nm in size, dissolved partially in the matrix during the irradiation in Li. This feature is visible more clearly in the high-magnification micrograph of Fig. 4B.

High-magnification micrographs, such as Fig. 4B, were characterized by "black-dot" defects in extremely high density. This is shown in a more direct manner in the dark-field image, Fig. 4C. The image, produced with (110) reflection of the V matrix superimposed on the (300) reflection of a **Ti5P3** precipitate, shows line dislocations, as well as "black-dot" defects, concentrated in higher density around a **Ti5P3** precipitate. However, in contrast to irradiation at 520 and 600°C, no evidence of irradiation-induced precipitation of the **Ti5Si3** phase was observed at 420°C. The density of dislocation loops was also negligible at this temperature.



Figure 4. Microstructure $rac{d} V$ -4Cr-4Ti irradiated at 420°C to \approx 34 dpa; (A) lowmagnification bright-field image showing sire and distribution of Ti(O,N,C); (B) higher-magnification $rac{d}$ (A) showing distinct darker contrast surrounding Ti(O,N,C); and (CJdark-field image of dislocations and "black-dot" defects in higher density, surrounding a large Ti₅P₃ precipitate

DISCUSSION

Ι

In view of the results from previous investigations on irradiation-induced microstructural evolution of V-Ti. V-Cr-Ti, and V-3Ti-1Si alloys,^{4,6,15} no surprising microstructural features were found in V-4Cr-4Ti after irradiation at 420-600°C up to 34 dpa. The characteristic partial dissolution of Ti(O,N,C) precipitates, precipitates normally present in as-fabricated alloys in significant number density, is apparently due to O transfer from the matrix to Li during irradiation. Any effect of this process on the reduction of alloy strength seens to have been more than compensated for by the hardening effect of displacement damage.

Precipitation of the ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ precipitates was observed, as expected, after irradiation at 520 and 600°C. However, in contrast to V-20Ti, in which high-density Ti₅Si₃ precipitated during irradiation at 420°C

to -114 dpa, 15 no precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ was observed in V-4Cr-4Ti after irradiation at the same temperature to -34 dpa. In a similar recrystallized material of V-5Cr-5Ti alloy **doped** with Si, **AI**, and **Y**, precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ was reported to be negligible after irradiation at 406°C to -51 dpa but significant after irradiation at 600°C to 31 **dpa**.¹⁴ In view of these observations, it is not quite clear if the absence of Ti₅Si₃ precipitates in the present V-4Cr-4Ti is due to the lower dose or to inherently slower kinetics of precipitation in V-(4-5)Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys than in V-20Ti. On the other hand, one cannot rule out the possibility that clusters of Ti and Si atoms, precursors of Ti₅Si₃, formed in the V-4Cr-4Ti and V-5Cr-5Ti alloys during the lowtemperature irradiation, most likely in association with "black-dot" defects. Such clusters would be too small to be detected by TEM.

Similar to V-4Cr-4Ti irradiated at 420°C, the V-5Cr-5Ti-1Si-1Al-1Y alloy irradiated at 406°C contained "black-dot" defects in extremely high density. In spite of the negligible precipitation of Ti₅Si₃, swelling both in V-4Cr-4Ti⁹ and V-5Cr-5Ti-1Si-1Al-1Y^{16,17} was negligible after irradiation at 406-420°C to 34-40 dpa. This indicates that high-density precipitation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ produces good resistance to swelling, but it is not necessarily the only condition that is conducive to low swelling.

Despite the formation of ultrafine "black-dot" damage and/or Ti₅Si₃ precipitates in high density, Charpy impact and tensile specimens irradiated under the three irradiation conditions exhibited excellent toughness (DBTT <-190°C and Charpy energy $\approx 140 \text{ J/cm}^2$)⁸ and tensile ductility (uniform and total elongation ≈ 8 and ≈ 10 %, respectiv 4, at 25°C).⁷ Comparable tensile properties (total elongation of 8-16% at 25°C) have been reported for the V-5Cr-5Ti-1Si-1Al-1Y alloy, which exhibited similar microstructures characterized by high-density "black-dot" damage and Ti₅Si₃ precipitates.¹⁸ Based on these observations, it is concluded that neither the high-density "black-dot" defects nor Ti₅Si₃ precipitates are overly detrimental, and a sufficient level of ductility and toughness is retained in V-(4-5)Cr-(4-5)Ti-type alloys.

CONCLUSIONS

- Microsuuctural evolution of V-4Cr-4Ti alloy was characterized after irradiation in a lithium environment in FFTF at 420, 520, and 600°C to 24-34 dpa. Thermally formed Ti(O,N,C) precipitates were found to dissolve partially in the matrix, probably because of oxygen transfer from the alloy to Li during irradiation.
- The primary fcature of microstructural evolution during irradiation at 520 and 600°C is high-density formation of ultrafine Ti₅Si₃ precipitates and short dislocations. For irradiation at 420°C, precipitation of Ti₅Si₃ was negligible, and "black-dol" defects and dislocations were observed in significantly higher density.
- 3. In spite of their extremely high densities, neither the high-density "black-dot" defects nor the Ti₅Si₃ precipitates are sufficiently detrimental to significantly degrade material ductility and toughness, yet they effectively suppress irradiation-induced swelling. Therefore, these features of microstructural evolution **are** considered stable. No unstable microstructural modifications that are likely to degrade mechanical properties significantly were observed in V-4Cr-4Ti, e.g., irradiation-induced formation of fine oxides, carbides, nitrides, or Cr-rich clusters.
- 4. Void formation was negligible in V-4Cr-4Ti for all the irradiated conditions.

FUTURE WORK

Microstructure of V-4Cr-4Ti specimens irradiated at 420, 520, and 600°C in the Dynamic Helium Charging Experiment (DHCE) will be characterized to determine the effects of dynamically charged helium.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors arc grateful *to* L. J. Nowicki for his contributions *to* the preparation of the irradiated specimens, and to B. A. Loomis for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. A. Loomis, A. B. Hull, and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater., 179-181 (1991) 148-154.
- 2. B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater., 191-194 (1992) 84-91.
- 3. H. M. Chung and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. ibid., 942-947.
- H. M. Chung. B. A. Loomis. and D. L. Smith, "Irradiation-Induced Precipitation in Vanadium-Base Alloys Containing Titanium," Effects of Radiation on Materials: 16th International Symposium, ASTM STP 1175, A. S. Kumar, D. S. Gelles, R. K. Nanstad, and T. A. Little, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1993.
- 5. D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis, and H. M. Chung, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Vanadium-Base Alloys," Plasma Devices and Operations, Vol. 3, 1993, in press.
- 6. B. A. Loomis, J. Gazda. L. J. Nowicki. and D. L. Smith, "Relationship of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties for V-Cr-Ti Alloys," this report, also presented at the Sixth Intl. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Malerials. Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1993, Suesa, Italy.
- 7. B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith. "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Tensile Properties of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," ibid.
- 8. B. A. Loomis. H. M. Chung, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation and Hydrogen on Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," ibid.
- 9. H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki. J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith, "Irradiation-Induced Density Change and Microstructural Evolution of Vanadium-Base Alloys," ibid.
- H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis. L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Thermal Creep Behavior of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-10Cr-5Ti Alloys," Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, pp. 309-317.
- 11. B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, J. Gazda. and D. L. Smith, "Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of Unirradiated Vanadium Alloys Based on Charpy-Impact Testing," ibid., pp. 318-325.
- 12. H. M. Chung, L.J. Nowicki, J. E. Sanecki, J. Gazda, and D. L. Smith,"Effects of Fabrication Variables on the Impact Properties and Microstructure of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," this report.
- 13. T. Schober and D. N. Braski, Met. Trans. 2UA (1989) 1927-1932.
- M. Satou and H. M. Chung, in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Repon for Period Ending September 30, 1992. DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. (1993) pp. 227-234.
- **15.** H. M. Chung "Effects of Irradiation-Induced Precipitation on Properties of Vanadium Alloys," ibid., pp. 194-202.
- 16. M. Satou, K. Abc, and H. Matsui, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 938-941.
- 17. M. Satou. K. Abe, H. Kayano, and H. Takahashi, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 956-959.
- M. Satou, K. Abe, and H. Kayano, "Tensile Properties and Microstructures of Neutron Irradiated V-Cr-T-Si Type Alloys," paper presented at the 6th Intl. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials, Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1993, Stresa, Italy.

STATUS OF THE DYNAMIC HELIUM CHARGING EXPERIMENT (DHCE)^{*} H. Tsai, H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis, and D. L. Smith (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this experiment is to investigate the effects of concurrent helium production and fast-neutron irradiation on the physical and mechanical properties of vanadium-base alloys. The measured properties will be compared with those of sibling samples irradiated under fast-neutron-fluence only, to isolate the helium effects.

SUMMARY

Irradiation of the seven DHCE capsules was completed in MOTA-2B at the end of FFTF Cycle 12B. The accrued exposure was 203.3 effective full power days (EFPDs) and the peak damage in the samples was \approx 23 dpa. All seven capsules have been discharged from the FFTF and shipped to ANL-East. Procedures have k e n developed to disassemble the tritium-containing capsules to retrieve the specimens for examination and testing.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Helium generation by (n,α) reactions in vanadium-based structural materials in fusion reactors may affect the physical and mechanical properties of the materials. To study these effects, it is important that the prototypical rates of helium generation be achieved in specimens during the irradiation testing. In the FFTF, because of the fission neutron spectrum, the rate of helium production in vanadium is normally low, i.e., ≈ 0.02 appm/dpa. The production rate in fusion reactors, on the other hand, is much higher (-5 appm/dpa) due to the higher-energy 14 MeV fusion neutrons. The objective of the DHCE in the FFTF is to enhance helium production by in-situ decay of tritium, which is generated in the capsule's lithium bond and subsequently diffuses into the vanadium alloy samples. A detailed description of the DHCE capsules is provided in Refs. 1 and 2.

Test Matrix

Seven capsules containing tensile specimens and transmission electron microscope (TEM) disks were built and irradiated. The test matrix is shown in Table 1, and detailed listing of specimens is provided in Ref. 1. So that helium generation starts immediately after reactor startup, each capsule was precharged with a controlled amount of tritium contained in a tritiated vanadium foil. The ⁶Li content in the lithium bond in each capsule was adjusted to maintain a near-constant rate of tritium influx into the samples during irradiation.

Irradiation History

The MOTA-2B DHCE was irradiated in two FFTF cycles (12A and 12B) for a total exposure of 203.3 EFPDs. Two capsules (4D1 and 4D2) located at Level 4 in FFTF/MOTA, attained a peak fluence of $\approx 6.4 \times 10^{22}$ n·cm⁻² and a damage of -24 dpa in vanadium. The other five capsules (5C1, 5C2, 5D1, 5E1 and 5E2) were located in Level 5 and attained fluence and damage of 3.7×10^{22} n·cm⁻² and 13 dpa, respectively. A summary of irradiation conditions for the capsules is provided in Table 2.

Status on Capsule Disassembly

All seven DHCE capsules have been discharged from the MOTA-2B vehicle and shipped to ANL-East for capsule disassembly and specimen retrieval. A major effort in this reporting period was the design and

Work supported by the Office of Fusion Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

	Irradiation	Initial	6 _{Li} a	Number of Specimensb				Total
	Temperature	Tritium	Fraction	Japa	an	U.S.		Specimen
Capsule	(°C)	(Ci)	(5%)	TS(S)	D3	SS3	TEM	Mass (g) ^c
4D1	425	99	5.0	24	94	8	45	5.86
4D2	425	70	4.5	16	96	8	44	5.38
5E2	425	26	1.0	16	100	8	42	5.38
5D1	500	74	6.5	23	94	8	42	5.77
5E1	500	57	1.0	24	102	8	43	5.82
5C1	600	16	8.0	24	93	8	45	5.82
s c 2	600	18	8.0	24	108	8	45	5.95

Table 1. MOTA-2B DHCE Test Matrix

'Atomic ratio of ⁶Li to total lithium.

^bTS(S) and SS3 are tensile specimens: D3 and TEM are TEM disks.

^cDoes not include tritiated vanadium foil.

Table 2. Preliminary Calculated Conditions for DHCE Irradiation (203.3 EFPDs)

	Irradiation	Plenum			He(appm)/dpa, ^a
	Temperature	Volume	Fast Fluence	Damage	End of Irradiation
Capsule	(°C)	(mL)	<u>(x 1022 n·cm-2)</u>	(dpa)	(3/92)
4D1	425	2.85	5.3	29	3.8-23.2
4D2	425	2.93	5.3	29	2.8-17.4
5E2	425	3.11	2.9	15	2.1-12.5
5D1	500	2.53	2.9	15	4.429.0
SEI	5 w	2.49	2.9	15	3.1-20.2
5C1	600	2.77	2.9	15	1.1-6.7
5 c 2	600	2.47	2.9	15	1.1-6.8
6771		** •			

The first numbers assume Ka, the equilibrium ratio of tritium in vanadium alloy to that in the lithium bond, to be 0.073. The second numbers assume Ka to be

0.73. Thus, the two numbers cover the estimated possible range.

construction of an apparatus at the Irradiated Materials Laboratory (IML) at ANL-East for processing the capsules (i.e., puncturing, cutting, and lithium removal). Because of the considerable tritium contents (up to ≈ 100 Ci per capsule), the capsules must be processed in enclosed systems to the maximum extent possible to trap the released tritium.

Due to radioactivity. the capsules will be processed remotely in an IML hot cell. Two enclosed chambers, one for capsule puncturing and the other for lithium removal, will be used to facilitate uitium trapping during these two processes. The system for tritium trapping and monitoring. consisting of three cold traps, two tritium getters and two ionization chambers. is located in a vented cabinet opposite the hot cell in the same room. High-purity nitrogen will be used as the purge and sweep gas. (Unexpectedly, helium gas-the first choice-was found to cause arcing in the ionization chambers of the tritium detectors.) A schematic diagram of the processing setup is shown in Fig. 1, and photographs of the systems in the cell and the cabinet are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively.

The first operation will be to puncture the capsule in a jig to release the high-pressure plenum gas. which contains tritium. The jig will be isolated and a pressure gauge will monitor gas released during puncturing. Released gas will be carried away by the nitrogen sweep gas to the two tritium getters (containing reactive metal alloys at elevated temperatures) to remove the tritium before venting to the cell exhaust. Because the tritium



Figure 1. Schematic of DHCE capsule disassembly system





getters have only a limited capacity for impurities (oxygen, carbon dioxide, moisture, etc.), the jig and the connecting gas lines will be thoroughly purged before each puncturing operation.

Next, the capsule will be removed from the jig and opened with a tubing cutter at both the top and bottom ends. Removal and cutting will be done in the open cell atmosphere, and the tritium released during these two steps will not be trapped. Any release, however, is expected to be small because most of the tritium in the lithium will be in the stable form of LiT and would remain in the lithium bond.

After the capsule is opened, it will be loaded into the dissolution chamber where the lithium bond encasing the specimens will be dissolved with liquid ammonia. Substantial releases of tritium and tritiated ammonia are expected during this operation. The process gas will pass through three cold traps (condensers) maintained at liquid-nitrogen temperature to remove the ammonia vapor before entering the tritium getters. Ammonia trapping is necessary to preserve the limited capacity of the tritium getters.

The dried residue on the specimens and the wire-mesh basket holding the specimens after lithium dissolution will be removed by an alcohol rinse. Because the residue (containing lithium and LiT) will react chemically with alcohol and release tritium gas, this operation will be conducted in the same dissolution chamber to facilitate tritium trapping. A second alcohol rinse will complete the final in-cell cleaning of the specimens before they are discharged from the cell for testing and examination.

A substantial fraction of the total tritium inventory is expected to be contained in the dried residue from the ammonia and alcohol rinses. To minimize the spread of contamination, disposable beakers will be used in the dissolution chamber. They will be replaced after each dissolution/rinsing operation. This and the other functional requirements have made the design and construction of the dissolution chamber a significant technical challenge. The other functional requirements include leak-tightness of the removable top cover, capability to purge the volumes both inside and outside the beaker, remote loading of liquid ammonia, pressure-pulse protection, pressure and temperature measurement capability, ability to adjust the axial position of the basket holding the specimens, and means of externally heating the beaker to accelerate the drying of ammonia or alcohol after the processing. All of these requirements wcre successfully met in the preoperational tests of the assembled chamber.

The design of the cold traps, i.e., the ammonia condensers, presented another area of significant technical challenge. The initial attempt with a shop-fabricated unit was aborted when a weld failed at liquid-nitrogen temperature. The final design utilizes three commercially available pressure vessels arranged in two stagestwo in parallel as the first stage and a single as the second stage. (Pressure-bearing capability is necessary due to the high vapor pressure of ammonia at room temperature, i.e., ≈ 10 atm.) To prevent plugging of the inlet or outlet of the vessels by solidified ammonia, only the middle section of the vessels will be chilled by liquid nitrogen. The satisfactory performance (i.e., high capacity and high efficiency) of the final design was demonstrated in a series of preoperational tests with clean ammonia at flow rates substantially greater than anticipated in the actual DHCE work.

The DHCE disassembly system has been constructed and the procedure for the operation has been prepared. All necessary safety and ESH approvals for the operation have been obtained. Two dry runs with low-tritium capsules from the FFTF MOTA-2B vehicle are scheduled for early October. If the results from the dry runs are satisfactory, processing of the DHCE capsules will follow immediately.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. L. Smith, et al., "Status of the Dynamic Helium Charging Experiment (DHCE) in FFTF/MOTA," Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-0313/10, March 31, 1991, pp. 159-163.
- L. R. Greenwood. "Revised Calculations for the Dynamic Helium Charging Experiment in FFTF/MOTA 2B," Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-0313/10, March 31, 1991, pp. 156-158.

Effects of Neutron Irradiation and Hydrogen on Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of V-Cr-Ti Alloys' -B. A. Loomis, H. M. Chung, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South **Cass** Avenue, Argonne, Illinois, USA

Summary

The effects of neutron irradiation and hydrogen on the ductile-brittle transition temperatures (DBTTs) of unalloyed vanadium and V-Cr-Ti alloys were determined from Charpy-impact tests on 113 ASTM-standard-size specimens and from impact tests on 3-mm diameter discs. The tests were conducted on specimens containing <30 appm hydrogen and 600-1200 appm hydrogen and on specimens after neutron irradiation to 28-46 atom displacements per atom at 420, 520, and 600°C. The DBTTs were minimum (<-220°C) for V-(1-5)Ti alloys and for V-4Cr-4Ti alloy with <30 appm hydrogen. The effect of 600-1200 appm hydrogen in the specimens was to raise the DBTTs by 60-100°C. The DBTTs were minimum (<-200°C) for V-(3-5)Ti and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys after neutron irradiation.

1. Introduction

Vanadium-base alloys have significant advantages over other candidate alloys, e.g., austenitic and ferritic steels, for use as structural material in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and in fusion reactors that may he constructed in the future [1]. These advantages include intrinsically lower long-term neutron activation, neutron irradiation after-heat, neutron-induced helium and hydrogen transmutation rates, biological hazard potential, and thermal-stress factor [1-6]. Moreover, vanadium-base alloys have high-temperature strength and resistance to irradiation damage [1]. At the present time, the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is recommended for the near-optimal combination of these desirable neutronic, physical, and mechanical properties for a vanadium alloy structural material [1]. However, to make use of these favorable properties in structural material in fusion systems, an alloy should have a low ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT). For confirmation of the selection of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy as the prime-candidate vanadium alloy, we present in this paper the results of experimental investigations to determine the DBTTs (on impact loading) of vanadium alloys after either neutron irradiation at 420-600°Cto 21-41 atom displacements per atom (dpa) or hydrogenation to 600-1200 appm H.

2. Materials and procedures

Unalloyed vanadium and V-Cr-Ti alloys with the nominal compositions listed in Table 1 were obtained in sheet form with a 50% thickness reduction, resulting in a nominal thickness of 3.8 mm. Miniature Charpy-impact specimens (113 **ASTM** standard size) with overall dimensions of 3.30 x 3.30 x 25.4 mm and a notch depth of 0.61 mm were prepared from these materials. Discs with 3-mm diameter and 0.25-mm thickness, i.e., transmission electron microscopy (TEM) discs, were also prepared for impact tests on these materials. Dehydrogenated Charpy and TEM impact-test specimens in the fully recrystallized condition were prepared from the 50% cold-worked materials by annealing for 1 h in a vacuum of 2 x 10⁻⁵ Pa. The V and V-1Ti alloy specimens were annealed at 1025°C, and the V-3Ti-1Si, V-(3-20)Ti alloy specimens were annealed at 1100°C. The V-Cr-Ti alloys (Table 1) were annealed at 1125°C, except for V-5Cr-5Ti which was annealed at 1050°C. These annealing conditions for the cold-worked materials resulted in an average recrystallized-grain diameter of 0.02-0.04 mm and a dislocation density of $\sim 10^{13}/m^2$ in the specimens. Hydrogen was introduced into the surface layers of the as-fabricated Charpy specimens hy abrasion of the specimen surfaces in contact with water. The hydrogen-charged specimens (wrapped in tantalum foil) were annealed in evacuated and sealed quartz tubes for 1 h for recrystallization of the specimens and for homogenization of the hydrogen concentration.

¹ Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

Nominal Composition	ANL I.D.	Concentration (wt,%)			Concentration (Wt. ppm)				
1		Cr	Ti	Fe	0	N	С	Si	
v	BL 51				570	49	56	370	
V-1Ti	BL 50		1.0		230	130	235	1050	
VJTi	BL 62		3.1		320	86	109	660	
V-3Ti-1Si	BL 45		2.5	0.01	345	125	90	9900	
V-5Ti	BL 46		4.6		300	53	85	160	
V-10Ti	BL 12		9.8	0.63	1670	390	450	245	
V-18Ti	BL 15		17.7	0.04	830	160	380	480	
V-14Cr	BL 5	14.1		0.06	330	69	200	50	
V-14Cr-1Ti	BL 26	14.1	1.0	0.06	560	86	140	50	
V-5Cr-3Ti	BL 54	5.1	3.0		480	82	133	655	
V-4Cr-4Ti	BL 47	4.1	4.3		350	220	200	870	
V-5Cr-5Ti	BL 63	4.6	5.1		440	28	73	310	
V-8Cr-6Ti	BL 49	7.9	5.7		400	150	127	360	
V-9Cr-5Ti	BL 43	9.2	4.9	0.02	230	31	100	340	
V-14Cr-5Ti	BL 24	13.5	5.2	0.05	1190	360	500	390	
V-15Cr-5Ti	BL 41	14.5	5.0	0.02	330	96	120	400	
V-10Cr-9Ti	BL 44	9.9	9.2	0.04	300	87	150	270	
V-7Cr-15Ti	BL 10	7.2	14.5	0.09	1110	250	400	400	

Table 1. Compositions of V and V-Cr-Ti alloys.

The Charpy and TEM specimens were irradiated in Li^{7} -filled TZM molybdenum capsules at 420, 520, and 600°C in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) of the Fast **Flux** Test Facility (FFTF) during Cycles 9-11 of the reactor. The irradiated specimens were annealed at 400°C for 1 h (prior to impact testing) for removal of hydrogen that was introduced into the specimens during irradiation and/or on dissolution **d** the Li for removal of the specimens from the capsules.

Charpy-impact tests were performed with an instrumented Dynatup Drop-Weight Test machine. Impact velocity and load for these tests were 2.56 mh and 14.995 kg, respectively. Energy absorption during impact was determined from applied load-time data acquired during the impact. The specimen temperature at the instant of impact was determined from a thermocouple arc-welded near the notch, and the lowest, attainable test temperature was -190°C. The DBTT of an alloy was designated at -50% absorbed-energy reduction from the energy-absorbed versus test-temperature curve. However, in the case *cf* Charpy-impact tests on unirradiated V-1Ti (BL 50), V-3Ti (BL 62), V-3Ti-1Si (BL 45), V-5Ti (BL 46), and V-4Cr-4Ti (BL 47) alloys and on irradiated V-3Ti-1Si (BL 45), V-5Ti (BL 46), and V-4Cr-4Ti (BL 47) alloys, the specimens bent without fracture at the lowest test temperature, i.e., -190°C. DBTTs of <-220°C and <-200°C were attributed respectively to these unirradiated and irradiated alloys.

In the case of impact tests on TEM discs, a specimen was mounted for impact by a swinging pendulum on 1/2 of the specimen. Disc temperature (\geq -190°C) was determined from a thermocouple arc-welded to the TEM disc. The DBTT of an alloy was determined from impact tests at several temperatures and from optical and scanning-electron-microscope (SEM) observations of the fractured surfaces of specimens for -50% cleavage appearance. However, impact tests at -190°C on unirradiated and irradiated TEM discs of the above-mentioned alloys also resulted in bending of the discs without fracture. DBTTs **cf** <-220°C and <-200°C were also attributed respectively to these unirradiated and irradiated alloys.

3. Experimental results

The temperature dependence of energy absorption during impact on dehydrogenated (unirradiated), hydrogenated (unirradiated), and irradiated Charpy specimens of V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-5Ti, and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys is shown in Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d, respectively. The **DBITs** for dehydrogenated (<30 appm H), hydrogenated (590 appm H), and irradiated (41-50 dpa at 420-600°C) V-14Cr-5Ti alloy are -10, 130, and >400°C, respectively. The **DBITs** for dehydrogenated (<30 appm H), hydrogenated (670 appm H), and irradiated (41-50 dpa at 420-600°C) V-14Cr-5Ti alloy are -10, 130, and >400°C, respectively. The **DBITs** for dehydrogenated (<30 appm H), hydrogenated (670 appm H), and irradiated (41-50 dpa at 420-600°C) V-9Cr-5Ti alloy are -55, 45, and 150°C, respectively.



Fig. 1. Dependence on test temperature of energy absorbed in Charpy-impact tests on V-14Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-5Ti, and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys.

The DBTTs for dehydrogenated, hydrogenated (860 appm H), and irradiated (24-34 dpa at 427-599°C) V-5Ti alloy are e-220, -160, <-200°C, respectively; and the DBTTs for dehydrogenated, hydrogenated (1200 appm H), and irradiated (24-34 dpa at 427-599°C) V-4Cr-4Ti alloy are <-220, -150, and <-200°C, respectively.

The dependence of DBTT in V-(0-18)Ti alloys on Ti concentration in the alloy is shown in Fig. 2. DBTTs are minimum (<-220°C) in V-(1-5)Ti alloys with <30 appm H; and DBTTs for hydrogenated alloys (600-1000 appm H) are minimum for the V-5Ti alloy. The DBTTs for V-(0-18)Ti alloys (<30 appm H) after irradiation at 420°C to 34-44 dpa are minimum (<-220°C) for V-(3-5)Ti alloys. DBTT data for alloys irradiated at 520 and 600°C are not shown in Fig. 2, but the DBTTs for V-(3-5)Ti alloys are also e-220°C. The dependence of DBTT in dehydrogenated, hydrogenated, and irradiated V-Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys on Cr concentration in the alloy is shown in Fig. 3. The DBTTs of dehydrogenated, hydrogenated, and irradiated V-Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys increased significantly when >4.1 wt.% Cr was present in an alloy.

The dependence of DBTTs in vanadium alloys on the combined (Cr + Ti) concentration in an alloy is shown in Fig. 4. These results suggest that the minimum DBTT for either unirradiated, hydrogenated, or neutron-irradiated V-Cr-Ti alloys is obtained for a vanadium alloy containing 0-4 wt.% Cr and 4-5 wt.% Ti and a combined (Cr + Ti) concentration of 4.6 to 8.4 wt.%.

4. Discussion

The experimental results presented in this paper tend to confirm the selection of the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy as the vanadium alloy with the near-optimal combination of physical and mechanical properties for use as structural material in a fusion reactor. The DBTT for the unirradiated and irradiated (24-34 dpa at 427-599°C) V-4Cr-4Ti alloy is <-200°C; ductility of this alloy at 25°C is >8% after irradiation at 420°C to 34 dpa [7]; and swelling of this alloy is low (<0.3%) after neutron irradiation at 420-600°C to 24-34 dpa [8].

The number-density and average diameter of precipitates in V-Cr-Ti alloys have been determined by Gazda et al. [9]. The low DBTT for V-(1-5)Ti alloys may he related to a low volume-fraction of noncoherent precipitates (Ti($N_{1-x,y}C_xO_y$), Ti₁₇P₁₀, Ti₈S₃) and coherent precipitates (V_4C_3 , TiO) in these V-Ti alloys. The low DBTT for the V-4Cr-4Ti alloy may be related to a high volume-fraction of noncoherent precipitates (Ti($N_{1-x,y}C_xO_y$) with relatively large average-diameter and to absence of coherent precipitates.



Fig. 2. Dependence of DBTT for V-(0-18)Ti alloys on Ti concentration.



Fig. 3. Dependence of DB1T for V-Cr-(3-5)Ti alloy; on Cr concentration.



Fig. 4. Dependence of DB1T for vanadium alloys on (Cr + Ti) concentration

5. Conclusions

- DBTTs for unirradiated V-(1-5)Ti and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys are <-220°C.
- DBTTs for V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys are increased 60-100°C by the presence **cf** 600-1200 appm hydrogen in the alloys.
- DBTTs of V-Ti and V-Cr-Ti alloys after neutron irradiation at 420-600°C to 28-46 dpa are minimum (<-200°C) for V-(3-5)Ti and V-4Cr-4Ti alloys.
- DBTTs for either unirradiated, irradiated, or hydrogenated V-Cr-(4-5)Ti alloys increase significantly for >4 wt.% Cr in an alloy.

References

- [1] B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 84.
- [2] T. Noda, F. Abe, H. Araki and M. Okada, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 581.
- [3] R. Santos, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 589.
- [4] S. J. Piet, H. G. Kraus, R. M. Neilson, Jr. and J. L. Jones, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 24.
- [5] F. L. Yaggee, E. R. Gilbert and J. W. Styles, J. Less-Comm. Met. 19 (1969) 39.
- [6] D. L. Smith, B. A. Loomis and D. R. Diercks, J. Nucl. Mater. 135 (1985) 125.
- [7] B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki and D. L. Smith, Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.
- [8] H. M. Chung, B. A. Loomis and D. L. Smith, Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.
- [9] J. Gazda, B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki, D. L. Smith and S. Danyluk, Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE-ER-0313/15, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (1993).

WELDING OF VANADIUM ALLOYS'

D. R. Diercks, C. F. Konicek, and R. H. Lee (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this activity is to evaluate processes for welding candidate vanadium-base alloys and to determine the effects of the welding processes on the microsmetural, mechanical, and physical properties of the alloys.

SUMMARY

An exploratory activity has been initialed to investigate potential welding processes and the effects of these processes on the properties of candidate vanadium-base alloys has been initiated. Weldments of V-5Cr-5Ti and V-15Ti-7.5Cr have been prepared by the tungsten/inert-gas process without the **use** of filler metal. The weldments were examined metallographically and found to be free of significant defects. Chemical analyses of the weldments indicate only slight oxygen pickup during welding, and microhardness profiles indicate no unusual effects.

INTRODUCTION

The fabrication of large fusion reactor structures from vanadium-base alloys will require the joining of smaller components by welding. Industrial experience with the welding of vanadium alloys is limited, and the optimal processes and parameters for welding fusion reactor candidate alloys have not **been** established. Welding can potentially adversely affect the mechanical and physical properties of **these** alloys through a number of metallurgical processes, including interstitial element pickup from the welding environment, possible second-phase precipitation in the base-metal heat-affected zone, development of preferred grain orientation and solute segregation in the weld metal, and excessive grain growth **in** both the weld-metal and heat-affected zones. **An** exploratory activity to investigate potential welding processes **and** the effects of these processes on the properties of candidate vanadium-base alloys has been initiated.

MATERIALS AND PROCEDURES

Two trial weldments were fabricated from a 1.9-mm-thick sheet of annealed V-5Cr-5Ti using the lungstenlinen-gas welding process. The pieces joined were each $-13 \times 34 \text{ mm}$ in size, and one of the two 34-mm-long edges on each piece was machined to produce a square and flat geometry prior to welding. The two pieces were then clamped into position with the machined edges butted tightly against each other in a **Cu** fixture. **Run-on** and run-off tabs were placed at each end of the weld pieces. The future was then placed in a weld chamber inside a He-atmosphere glovebox. The weld chamber was pumped down to a pressure of -2 mm and back-filled with He (99.995% purity).

The welding process used a 2% thonated tungsten electrode (1 mm dia), a current of 50 **A**, and an automatically controlled welding speed of 125 mm/min without the use of filler metal. The gap between the workpiece and the electrode was 0.64 mm. The He atmosphere of the weld chamber was supplemented by a 30% Ar-70% He flowing cover-gas mixture at the weld zone. The fust welding pass resulted in a weld penetration slightly more than halfway through the thickness of the work pieces. The two pieces were then inverted, and a second weld pass was applied to the other side to complete the welding process.

Also studied was a trial weldment of V-15Ti-7.5Cr that had been fabricated approximately two years earlier as a part of an evaluation of mechanical properties.' In this case, the starting material was 1.0mm

^{*} Work supported by Officer Fusion Energy. U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.



Figure 1. Macroscopic appearance of as-fabricated V-5Cr-5Ti weldment.

thick, and welding was accomplished in a single pass. The welding conditions and parameters were otherwise essentially identical to those described above for the V-5Cr-5Ti weldments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A photograph of one of the two V-5Cr-5Ti weldments is shown in Fig. 1; the appearance of **the**. V-15Ti-7.5Cr weldment was similar. A macroscopic examination of both weldments indicated relatively smooth weld zones with full penetration; no cracks, porosity, or other significant weld flaws were **observed**.

Metallographic sections were prepared from each of the two weldment types. The section through the V-5Cr-5Ti weldment is shown in Fig. 2. Complete weld penetration is apparent, and the fused region is seen to blend smoothly into the adjacent metal with no undercutting or other geometrical discontinuities. No cracks or porosity are visible, and the fused metal is distinguished from the adjacent base metal only by a modest increase in grain size. A higher magnification examination indicated **a** single-phase microstructure in both the fused metal and the heat-affected zone.

The metallographic **section** through the V-15Ti-7.5Cr weldment (Fig. 3) **also** revealed complete weld **penetration**, a smooth transition between the weld zone and the base metal, and the absence of weld flaws. The grain enlargement in the fusion zone relative to the base metal is substantially more pronounced here than in the V-5Cr-5Ti weldment. In addition, the grains exhibit a columnar Orientation along the direction of heat flow during resolidification. An unidentified second phase is observed at the interdentritic boundaries in the weld zone (Fig. 4) and, after heavier etching, at the grain boundaries and within the grains of the heat-affected base metal (Fig. 5).

Chemical analyses were performed **an** fusion-zone and unaffected base-metal samples from **both** weldments to determine the extent of oxygen pickup during welding. **The** results **are**. summarized in



Figure 2. Metallographic cross-section through V-5Cr-5Ti weldment.



Figure 3. Metallographic cross-section through V-15Ti-7.5Cr weldment.

f



Figwe 4. Higher-magnification metallographic cross-section through boundary between fusion zone and heat-affected base metal in V-5Cr-5Ti weldment.



Figure 5. Higher-magnification metallographic cross-section through heat-affected base metal near fusion zone in V-5Cr-5Ti weldment.

Weldment	Sample Description	Oxygen Content (wt. ppm)
V-5Cr-5Ti	base metal base metal fusion zone fusion zone	210 310 300 550
V-15Tì-7.5 Cr	base metal base metal fusion zone	550 570 620

Table 1.	Results of oxygen analyses offuswon-zone and base-metal
	samples from V-SCr-STi and V-1STi-7.5Cr weldments

262

Table. 1. Within the scatter of the data, only slight oxygen pickup is indicated for the fusion **zone** of **the**. V-5Cr-5Ti weldment, as compared to the base metal, and little α no pickup is indicated for **the** V-15Ti-7.5Cr weldment

Microhardness profiles were taken across both weldments with a Vickers pyramidal indenter and a 100-g load. For the V-5Cr-5Ti weldment. Vickers microhardnesses (HV) of -180-250 were observed in the weld fusion zone, with the higher values near the surfaces and the lower values near the center of the weld. The unaffected base metal away from the weld had hardnesses of -140-160. The hardening in the weld zone may be attributed to residual stresses and possible minor interstitial element pickup.

The V-15Ti-7.5Cr weldment displayed higher hardnesses overall because of its greater alloyingelement content. This is consistent with prior observations that the tensile and yield strengths of V-base alloys increase with increasing combined Cr and Ti concentrations.² However, the variation in hardness between the weld fusion zone and the unaffected **base** metal was much lower. The **hardness** of the fusion zone ranged from -280 near one surface to 260 at the weld center and 270 at the other surface. The unaffected base metal had a hardness of -240.

CONCLUSIONS

These preliminary results indicate that V alloys can be successfully welded with the tungsten/inertgas process.

FUTURE WORK

Further characterization will be carried out of the two types of weldments that have **been** prepared, **and** additional studies will be conducted to optimize the weld parameters.

REFERENCES

- B. A. Loomis, L. J. Nowicki. and D. L. Smith, "TensileProperties of Vanadium-Base Alloys with a Tungsten/Inert-Gas Weld Zone," in Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending September 30,1992, DOE/ER-0313/13, U.S. Department of Energy. pp. 187-193.
- B. A. Loomis, R. H. Lee, and D. L. Smith, "Strength. Ductility, and Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperature for MFR Candidate Vanadium Alloys," in Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending September 30. 1987, DOE/ER-0313/3, U.S. Department of Energy, March 1988, pp. 246-253.

DEVELOPMENT OF MINIATURE-SPECIMEN TECHNOLOGY TO DETERMINE DUCTILE-BRITTLE TRANSITION BEHAVIOR OF IRRADIATED VANADIUM ALLOYS' H. M. Chung, L. J. Nowicki, J. Gazda, D. E. Busch. and D. L. Smith (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to develop a technique to determine ductile-brittle transition behavior of irradiated vanadium-base alloys based on quantitative fractographic characterization of miniaturized specimens following uninstrumented impact tests.

SUMMARY

Simple uninsuumented impact tests were conducted on unirradiated and irradiated transmission electron microscopy (TEM) disks of vanadium alloys between -196 and 300°C. The results were combined with quantitative fractography of the fractured specimens in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to determine h e eflect of impact temperature on fracture behavior. Based on the fractographic information. ductile-brittle transition behavior of several alloys was determined before and after irradiation. The ductile-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) oblained from this technique was then compared with the DBTT from Charpy impact testing of the same material. A correlation curve was then obtained for use in estimating DBTTs of irradiated vanadium-base alloys based on ductile-brittle transition behavior of a material whose Charpy-impact DBTT is higher than approximately -70°C. For a malerial whose Charpy DBTT is <-70°C, the method can provide an estimation of upper limit of the DBTT. Compared to a similar method based on slow-strain-rate small-punch testing. the present method seems to be significantly more advantageous in evaluating ductile-brittle transition behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Miniaturized-specimen technology is an attractive alternative to full-size-specimen irradiation and testing because of the reduced irradiation volume, flux gradient in specimens, gamma heating effect, radioactivity, and radiation hazard, as well as simplicity of testing and significant savings in cost and time. For example, in the very difficult and costly Dynamic Helium Charging Experiment (DHCE) of vanadium-base alloys,' only a limited number of tensile and TEM-disk specimens could be accommodated in the irradiation capsules in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). Naturally, it is extremely important in such a case to be able to extract the maximum information on mechanical and physical properties of the test alloys from available miniature specimens such as TEM disks.

In the past, many investigators have utilized TEM disks to extract useful mechanical properties from **bend**,^{2,3} **small-punch**,⁴⁻⁸ and **microbulge**⁹⁻¹¹ tests. In these types of tests, information on mechanical properties is obtained based on load-vs.-displacement curves measured during loading at a relatively slow strain rate. Under impact loading, instrumentation of load as a function of time is not practical for a miniature specimen such as a TEM disk. Therefore, in the present investigation, simple uninstrumented impact tests were conducted on standard-size TEM disks over a wide range of temperatures, and fracture morphology of the specimens was determined quantitatively by SEM to determine the effect of impact temperature on fracture behavior. The objective of this study was to examine ductile-brittle transition behavior of vanadium-base alloys based on the uninstrumented impact tests of TEM disks and quantitative fractography.

^{*}Work supported by the Office of Fusion Energy. U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38,

MATERIALS AND PROCEDURES

Chemical composition of the vanadium-base alloys, from which Charpy-impact and TEM-disk specimens have been machined, is given in Table 1. After machining, the specimens were annealed and recrystallized at 1125°C for 1 h. Some of the specimens were irradiated in FFTF, a sodium-cooled fast reactor located in Richland. Washington, at 420-600°C.

 Table 1. Chemical composition and irradiation conditions of vanadium-base alloys from which TEMdisk and Charpy-impact specimens were machined and tested

Alloy IDNo.	Cr (wt%)	Ti (wt.%)	0 (wppm)	N (wppm)	C (wppm)	Si (wppm)	DBTT before irradiation (°C) ^a	Irradiation temperature (°C)	Damage level (dpa)	DBTT after irradiation (°C) ^a
BL-47	4.1	4.3	350	220	200	870	<-190°C	420-600	24-34	<-190°C
BL-63	4.6	5.1	440	28	73	310	-110	-	-	-
BL-43	9.2	4.9	230	31	100	340	40	420	34-44	140
BL-24	13.5	5.2	1190	360	500	390	10	420	34-44	260
BL-15	-	17.7	830	160	380	480	-80	420	34-44	1 6 0

^aDBTT determined from Charpy-impact testing of one-third-sire specimens.

A simple gripping and pendulum impact device, schematically shown in Fig. 1, was assembled. Approximately 40% of the vertical surface of a disk was gripped tightly in a vise, and the other (upper) half was impacted by a massive pendulum. Standard-size TEM disks (3-mm diameter and 0.26-mm thickness) were fractured in the device between -196 and 400°C. The thickness of each disk was measured, and the clearance between the upper surface of the vise and the lower surface of the pendulum head was **adjusted** for each test at a given temperature. The clearance was always 0.05 mm larger than the thermally equilibrated thickness of each disk. In this way, the pendulum head did not shear disks that bent in ductile manner.

After pendulum impact, a disk cracked fully or partially or simply bent without producing a fracture surface. Morphology of the fracture surface was examined quantitatively by SEM. A few dozen SEM fractographs were taken at a magnification of -125 X, and a composite of the whole fracture surface was made. Four types of fracture morphology were observed, i.e., cleavage, quasicleavage, ductile-dimple, and intergranular separation. From the fracture surface composite, the percentage of ductile-dimple morphology was measured for each specimen with a planimeter.

DBTT from Charpy $tests^{12}$ was determined for notched one-third-size specimens (3.30 x 3.30 x 25.4 mm; 0.61-mm notch depth). Charpy absorbed energy and DBTT, determined from the Charpy-impact tesls, are given in Fig. 2 and Table 1, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The percentage of ductile-dimple morphology on fracture surfaces of TEM disk specimens is plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of impact temperature. When a disk specimen simply bent in a ductile manner without fracturing, 100% ductile-dimple morphology was assumed. When a disk cracked fully or partially, the actual percentage ductile-dimple morphology was plotted in Fig. 2. Also plotted in the figure are Charpy energies of the corresponding materials as a function of impact temperature.


Figure 1. Schematic of pendulum-impacr device used to evaluate ductile-brittle transition behavior of TEM disks in combination with quantitative fractography

Two sets of DBTT, determined from ductile-dimple percentage and Charpy-impact energy in Fig. 2, seem to agree well for V-15Cr-5Ti, V-9Cr-5Ti, V-20Ti, and V-4Cr-4Ti (Figs. 2A-2D). V-4Cr-4Ti, a very ductile material that is virtually immune to irradiation embrittlement,¹² did not exhibit ductile-brittle transition behavior above -196°C in either the present disk-impact or Charpy-impact tests of one-third-size specimens. Therefore, the exact DBTT of this material could not be determined for either the unirradiated or irradiated condition. For V-5Cr-5Ti, DBTTs determined from the two techniques differed significantly (Fig. 2E).

DBTTs determined from the two techniques are plotted in Fig. 3. One can deduce from the figure that the disk-impact method is reasonably accurate for a material with a DBTT (i.e., DBTT from Charpy impact test of one-third-size specimens) greater than approximately -70°C. For a material with a Charpy DBTT <-70°C, the method sccms to be adequate for estimating the DBTT upper limit. For example, if no ductile-brittle transition is observed from the disk-impact test of a vanadium-base alloy, one can reasonably deduce that the DBTT of the material is no higher than -100°C.

For most engineering materials. a DBTT lower than 0 to -15°C would he considered satisfactory. The present test method can indicate if a material DBTT is lower than these temperatures, although information on other mechanical properties (such as upper-shelf impact energy, ductility, or yield strength) cannot be obtained from this technique. Also, quantitative fractography is a time-consuming technique. Figure 3 shows DBTTs of ferritic steels obtained from small-punch and Charpy-impact tests reported by Kameda.^{4,5} For evaluating ductile-brittle transition behavior, the present disk-impact method seems to be better than the small-punch method.



Figure 2. Comparison at ductile-brittle-transition behavior based on percent ductile-dimple morphology (determined from fracture surfaces at disk specimens) and impact energy (determined from Charpy specimens) as function at temperature; (A)V-15Cr-5Ti; (B) V-10Cr-5Ti; (C) V-20Ti; (D) V-4Cr-4Ti; and (E)V-5Cr-5Ti



Figure 3. DBTTs from disk-impact and Charpy-impact tests on vanadium-base alloys and similar data from smallpunch tests on ferritic steels by Kameda⁴,5

CONCLUSIONS

Simple uninstrumented impact tests were conducted on unirradiated and irradiated TEM disks of vanadium alloys, combined with quantitative fractography of test specimens, to determine the effect of temperature on fracture behavior. Based on the fractographic information, ductile-brittle transition behavior can be determined. The method is adequate for estimating ductile-brittle transition behavior of a material whose Charpy-impact DBTT is higher than approximately -70°C. For a material with a Charpy DBTT of <-70°C, the method can provide an estimation of the upper limit of DBTT. Compared to a similar method based on slow-strain-rate small-punch testing, the present method seems to be significantly more advantageous in evaluating duclile-brittle transition behavior, although it cannot be used to determine other mechanical properties (such as upper-shelf impact energy, ductility, or yield strength).

REFERENCES

- 1. D. L. Smith, H. Matsui, L. R. Greenwood, and B. A. Loomis, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 1359.
- 2. F. H. Huang, M. L. Hamilton, and G. L. Wire, Nucl. Technology, 57 (1982) 234.
- 3. M. P. Manahan, A. S. Argon, and O. K. Harling, J. Nucl. Mater., 103-104 (1981) 1545.
- 4. J. M. Baik, J. Kameda, and O. Buck, Scripta Met., 17 (1983) 1443.
- 5. J. Kameda, Acta Met., 34 (1986) 2391.
- 6. Y. Hamaguchi, H. Kuwano, T. Misawa, R. Miura. and T. Yamada, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 781.
- 7. J. Kameda and X. Mao, Mat. Sci. Eng., A112 (1989).
- J. McNaney, G. E. Lucas, and G. R. Odette. in <u>Fusion Reactor Materials, Semiannual, Prog. Rept.</u> DOE/ER-0313/9, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, *Oak* Ridge, TN (1990) 15.
- 9. G. E. Lucas. J. Nucl. Mater., 117 (1983) 327.
- 10. M. Dooley, G. E. Lucas, and J. W. Sheckherd, J. Nucl. Mater., 103-104 (1981) 1533.
- 11. G. E. Lucas, A. Okada, and M. Kiritani, J. Nucl. Mater., 141-143 (1986) 532.
- B. A. Loomis, H. M. Chung, L. J. Nowicki, and D. L. Smith, "Effects of Neutron Irradiation and Hydrogen on Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperatures of V-Cr-Ti Alloys," this report, also presented at the 6th Intl. Conf. on Fusion Reactor Materials, Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1993, Stresa, Italy.

ASSESSMENT OF NIOBIUM-BASE ALLOYS FOR STRUCTURAL APPLICATIONS IN THE ITER DIVERTOR^{*} I. M. Purdy (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

Niobium-base alloys are under consideration **as** candidate materials for the divertor structure of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER). Suitability criteria of the alloys include resistance to aqueous corrosion, erosion/corrosion, fatigue. stress corrosion cracking, hydrogen embriulement, and radiation-induced embrittlement. Because high thermal fluxes are predicted. thermal conductivity, thermal expansion, and heat capacity are important properties. Corrosion resistance. mechanical properties, and physical characteristics are being investigated to identify the most promising **Nb-base** alloys.

SUMMARY

The corrosion and embrittlement of pure Nb, Nb-1Zr, Nb-5Mo-1Zr, and Nb-5V-1.25Zr (alloy elements in wt.%) were evaluated in high-purity (HF') deoxygenated water at 300°C for up to 120 days. One heat of the Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloy ("O" lot) exhibited both a modest corrosion rate and good resistance to embrittlement relative to other Nb-base alloys. At present, Nb-5V-1.25Zr is the most promising Nb-base alloy with respect to both corrosion and embrittlement characteristics in HP deoxygenated water at 300°C.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

In previous work,¹⁻⁴ the corrosion behavior of Nb-base alloys (Nb-2.5V, Nb-2.5Ta, Nb-2.5W, Nb-2.5Zr, Nb-2.5Hf, Nb-2.5Mo, Nb-2.5Fe, and several ternary alloys. namely, Nb-2.5Ti-2.5Ta, Nb-2.5Mo-2.5Ti, Nb-2.5Mo-2.5Hf, Nb-5Mo-1Zr, and Nb-5V-1.25Zr) was investigated in HP deoxygenated water at 300°C. Most of the alloys were deemed brittle, i.e., fracture occurred during a 90" bend test; however, the ternary alloys exhibited the best fracture resistance4 The results suggest that Nb alloys with higher concentrations of certain alloying elements (namely, V, Mo, and Zr) are required to improve the protective nature of the corrosion–product layers and to decrease hydrogen uptake and embrittlement. During this reporting period, specimens of pure Nb, Nb-1Zr, Nb-5Mo-1Zr, and Nb-5V-1.25Zr were examined by optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Experimental procedures

The details of the experimental procedure were described previously.⁴ Thin sheets of pure Nb and selected Nb-base alloys were sheared to approximate dimensions of 8×20 mm. The Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloy, formerly designated "Cb 753" by Haynes, was obtained from two different lots; hence the "N and "O" designations in Table 1. Thickness of the Nb and "O" lot sheet was 0.5 mm; that of the other materials was 0.8 mm. The materials designated "O" and "N differ mainly in impurity content; the levels of impurities in the "N material are higher by a factor of =2.

The surface area of each specimen was determined by an image analysis technique, with ImageTM software.⁵ The specimens were annealed in vacuo prior to exposure in a refreshed autoclave system at 300° C (±2°C) and 1400 psi. The dissolved—xygen concentration of the inlet water was maintained at <12 ppb. The 120-day immersion test was interrupted at approximately 30.60, and 90 days for removal of

^{*} Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

specimens. Weight changes of the specimens were determined to ± 0.001 mg. Ductility changes in specimens were evaluated by a bend test. For microstructural evaluation, the specimens were sectioned with a low-speed diamond saw, and polished to $0.05 \,\mu$ m with an alumina slurry on a Syntron vibratory polisher.

269

Alloy ^a	Elements, wt. % Elements, ppm										
	Мо	v	71	0	N	C	н	Si	AI	Fe	Œ
Nb	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	5700	1700	130	2.9	<100	50	<50	<20
Nb-1Zr	<0.01	<0.01	0.82	153	57	70	4.0	<100	65	<50	<20
Nb-5Mo-1Zr	4.86	<0.01	0.81	186	56	70	8.5	<100	70	<50	<20
Nb-5V-1.25Zr (N)	<0.01	5.76	1.11	720	75	300	10.3	150	155	100	150
Nb-5V-1.25Zr (O)	<0.01	5.69	0.84	310	95	130	4.1	260	65	35	25

Table 1. Composition of niobium and niobium-base alloys

^aConcentrations of Hf, W, Ta, and Ti are ≤100 ppm; Mn, Cu, and Ni 550 ppm; and Mg 55 ppm.

Oxidation kinetics

Oxidation rates of the alloys followed power-law kinetics, as shown in Fig. 1. The weight gain-vstime data for Nb-Zr, Nb-5Mo-1Zr, and Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloys indicate $t^{0.68}$, $t^{0.58}$, and $\approx t^{0.35}$ kinetics, respectively. The two heats of the Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloy showed similar behavior and significantly slower weight gain than the other materials.



Embrittlement characteristics

The embrittlement characteristics of the ternary alloys determined from this and a previous experiment' after **120** days at 300°C are shown in Fig. **2.** Fracture susceptibility, as determined from room-temperature bend tests, was categorized according to the following fracture index (FI): an FI of 6 indicates breakage before bending began; FI of 5 denotes fracture at a 90° bend; FI of **4**, fracture occurs after a 180° bend; FI of 3, the specimen fractures only after unbending of a fold; an FI of **2**, no fracture even on unbending of a fold and FI of 1, no fracture or surface cracking of the oxide scale that formed during exposure. Lot "O" of the Nb–5V–2.5Zr alloy indicates less embriulement than any of the Nb–base alloys evaluated thus far.



Figure 2. Fracture index for Nb-base alloys after exposure 10 HP deoxygenated water for 120 days at 300 °C

Microstructural observations

As in a previous study? the surface appearance of the alloys changed little after 30–60 days of exposure. The oxide scale appeared somewhat filamentary at shorter exposure times. In Fig. 3, there is little difference in surface appearance between Nb and the Nb–5V–1.25 Zr at 30– and 120–day exposure periods, except for the somewhat larger grain size at the longer exposure time.

On the basis of film thicknesses ($\approx 10 \,\mu$ m) in polished cross sections, the oxidation behavior of Nb and Nb-1Zr after 30 days of exposure is similar (Fig. 4). Similar photomicrographs of specimens of the ternary alloys after the 30-day exposure are not shown because the oxide layers were barely perceptible. Figure 5 shows cross sections of the films on the five materials after 120 days of exposure. Scale thicknesses are 20-30 μ m for Nb, 10 μ m for Nb-1Zr, and 2 μ m for each of the ternary alloys. All scales at the oxide-metal interface appear to be tightly adherent but some porosity is apparent near the external surface. Although the scale on Nb is thicker than on the alloys, Nb exhibited a net weight loss, indicating that some of the corrosion product is soluble in high-temperature water.



Figure 3. SEM photomicrographs showing the surface \pounds Nb (a and b) and Nb-5V-1.25Zr (O) (c and d) specimens after exposure for 30 and 120 days, a and c and b and d, respectively. Both oxides show a larger grain size at 120 days than at 30 days.

CONCLUSIONS

Experience has shown that alloys with high W (≈ 10 wt.%) and Mo (-5 wt.%) content **are** difficult to fabricate and weld, and that a high Ta content reduces the irradiation resistance of a material? Our results show that (a) V additions lower the corrosion rate and (b) Hf and Zr additions lower both the H concentration and the percentage of corrosion-product H that is absorbed by Nb-base alloys³ (compared to Nb-V alloys). The Nb-5V-1.25Zr alloy shows the greatest promise in terms of resistance to both corrosion and embrittlement in high-temperature deoxygenated water.

FUTURE WORK

Because of priorities within the **ITER** materials development program, **no** further work will be conducted **on** the corrosion of Nb-base alloys for the divertor structure.



Figure 4. SEM photomicrographs showing cross sections of (a) Nb and (b) Nb-1Zr after 30-day exposure to HP water at 300° C

REFERENCES

- 1. A. B. Hull, B. A. Loomis, and L. J. Nowicki, *Preliminary Assessment of Aqueous Corrosion of Niobium Alloys for Structural Applications in the ITER Divertor*, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Report for Period Ending March 31, 1991, DOE/ER-0313/10, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 248 (July 1991).
- J. A. Todd and I. M. Purdy, Preliminary Assessment of Candidate Niobium Alloysfor the Divertor Structures, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Report for Period Ending September 30, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, p. 203.
- D. T. Peterson, A. B. Hull, and B. A. Loomis, *Hydrogen Embrittlement of Niobium-Base Alloys for Application in the ITER Divertor*, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Report for Period Ending September 30,1992. DOE/ER-0313/13, *Cak* Ridge National Laboratory, p. 182.
- 4. I. M. Purdy, Assessment of Niobium-Base Alloys for Structural Applications in the ITER Divertor, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Report for Period Ending March 31.1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory, p. 294 (July 1993).
- 5. W.Rasband, Image, *Public Domain Program for the Macintosh Computer*, distributed by Twilight Clone BBS, Silver Spring, MD, 1990.



39

SKV

× 3

THE INFLUENCE OF STARTING STATE ON NEUTRON INDUCED DENSITY CHANGES OBSERVED IN Nb-1Zr AND Mo-41Re AT **HIGH** EXPOSURES - F. A. Garner, L. R. Greenwood, and D. J. Edwards, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)'

OBJECTIVE

Ι

The objective of this effort is to determine the suitability of various refractory alloys for possible fusion applications.

SUMMARY

Both Nb-1Zr and Mo-41Re were irradiated in FFTF at five temperahues between 420 and 730°C, reaching maximum exposure levels of 58 to 111 dpa, depending on the irradiation temperature. Each alloy was irradiated in **two** starting conditions, cold-worked and aged or annealed and aged. The neutron-induced swelling behavior in response to starting condition was quite different for the **two** alloys and appeared to reflect a strong role of precipitation in each. While transmutation does not play a major role in the response of Nb-1Zr, it plays a significant role in the behavior of Mo-41Re, which becomes a heavily-precipitated Mo-Re-Os-Tc-Ru alloy. This strong response may preclude the use of Mo-Re alloys for fusion application.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Both Mo-41Re and Nb-1Zr have at times been proposed as candidates for use in fusion reactors, usually for high heat flux applications. Irradiation data on these materials are rather limited, however, and additional data are required to properly evaluate the potential of these refractory alloys for fusion service. Therefore, these alloys were irradiated side-by-side in FFTF along with pure molybdenum, whose behavior is presented elsewhere.'

Exuerimental Details

The specimens were in the form of 3 nun diameter microscopy disks that were **0.25** mm thick. Each alloy was irradiated in **two** thermomechanical starting conditions. The first condition involved solution annealing for 1 hr at 760°C and then aging for 2 hrs at 320°C. The second used 20% cold-working, following the 760°C, 1 hr annealing, and then aging at 320°C for 2 hrs. Irradiation proceeded in weeper packets in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA), and thus the specimens were in **contact** with the sodium coolant of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) reactor. Table 1 lists the irradiation conditions.

Although irradiation in MOTA proceeded largely under active temperature $control(\pm 5^{\circ}C)$ in MOTA's 1B, 1C and 1E, there was a short (-50 minutes) temperature excursion in MOTA ID during FFTF cycle 7, referred to as an over-temperature event. The event compromised the integrity of many of the other experiments in MOTA ID. Therefore, a programmatic decision was made to run MOTA-ID in the

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

MOTA DESIGNATION							
Group	<u>_1B_</u>	<u>1C</u>	<u>1D*</u>	<u>1E</u>			
1	431°C	420°C	404°C	414°C			
2	471"C	470°C	470°C				
3	569°C	550°C	549°C				
4	645°C	652°C	650°C				
5	722°C	730°C	730°C				

Table 1.Target Temperatures During Irradiation in FFTF-MOTA
During Four Successive Irradiation Segments

The first one-third of this segment proceeded at the target temperature. A short ($\sim 1 \text{ hr}$) overtemperature period was then followed by a variable temperature history, with temperatures below the target temperature.

helium-purged mode for the remainder of FFTF cycles 7 and 8 while a series of reactor feedback tests were conducted. The majority of the MOTA canisters, therefore, operated at variable but lower than target temperatures until the end of FFTF cycle 8. Isothermal irradiation was reestablished in MOTA 1E, but only the 420° C specimens were included in that irradiation segment.

Density measurements were performed using an immersion density technique whose accuracy has been established to be $\pm 0.16\%$ density change. The displacement levels quoted are those calculated for stainless steel. Detailed calculations for the dilute alloy Nb-1Zr have not yet been performed, but should be on the order of 114% of those of steel, assuming the alloy to be pure Nb. It is more difficult, however, to specify the displacement level for a concentrated binary alloy such as Mo-41Re, whose constituents vary greatly in atomic weight.

Figure 1 presents the results of density measurements $(-\Delta\rho/\rho_o)$ performed on the Nb-1Zr specimens. It is obvious that some phase-related dilation of the alloy matrix probably occurs in the cold-worked alloy early in the irradiation, producing a density decrease of $0.5\pm0.1\%$. This conclusion is supported by the relative temperature independence of the density change. This change may also include small contributions from void swelling, however, a possibility that will be examined during microscopy. The swelling behavior thereafter appears to be rather complex, both with irradiation temperature and increasing neutron exposure. In general, however, there is a tendency at the higher temperatures for swelling to continue. There are indications of late-term densification at 420 and 569°C.

The annealed and aged condition, with one exception at **645°C**, also appears to densify, probably **as** a result of some precipitation reaction. The exception is significant in that the behavior at **645°C** parallels that of the cold-worked and aged condition at this same temperature, with the offset between the behavior of the two conditions consistent with their respective differences in phase-related density change.

Of particular interest is the parallel behavior of both starting conditions observed at 420°C, leading to densification. It is obvious that two or more processes are competing to produce the observed changes in density and that the starting state affects at least one of these processes. It is surmised that the primary difference between the two starting states resides in the distribution of grain sizes. In companion studies conducted on molybdenum in this same irradiation series, small grain sizes produced by an identical heat treatment were found to cause earlier and, therefore, larger swelling.'



Figure 1. Density changes observed in Nb-1Zr after irradiation in FFTF-MOTA

Figures 2 and 3 present the swelling behavior observed in Mo-41Re. The behavior is rather complex, with the annealed and aged state usually swelling more initially, but then declining to levels comparable to that of the cold-worked and aged condition. Preliminary microscopy examination shows that this alloy is subject to intense precipitation of a hexagonal close packed (hcp) phase, **as** shown in Figure 4. Therefore, phase-related density changes associated with such precipitation may also contribute to the observed behavior.

Discussion

Nb-1Zr does not undergo a significant amount of transmutation, with the largest calculated change being the formation of **0.13% Mo** at 60 dpa in the FFTF core center. Its behavior in this study probably reflects the influence of dislocation substructure on both precipitation and void nucleation, possibilities that will be explored in later microstructural studies.

The complexity exhibited by the swelling of Nb-1Zr in response to differences in starting state and temperature indicate that caution is advised in compilation and use of swelling data from other **sources**.³⁻⁵ Most earlier studies concentrated on the annealed condition only, and may contain varying levels of precipitate contribution to the apparent swelling. In compilation of such data, care should be taken



Figure 2. Swelling of Mo-41Re after irradiation at a target temperature of 420°C.

to differentiate between swelling levels derived from density change and those derived from microscopy measurements.

The late-term decreases in swelling in Mo-41Re may partially reflect some influence of the non-isothermal temperature history toward the end of the irradiation sequence, but these decreases may also be related to void shrinkage, which was observed in companion specimens of pure molybdenum.' The role of precipitation is also much more complex in this alloy since molybdenum transmutes strongly in FFTF to technicium and ruthenium, and rhenium transmutes even more **strongly** to osmium. Based on examination of the phase diagrams for various Mo-binary alloys, all of these transmutants are expected to participate in the precipitation process. It is difficult to exactly predict the final composition of the alloys since the transmutation rates are very sensitive to neutron spectra, varying strongly within and near the FFTF core, **as** shown in Figures **5** and 6. Osmium was detected in the irradiated alloys, however, providing initial estimates of the composition. Figure 7 demonstrates that the precipitates shown in Figure 4 are 8.6% Mo, 74.9% Re, and **16.5% Os.** Measurements of the bulk osmium level are ~9%, in good agreement with the level predicted in Figure 6. However, due to **the** selective electro-polishing of the matrix, this value may overestimate the osmium level somewhat.

The rate of transmutation in rhenium is much stronger in mixed spectrum reactors? Recent Russian studies showed that Mo-Re alloys irradiated in the mixed spectrum reactor SM-2 lost 20 to 30% of their electrical conductivity and much of their fracture resistance at relatively low exposures $(-5 \times 10^{21} \text{ n cm}^2)^{6.7}$ Based on calculations for a typical mixed spectrum, osmium formation was probably the major cause of the conductivity degradation, but the loss of fracture resistance was probably due to precipitation more than to osmium formation.



Figure 3. Density changes of Mo-41Re at temperatures between 471 and 730°C

The relative roles of transmutation, precipitation, and void formation in determining the measured density changes are difficult to separate. Microscopy examination is in progress to **sort** out the relative contributions. The separation is necessary to apply these FFTF data to applications in fusion spectra where the transmutation rate will he different. Reference 2 shows that the **STARFIRE** spectra will produce a transmutation rate per dpa that is significantly higher than that at FFTF core center







Figure 5. Predictions of technicium and ruthenium formation in pure molybdenum during irradiation in FFTF⁶.²



Figure 6. Prediction of osmium formation in rhenium during irradiation in FFTF.²



Figure 7. EDS spectrum of precipitates formed in Mo-41Re irradiated at 730°C to -60 dpa (Fe) in FFTF.

but somewhat less than that of the FFTF below core basket position. It is also anticipated that the precipitation may he sensitive to displacement rate, since it probably involves non-equilibrium segregation to produce phase distributions not predicted by equilibrium phase diagrams. Chi-phase precipitates, for instance, are known to develop in ion-irradiated Mo-Re **alloys**,⁸⁻¹⁰ even though the equilibrium phase diagram would not have predicted its formation at this composition unless non-equilibrium segregation was involved. Ion bombardment, of course, does not result in transmutation. The combined influence of transmutation and segregation in this study has produced a completely unexpected hcp phase.

CONCLUSIONS

The thermomechanical starting state influences the response to irradiation of Mo-41Re and Nb-1Zr. It appears that precipitation processes contribute to and affect the density change behavior, with the precipitation processes themselves being sensitive to the starting state. While transmutation plays no significant role in the radiation-induced evolution of Nb-1Zr, it exerts a very significant influence on that of Mo-41Re.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 at Pacific Northwest Laboratory, operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute. The assistance of Jill Walters and Diane Forsyth in preparing the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. F. Stubbins and F. A. Gamer, in this Semiannual Report
- 2. L. R. Greenwood and F. A. Gamer, in this Semiannual Report.
- R. W. Powell, D. T. Peterson, M. K. Zimmershied, and J. F. Bates, J. Nucl. Mater. 103&104 (1981) 969-974.
- 4. D. S. Gelles, J. Nucl. Mater. 122&123 (1984) 207-213.
- 5. H. Jang and J. Moteff, in: Proc. Conf on Radiation Effects and Tritium Technologyfor Fusion Reactors, Vol. I, CONF-750989 (1975), pp. 1-106 to I-121.
- 6. S. A. Fabritsiev et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 426-429
- 7. I. V. Gorynin et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 421-425.
- 8. R. A. Erck and L. E. Rehn, Phil. Mag, A. 62 (1990) 29-51
- 9. R. A. Erck and L. E. Rehn, J. Nucl. Mater. 168 (9189) 208-219.
- R. A. Erck, C. M. Wayman and L. E. Rehn, in Radiation-Induced Changes in Microstructure: 13th International Symposium (Part I), ASTM STP 955, F.A. Gamer, N.H. Packan, and AS. Kumar, Eds., (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1987), pp. 721-729.

6.4 Copper Alloys

,

NEUTRON-INDUCED MICROSTRUCTURAL ALTERATION OF GLIDCOP[™] ALLOYS AT 415°C AND HIGH NEUTRON EXPOSURE - D. J. Edwards, F. A. Gamer, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)", J. W. Newkirk, (University of Missouri) and A. Nadkami, (SCM Metal Products)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine the factors which control the response to irradiation of copper alloys intended for high heat flux application in fusion reactors.

SUMMARY

GlidCopTM internally oxidized copper alloys remain the leading candidates for high heat flux applications in fusion reactors. This paper presents the microstructural changes incurred in three GlidCopTM alloys exposed to long term, high temperature neutron irradiation.

Irradiation at high temperature produced a microstructure containing a much lower dislocation density **than** the unirradiated specimens. Although 10-50 nm size triangular oxide particles were observed in areas with a very low number density of particles, spherical oxide particles on the order of 5-7 nm in diameter, thought to be $CuAl_2O_4$, were the predominant morphology. The changes in grain size distribution, dislocation density, and precipitate type and distribution saturate in the range of 34 to 50 dpa, **as** reflected in the saturation of mechanical properties.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Copper alloys have been investigated for several years **as** potential candidates for use in the divertor assembly of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor [1-7]. These studies have demonstrated that the commercial Cu-Al₂O₃ alloys produced by SCM Metal Products, known **as** GlidCopTM alloys, are the most viable candidate alloys. These alloys exhibit the best combination of swelling resistance, retention of strength, and electrical conductivity of any copper alloy examined to date.

While much of the previous work concentrated on the mechanical and physical properties of the alloys, the microstructural origins **of** the changes observed were not clearly elucidated. In recent years a number of studies utilizing either neutron irradiation **or** ion irradiation have attempted to ascertain the mechanisms responsible for the observed behavior. Previous researchers **such as** Spitmagel et al. [8] and Anderson et al. [3] provided evidence that the aluminum oxide particles in the GlidCopTM alloys were dissolved by recoil dissolution during ion or neutron irradiation, respectively. Other ion irradiation experiments [9-11] have shown that the oxide particles experience a shift in the size distribution and density of particles, although there is some disagreement concerning the degree and direction of the shift.

Despite the evidence provided by these ion irradiation studies, some uncertainty still exists concerning the stability of the oxide dispersion in the GlidCopTM alloys after being exposed to high temperature neutron irradiation. The irradiations performed in FFTF entail longer irradiation periods at high temperatures ($\geq 0.5 T_{MP}$) than experienced in the ion irradiation experiments.

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

Experimental

The GlidCopTM alloys irradiated in this study were CuAl25 (50% cold worked (CW), 0.25 wt% AI as Al₂O₃), CuAl20 (20% CW, 0.20 wt% AI as Al₂O₃), and CuAl15 (900°C/0.5 hr/air cooled (AC), 0.15 wt% AI as Al₂O₃) containing 200 ppm boron as a deoxidant. For comparison, specimens of CuAl25 were aged at 700°C for 1000 hours under an argon atmosphere. Both miniature tensile specimens and transmission electron microscopy specimens were irradiated together in FFTF at ~415°C. The specimens were irradiated to dpa levels of 48 dpa, 103.5 dpa, and 150 dpa. TEM specimens were only available for the CuAl15 at 103.5 and 150 dpa. The results of the tensile tests and electrical conductivity measurements have been presented previously [4,6-7].

TEM specimens were prepared by jet polishing using an electrolyte of **25%** phosphoric, 25% ethylene glycol, and SO% distilled water in a Tenupol-3 at **14** volts, 150-200 mA, and room temperature. Microscopy was performed on a JEOL 2000ES using a weak beam technique to image the oxide particles. Particle sizes and densities were measured from images taken at a (**g**,**4g**) or (**g**,**5g**) weak beam condition on the {111}_{Cu} reflections. Foil thicknesses were determined for each area using convergent beam electron diffraction on the {220}_{Cu} or (**311**)_{Cu} reflections, with an estimated error of approximately ±5%.

Results and Discussion

Groin size and spatial distribution of particles and dislocations

The microstructure of the unirradiated GlidCopTM alloys consisted of highly cold worked, elongated grains. The average grain size ranged from 0.5-2 μ m in length and 0.1-0.7 μ m in width. Considerable heterogeneity in the microstructure was observed in these alloys, and was particularly prominent in the aged CuAl25, with a mixture of completely recrystallized grains, recovered grains, and heavily cold worked grains. This heterogeneity appeared to be associated with localized variations in the number density of oxide particles. The recrystallized grains, which comprised –15% of the microstructure, ranged in size from 1 μ m to several microns in size, and were always associated with a much lower number density of small triangular oxide particles, IO-SO in diameter. Oxide particles observed in those grains that still contained a high density of dislocations were invariably associated with a high density of smaller, spherical oxide particles, on the order of **6** nm in diameter.

The irradiated GlidCopTM alloys experienced recovery and recrystallization, the degree of which decreased as the amount of oxide increased. The grain sizes ranged from **0.5-4** μ m in all three irradiated alloys. The CuAl15 had completely recrystallized, with the exception of a few isolated areas, by 103.5 dpa. The CuAl20 alloy had experienced almost complete recovery and recrystallization by **48** dpa, while the irradiated CuAl25 experienced a large degree of recovery but less recrystallization. Changes in the dislocation and grain structure of the CuAl20 and CuAl25 appeared to have saturated by **48** dpa. The stable subgrain structure present in the CuAl20 and the CuAl25 was associated with a higher density of small, spherical particles compared to that of recrystallized **grains**.

Characterization of the oxide phase

A dispersion of small, spherical oxide particles existed throughout the grains in the irradiated $GlidCop^{TM}$ alloys, an example of which is shown in fig. 1 for CuAl20. The spherical particles appeared to be coherent with the matrix. A small degree of strain contrast could be seen around the particles when they were imaged using a $\{220\}_{Cu}$ reflection. Triangular platelets existed primarily in large, isolated grains that had completely recrystallized, and in each case the particles were few in number and much larger than the spherical particles, often **as** large **as** 30 nm in edge length. Zinkle et al. [11] reported both circular disks and spheres following an ion irradiation study of a CuAl25, but predominantly triangular platelets in the unirradiated specimens.

A cube-on-cube orientation relationship, illustrated in fig. 2, was identified for the oxide particles in the irradiated material. Ernst et al. [12] identified the triangular oxide particles in an unirradiated GlidCopTM CuAl60 alloy as the cubic spinel η '-Al₂O₃. Based on the diffraction patterns, however, the oxide phase in the irradiated material more closely matched that of copper aluminate CuAl₂O₄. The diffraction patterns of the irradiated material were found in all cases to be missing the {111} oxide reflections, which is characteristic of CuAl₂O₄. According to Ernst et al., the dimaction patterns from η '-Al₂O₃ had the {111} reflections present. Both of these oxide phases are cubic spinel compounds possessing similar lattice constants (0.808 nm for CuAl₂O₄, **0.795** for η '-Al₂O₃) so there is little to distinguish them except for the missing {111} reflections of the CuAl₂O₄.

When the oxide particles were imaged with the $(200)_{cu}$ and the $(400)_{oxide}$ reflections, Moiré fringes were observed. The spacing between the fringes was proportional to the distance between the matrix and oxide reflection. A comparison between the measured spacing of the fringes and the predicted values [6] revealed that the spacings more closely matched that expected of $\eta' - Al_2O_3$. Despite the conflicting evidence from the Moiré fringe measurements, the authors feel that the missing $\{111\}_{oxide}$ reflections favor $CuAl_2O_4$ over $\eta' - Al_2O_3$ as the identity of the spherical oxide particles.



Figure 1. The spherical $CuAl_2O_4$ in CuAl20 are shown after irradiation to (a) 48 dpa and (b) 103.5 dpa.

Although both triangular platelets and spherical particles were observed in the unirradiated specimens, the predominant morphology was the spherical oxide particles. As previously discussed, the identity of the spherical morphology remains unclear. The study of Ernst et al, showed that the triangular particles in CuAl60 were η' -Al₂O₃, however, the particles shown in their work were on the order of 100 nm in size, much larger than the 2-6 nm diameter particles measured in this study.

1

The diffraction patterns from the unirradiated specimens yielded no supporting evidence of either CuAl₂O₄ or η '-Al₂O₃, although the particles could clearly be seen in the foil. The lack of diffraction spots from the oxide particles reflects the small size and orientation



Figure 2. A diffraction pattern illustrating the cube-on-cube orientation relationship determined for the spherical CuAl₂O₄ particles.

neighboring grains, of the individual grains due to cold working. Since the intensity of the diffraction spots will be directly proportional to the number of particles located in the field limiting aperture, the authors assume that the number of oxide particles of the same orientation was insufficient to produce noticeable diffraction spots.

Quantitative characterization of the oxide dispersion

The **CuAl15** alloy exhibited some swelling during irradiation **[4,6-7]**. This study revealed that a high density of small bubbles was primarily responsible for the swelling, demonstrating that boron additions were undesirable because of the formation of helium and lithium by transmutation. The helium bubbles and oxide particles were often associated with each other, possibly because the bubbles formed at the particle interfaces.

The weak beam technique imaged both the oxide particles and the helium bubbles simultaneously, making it very difficult to separately measure the size distributions of the particles and bubbles. The mean size of the bubble-particle pairs was therefore measured in the irradiated specimens. The oxide particles had a mean size of -5 nm in the unirradiated specimens, and the mean size of the bubble-particle pairs was measured to be -9 nm in the specimens irradiated to 150 dpa. The density changed very little, decreasing from -3×10^{22} particles m⁻³ in the unirradiated material to -1.5 x 10^{22} m⁻³ in the 150 dpa specimen.

The density of particles in the CuAl20 and CuAl25 decreased after irradiation to **150** dpa The triangular platelets in both the aged and irradiated specimens were not included in the analysis because they represented only a small fraction of the oxide dispersion. A comparison of the size distributions in the CuAl25 **as** a function of irradiation is presented in fig. 3, along with the overall change in mean particle size and density of particles. Note that the density and mean particle size of the irradiated CuAl25 are close to those measured for the aged CuAl25. The CuAl20 alloy exhibited similar behavior, starting at **4.7** x 10²² m⁻³ in the unirradiated state and decreasing to an average density of 3 x 10²² m⁻³ after irradiation. The mean particle size increased from 3.3 nm to **6.5** nm. No void swelling was observed for either CuAl20 or CuAl25, **so** the small changes in density measured by Edwards and coworkers [3,4,6,7] appear to be the result of precipitation and possibly transmutation [13].

The small size of the oxide particles measured in the unirradiated CuAl20 and CuAl25 may be questionable. These **two** alloys were 20% and 50% cold worked, respectively, producing a dislocation density that severely hampered the analysis, making it difficult to distinguish between the oxide particles and dislocations. Ageing the CuAl25 produced a cleaner microstructure that

allowed for clearer images of the oxide particles. The analysis on the aged specimen showed a size distribution and number density of particles much different than that of the cold-worked, unirradiated specimen. This raises the possibility that the measurements from the unirradiated



Figure 3. A comparison of size distributions for **CUAI25** is provided, along with a graph of the mean particle size and density.

material incorporated some features that were not oxide particles, and that the oxide dispersion in the aged material is more representative of the unirradiated specimens. This of course assumes that the oxide dispersion in the aged material did not undergo any significant thermal coarsening. Given the large thermodynamic stability of the aluminum oxide, it **seems** unlikely that any appreciable diffusion **occurred** during ageing, thus preserving the original characteristics of the oxide dispersion.

The **data** shown in fig. 3 can therefore **be** interpreted in **two** ways, depending **on** whether the unirradiated data or the aged data is the most representative of the oxide dispersion. Assuming that the unirradiated data is correct implies that an enhanced thermal coarsening occurs during irradiation, producing an oxide dispersion similar to that of the aged CuAl25. If the data from the aged specimen is a more accurate representation of the actual oxide dispersion in the unirradiated specimens, then high temperature irradiation produced recovery and recrystallization, but yielded an oxide dispersion of roughly the same size and density **as** in the unirradiated specimens. Efforts are currently being directed toward determining the actual size distribution and number density of the oxide dispersion using different imaging techniques.

The volume fractions of the oxide dispersion were found to be much lower than the theoretical volume fraction of **0.015**. This value is based on the reported density of **4.579** g/cm³ for CuAl₂O₄, and **assumes** that all of the aluminum is internally oxidized. Calculated volume fractions for the irradiated CuAl25 and

CuAl20 ranged from 0.0026 to 0.0043, respectively. The low values are thought to be related to the large number of α -Al₂O₃ inclusions discovered in these specimens. The formation of these inclusions lowered the amount of aluminum available in solution to be internally oxidized, thereby lowering the volume fraction of the oxide dispersion. The α -Al₂O₁ inclusions are probably the result of premature oxidation of the surface of the atomized Cu-AI powders. Fracture surfaces of tensile specimens [6,7] were found to contain a significant number of these large particles (-1 µm dia.), although the actual number density and volume fraction were not determined.

Evidence of ballistic dissolution of the inclusions is presented in fig. **4**, which shows the remnant of an inclusion that is surrounded by a shell of much smaller, plate-like precipitates. Diffraction patterns and measurement of the Moiré fringes on the small platelets indicated that they **are** η^{2} . **Al₂O₃**, and not CuAl₂O₄.



Figure 4. Recoil dissolution of a α -Al₂O₃ inclusion has produced a shell of η '-Al₂O₃ platelets in the immediate vicinity.

Relationship & Oxide Dispersion to Bulk Properties

The mechanical strength of the CuAl20 and CuAl25 decreased slightly upon irradiation, and then maintained a constant level beyond -50 dpa [3-4,6-7]. This corresponds quite well with the microstructural evolution of these alloys, where the changes in the grain structure, dislocation structure, and oxide dispersion were completed by 48 dpa. The initial decrease in strength is a direct result of the recovery and recrystallization that occurred during irradiation. Given the uncertainties in the precipitate data for the unirradiated GlidCopTM, it is not clear at this point whether coarsening occurred in the oxide dispersion **as** a result of irradiation, so the contribution to the mechanical properties cannot be ascertained at this time.

CONCLUSIONS

The microstructural evolution of the GlidCopTM alloys irradiated at ~415°C saturates at -50 dpa, corresponding closely to the observed saturation in the changes in mechanical properties. Although the size and number density of the oxide dispersion in the unirradiated material remains uncertain, it is clear that the oxide dispersion is present in the irradiated specimens, and is quite stable as evident from the lack of significant change in the size distributions and number densities.

The volume fraction of oxide dispersion is much lower than expected, and is thought to be due to removal of the aluminum to form large, micron size α -Al₂O₃ inclusions during the gas-atomization of the starting Cu-AI melt. Direct evidence of ballistic dissolution of the large inclusions was obtained, as revealed by the presence of a shell of η '-Al₂O₃ platelets around the inclusions.

FUTURE WORK

This effort will continue, focusing on the radiation-induced evolution of Cu-Hf0₂ alloys.

REFERENCES

- 1. H. R. Brager, H. L. Heinisch, and F. A. Garner, J. Nuc. Mafer., Vol. 133 & 134, (1985), p. 679.
- 2. F. A. Garner, H. R. Brager, and K. R. Anderson, J. Nucl. Mafer., 179-181 (1991) p. 250
- K. R. Anderson, F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, J. F. Stubbins, Proceedings of the 15th ASTM International Symposium on Effects of Radiation on Materials, June 1990, ASTM STP 1125 (1992) p. 854.
- 4. D. J. Edwards, J. W. Newkirk, F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, A. Nadkarny, and P. Samal, J. Nucl. Mafer. 191-194 (1992) p. 416.
- 5. F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, D. J. Edwards, J. W. Newkirk, J. F. Stubbins, and M. A. Mitchell, *J. Nucl. Mafer.* 191-194 (1992) p. 386.
- 6. D. J. Edwards, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Missouri-Rolla, (May 1993).

- 7. D. J. Edwards, J. W. Newkirk, F. A. Gamer, M. L. Hamilton, A. Nadkarny, and P. Samal, Proceedings of the 16th ASTM Symposium of the Effects of Radiation on Materials, (lune 1992), in press.
- 8. I. A. Spitmagel, N. J. Doyle, W. J. Choyke, I. G. Greggi Jr., J. N. McGruer, and J. W. Davis, Nucl. Instr. and Meth., 16B (1986) p. 219.
- 9. N. Wanderka, Y. Yuan, L. Jiao, R. P. Wahi, and H. Wollenberger, J. Nucl. Mat., 191-194 (1992) p. 1356.
- **IO.** S. I. **Zinkle, A.** Horsewell, B. N. Singh, and W. F. **Sommer**, *J Nuc. Muter.*, 195 (1992) p. 11.
- 11. S. I. Zinkle, E. V. Nesterova, V. R. Barahash, V. V. Rybin, and A. V. Naberenkov, accepted for publication in *J Nucl. Muter.* (1993).
- 12. F. Ernst, P. Pirouz, A. H. Heuer, Phil. Mug. A, 63 (1991) p. 259,
- 13. D. I. Edwards and F. A. Gamer, in *Fusion Reuctor Materials Semiannual Progress Report* DOE/ER-0313/13 (1992) pp. 258-264.

DISTRIBUTION OFTRANSMUTANT NICKEL FORMED IN FAST NEUTRON IRRADIATED COPPER - T. Muroga (Kyushu University) and F. A. Garner (Pacific Northwest Laboratory^a)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to determine those factors which impact the use of copper and its alloys for fusion applications.

SUMMARY

Nickel formed by transmutation of pure copper after irradiation to 95.4 dpa in FFTF was measured using the EDS technique. The nickel measurements agree rather well with the predicted level, although the foil-averaged value is higher than the bulk-averaged value due to the large amount of void intersections that occur in this specimen, which swelled -45%. Nickel was shown to segregate strongly at void surfaces.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Copper and some of its alloys have been proposed to serve as high heat flux components in future fusion energy devices.' Unfortunately, both the thermal and electrical conductivities of copper-base alloys Will decline during neutron irradiation as a result of void swelling and solid transmutants.² The two most important transmutants are nickel and zinc, with nickel having the largest influence on conductivity degradation on a per atom basis.

Recent calculation of the transmutations formed in pure copper during irradiation in the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) predicted that -0.41 at% nickel and -0.40 at% zinc would be produced in pure copper after full power operation for 300 effective full power days.³ These calculations also showed that the generation rate of nickel in typical fusion spectra would be roughly twice as large and therefore the conductivity loss would **be** even greater for a given level **of** atomic displacement.

The formation and distribution of transmutants in irradiated copper alloys is currently being studied. Using copper-nickel alloys, it has been shown that during electron irradiation, nickel exerts significant influence on the evolution of dislocation microstructure and on void swelling.⁴⁻⁶ Radiation-induced segregation of nickel at defect sinks such as voids and grain boundaries was also reported.^{5,6} Zinc was shown not to segregate, but to migrate away from such sinks, however.6 From these studies it is clear

that the properties of copper will change during neutron irradiation as nickel and zinc accumulate and then interact with point defect fluxes near microstructural sinks.

^aPacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RL0 1830.

The objective of the present study is to verify experimentally the transmutation calculations and to investigate whether transmutant nickel also behaves in the same manner as observed in electron irradiations of Cu-Ni alloys.

Experimental Details

MARZ(99.999%) pure copper specimens were irradiated with fast neutrons at 696 ± 5 K in the core of FFTF during cycle 11 and 12 for 503 effective full power days. The total fluence was 1.69×10^{23} n/m², which is equivalent to 95.4 dpa for copper.

The specimens were standard 3 mm diameter microscopy discs that were 0.25 mm thick. The swelling of the specimens were determined to be **45.4%** using an immersion density technique known to be accurate with $\pm 0.2\%$ swelling. One specimen was prepared for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observation. The thickness of the specimen was reduced electrochemically to 0.1 mm and then it was perforated using standard electropolishing technique. The prethinning was also useful for reducing the radiation level of the specimens and thereby improving the accuracy of the compositional analysis.

Microstructural observations and microchemical analyses were carried out with a JEM-2000FX electron microscope equipped with an Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS), at facilities located at Pacific Northwest Laboratory. Since the radioactivity of the specimen was still quite large after thinning, the energy spectrum due to X-ray excitation alone was derived by subtracting the radiation component of the spectrum from the total spectrum.

<u>Results</u>

It is known that the microstructural observation by **TEM** is quite difficult for very highly swollen samples because of the high density of coarsened voids. In the present study, therefore, it was not possible to derive void density, size distribution or swelling from the individual micrographs, an example of which is shown in Figure 1. Many of the larger voids have intersected the specimen surface during the electropolishing. However, a relatively small void in the center of the thin foil was used for EDS microchemistry measurements.

EDS measurements were used to obtain both average and local compositions. First, broad-beam EDS measurements were performed with a probe beam of 5 μ m in diameter to obtain a bulk-averaged composition of the foil. An example of the X-ray spectra is shown in Figure 2. A distinct peak of Ni-K_a was observed, but the Zn-K_a peak overlapped with the Cu-K_β peak. A very small Zn-K_β peak was also observed. Because of the overlapping, it was found that a reliable quantitative analysis was not possible for the zinc concentration.



Fig. 1. Microstructurc of copper irradiated with fast neutrons at 696 K to 95.4 dpa. The relatively small void indicated with an arrow was used C α n rochemical analysis.



Fig. 2. X-ray spectrum using an electron beam of 5 μ m in diameter excited from a pure copper specimen irradiated with fast neutrons in FFTF at 696 K to 95.4 dpa.

Table 1 summarizes the bulk-averaged nickel concentrations measured at eight different positions with the large electron beam probe. The accuracy shown in Table 1 will be explained in the discussion section.

Figure 3 shows the measured concentration profile of nickel across the small void shown in Figure 1. The basis of the error bar will be explained in the following section. The significant enrichment of nickel at the void is consistent with the results of previous studies on Cu-Ni alloys that were electronirradiated.^{5,6} It is obvious that the transmutant nickel interacts with the point defects created during irradiation.

	areas using an electron beam of $5 \ \mu m$ in diameter. The basis of the accuracy estimation is explained in the discussion section.						
Position	Nickel concentration (at%)						
1	0.79						
2	0.94						
3	0.90						
4	0.93						
5	0.99						
6	0.94						
7	0.98						
8	0.88						
	<u></u>						
Average	0.92						
Accuracy	±0.21						

Table 1. Bulk-averaged nickel concentration in copper at 95.4 dpa measured for eight different

Discussion

As is well known, EDS measurements do not give highly accurate chemical compositions. The accuracy should be carefully estimated, especially when the measured solute concentration is low, like the present case. The accuracy and the error bar in Table 1 and Figure 3 respectively, were estimated based on the EDS measurements performed on unirradiated Cu-1Ni. The EDS measurement of nickel content in the Cu-1Ni showed variation within ±0.21%. Some of the EDS measurement data in electron-irradiated Cu-1Ni were reported earlier! However, it is possible that the error in the present study might be even larger because of the background radiation effects.



Fig. 3. Nickel concentration profile across the void shown in Fig. 1, using an electron beam probe 20 [•] nm in diameter.

If we scale the transmutant calculations to the exposure level for these specimens, the predicted nickel level is 0.69 at%, somewhat smaller than the measured value of 0.92 ± 0.21 at%. The difference between 0.69 at% and 0.92 at% is somewhat larger than the 15% accuracy determined from back-calculations of the nickel and zinc concentrations required to produce the observed changes in electrical conductivity.¹

It is anticipated, however, that the measured bulk-averaged composition reflects the disproportionate influence of the segregated nickel that lies on the bottom surface of each of the many large voids that intersect the surface. This would lead to a "foil-averaged" composition that is somewhat larger than a true bulk-averaged composition. Even with this difference, however, the present experimental results are felt to be in good agreement with the calculated values, especially when the uncertainties associated with the EDS measurements, discussed above, are considered. Note that the peak concentration measured across the small void in Figure 3 is 1.3 at%. Since this void lay in the center of a relatively thick foil and was measured with a probe of finite size, the peak concentration at the void surface is larger than 1.3 at%.

Conclusions

The previously calculated generation of nickel by transmutation during neutron irradiation of **pure** copper was verified experimentally using microchemical analysis of a neutron-irradiated specimen. Enrichment of nickel at void surfaces was also found, exceeding 1.3 at%, and is evidence **of** the interaction of the transmutant nickel with radiation-produced point defects. It is expected that the properties of copper will change continuously during neutron irradiation in response to the transmutant nickel and its subsequent interaction with radiation-produced defects.

Future Work

No further effort is planned

Acknowledements

This work was supported in part by the Japan-USA Fusion Cooperation in "Fundamental Studies of Irradiation Effects in Fusion Materials Utilizing Fission Reactors" sponsored by Monbusho, the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture. This work was also supported by the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-ACM-76RLO 1830.

References

1. R. W. Conn, V. A. Chuyanov, N. Inoue and D. R. Sweetman, Scientific American, April 1992, 103.

2. F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, T. Shikama, D. J. Edwards and J. W. Newkirk, <u>J. Nucl.</u> <u>Mater</u>. 101-194 (1992) 386.

3. F. A. Garner, L. R. Greenwood and F. M. Mann, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Report DOE/ER-0313/13 (1993) 42.

4. P. Barlow and T. Leffers, Phil. Mag., 36 (1997) 565.

5. H. Takahashi, S. Ohnuki and T. Takeyama, J. Nucl. Mater. 103&104 (1981) 1415.

6. T. Muroga, E. Ishimaru and N. Yoshida, Effects of radiation on materials: 16th Int. Symp., ASTM STP 1175, A. S. Kumar, D. S. Gelles, R. K. Nanstad and E. A. Little, Eds. (ASTM, 1993) in press.

7. D. J. Edwards and F. A. Garner, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-0313/14 (1993) in press.

6.5 Environmental Effects in Structural Materials
CONSIDERATION OF TRITIUM AND HYDROGEN DISTRIBUTIONS IN LAYERED STRUCTURES FOR ITER - E. P. Simonen, J. L. Brimhall and R. H. Jones, (Pacific Northwest Laboratory^a) Richland, WA **99352**

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate hydrogen isotope concentrations and transport for multiple sources of hydrogen and for layered composite structures.

SUMMARY

The distributions of tritium from the plasma and hydrogen from the coolant in candidate ITER structures depends on the selection of base alloys as well **as** layered materials that are applied to improve compatibility with the plasma or the coolant. Two hydrogen sources are considered in the present calculations using the DIFFUSE **83** code, namely, implantation-driven permeation of tritium from the plasma and gas-driven permeation of hydrogen from the coolant. A third source, hydrogen from (n,p) reactions has not yet **been** considered in this analysis. A plasma facing Be layer effectively prevents permeation of hydrogen to the plasma but does not effectively prevent permeation of tritium to the coolant. A stainless steel layer in contact with the coolant can achieve significant tritium and hydrogen concentrations depending on choice of base material, temperature, ion implantation flux and coolant pressure of hydrogen. Hydrogen ingress through a stainless steel layer to V structures may he significant hut ingress through layers to Cu-based alloys is not expected to be significant.

TECHNICAL PROGRESS

Background

Management of hydrogen isotopes within ITER structural components is important for control of both plasma fuel inventories and structural material properties. Sources of hydrogen isotopes include tritium and deuterium implantation from the plasma, hydrogen absorption from the coolant, and transmutation reactions within the neutron-bombarded structure. The distribution of isotopes in these components depends on hydrogen solubility and diffusivity in the specific materials of construction. Structural design (composite geometry), material selection, implantation flux, temperature, and hydrogen reactions at surfaces all affect the distribution of hydrogen isotopes in ITER structures.

A primary hydrogen isotope source is the plasma-driven implantation of tritium and deuterium. Implanted isotopes in structures are either recycled to the plasma, retained in the structure or diffused out as a permeation flux to the coolant. Coolant flowing through plasma-facing components may also he a significant source of hydrogen. Uptake of hydrogen from water or helium gas, for example, can occur and may influence hydrogen degradation processes of the component materials. Neutron irradiation can induce (n,p) reactions which over time may result in significant hydrogen buildup. Hydrogen from transmutation reactions has not yet been included in the present model calculations.

In this paper, a preliminary examination of hydrogen transport and concentration in layered components is calculated. Furthermore, the integrated quantities of tritium in the structures are compared to integrated quantities lost by permeation to the coolant. The calculations were performed using the DIFFUSE **83**

^aPacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO **1830.**

permeation code' to demonstrate considerations for **FTER** component design related to control of hydrogen isotopes.

Permeation Behavior and Material Selection

Hydrogen permeation from the gas phase is a process of hydrogen solution followed by diffusion. Permeability is a property that can be represented as the product of solubility and diffusivity. In the case of Sievert's law controlling solubility, the steady-state permeation flux, J, is expressed **as**

$$J\left(\frac{\text{atoms-H}}{\text{cm}^2 - \text{s}}\right) = -\frac{D \text{ S } \rho \sqrt{P}}{\Delta x}$$
(1)

Thickness of the permeable membrane is Ax, cm, and the material density is ρ , atoms/cm³. The permeability, P, is defined as the product of the diffusivity, D, and the solubility, S.

$$P_{m}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\frac{atoms-H}{atoms-metal-=}\right) = D\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)S\left(\frac{atoms-H}{atoms-metal-\sqrt{atm}}\right)$$
(2)

The total loss of atoms through a material is obtained by multiplying the **flux** by the area and the time of exposure to the pressure, P, atm.

A compilation of solubility, diffusivity and permeation for several metals and alloys is included in the DIFFUSE 83 permeation code. A bar chart of permeation related parameters at 300°C is shown in Figure 1 and are ordered from low (W) to high (Zr) permeabilities. "SS" refers to stainless steel and "inc" refers to Inconel. Trend lines are drawn to show that the permeability variation is primarily controlled by the solubility variation and not the diffusivity variation.

Materials of interest for **ITER** structural components include a Be layer for plasma compatibility and **a** stainless steel layer for coolant compatibility. The base alloy material is either Cu or V. From the ranking in Figure 1, it is seen that Be exhibits excellent permeation resistance and V exhibits poor permeation resistance. Intermediate permeation resistance is exhibited by stainless steel and Cu. Although permeabilities shown in Figure 1 indicate relative responses for single layer structures, the simple permeabilities do not indicate how multilayered structures will respond or how effects such as plasma implantation and temperature gradients may affect ITER structures.

Reference Conditions for Calculated Permeation

Even though detailed **ITER** designs have not been established, estimates of approximate conditions that **are** relevant to ITER can be evaluated. Of primary importance is the definition of the environment, material selection and geometry for structures. The plasma-side environment dictates the surface temperature and the ion implantation flux. The coolant-side environment dictates the surface temperature and the pressure for ingress of hydrogen into the structure. A coolant hydrogen pressure of 0.001 atm was assumed in the present analysis to represent **a** small but significant pressure.

Environmental parameters assumed for **the** present calculations are shown in Table 1. The temperature profile through the structure is assumed to be linear and differences in thermal conductivity from one layer to another are not accounted for. The reference coolant temperature for the Cu structure was **200°C** and was **500°C** for the V structure. The temperature increase across the wall was assumed to be **200°C**.



Figure 1. Permeability, diffusivity and solubility of hydrogen **are** shown for several materials. The trend lines show that variation in permeability follows variation in solubility and not diffusivity. Proposed ITER structures span the range from Be to V. "ss" and "inc" represent stainless steel and Inconel, respectively. Alternative parameters for W are shown.

Structure	Coolant	Plasma	Tritium	Coolant
	Interface	Interface	Implantation	Hydrogen
	Temperature °C	Temperature "C	ions/cm ² -s	Pressure, atm
Cu	200	400	1016	0.001
Cu	200	400	1019	0.001
Cu	500	700	1019	0.001
V	500	700	1016	0.001

Recombination of atomic hydrogen was assumed to control the plasma surface concentrations. The coolant surface concentration is assumed to be controlled by Sievert's law. TRIM code2 simulations were used to estimate the implantation profile for 100 eV tritium in Be. Both a low implantation flux, 10¹⁶ ions/cm², and a high implantation flux, 10¹⁹ ions/cm², were considered to represent first wall and divertor conditions, respectively.3

Material solubilities and diffusivities were used **as** defined in the DIFFUSE **83** code for **all** materials except V and are shown in Table 2. For V, parameters recommended by Baskes et al.⁴ were assumed. Although

Table 2 Permeation parameters assumed for the present calculations. The diffusivity D is defined by $D=D_0/AMU \exp(-E_d/kT)$ and the solubility is $S=S_0 \exp(-E_s/kT)$, where AMU is atomic mass units. "Intermediate" and "high resistance" refers to assumed materials of intermediate and high permeation resistance.

Material	D _o , cm ² -AMU ^{0.5} /s	E _d , eV	S_o, A t o m Frac/atm ^{0.5}	E _s , eV
Ве	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁷	0.19	6.1 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0
Cu	1.1 x 10-2	0.40	1.59 x 10 ⁻³	0.37
SS	2.0 x 10 ⁻³	0.535	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	0.107
V	5.2 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.08	6.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	-0.37
Intermediate	1.1 x 10 ⁻²	0.40	1.59 x 10 ⁻³	0.37
High Resistance	4.1 x 10 ⁻³	0.39	8.2 x 10-4	0.98

structural materials will not likely be simple materials as shown in Table 2, the structural alloys will exhibit permeation behaviors in the range displayed in Figure 1. The material selections made for the present evaluations are intended to represent realistic ITER possibilities and to represent contrasts in assumed permeation behaviors for base alloy and layers. The thicknesses of structural layers were assumed to be 0.5 cm for the base material and 0.1 cm for the surface layers. Layered structures assumed for the calculations are shown in Table **3**.

Table 3 La	ayered structures	considered in	the evaluation.
------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------

Plasma Layer	Base Layer	Coolant Layer
Ве	c u	Stainless Steel
Ве	a	Intermediate
Ве	c u	High Resistance
Ве	v	Stainless Steel

Permeation Calculation Results

The tritium and hydrogen concentration profiles were calculated for ITER structures. Also, the time dependencies of partitioning of nonrecycled tritium between permeation to the coolant and retention in the structure were obtained. An assumed Be layer faced the plasma whereas a stainless steel layer faced the coolant. The calculations provide estimates of expected hydrogen isotope content in the materials and provide estimates of tritium **loss** to the coolant and tritium inventory in the structures.

Calculated concentration profiles for plasma-driven permeation of tritium and gas-driven ingress of hydrogen are shown in Figures 2 and 3 for assumed Cu and V structures, respectively, and for an assumed low ion flux. The effect of increasing the ion flux to compare first wall and divertor flux magnitudes' and the effect of coolant temperature on concentration profiles in a Cu structure are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

The time dependencies of tritium partitioning between the first wall and **loss** to the coolant are shown in Figures 6 and 7. In comparison, the integrated recycled tritium flux is almost 10^{23} tritium atoms/cm² at 10⁷ s. Most of the implanted tritium is recycled to the plasma. For low implantation fluxes, a small fraction, typically 10¹⁷ tritium atoms/cm², is retained in the structure or **is** lost to the coolant. The specific fractions depend on selection of material and environmental parameters as seen in Figures 6 and 7.

Alternative layered structures were evaluated to demonstrate influences of permeation resistance on evolution of hydrogen isotope concentration profiles. Effects of alternative materials in contact with the coolant were examined based on layer permeation resistance. Intermediate and highly resistant layers were considered as shown in Figures 8 and 9. Similarly, the effects of permeation resistance on the time dependence of tritium inventory in the structure and permeation flux⁴ to the coolant are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively.

Discussion of Calculated Permeation Behavior

The calculated concentration profiles of tritium and hydrogen in Cu structures indicate that both concentrations can exceed several appm depending on isotope and layer material. For copper structures, the concentration buildup is more significant in the surface layers, whereas for V structures the concentration buildup is more significant in the base material. Vanadium acts **as** a getter for hydrogen at the expense of the neighboring layers. Copper, on the other hand, has a lesser hydrogen solubility than stainless steel, hence hydrogen accumulates preferentially in the stainless steel layer.

The effective permeation resistance of Be shown in Figure 1 is caused by the low hydrogen solubility limit for Be. Because the tritium concentration is driven by implantation and not absorption, the expected permeation resistance of Be is not realized for implanted tritium but is realized for the ingress of hydrogen to the plasma.

Increase in the implantation flux causes a proportional increase in the tritium concentration as seen in the comparison of Figures 2 and 4. The tritium concentration near the plasma surface is directly proportional to the implantation rate and this concentration influences the tritium concentration profile through the thickness of the structure. Increase in the material temperature causes a decrease in the tritium inventory at the expense of an increase in the permeation leakage to the coolant. The temperature increase effect reflects the fact that it is more difficult to buildup high concentrations when permeation out of the structure is easier.

The permeation resistance of the layer in contact with the coolant can significantly affect the distribution of tritium as seen in Figure 8 for Be/Cu/X multilayers where **X** is an intermediate or highly resistant



Figure 2. Calculated tritium and hydrogen concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/Cu/SS structure at 10^7 s. The tritium implantation flux is 10^{16} ions/cm²-s and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 200°C.



Figure 3. Calculated tritium and hydrogen concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/V/SS structure at 10⁷ s. The tritium implantation **flux** is 10¹⁶ ions/cm²-s and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 500°C.



Figure 4. Calculated tritium and hydrogen concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/Cu/SS structure at 10⁷ s. The tritium implantation flux is 10¹⁹ ions/cm²-s and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 200°C.



Figure 5. Calculated tritium and hydrogen concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/Cu/SS structure at 10^7 s. The tritium implantation flux is 10^{19} ions/cm²-s and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 500°C.





Figure 7. Calculated tritium permeation to the coolant for Be/Cu/SS or Be/V/SS structures for the indicated implantation flux and coolant temperature.



Figure 8. Calculated tritium concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/Cu/X structure at 10^7 s. The layer in contact with the coolant is either a stainless steel layer, an intermediate resistant layer or **a** highly resistant layer. The tritium implantation **flux** is 10^{16} ions/cm²-s and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 200°C.



Figure 9. Calculated hydrogen concentration profiles in a multilayered Be/Cu/X structure at 10^7 s. The layer in contact with the coolant is either a stainless steel layer, an intermediate resistant layer or a highly resistant layer. The tritium implantation flux is 10^{16} -s ions/cm² and the hydrogen coolant pressure is 0.001 atm and the coolant temperature is 200°C.





barrier. An effective downstream barrier for tritium increases the tritium inventory (Figure IO) but decreases the tritium permeation losses to the coolant (Figure 11). Hydrogen ingress from the coolant through the permeation layer, $\mathbf{X}_{,}$ is low in Be/Cu/X multilayers because of the low hydrogen solubility in Cu. The presence of an upstream hydrogen barrier is not significant because the hydrogen concentrations are already low even without a barrier in contact with the coolant.

The calculated hydrogen concentrations in the barrier layers are proportional to the square root of the assumed hydrogen pressure in the coolant. Therefore, estimates of hydrogen concentrations for pressures different from 0.001 atm can be obtained by using the square root proportionality dictated by Sievert's law.

Several significant assumptions have been made in the present analysis to simplify the examination of permeation behavior for ITER structures. Although time-dependent permeation was considered, the influence of radiation-induced hydrogen traps and surface oxide films may significantly retard permeation transients and hence permeation losses to the coolant. These effects will be examined in future work.

Complex alloys may or may not perform **as** for assumed simple base materials. However, there is some evidence that alloy structure does not strongly affect permeation behavior which is indicated in Figure 1 by comparing Inconel with Ni behaviors. An exception may exist for Be layers. The microstructure, such **as** porosity, in the Be layer may not be the same as for the data used in the analysis. These differences may affect Be permeation resistance and will be considered in future calculations.

Conclusions

Candidate alloy components for ITER structures exhibit a wide range of hydrogen isotope permeation behaviors. The distribution of tritium and hydrogen in candidate structures depends on the selection of base alloy **as** well **as** the selection of layer materials that may be applied to the alloy to improve compatibility with the plasma or the coolant.

Tritium and hydrogen concentrations may achieve levels of several appm or more based on an assumed plasma-driven tritium implantation of 10^{16} ions/cm²-s and an assumed hydrogen coolant pressure of 0.001 atm. For Cu structures, the calculated tritium concentration increased significantly for more resistant coolant-side permeation barriers. However, the calculated hydrogen concentration was low even for ineffective coolant-side permeation barriers.

FUTURE WORK

Contributions to hydrogen content from (n,p) reactions will be evaluated **as** well as affects on transient permeation associated with radiation-induced hydrogen traps. Also, permeation through Be/Cu/V layered structures will be calculated

REFERENCES

1. M. K. Baskes, <u>DIFFUSE 83</u>, SAND83-8231 (1983).

2. J. F. Ziegler, J. P. Biersack and U. Littmark, "The Stopping and Range of Ions in Solids," Pergamon Press, New York, New York.

- 3. G. Vieder, A. Cardella, M. Akiba, R. Matera and R. Watson, J. of Nucl. Mater., 16, 23 (1991).
- 4. M. I. Baskes, A. E. Pontau, and K. L. Wilson, J. of Nucl. Mater., 122&123, 1511 (1984).

MEASUREMENTOF ELECTRICAL **RESISTIVITY** OF THERMALLY GROWN TITANIUM NITRIDE THIN **FILMS** N LIQUID LITHIUM' J.-H. Park and T. Domenico (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

Corrosion resislance of suuctural materials and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) force and its influence on thermal hydraulics and corrosion arc major concerns in the design of liquid-metal blankets for magnetic fusion reactors (MFRs).¹⁻³ The objective of this study is to develop in-situ TiN coatings and obtain insitu electrical resistivity measurements on TiN in a liquid Li environment.

SUMMARY

A sample of pure Ti and a pair of Ti electrodes were placed in small **capsules** containing liquid Li and Li₃N for seven days at 710°C to investigate the formation of titanium nitride (TiN). An attempt was made to enhance the resistivity of in-.situ-formed TiN films by adding to the Li small amounts of Al, Si, and Mg. which might be incorporated into the films. One **sample** and **set** of electrodes was nitrided in Li containing Li₃N and another was immersed in pure Li. The electrical resistance of the films was $\approx 1.0-1.5 \Omega$ and the values increased slightly with temperature. which is indicative of metallic conduction. Energy-dispersive-spectroscopy (EDS) analyses of the films by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed that none of the dopants was incorporated into the TiN lattice.

INTRODUCTION

Titanium nitride (TiN) thin films have shown utility in the fields of semiconductor fabrication as a diffusion barrier between semiconducting and conducting films, $^{4-14}$ and in tribology as a wex-resistant surface coating. TiN exhibits several properties that have been useful, namely, a high melting point, high hardness, and electrical conductivity comparable to that of pure Ti.¹⁵ Previous studies **m** thermally formed TiN on Ti show that this transition metal nitride, which may act as corrosion barrier and exhibit higher electrical resistivity when doped uith additives such as A1, Si, or Mg. may be formed in a liquid Li environment. Some of the physical properties of Ti and TiN are shown in Table 1.¹⁵

This study focuses on the possible use of thin TiN films to coat surfaces of structural alloys exposed to a Li coolant in a magnetic fusion reactor (MFR). Because many elements have very low diffusivities in TiN, it is desirable for use as a corrosion barrier in fusion power applications. However, because high electromagnetic fields are present in reactors, the high electrical conductivity of TiN may adversely affect the coolant flow properties in the system. To overcome this problem, several sets of metallographic samples and electrodes of Ti were nitrided in a Li environment to which we added small amounts of dopant elements in the hope of decreasing the electrical conductivity of the films, hut still maintaining their usefulness as diffusion harriers.

BACKGROUND

Titanium nitride is one of the most thermodynamically stable transition metal nitrides, i.e., the nitrogen partial pressure for formation is low when compxed to many other metal nitrides. Traditional methods for producing thin films of TiN have included chemical vapor deposition (CVD), wherein gases containing N (N₂, NH₃) and Ti (usually TiCl₄) are passed over a substrate surface at elevated temperatures.¹⁶ The Ti and N present in the **gases** react to form TiN and coat the surface. Another method for TiN film deposition is

This work has been supported by the U.S.Department of Energy. under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

ion implantation, wherein Ti and/or N is ionized, accelerated through a large potential difference, and bombarded onto a substrate surface.

Table 1. Physical properties dE Ti and TiN^{15}

Property	Ti	TiN
Unit cell	hexagonal	cubic
Density, g/cm ³	4.5	5.4
Melting temperature, °C	1820	3200
Boiling temperature, °C	3287	N/A
Thermal conductivity, W/mK	20	24
Elastic modulus. GPa	118	251
Resistivity. $\mu\Omega$ cm	43	30
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, 10 ⁻⁶ /°C	9	8
Hardness, kg/mm ²		1770

In this study, TiN was produced in a liquid Li environment.¹⁷ Titanium samples and electrodes were placed into a liquid Li reservoir at 710°C in the presence of $L_{i_3}N$. The nitration process is as follows. $L_{i_3}N$ dissolves in liquid Li and the dissolved N diffuses into the Ti surface. Once the concentration of N in the surface is sufficiently high (saturation), the N and Ti react to form TiN.

Park¹⁸ has proposed a model for electrical conductivity in TiN, which usually does not form stoichiometrically. In general, the TiN lattice contains many N vacancies, or, less frequently, Ti interstitials. These defects in the crystal may produce an increase in free–electron concentration to maintain the electrical neutrality of the solid. In the absence of a negative charge near a nitrogen anion vacancy in the lattice, a positively charged hole may appear. A solid, however, must remain electrically neutral, so this positive hole must he neutralized by a negative charge, possibly in the form of a free electron. This increased concentration of charge carriers could increase the electrical conductivity of the solid. Based on this model, we attempted to lower the conductivity of TiN by substituting dopant elements into the above–mentioned film to decrease the concentration of free-charge carriers.

EXPERIMENT

Changes in resistance between two Ti electrodes that were immersed in liquid Li containing Li₃N and the dopant materials were measured at 710°C. The high temperature should promote diffusion of N into the surface of the Ti electrodes, thereby facilitating the formation of a thin TiN coating. The experimental setup consisted of five Ta tubes that contained the electrodes, a small Ti specimen, Li, Li₃N, and the dopant materials. The bottom of the tubes **was** scaled with a Swagelok cap. The tubes (numbered 1 to 5) and their contents are listed in Table 2.

Tubes 1–3 contained AI, Si, and Mg in addition to Li and Li₃N. To obtain baseline data on pure TiN, **Tube 4** contained no dopants and Tube 5 contained only Li. Each tube was equipped with a pair of small electrodes and one small Ti sample (=3 mm square by 1.6 mm thick) for microscopic analysis and

characterization. A 6-mm-diameter alumina rod (Al_2O_3) with two small holes running through its length was inserted into the Ta tube (-7 mm ID). The Ti electrodes were spot welded to stainless steel wires and the wires were fed through the holes in the Ta tube. The alumina tubes were specially machined at the bottom end with a low-speed diamond wafer saw to prevent contact between the two Ti electrodes (Fig. 1).

	Tube number						
Dopant	1	2	3	4	5		
Li	0.96	0.96	0.91	0.83	1.03		
Li ₃ N	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.15	-		
AI	0.08	-	-	-	_		
Si	-	0.07	-		_		
Mg	-	-	0.05	-	_		
Li	1.30	0.13	0.13	-	-		

Table 2. Composition of Li environment (g) in Tacapsules for nitration of Ti

The experimental procedure was as follows. Each of the five Ta **tubes** was loaded with solid Li, Li₃N, dopant materials, and a small Ti sample. The bottoms of the tubes were then mechanically sealed with Swagelok caps. After loading, the tubes were placed into a large stainless steel chamber. The alumina rods with the Ti electrodes were placed into the Ta tubes. Separate tubing was attached for purging, evacuation, and Ar pressurization to minimize ingress of air. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2 (without the air evacuation and Ar purging systems).



Figure 1. Ti electrodes and specially cut alumina tubes



Figure 2. Schematic diagram *c* experimental setup

The cross section of the bottom of the Ta tube in Fig. 2 shows the order in which the Li_3N , the Ti sample, Li, and dopant materials were loaded. When melting occurs, all of the components mix to form a homogeneous liquid (with the exception of the Ti sample, which remains solid at the temperature of the experiment).

Each set of stainless steel leads was then wired so they could be easily attached to a constant current source and voltmeter. The entire assembly was then placed into a furnace and brought to 710°C. The goal was to measure the resistance in each of the pairs of Ti electrodes during the nitration process over the entire period of nitration (\approx 146 h). Using a constant current source, we determined the resistance by varying the current through the circuit from -15 to +15 mA, and measuring the voltage across the circuit with a voltmeter. The collected data were then fit with a straight line, the slope of which was (from Ohm's Law) the resistance in the circuit. The resistance as a function of temperature was **also** measured by continuing the above procedure at 50°C intervals during cooling from =7W to 3W °C, after nitration had taken place.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The resistance of each Ti electrode pair was measured at the beginning of nitration and ≈ 3 times per **day** for the duration of the nitration experiment (7 days). The furnace was then cooled to obtain resistance-versus-temperature data. However, on the seventh day of the experiment, it was discovered that the wire connections between the stainless steel leads and the current source were improperly soldered so that all resistance data taken up to that point were invalid. The electrical connections were rejoined correctly and the furnace was brought back to **695°C**. Because nitration had already taken place, it was not possible at this point to record changes in resistance during the nitration process. However, resistance versus temperature upon cooling could **still** be obtained and these data are listed in Table 3 and plotted in Fig. 3.

Temp.,	Resistance, Ω					
°C	Tube 1	Tube2	Tube3	Tube4	Tube5	
695	0.980	0.990	1.130	1.187	1.153	
640	0.980	0.990	1.127	1.173	1.147	
600	0.977	0.987	1.127	1.173	1.140	
550	0.974	0.986	1.124	1.166	1.136	
505	0.971	0.984	1.121	1.155	1.131	
450	0.969	0.982	1.119	1.146	1.125	
400	0.969	0.981	1.117	1.132	1.118	
350	0.968	0.979	1.115	1.127	1.112	
300	0.966	0.978	1.113	1.122	1.106	

Table 3. Resistance of TiN versus temperature



Figure 3. Resistance versus temperature for TiN layersformed in liquid Li

After the system was cooled to room temperature and the apparatus was disassembled, it appeared that the alumina insulator in Tube 5 had been submerged in the liquid Li during the experiment, i.e., the bottom portion of the rod was black and a large amount of a solidified, white powdery substance was found on the outside of the Ta tubes (Fig. 4). This substance flaked off very easily at the touch of a finger, was very slippery, and exuded a very strong odor. We believe it to be lithium oxide, Li_2O , which formed after Li leaked from the bottom of two of the tubes.

The bottom ends of the tubes were cut off $\approx 40-50$ mm from the bottom of the Swagelok caps, and the solid Li was dissolved in water. Two of the caps (from Tubes 2 and 5) appeared to be empty of solid Li. indicating that these were the tubes that leaked at the bottom (Swagelok). The small Ti samples were then retrieved and cleaned for microscopic analysis by **SEM**. **SEM** photomicrographs of the surfaces of the samples are shown in Fig. 5.







Figure 5. SEM photomicrographs of surface of Ti samples exposed in liquid Li: (a) Tube 1 (Al+Li+N), (b) Tube 2 (Si + Li + N), (c) Tube 3 (Mg + Li + N), (d) Tube 4 (Li + N), and (e) Tube 5 (Al + O + Li)

DISCUSSION

EDS spectra of the surfaces of the samples in Tubes 1–4 indicate that Ti and N are the principle elements present in the surface coatings, i.e., TiN. Qualitative analysis of the spectra revealed some Si in all of the samples, but this is believed to be an error. The X-ray energies for Si and Ta are very similar (1.740 and 1.710 eV, respectively). and because all experiments were conducted in Ta tubes. and Si was present in only one of the capsules, this peak is believed to indicate the presence of Ta, not Si. None of the dopant materials (Al, Si, and Mg) was detected in the three samples. This was verified later when sample cross sections were investigated by SEM. The cross-sectional analysis yielded the approximate film thicknesses shown in Table 4.

	Tube No.	Tube contents	Coating thickness, µm
•	1	Li t Li3N t Al	1 - 2
	2	Li + Li3N + si	1 - 2
	3	Li t Li3N t Mg	4 - 5
	4	Li + Li ₃ N	6 - 7
	5	Li + (Al ₂ O ₃)	1 - 2

 Table 4. Coating thickness on Ti from cross sectional news obtained by SEM

The Ti sample with Mg **as** a dopant exhibited an interesting surface microstructure. The entire surface appeared to have **been scarred** and pitted by a comive process. EDS spectra of the flat surface and of the bottom of one of the pits revealed that the composition was nearly the same. In an optical microscope, **the** cross section of the sample had a very rough surface, but the TiN layer was uniform in thickness in all **areas**. This finding suggests that whatever mechanism was responsible for corrosion took place before the coating formed on the surface of the Ti; it also shows that the nitration process in situ in liquid Li coats irregular surfacesquite well, as was demonstrated **previously**.¹⁷

The Ti sample in Tube **5** also showed an irregular surface marked by small flakes. Examination of **the** surface spectrum indicated the presence of **AI** and **O**. This was probably caused by dissolution of the bottom end of the alumina insulator, which was submerged in the liquid Li during the experiment, **as** mentioned previously. Apparently Al and O from the alumina **rod** deposited **on** the surface of the Ti sample.

All electrodes showed metallic resistance characteristics, i.e., the resistance increased slightly with increasing temperature. The dopant elements were not found in any of the surface coatings by EDS analysis because their concentrations were probably too small to be observed by the EDS technique. Surfaces of samples in Tubes 1-4 seem to be similar in composition. The electrodes in Tube 4, which contained Li and Li₃N, showed the highest resistance and the largest change in resistance with temperature. The electrodes in Tube 5, which was contaminated with AI and O, and those in Tube 3 (containing Mg) exhibited a similar resistance, but the electrodes in the former tube showed a greater increase in resistance with temperature. The electrodes in Li doped with AI and Si showed the smallest resistance (Tubes 1 and 2), and very little change in resistance with temperature.

It is interesting to compare the measured resistances based on the thickness of the **films** and the area of the electrodes immersed in Li. The resistance data in Table 3, average film thicknesses from Table 4, and the area of the electrodes in contact with the Li ($\approx 100 \text{ mm}^2 \pm 20\%$) were used to obtain the ohmic resistivity of TiN shown in Fig. 6. Because there was no Li₃N added to the Li in Tube 5, the resistivity is attributed to the AI-Li-O layer (-1-2 µm thick), which showed the highest resistivity.



CONCLUSION

In this experiment, an attempt was made to produce an insulator coating of TiN on a pure Ti substrate during nitration in a liquid Li environment containing differing elements. Because an error was made in the experimental setup, reliable resistance data were not obtained during nitration and only data on the variation of resistance with temperature could be collected. All coated electrodes exhibited metallic conduction, in that their resistance decreased with decreasing temperature. Moreover, no dopant materials were found in any of the nitrated samples, i.e., all samples appeared to be compositionally similar, except for the one that was obviously contaminated by AI and O as a result of dissolution the alumina rod in Li.

The SEM analysis revealed that TiN films had, indeed, been produced as thin coatings on the surface of **the** Ti substrates. The coating on the rough surface of the sample in Tube 3 showed that the nitration process can **be** useful in coating an irregular surface. The samples showed variations in coating thickness, suggesting a possible method for controlling coating thicknesses in future operations.

REFERENCES

- C. C. Baker, et al., *Tokamak Power System Studies FY 1985*, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL/FPP-85-2 (December 1985).
- Y. Y. Liu and D. L. Smith, Ceramic Electrical Insulators for Liquid Metal Blankets, J. Nucl. Mater., 141-143, 38 (1986).
- T. Kammash, *Fusion Reactor Physics*, Chapter 15, Ann Arbor Science Pub. Inc., Ann Arbor, MI (1975) pp. 405-439.
- 4. J. N. Musher and R. G. Gordon, *Low-Temperature CVD TiN as a Diffusion Barrier between Gold and Silicon*, J. Electron. Mater., 20, 1105 (1991).

- 5. J. O. Olowolafe, Effect of Oxygen in TiNx on the Diffusion of Cu in Cu/TiN/Al and Cu/TiNx/Si Structures, Appl. Phys. Leu., 58,469 (1991).
- 6. M. Mändl, H. Hoffmann, and P. Kucher, Diffusion Barrier Properties of Ti/TiN Investigated by Transmission Electron Microscopy, J. Appl. Phys.. 68,2127 (1990).
- 7. E. Metin and O.T. Inal, Kinetics of Layer Growth and Multiphase Diffusion in Ian-Nitrided Titanium, Met. Trans., 20A, 1819. (1989).
- 8. M. Wittmer, B. Studer, and H. Melchior, *Electrical Characteristics* of *TiN Contact to N Silicon*, J. Appl. Phys., **52**, **5722**, (1981).
- 9. M. A. Nicolet. Diffusion Barrier in Thin Films, Thin Solid Films, 52,415 (1978).
- J. M. Poitevin, G. Lemperiere, and J. Tardy, Influence of Substrate Bias on the Composition. Structure and Electrical Properties of Reactively D.C.-Sputtered TiN Films. Thin Solid Films, 97. 69 (1982).
- 11. A. Sherman, Growth and Properties of LPCVD TiN as a Diffusion Barrier for Silicon Device Technology, J. Electrochem. Soc., 137, 1892 (1990).
- 12. M. J. Buiting and A. F. Otterloo, Relationship between the Process Parameters and Film Properties of "Low Temperature" Low Pressure CVD TiN Films, J. Electrochem. Soc., 139,2580 (1992).
- T. P. Thorpe. S. B. Qadri, S. A. Wolf, and J. H. Claassen, *Electrical and Optical Properties of Sputtered TiNx Films as a Function of Substrate Deposition Temperature*, Appl. Phys. Lett., 49, 1239 (1986).
- 14. C. Y. Ting, *TiN as a High-Temperature Diffusion Barrier for Arsenic and Boron*, Thin Solid Films, 119, 11 (1984).
- 15. D. R. Lide, Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st ed. (1990).
- 16. Chemical Vapor Deposited Materials, F. S. Galasso, CRC Press, Boston, (1991).
- J.-H. Park and G. Dragel. Development of In-Situ-Formed Electrical Insulator Coatings on High-Temperature Alloys in Lithium, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31, 1993. DOE/ER-0313/13, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, pp. 285-289.
- 18. J.-H. Park, Unpublished work. Argonne National Laboratory (1993).

DEVELOPMENT OF ELECTRICALINSULATOR COATINGS: IN-SITU ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS ON V-5%Cr-5%Ti IN LIQUID LITHIUM* J.-H. Park and G. Dragel (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

Corrosion resislance of structural materials and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) force and its influence on thermal hydraulics and corrosion are major concerns in the design of liquid-metal blankets for magnetic fusion reactors (MFRs). The objective of this study is to develop in situ stable coatings at the liquid-metal/structural-material interface, with emphasis on electrically insulating coatings that prevent adverse currents that are generated by MHD forces from passing through structural **walls**.¹⁻³

SUMMARY

The electrical resistance of insulator coatings produced on V-5%Cr-5%Ti by exposure of the alloy to liquid Li that contained 5 at.% N, with and without **5** at.% dissolved AI, was measured as a function of time at temperatures between 250 and 500°C. The solute elements (N and AI) reacted in liquid Li with the alloy substrate at 415°C to produce thin adherent coatings. The resistance of the coating layer was -1.5 and 1.0 Ω at 415 and 500°C, respectively. Thermal cycling between 250 and 415°C did not change the resistance of the coating layers. These results and those reported previously^{4,5} suggest that thin homogeneous coatings can be produced on variously shaped surfaces by controlling the exposure time, temperature. and composition of the liquid metal. The integrity of the coatings does not appear to sensitive to defects (e.g., open pores, fissures, or microcracks) present in the alloy substrate in liquid Li.

INTRODUCTION

Corrosion resistance of structural materials and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) force and its influence on thermal hydraulics are major concerns in the design of liquid-metal cooling systems.¹⁻⁶ The objective of this study is to develop in situ stable, corrosion-resistant coatings, as well as insulator coatings at the liquid-metal/structural-material interface. The electrically insulating coatings should be capable of forming on various shapes such as the inside of tubes or irregular shapes during operational conditions to prevent adverse currents that are generated by MHD forces from passing through the structural walls. The coatings could also improve general corrosion resistance and act as a diffusion barrier for hydrogen isotopes, viz., deuterium and tritium. In-situ electrical resistance of insulator coatings on V-5%Cr-5%Ti was monitored **as** a function of lime in Li at 415 and 500°C. Thermal cycling was conducted to investigate the integrity of the coating layers that formed in situ.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Aluminide coatings that form on structural alloys⁴⁻⁶ during exposure to liquid Li containing dissolved AI suggest a means for producing stable electrical insulator layers, such as AIN, by subsequent nitration of the intermetallic layer in the liquid-metal environment, as we proposed in previous reports.^{4,5} Table 1 shows the type and amount of solute in -2.5 g of Li contained in V and V-20%Ti capsules for in-situ electrical conductivity measurements on V-5%Cr-5%Ti in two cells. Figure 1 shows the cell assembly. An aluminide coating was applied to the inside surface of V and V-20%Ti capsules, as described previously.^{4,5}

This work has been supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

	in vana v 20 %11 capsules in two cells					
Cell	Li, g	Li3N, g	N, at.%	AI, g	Y,g	
Α	2.28	0.75	5.06	0.6	0.24	
В	2.52	0.77	4.90	-	0.22	

Table 1.	Type and amount of solute in 2.5 g of Li
	in V and V-20%Ti capsules in two cells

To investigate the differences in the ohmic resistance of in-situ formed AlN and (V,Ti)N layers on the V-5%Cr-5%Ti, Cell A contained ≈ 0.6 g of Al, whereas no Al was added to the Li in Cell B (Table 1). The postulated coating reactions at the liquid/V-5%Cr-5%Ti alloy interface in the two cells are

Cell A;

Al (in Li) + N (in Li) = AlN (in Li and on substrate)

Cell B;

(V,Ti) (substrate) + N (in Li) = (V,Ti)N (on substrate).

In-situ electrical resistance of each cell was monitored as a function of time for 400 h. The effect of thermal cycling on resistance of the films was investigated by dropping the temperature to just above the melting paint of Li and then increasing the temperature to the original value and higher (to 500°C). The tests yielded insight into the integrity of the in-situ-formed coating layers and into the nature of the temperature dependence of resistance. General procedures were similar to those described in our previous report.⁴ Ohmic values were monitored between two V-5Cr-5Ti samples in the liquid–Li environment in each of the cells.

Li reservoir

Lithium, Li₃N, and AI were loaded in the aluminized V or V-20%Ti capsules by the following method. Pieces *a***f** solid Li. in the form *a***f** wire (3 *mm* in diameter and 25 to **50** mm long) were placed in the capsule and compacted with a steel plunger to reduce the void space. A weighed amount of Li₃N and AI (Cell A) in the form of tine powder was poured into the capsule and additional Li was loaded, **as** described previously. The V and V-20%Ti capsules were placed in a larger stainless steel (SS) container with an inert gas environment.

Electrical resistance cell assembly

Four V-5%Cr-5%Ti specimens (1.0 mm x 4.0 mm x 40 mm) were attached to Type 304 SS wire by spot welding to ensure good contact and mechanical strength. Two V-5%Cr-5%Ti specimens were placed in each resistance cell suspended from the SS wires which passed through holes in high-purity alumina rods (1/4 in. OD). Two electrical resistance cells were placed in a vertical furnace, **as** shown in Fig. 1. During all procedures, care was taken to minimize oxidation of the materials.

After assembly, the ohmic contact resistance between solid Li and the V-5%Cr-5%Ti samples was checked, and in most instances, the values were quite high because of surface oxidation of Li. However, one or two of the samples indicated values near zero. An Ar cover gas (99.999%) was maintained in the system to prevent oxidation of the V capsules and the Li during the test. When the temperature reached $\approx 250^{\circ}$ C (the melting point of Li is 180°C), the V-5%Cr-5%Ti samples were partially immersed in the Li by lowering the alumina rods so that 15mm of the 40-mm-long specimen was below the surface.

The surface level of liquid Li in the capsule was deduced from the **mass** of the liquid **and the** geometry of the capsule and samples, but it could not be determined very accurately. Therefore, the ohmic resistance was noted **as** the samples were lowered, and after contact with the liquid was made, the **rod** was lowered 15 mm. The contact area between liquid Li and each of the **V-5%Cr-5%Ti** samples was $\approx 150 \text{ mm}^2$ in each of the cells. After heating to **415°C**, the ohmic values were measured as a function of time. At **-190** h of the 400-h experiment, the temperature was cycled from 415 to 250°C and then **raised** to 500°C, as is shown in Fig. 2. At the end of the test, the samples were withdrawn to a level above the Liquid Li and the furnace was turned off. **The** samples were removed from the furnace **and** placed in a beaker of water **to** dissolve, the small volume of adherent Li, cleaned ultrasonically in acetone and ethanol, and **dried** in air for examination.



Figure 1. Electrical resistance cells (a) schematic representation and (b) components before assembly

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In-situ electrical resistance

The test conditions and results from the in-situ electrical resistance of 150 mm^2 of V-5%Cr-5%Ti in contact with liquid Li are given in Fig. 2. Initially, Cell A exhibited higher ohmic values than did Cell B up to 150 h. but the ohmic values of both cells were almost identical thereafter. During thermal cycling between 415 and 250°C the changes in resistance were small. This result presumably indicates that the layers did not show any degradation such as spallation or local defects. When the temperature was increased from 415 to 500°C, the ohmic resistance dropped from ≈1.4 to 1.0 Ω for Cell A. and from ≈1.5 to 0.95 Ω



for Cell B. We had expected that the reaction between the aluminide layer and N would proceed at a higher rate at 500°C, and thereby show an increase in resistance above $\approx 1.5 \Omega$, however, this did not occuu

Figure 2. (a) Electrical resistance of V-5%Cr-5%Ti in Li containing N and Al (Cell A) and N (Cell B) and (b) temperature versus time of exposure in the liquid Li environments

The decrease in resistance could be attributed to the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of the coatings, i.e., the equilibrium composition of ionic and electronic species varies as a function of temperature, whereas normally, more defects are expected at higher temperatures. Thus, more electronic mobile carriers could form and act as charge-compensating defecu at higher temperatures. Alternatively, as the temperature was increased, the level of Li in the capsule rose owing to thermal expansion. Consequently, additional alloy surface was exposed to the liquid. As shown in Fig. 2, at 500°C the resistance of both cells returned to their initial values at 415°C, which may indicate that a fully developed coating was not present on the alloy surface above the original liquid/gas interface. During the last -80 h of the test, the resistance of the film did not increase as expected because of possible N depletion in the liquid due to reaction with the surface of the aluminized capsule (150 mm²) that was in contact with liquid Li and to loss of N to the gas phase.

In principle, the time variation of electrical resistance at 500°C can provide kinetic information on the reequilibration process: Cell A showed slower kinetics than did Cell B, which can be expected because the thin layer of AIN on Cell A presumably is more insulating.

Questions remain on the details of the kinetics of reactions in Eqs. 1 and 2 **that** describe in-situ **formation** of an insulator coating in liquid Li environments. Throughout the experiment, a high-purity Ar cover gas was present and no attempt was made to maintain N₂ in the gas phase. Bemuse the cells could not be sealed, loss of N to the gas phase probably occurred, which could influence in-situ formation α reheating of the coating layer under conditions of the experiment. However, had the alloy surface been in direct contact with the liquid because of partial spallation or cracking of the insulator layer without healing, the ohmic resistance would decrease to zero (i.e., short circuit by metallic contact). Neither of the cells exhibited short-circuitbehavior during the 400-h experiment.

CONCLUSION

Insulator coatings formed in situ on V-5%Cr-5%Ti in liquid Li that contained Li₃N, with and without dissolved Al, at 415°C. The ohmic resistance of the coatings was $-1.0-1.5 \Omega$. Thermal cycling between 415 and 250°C did not produce changes in the resistance of the coatings. The ohmic resistance of AlN may be adequate for an insulator coating in *MFR* applications.

FUTURE STUDIES

Results of mechanical-property and irradiation-damage studies indicate that the **V-5%Cr-5%Ti** alloy holds the **most** promise for MFR structural applications. Consequently, the insulator development study will focus on this alloy. The performance of AIN coatings produced by chemical vapor deposition will be evaluated by in-situ electrical resistance measurements in capsule tests and in a liquid Li loop at temperatures between 410 and 500°C.

REFERENCES

- 1. C. C. Baker, et al., *Tokamak Paver System Studies FY 1985*, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL/FPP-85-2 (December 1985).
- 2. Y.Y.Liu and D. L. Smith, Ceramic Electrical Insulators for Liquid Metal Blankets, J. Nucl. Mater., 141-143, 38 (1986).
- 3. T. Kammash, *Fusion Reactor Physics*, Chapter **15**, Ann Arbor Science Pub. Inc., Ann Arbor, MI. pp. 405-439 (1975).
- J.-H. Park and G. Dragel, *Development of In-Silu-Formed Electrical Insulator Coatings on High-Temperature* Alloys *in Lithium*. Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, *Oak* Ridge, TN. pp. 389-394 (July 1993).
- J.-H. Park and G. Dragel, *Development of In-Situ-Formed Electrical Insulator Coatings on High-Temperature* Alloys in Lithium, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending September 30, 1992, DOE/ER-0313/14, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. pp. 405-410 (July 1993).
- 6. H. Glasbrenner and H. U. Borgstedt, *Development* of *Aluminide Coatings* on Vanadium and V-3Ti-1Si, Institut für Materialforschung III, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Germany (July 1993).

INVESTIGATION OF A MAGNETIC **TRAP** USED IN A LITHIUM/STAINLESS STEEL TEST LOOP^{*} P. R. Luebbers and O. K. Chopra (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this program is to investigate the influence of a lithium environment on the compatibility, corrosion, and mechanical properties of candidate vanadium alloys for first-wall/blanket systems in fusion reactors.

SUMMARY

The magnetic trap from a plugged cold-trap purification loop of a forced-circulation lithium system was examined to study mass transfer/deposition behavior in liquid lithium systems. Two types of particles, large faceted crystals and globules, were observed in the residue collected from the magnetic trap. These particles are similar to the (Mn,Fe)Ni and (Mn,Fe)Ni₃ compounds that had been identified earlier in the particulate collected from plugged sections of a cold-trap loop. The ternary nitride Li₉CrN₅ was also identified in the residue. Results indicate that a magnetic trap is effective for capturing magnetic particles within a liquid lithium loop.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

The compatibility of structural materials with liquid metals influences material selection and imposes temperature limitations for liquid-metal blankets. Corrosion in the form of uniform or selective dissolution. intergranularattack, and transfer of interstitialelements to and from the liquid metal *can* reduce the effective section thickness of structural components. Radioactive mass transfer/deposition of corrosion products may cause severe flow resultions and excessive accumulation fradioactive material in unshielded regions. Corrosion/dissolution can reduce mechanical integrity, and mass transfer/deposition phenomena *can* increase pumping-power requirements, decrease energy conversion efficiency, and complicate system maintenance.

Mass transfer/deposition occurs in nonisothermal systems because of temperature and concentration gradients. Alloy elements dissolve in the hot regions of a liquid-metal loop because their concentrations in the liquid metal are lower than their solubilities, and they deposit in cold regions of the loop where their concentrations in the liquid metal **are** greater than their solubility limits. Severity of corrosion and mass transfer varies with the combinations of containment material and liquid metal and depends on many variables. These include the liquid metal and its purity (i.e., concentrations of nitrogen, carbon, oxygen, etc.); composition and microstructure of the containment material; time and temperature of exposure; and system parameters such as flow velocity (including magnetohydrodynamic effects), AT (difference of temperature across the circulating system), surface area and temperature profile of the system, and material combination (i.e., bimetallic or monometallic system). Several studies have been conducted to evaluate the influence of various material and system parameters on the corrosion behavior of candidate structural materials in lithium.^{1,2} Most studies have been conducted in circulating lithium systems such as thermal-convection or forced-circulation loops. The present investigation was undertaken to increase our understanding of the process of mass transfer/deposition in circulating lithium systems.

Loop History

Since **1981**, corrosion/compatibility studies at Argonne National Laboratory have **been** conducted in a **test** facility consisting of a forced-circulation lithium loop and an **MTS** servohydraulic fatigue machine for

[•] Work supported by Officeof Fusion Energy, US. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

performing mechanical tests in the liquid-metal environment. A schematic diagram of the lithium loop is shown in Fig. 1. The lithium system, which is constructed of Type 304 stainless steel (SS), consists of a primary loop with three **test** vessels and a secondary cold-trap purification loop. The quantity of lithium in the loop is -20 L which is circulated at $-16 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ in the primary loop. The concentration of interstitial elements in the lithium is controlled by maintaining the cold-trap temperature at 200–220°C.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of lithuum loop

The concentration of nitrogen in lithium during the early phases of operation increased to ≈ 1200 wppm as a result of contamination from the fixture that was used when performing mechanical tests. Nitrogen concentration in the lithium was reduced to <100 wppm by hot-trapping with Ti foils and by dis:solved getters such as calcium. Nitrogen content is reduced by formation of TiN or Ca₃N₂, which are more stable than Li₃N. In the latter case, Ca₃N₂ is trapped in cooler regions of me loop, e.g., the cold trap.

During the initial six months of operation, the lithium system was shut down several times because of plugging of the flowmeter and pump section of the cold-trap loop. Examination of the plugged pipe sections indicated **an** accumulation of MnNi and MnNi₃ particles (which are paramagnetic below 360°C) inside the pipe sections within the pump coil and flow-meter magnet? Panicles of (Mn,Fe)Ni₃ were also detected in the metallic residue collected from the plugged sections. Chromium was present as a ternary nitride (Li₉CrN₅) that decomposed into ammonia and lithium chromate when the lithium was dissolved in **a** solution of methyl alcohol and water. Subsequently, a magnetic trap (Fig. 1) was installed upstream of the flowmeter and pump section of the cold-trap loop. The lithium loop has operated with few interruptions after installation of the magnetic trap.

Since 1988, the lithium loop has been used to study the stability of various nitride and oxide coatings on vanadium-base alloys. The nitrogen content in lithium has occasionally increased to >1000 wppm. Consequently, -3 g of calcium was added (as dissolved getter) to reduce the nitrogen concentration. The cold-trap loop became plugged within 24 h of the calcium addition. The magnetic trap. flowmeter and pump section of the cold-trap purification loop were removed for examination. The results from an investigation of the flow meter and pump sections were presented previously! The primary plugging component was (Ca,Zn)Ni₅ crystals' that were trapped in the magnetic field of the pump and flowmeter magnet Deposits of manganese/zinc/nickel dendrites and manganese/iron/nickel globules, as well as LigCrN₅ and possibly Ca₃N₂, were also identified in the residue collected from the plugged cold-trap pipe. The results of the investigation of the magnetic trap are presented in this report.

Examination of the Magnetic Trap

The magnetic trap, which consists of a Type 304 **SS** canister with a tube in the center for placing a cylindrical magnet, is connected in-line within the cold-trap loop, upstream from the pump and flowmeter. The lithium contained in the magnetic trap and the associated tubing was removed in an argon environment by heating to -270° C and applying a differential pressure of -3 psig to push out the lithium. The trap canister was sectioned and the contents were cleaned in a 5050 solution of methyl and ethyl alcohol. The solution and residue that were collected from different sections were examined to study mass transfer/deposition behavior.

The trap. after sectioning and partial cleaning, is shown in Fig. 2. The internal tube that contained the magnet is covered by deposits, the thickness of which is greatest at the poles of the magnet, where the magnetic flux density is greatest. The results indicate that a magnetic trap is an effective method for



Figwe 2. Sections of magnetic trap after partial cleaning

^{*} The source of zinc is a Korloy (Zn-11Al) clamp that accidentally fell into the test vessel of the loop.

capturing magnetic panicles within a liquid lithium loop. The solutions used to clean the magnet tubing and **trap** internals became dark yellow with a thick gray sludge. A strong odor of ammonia was detected during cleaning. Previous spectrographic **analysis^{3,4}** of the yellow solution showed a high concentration of chromium and indicated the presence of Li₉CrN₅, which decomposes in alcohol.

The gray sludge was cleaned in an 0.5 M HCI solution, rinsed in alcohol, and dried. Only fine **dark**gray particles remained. Exposure to a magnetic field indicated that these particles were highly magnetic. Two types of particles, faceted crystals and unordered globules, were observed. Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyses indicate that these particles are similar to the (Mn,Fe)Ni and (Mn,Fe)Ni₃ compounds that had **been** identified earlier in the particulate collected from plugged sections of the cold-trap loop.) Large (Ca,Zn)Ni₅ crystals and manganese/zinc/nickel dendrites. previously observed in the plugged flowmeter and pump sections of the loop, were not detected in the residue collected from the magnetic trap. The results indicate that flow through the cold-trap loop (Fig. 1) was reversed when calcium was added and the magnetic trap was downstream from the pump and flowmeter.

Photomicrographsof several faceted particles and globules are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, and 5 and 6, respectively. The surface morphology of the particles is dependent upon composition. The EDS spectra demonstrate the differences in composition of the panicles: the faceted crystals tend to contain more manganese than iron, and the globules tend to contain more iron. Some of the particles also contain small amounts of chromium. The presence of chromium seems to be random, because both faceted crystals (Fig. 4) and globules (Fig. 5) contain chromium. Particle (c) in Fig. 6 is similar to the faceted crystals, whereas panicle (c) in Fig. 4 is similar to the globules.

Large pieces of what appear to be broken ferrite layers were also detected in the residue; these are shown in Fig. 7. Austenitic SSs exposed to lithium develop a very porous femte layer because of preferential dissolution of nickel and, to some extent. chromium from the steel. The large metallic particles most likely **are** spalled ferrite layers from the loop material.



Figure 3. Photomicrograph of faceted (Mn, Fe)Ni crystals and corresponding X-ray spectra

!



Figure 4. Photomicrograph of faceted (Mn,Fe)Ni crystals and corresponding X-ray spectra



Figure 5. Photom crograph of (Mn,Fe)Ni particles and corresponding X-ray spectra

EDS analysis of the cross section of the trap canister indicated a high concentration of nickel adhering to the inside surface of the SS. Further investigation revealed that the high nickel concentration is due to a layer of globular deposits that are enriched in nickel and manganese on the inside surface of the canister. A photomicrograph of this surface is shown in Fig. 8. The surface has an etched appearance that is typical of austenitic SSs that have been exposed to lithium. However, the etched appearance is not caused by corrosion. The examined cross section of the trap canister did not show depletion of nickel or chromium from the steel because temperatures within the magnetic trap were too low for corrosion.



Figure 6. Photomicrograph of (Mn, Fe)Ni particles and corresponding X-ray spectra



Figure 7. Photomicrographof ferrite particles and corresponding X-ray spectra

The etched appearance is produced by deposition of major alloy elements on the surface of the trap canister. The deposits seem to have a preferred crystallographic orientation with respect to the substrate, thereby creating a distinct interface at the grain boundaries of the SS substrate. Region (a) in Fig. 8 contains the primary elements of Type 304 SS, i.e., Fe, Cr, and Mi. Manganese was not detected, although there is a nigner concentration of iron than normal for Type 304 SS. Region (b) shows considerable Fe, Ni, and Mn, with a lower concentration of Cr than Region (a). Particles at (c) and (d) are similar to the (Mn,Fe)Ni compounds shown in Figs. 3–6. The metallography results suggest that the globular panicles on the canister surface are produced by deposition of nickel, manganese, and iron from lithium. Such

deposits of nickel and manganese on loop materials have not been observed in lithium/SS systems. Earlier studies on mass transfer deposits in lithium/Type **316 SS** loops showed deposition of chromium dendrites and chromium-rich nodules containing small amounts of iron and nickel?

332



Figure 8. Photomicrograph *d* interior surface of magnetic-trap canister

CONCLUSIONS

Metallographic examination indicates that a magnetic trap is an effective method for capturing magnetic particles within a liquid lithium loop. Two types of particles, faceted crystals and globules, were observed in the residue collected from the magnetic trap. These particles **are** similar to the (Mn,Fe)Ni and (Mn,Fe)Ni₃ compounds that had been identified earlier in the particulate collected from plugged sections of the cold–trap loop that was **used** in this study. The large (Ca,Zn)Ni₅ crystals and manganese/zinc/nickel dendrites, previously observed in the plugged flowmeter and pump sections of the cold–trap loop, were not detected. The results indicate that the deposition of (Mn,Fe)Ni compounds in cooler regions is abundant in lithium/SS test loops.

FUTURE WORK

No future work is planned.

REFERENCES

- 1. O. K. Chopra, D. L. Smith, P. F. Tortorelli, J. H. DeVan, and D. K. Sze. *Liquid–Metal Corrosion*, Fusion Technol., *8*, 1956–1969 (1985).
- 2. **O.** K. Chopra and P. F. Tortorelli, Compatibility of Materials for Use in Liquid–Metal Blankets of Fusion Reactors, J. Nucl. Mater., 122 & 123, 1201–1212 (1984).
- O. K. Chopra and D. L. Smith, Corrosion & Ferrous Alloys in a Flowing Lithium Environment, J. Nucl. Mater., 133 & 134, 861–866 (1985).
- P. R. Luebbers and O. K. Chopra, Mass Transfer in Lithium/Stainless Steel Test Loop, 14th Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOEER-0313/14, 365-369 (1993).
- P. F. Tonorelli and J. H. DeVan, Mass Transfer Deposits in Lithium-Type 316 Stainless Steel Thermal-Convection Loops, Proc. 2nd International Conf. on Liquid Metal Technology in Energy Production, CONF-800401-P2, ANS/DOE, Washington, D. C., 13.55–13.63 (1980).

COMPATIBILITY OF OXIDIZED TYPE 316 SS WITH STATIC GALLIUM* P. R. Luebbers, **W**.F. Michaud, and O.K. Chopra (Argonne National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

Gallium has been considered **as** a possible heat **transport** liquid in the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER). Gallium is attractive for such applications because the temperature range over which it remains liquid is wider'than that of any other metal, i.e., from near room temperature (30° C) to $\approx 2300^{\circ}$ C, and because it has good thermal conductivity and very low vapor pressure **at** high temperatures. However, gallium has high chemical reactivity with most metals. The objective of this study is to investigate the compatibility of gallium with candidate structural materials for ITER first–wallblanket systems. In this reporting period, the stability of an iron[II] chromite coating on Type 316 stainless steel (SS) in liquid gallium was investigated.

SUMMARY

Scoping tests were conducted **cn** compatibility of gallium with Type 316 SS in three conditions: as-received, oxidized in water at 290°C for 100 h, and oxidized in air at 350°C for 100 h. Corrosion tests were conducted at 400°C for times up to 3000 h. The results indicate that at 400°C, an iron[II] chromite coating does not provide protection against corrosion. The oxide coating dissociates to form β -Ga₂O₃, and corrosion of the alloy proceeds by dissolution accompanied by formation of FeGa₃ and other gallium/intermetallic compounds. However, oxidized specimens have a lower corrosion rate than nonoxidized Type 316 SS. Corrosion rates are 4.0, 2.2, and 2.7 mm/y for Type 316 SS as-received. oxidized in water, and oxidized in air, respectively.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Refractory metals and ceramics show the greatest stability in gallium. Gallium can be contained successfully in beryllium, tantalum, stabilized $Z_{r}O_{2}$, or Pyrex glass at temperatures up to 450°C; in tungsten, rhenium, or graphite at temperatures up to 800°C; and in refractory oxides (such as sintered **BeO** or Al₂O₃) and vitreous **quartz** at 1000°C or **higher**.^{1~3} The corrosion resistance of refractory oxides at high temperatures encourages investigation into the possibility of establishing corrosion-resistant coatings on alloys that otherwise disintegrate in liquid gallium.

Oxygen reacts with gallium to form three compounds: the oxide GaO, the suboxide Ga₂O, and the sesquioxide β -Ga₂O₃. The allotrope β -Ga₂O₃ (gallium sesquioxide) is the most stable. Alcock and Jacob⁴ have reported the following equations for the solubility of oxygen in gallium (c₀), the standard free energy of solution of oxygen in gallium (ΔG_{0}°), and the standard free energy of formation of β -Ga₂O₃ (ΔG°) as:

$$\log[c_0 \text{ (ppm)}] = 4.264 - \frac{7380}{T} \qquad (1048 \text{ K} \le T \le 1398 \text{ K}) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}O_{2(g)} \rightarrow O_{Ga}$$

$$\Delta G_{0}^{\circ} = -220.73 \pm 0.0274 \text{ T} \quad (\pm 0.84) \text{ kJ} \qquad (2)$$

$$2Ga_{(1)} \pm \frac{3}{2}O_{2(g)} \rightarrow \beta Ga_{2}O_{3}$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -1086.63 \pm 0.3273 \text{ T} \quad (\pm 1.26) \text{ kJ} \qquad (3)$$

۴

^{*} Work supported by Office of Fusion Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38.

Т

Natesan⁵ has compiled thermodynamic data on the free energy of formation of oxides and the solubility of oxygen in liquid–alkali metals and structural metals. This information has **been** adapted to the case of liquid gallium using the above equations for oxygen in gallium. Results that are relevant for ITER applications **are** shown in Fig. 1.^{4–6} Based upon free energy of formation alone, all of the metal oxides shown, except for MoO₂, FeO, and NiO, should be stable in the presence of Ga₂O₃. The iron[II] chromite FeCr₂O₄ may be stable at elevated temperatures.

Figure 1 shows that oxides such as Cr_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 , and SiO_2 should provide protection against corrosion in liquid gallium. Unstable compounds, such **as** FeO and NiO, dissociate in liquid gallium to form β -Ga₂O₃, and alloy corrosion would proceed by dissolution of metallic elements to form metal/gallium intermetallic compounds.

Experimental Procedures

Corrosion tests were conducted in sealed alumina capsules. which were filled with $\approx 11 \text{ cm}^3$ (-65 g) of gallium in a high-purity argon environment. Each capsule contained a single corrosion test coupon held in place by an alumina positioning rod. The coupons were bent into a U shape to study the effect of cold work. Dimensions and weights of the specimens were recorded after degreasing and cleaning. Details of the experimental procedure have been reported previously.⁷ Corrosion coupons were prepared from **Type** 316 SS; five specimens were tested in as-received condition. four were oxidized in deionized water containing -6 ppm dissolved oxygen at 290°C for 100h, and four specimens were oxidized in air at 350°C for 100h prior to liquid gallium exposure. X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) revealed the presence of the single-phase spinel compound FeCr₂O₄ (iron[II] chromite) on the surface of the specimens oxidized in water, and it is assumed that the same compound existed **on** the specimens oxidized in air. Thicknesses of iron[II] chromite on specimens oxidized in water and air were 0.3 and <0.1 µm, respectively by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Corrosion tests were conducted at 400°C for times up to 1,076h for as-received specimens, and 3,000 h for oxidized specimens.





Results and Analysis

Corrosion test **results** for the Type **316 SS** specimens **are** given in Table 1. All corrosion coupons gained weight during exposure. Corrosion rates at 400°C decrease with time. This behavior may be attributed to changes in experimental conditions within the capsule. Corrosion may slow or stop completely when the intermetallic compounds form. This may happen in several ways because of the limited quantity of gallium used in the experiments and also because of space restrictions. For example, corrosion on the inner surface of the U–shaped coupon will stop when the space between the two sides of the specimen fills with reaction layer. Consequently, only values of metal loss up to **300** h were used to determine the corrosion rate of Type **316 SS** at 400°C. The corrosion rates at 400°C were estimated **as 2.2** and **2.7** mm/y for specimens oxidized in water and air, respectively. A corrosion **rate** for as–received specimens was estimated as 4.0 mm/y. Metal loss for the oxidized and the as–received specimens is plotted as a function of time in Fig. 2.

As reported previously? corrosion of Type **316 SS** occurs by dissolution accompanied by formation of Ga intermetallic compounds with the constituent elements. FeGa₃ forms from the saturated solution of Fe in Ga. The reaction occurs at the alloy/liquid-gallium interface. As the intermetallic compound forms and grows outward into the melt, a zone of liquid gallium resides close to the base metal, encapsulated in the porous crystalline structure of the intermetallic compound. The process continues as long as gallium can reach the reaction interface. Other constituent elements also dissolve in gallium and form intermetallic compounds with Ga that are dispersed throughout the reaction layer. Corrosion or dissolution can occur only with the growth of intermetallic compounds. The growth of these compounds may control the overall rate of corrosion of the alloy. Micrographs of a Type **316 SS** specimen tested in liquid gallium at 400°C for **1,076** h are shown in Fig. **3.** The reaction layer $\approx 10 \,\mu$ m from the remaining base metal is porous.

Before Exposure			Af	After Exposure		
Specimen No.	Weight (mg)	Thickness (µm)	Time (h)	Weight Change (mg/cm ²)	Metal Loss ^a (µm)	Reaction Layer'' (µm)
		A	s-receiv	ed		
SS-4A	860.6	540.5	24	31.2	21.3	90.2
SS-4F	854.1	513.5	48	19.8	-3.0	37.1
SS-4B	900.1	540.5	100	36.5	60.5	280.3
SS-4C	834.2	567.5	300	362.6	130.0	620.2
SS-4E	770.0	567.5	1076	394.5	160.1	802.2
	C	Dxidized in a	ir at 350)°C for 100h	<u>l </u>	
SSA-4B	757.5	540.5	100	27.2	23.3	73.4
SSA-4C	732.2	540.5	300	107.3	94.9	508.7
SSA-4D	792.0	513.5	1000	b	215.6	1275.3
SSA-4E	756.8	527.0	3000	comp	lete reaction	on°
Oxic	lized in w	ater (6ppm	dissolv	ed O2) at 296	°C for 10	<u>Oh</u>
SSW-4B	702.4	513.5	100	83.8	26.2	189.1
SSW-4C	721.3	513.5	300	135.8	76.3	465.8
SSW-4D	761.6	513.5	1000	b	173.6	998.6
SSW-4E	810.6	513.5	3000	comp	olete reacti	on ^c

Table 1. Corrosion datafor Type 316 SS in gallium conrained in sealed alumina capsules at 400 ℃

^a Accuracy of measurements±10 µm; measurements are per ride of specimen.

^b Post-exposure specimen cwld not be cleaned therefore final masses were not recorded.

^c Complete reaction to form FeGa3, CrGa4, and Ga2O3.



Upon cooling. the gallium present in this region remains encapsulated within the pores. The black **areas** away from the base metal are most likely open pores. SEM/EDS did not reveal differences in composition around these areas. The region within 10µm of the base metal does not contain open pores. The sound metal remaining shows no signs of intergranular attack. However, the cold–work **area seems** to have broken away from the rest of the specimen, allowing more severe corrosion at the ends of the specimen.

Micrographs of water- and air-oxidized Type 316 \$\$ specimens tested in liquid gallium at 400°C for 1000 h are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The general appearance of the oxidized specimens closely



Figure 3 Cross section & Type 316 SS specimen after exposure to gallium for 1076 hat 400°C

336

.


Figure 4. Cross section of Type 316 SS specimen oxidized in water and subsequently exposed to gallium for 1000 hat 400 °C



Figure 5. Cross section of 1ype 316 SS specimen oxidized in air and subsequently exposed to gallium for 1000 hat 4009:

resembles that of the specimen in Fig. 3. In both preoxidized specimens, only a thin band of the base metal remains. Again, no sign of internal c o m i v e attack was observed, and the cold-worked **area** was not intact. The specimen oxidized in air was more heavily attacked than the specimen oxidized in water. Metallographic examination revealed β -Ga₂O₃ dispersed on the outside of the reaction layer. Between the β -Ga₂O₃ and base metal is the FeGa₃, which formed after the gallium sesquioxide. Examination of short-term-exposed specimens indicated that wetting of the specimen surface and/or dissociation of the surface oxide required ≈ 100 h.

Gallium Filaments

During the course of examining mounted cross sections of specimens, an unusual phenomenon occurred. Specimens that developed an FeGa₃ surface layer during corrosion, e.g., **Type 316 SS** and **Armco** iron. subsequently developed what appeared to be extruded wires or whiskers of pure gallium "growing" on the surface of mounted cross sections. These wires were 0.1 to 0.5 mm thick and grew to various lengths up to 50 mm. Electron diffraction spectroscopy (EDS) indicated that the wires were pure gallium. A typical wire growing out of the reaction scale on a Type **316 SS** specimen is shown in Fig **6A**. A micrograph of the same region but with the wire removed is shown in Fig. 6B. The fine surface markings observed on all the wires suggest that they are being extruded out of the material. The fine parallel lines **an** the top flat surface of the gallium wire (Fig. 6A) exactly match the lamellae observed in the surrounding region. These lamellae create the parallel markings on the sides of the wires. Also, the ridges on the top surface of the wire shown in Fig. **6A** are indicative of a polycrystalline structure in the wire. However, Fig. 6B shows that there are no cavities or gallium-rich regions beneath these wires. They appear to be growing from the surface of the reaction scale. Region (a) in Fig. 6B was identified by EDS as FeGa₃. Region (b), which was at the base of the wire, had the same composition as Region (a). Only Region (c) consisted of pure gallium: most likely this region was pan of the wire that remained after the bulk of the wire was removed.



Figure 6 Micrographs of pure gallium wnusker (A) and specimen surface(B) after wire removal. Regions a, b, and c are described in text.

A similar phenomenon has been reported in the manufacture of clecuonic components. Tin-base solders have caused component **failure** due to the formation of tin whiskers which cause a shon to develop between contacts. Growth of Sn whiskers was observed in Au-Sn soldered lasers.⁸ The growth of Sn whiskers has been attributed to relaxation of internal strain. Oxidation was also considered to aid in whisker growth from nuclei formed on a metal surface. In the case of gallium whiskers, nuclei form on the metal surface; in fact they produce a fine replica of the surface. The strains caused by phase transformations may provide the necessary driving force for whisker formation.

CONCLUSIONS

Scoping tests have been conducted on compatibility of gallium with as-received and oxide-coated Type 316 SS at 400°C. specimens oxidized in water containing 6ppm dissolved oxygen for 100 h at 290°C show the lowest corrosion rate (2.2 μ m/y). specimens oxidized in air at 350°C for 100 h have a corrosion rate of 2.7 μ m/y, and specimens tested in gallium with no oxide layer have a corrosion rate of 4.0 μ m/y. The difference in corrosion rates of the oxidized specimens are attributed to oxide thickness: water-oxidized specimens had a 0.3- μ m-thick layer of FeCr₂O₄, and the specimens oxidized in air had a similar layer with a thickness of $\leq 0.1 \mu$ m. Corrosion rates based upon 300 h tests are lower for oxidized specimens because the iron(II)chromite layer prevented wetting of the metal for approximately 100 h. Upon dissociation of the oxide layer, corrosion of the alloy proceeded.

FUTURE WORK

No further work is planned because gallium is no longer being considered for use in ITER

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank C. Reed, P. Dombrowski, and R. Clark for experimental contributions, B. Tani for performing X-ray diffraction analyses, and T. F. Kassner for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. R. Kelman, W. D. Wilkinson, and F. L. Yaggec. *Resistance of Marerials to Attack by Liquid Metals*, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-4417 (July 1950).
- 2. W. D. Wilkinson, *Effects of Gallium on Marerials at Elevated Temperatures*, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-5027 (August 1953).
- 3. W. D. Wilkinson. *Effects of Gallium on Marerials at Elevated Temperatures Supplementary Report,* Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-4582 (August 1953).
- 4. C. B. Alcock and K. T. Jacob, *Solubility and Activity & Oxygen in Liquid Gallium and Gallium Copper Alloys.* J. Less-Com. Met., **53**, 211-222 (1977).
- 5. K. Natesan, Influence of Nonmetallic Elements on the Computibility of Structural Materials with Liquid Alkali Metals, J. Nucl. Mat. 115, 251-262 (1983).
- 6. O. Kubaschewski, E. LL. Evans. and C. B. Alcock, *Metallurgical Thermochemisrry*, 4th Ed., Pergamon Press, New York (1967) pp. 421-429.
- P. R. Luebbers, W. F. Michaud, and O. K. Chopra, Comparibility of Structural Marerials with Static Gallium, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiannual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, 370-379 (1993).
- 8. K. Mizuishi, Some Aspects & Bonding-Solder Dererioration Observed in Long-Lived Semiconducror Lasers: Solder Migruiion and Whisker Growrh, J. Appl. Phys., 55 (2), 289-295 (1984).

7.0 SOLID BREEDING MATERIALS AND BERYLLIUM

No contributions.

RADIATION EFFECTS IN BERYLLIUM USED FOR PLASMA PROTECTION - D. S. Gelles (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)", M. Dalle Donne, (Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany), G. A. Sernyaev (SF NIKIET, Russia Federation) and H. Kawamura (Blanket Irradiation & Analysis Laboratory, JAERI, Japan)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to provide a literature review of irradiation effects studies in beryllium. Jt forms the basis for an invited paper presented at the Sixth International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials held September 27 to October 1, 1993 in Stresa, Italy.

SUMMARY

This paper reviews the literature on beryllium, emphasizing the effects of irradiation on essential properties. Swelling and embrittlement experiments as a function of irradiation temperature and dose, and and as a function of neutron spectrum are described, and the results are quantified, where possible. Effects of impurity content are also reported, from which optimum composition specifications can be defined. Microstructural information has also been obtained to elucidate the processes controlling the property changes.

The available information indicates that beryllium divertors can be expected to embrittle quickly and may need frequent replacement.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Beryllium is presently a leading candidate material for fusion reactor first wall coating and divertor applications. This is largely a result of improved performance in the Joint European Torus (JET) after evaporated beryllium, and then beryllium tiles as a plasma facing material were installed in the limiter **area.**' In comparison with graphite that had been used previously, beryllium reduced the plasma radiation, increased the density limit, reduced the incidence of disruptions, and enhanced the deuteron pumping. Based in part on its good thermal and physical properties, but also a result of operating experience in JET, beryllium has recently been identified as the material of choice for the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) both as a plasma facing material and as a divertor material. In that application, it would provide the interface material between plasma and confinement structure in the first fusion reactor to generate significant quantities of **14** MeV neutrons.

Beryllium is also the candidate material of choice as the neutron multiplier in a solid breeder blanket design. In that capacity, it would provide additional neutrons for tritium production in a blanket containing lithium ceramics. However, design optimization studies have shown that the blanket must contain at least 70% beryllium to maximize tritium production. If beryllium is used as a plasma facing material, a divertor material, and blanket neutron multiplier in a fusion reactor design, it is apparent that the fusion reactor will incorporate beryllium as a major fraction of the materials making up the reactor

[&]quot;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

design. Operating such a fusion reactor may therefore be very dependent on the response of beryllium to 14 MeV neutron damage.

However, beryllium is degraded by radiation damage, both as a result of displacement damage and of transmutation. Displacement damage leads to point defect clustering, irradiation hardening, and embrittlement. Transmutation produces helium (and lithium), resulting in high levels of gas-driven swelling and embrittlement at high temperatures. It is not yet clear what limitations this damage will place on fusion reactor applications. Results of experiments seem to be contradictory.

The purpose of this review is to present data concerning effects of irradiation on essential properties. Swelling and embrittlement experiments as a function of irradiation temperature and dose and as a function of the neutron spectrum are described, and the results are quantified where possible. Effects of impurity content are also reported, and the optimum composition specifications can be defined. Microstructural information has also been obtained to explain the processes controlling the property changes. Previously published reviews on radiation damage effects in beryllium may be noted.'.'

Neutronic considerations

A major contributor to radiation damage in beryllium, in addition to displacement damage, is the production of helium and tritium from (n,2n) and (n,α) reactions. Each beryllium atom can transmute to two helium atoms depending on neutron energy according to the following reactions:

$${}^{9}\text{Be} + n \rightarrow {}^{8}\text{Be} + 2n \qquad (\text{effective threshold energy 2.7 MeV})$$

$${}^{8}\text{Be} \rightarrow 2^{4}\text{He} \qquad (1)$$

$${}^{9}\text{Be} + n \rightarrow {}^{6}\text{He} + {}^{4}\text{He} \qquad (\text{effective threshold energy 1.4 MeV})$$

$${}^{6}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{6}\text{Li}$$

$${}^{6}\text{Li} + n \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{3}\text{H} \qquad (\text{Primarily thermal neutrons}) \qquad (2)$$

As a consequence, under high energy neutron bombardment, helium is generated in much greater quantities in beryllium than in any other metal. It has been estimated that for a 14 MeV neutron wall loading of 1 MW/m^2 , 4.73 dpa/yr will be produced at a helium generation rate of 617 appm-Heldpa or 2920 appm-He/yr.³

Point Defect Accumulation and Swelling

Radiation damage in beryllium can best be characterized by distinguishing between point defect accumulation, point defect coalescence, and gas driven swelling.

Gol'tsev and coworkers⁶ have determined that at low temperatures where mobility of point defects is high enough to efficiently recombine and accumulate at point defect sinks, but gas atoms are practically immobile, swelling in beryllium can be described by the expression:

$$A V/V_{o} \approx 8.2 x 10^{-25} \phi t$$
 (3)

where ϕt is fast fluence in n/cm², E > 0.85 MeV. This is based on irradiations at 60°C, but can be used over the range of temperatures where helium remains in supersaturated solid solution.

From this expression, it can be noted that at a fluence of 10^{22} n/cm^2 , a swelling level of **0.8%** is predicted. Sernyaev' has published swelling data for various grades of pure beryllium irradiated at between **450** and **500°C** to doses between **5.7x10²¹** and **1.02x10²²** n/cm², **E** > **0.85** MeV. Swelling levels of between **0.4** and **0.8%** were recorded, the higher values corresponding to higher fluence levels. Sannen and De Raedt⁸ have published swelling data for vacuum hot pressed beryllium irradiated at **40** to **50°C** to fluences of **0.8**, **2.8**, and $3.9x10^{22} \text{ n/cm}^2$, **E** > 1 MeV. Swelling values of **0.65**, **1.57**, and **2.27%** were obtained, and **a** somewhat lower correlation factor of **0.58±0.3x10²⁵** was recommended for expression (**3**). Koonen⁹ has published swelling data for vacuum hot-pressed beryllium irradiated at **40** to **50°C** to fluences **as** high **as 8x10²² n/cm²**, **E** > 1 MeV with a maximum of **2.2%** diametral swelling (corresponding to **6.6%** volumetric swelling if swelling were isotropic.) Finally, Burmistrov and coworkers" published measurements on the beryllium core moderator block of an MIR reactor irradiated to a fluence of $3.2x10^{22} \text{ n/cm}^2$ at **40°C**, noting that the swelling did not exceed 0.3%, but that this was in agreement with expression (**3**). Therefore, the correlation factor of $8.2x10^{25}$ may not be valid for all materials.

For example, based on the review by Dalle Donne and co-workers," it can be shown that product form can control swelling response at low irradiation temperatures. Figure 1 provides a plot of volumetric swelling at temperatures below 100°C as a function of helium content, which is proportional to fluence. Significant differences are found between the swelling measurements of Sernyaev" and those obtained in western experiments.¹³⁻¹⁵ The Sernyaev data points all fall above the trend line defining western measurements. The difference is attributed to modern beryllium production and processing. The Sernyaev results are based on beryllium irradiated in the 1960s, whereas the western data in Figure 1 are more recent and show response on modem beryllium production technology. The earlier Russian beryllium is very anisotropic, with large grains and relatively high amounts of impurities (BeO and others) whereas modem beryllium made by powder processing techniques is fine grained and more isotropic. This therefore emphasizes an effect of microstructure on low temperature swelling response.

An effect of higher fluence is demonstrable from the Koonen measurements' where beryllium was irradiated at 40 to 50°C in the BR2 Research Reactor. Non-linear response can be identified for fluences above 6.4×10^{22} n/cm², E > 1 MeV so that swelling increases either in a bilinear or quadratic fashion from that dose. Based on these measurements, safety issues have been satisfied to allow irradiation of beryllium as BR2 in-core structural components to this fluence of 6.4×10^{22} n/cm², E > 1 MeV. This decision attests to the use of modern hot-pressed beryllium for use in high fluence irradiation applications.

Beeston and Miller¹⁶ provide a somewhat different expression for swelling **as** a function of fluence applicable to the temperature range 400 to 600° C:

$$A V/V_{a} = 1.83 \times 10^{-58} (\phi t)^{2} T^{4}$$
(4)

where ϕt is fast fluence in n/cm², E > 1 MeV, and T is the temperature in K. This expression was derived from density change measurements made on a beryllium cylinder that was irradiated in a flux gradient in a fast breeder reactor for 4 years and cut into pieces, providing 26 samples with fluences between 0.7 and 1.3×10^{22} n/cm², E > 1 MeV, at irradiation temperatures between 427 and 482°C. From this expression, it can he noted that at 500°C and a fluence of 10^{22} n/cm², a swelling level of 0.65% is predicted, in reasonable agreement with the data from Sernyaev.' However, for an irradiation temperature of 50°C and a fluence of 10^{22} n/cm², expression (4) predicts 0.02%, and therefore, this equation cannot

predict the results of Sannen and De Raedt⁸ or Koonen.⁹



Fig. 1. Swelling in beryllium irradiated at temperatures below 100°C as a function of helium content.

At temperatures where helium and tritium mobility becomes large enough to allow consolidation into large bubbles, the swelling accumulation during neutron irradiation is larger by over an order of magnitude, and the swelling dependence on fluence and temperature is different. The dependence can be described by the expression from Sernyaev'

$$A V/V_{\rho} \simeq M \cdot T \exp[-Q/(4kT)](\phi t)^{3/2}$$
(5)

where M is a structure-sensitive factor and T, Q, \mathbf{k} , and ϕt have their usual meaning. Sernyaev has analyzed available data and found that Q is 2.1 ± 0.1 eV/at and M varies from 0.31 to 1.65x10⁻³⁴ K⁻¹ (n/cm²)^{-3/2}. The dependence of M on materials parameters was found to correlate best with a processing procedure such that bot pressing produced significantly higher values for M than did extrusion. However, given a specific processing procedure, M was found to increase with decreasing oxygen content and with increasing grain size. Therefore, to minimize high temperature swelling, extruded materials with oxygen levels on the order of 3% and grain diameters between 10 and 20 µm would be recommended. Examples of swelling in beryllium irradiated at high temperatures are given in Figure 2. Figure 2 (a) shows the data of Sernyaev' from which the structure-sensitive factor analysis described above is based and Figure 2 (b) gives results from Burmistrov.¹⁰ Swelling as high as 18% can be noted in hot-pressed 56 μ m grain size beryllium irradiated to 8.9×10^{21} n/cm², E > 0.85 MeV. In both plots, it is apparent that swelling in beryllium is sensitive to manufacturing and processing variables.



Fig. 2. Swelling in Beryllium as a function of irradiation temperature (a) from ref. 7 and (b) from ref. 10. Notation in (a) is as follows: (1) is single crystal; (2, 6, 7) are hot pressed, grain size (g.s.) 56 μ m; (3,5) are hot pressed, g.s. 600 μ m; (4) is thermally extruded, g.s. 400 μ m. Also, (1-3) are at $6x10^{20}$, (4,5) are at $5.7x10^{21}$, (6) is at $8.9x10^{21}$ and (7) is at $1.02x10^{22}$ n/cm², E > 0.85 MeV.; notation in (b) is as follows: (1) g.s. 30 μ m, (2) g.s. 20 μ m, and (3) g.s. 8 to 13 μ m and fluences for (1,2) 5.7 x 10^{21} , and for (3) 3.5 x 10^{21} n/cm² (E > 0.1 MeV).

To understand the consequences on swelling of helium present in beryllium, a number of experiments have been performed where specimens irradiated at low temperatures were subsequently heated to higher temperatures, and the resultant increases in swelling were measured.¹⁶⁻²² Often, these experiments used 1 hour annealing increments, but many followed the same condition at temperature for many hours. The swelling produced depended somewhat on the neutron fluence, because higher doses produced higher helium levels. Doses were poorly quantified, but ranged from 5×10^{20} to 10^{22} n/cm². The general response of annealing experiments can be summarized **as** follows. Effects of annealing on swelling were only observed for temperatures of 600°C and above, but at 600 and 700°C, all annealing experiments produced swelling levels of 1% or less."." One hour anneals at 800°C produced swelling levels as high as 14%," but generally the values were negligible^{18-20,22} or between 3 and 5.5%.²¹ One hour anneals at 900°C raise the swelling somewhat, giving values ranging from 0.5 to 17%, and 1000°C anneals raised the maximum values to about 32%,¹⁷ but still higher annealing temperatures of 1100 and 1200°C produced swelling only on the order of 40%.^{17,20} The data have been analyzed to show Arhenius behavior (with an activation energy of 40 kcal/mole, about the value for self-diffusion in beryllium) for 1 hour anneals over the temperature range 600 to 900°C, but a saturation in behavior at higher temperatures occurred, corresponding to about 30% (after heating to about 1000°C for 1 hour.)"

When longer annealing times were **used**,^{19,20,22} swelling sometimes increased to much higher levels, approaching saturation behavior **as** noted above. For example, at 800°C where 1 hour anneals produced negligible swelling, anneals for 20 hours²⁰ and 1000 hours" produced swelling on the order of 10%, and at 900°C, anneals for 700 hours produced 10% swelling^{ZZ} and for 10 hours produced **25% swelling**.²⁰ The swelling **as** a function of annealing time has been shown to give linear behavior on log-log plots, and an activation energy of **22** kcal/mole was calculated for the controlling process?" However, two examples of rapid swelling after apparent saturation are reported," probably similar in process to the tritium burst-release identified in more recent experiments where most of the tritium was released in a sudden burst.^{8,23}

More recently, Sernyaev" has studied the initiation and growth of helium gas bubbles in single crystal beryllium irradiated at 60°C to fluences of 2.6 and 5.1×10^{21} nicm', E > 0.8 MeV, and then annealed at temperatures of 100 to 1100°C with 1 hour hold times. Techniques employed included small angle x-ray scattering (SAS), differential microcalorimetry (DMC), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and it was possible to demonstrate that bubble nucleation occurred at temperatures from 350 to 600°C and that bubbles grew above 600°C. The SAS measurement also allowed estimates of mean bubble diameter as a function of annealing time, with the interesting result that the specimen irradiated to lower fluence developed larger bubbles at temperatures above 775°C with bubbles approaching 12.5 nm in diameter.

Mechanical properties - hardening and embrittlement

Beryllium metal has the hexagonal close packed (HCP) crystal structure with a c/a ratio of 1.5677 at temperatures below 1254°C. As with other HCP and body centered cubic crystal structures, beryllium displays a thermally activated deformation response at low temperatures, leading to increasing yield strength with decreasing temperature, and a tendency for twinning and brittle fracture at low temperatures. Slip has been observed on basal, prism, and pyramidal planes in beryllium, but due to the thermal activation process that controls at low temperatures, slip can become difficult, and embrittlement results if the temperature is low enough. However, beryllium differs from other metals in that the elastic moduli are very high, the interatomic distance is very short, the Poisson's ratio is very low, and the Debye temperature is very high.²⁴ All are indications of strong interatomic forces, and therefore beryllium is more sensitive than most metals to embrittlement at low temperatures.

However, manufacturers have successfully produced beryllium with reasonable room temperature mechanical properties. In general, two approaches are responsible: higher purity and refined grain size. This has generally necessitated the use of powder metallurgy fabrication techniques. However, there are few producers of beryllium in the world.

Irradiation adversely affects the mechanical properties of beryllium due to two processes. Point defects produced by radiation damage can cluster to form obstacles to dislocation motion, and helium can migrate to point defect sinks to form bubbles which are also obstacles to dislocation and grain boundary motion. These bubbles can provide nucleation sites for cracking. Restrictions to dislocation motion are manifested **as** hardening, strength increases, ductility decreases, fracture toughness decreases, and embrittlement. A large number of studies on beryllium have included experiments to study these **phenomena**.^{17-20,22,25-42} These include results on strength and ductility changes due to irradiation,^{18-20,22,25-38} bend test **response**,^{17,38} hardness,^{17,18,20,25,27,36,39} fracture **toughness**,⁴⁰⁻⁴² and stress **rupture**.^{36,39} However, most of those studies were performed about 30 years ago when an international effort was made to develop beryllium **as** a fuel cladding material for fission reactors. Unfortunately, the dosimetry is crude, and it is very difficult to quantitatively compare results of the different studies. The database on irradiation effects to mechanical properties has been reviewed **previous**ly.^{36,43}

Studies on strength and ductility changes due to irradiation have shown that, **as** with swelling studies, irradiation temperature affects response. At low irradiation temperatures, strength increase due to

irradiation is most evident, whereas at high irradiation temperatures on the order of 650°C, irradiation embrittlement can occur without significant strength increase. To minimize the possibility of zero ductility response, a number of yield strength measurement techniques have been applied including uniaxial tensile strength, compressive strength, shear strength, splitting tensile strength, flexural strength and three point bend tests.

However, the general trend of the response following low-temperature irradiation is for strength to begin to increase at fluences on the order of 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1 MeV), to saturate as ductility approaches zero, and then to decrease with further fluence. An example is shown in Figure 3 (a) providing comparison of available data for increase in tensile yield strength as a function of fluence. The original plot, provided by Hickman,'' predicted linear behavior based on the " \Box " symbols, but more recent data do not support a linear description. Figure 3 (b), originally provided by Beeston and coworkers,'' shows compressive fracture or yield strength as a function of fluence for specimens irradiated at 120°C and emphasizes the tendency for reduced strength beyond fluences where saturation occurs. Note that saturation in compressive tests can be expected to occur at a higher fluence because compression allows testing to higher levels of strength before failure.



Fig. 3. Tensile strength increase (a) or compressive fracture or yield strength (b) as a function of Fluence (E > 1 MeV) for beryllium specimens irradiated at 75 to 125°C.

Hardness measurements further demonstrate linear response in strength with fluence at low temperatures. Figure 4 (a) shows diamond pyramid hardness for beryllium irradiated in the temperature range 35 to 100°C, originally compiled by Hickman,'' but now including iurther data,²⁹ plotted logarithmically as a function of fluence. Hardness values begin to increase at fluences on the order of 2×10^{19} n/cm², similar to the yield strength response. The plot is linear with only a hint of saturation.

However, response following irradiation at higher temperatures gives reduced hardening. Figure 4 (b) has been prepared to show this effect. The trend line from Figure 4 (a) is reproduced, and available data points showing hardness increase are plotted and labelled with the irradiation temperature. The tendency is **for 280** to 400°C data points to lie close to the trend line, but **as** irradiation temperature is increased, hardness increase is reduced. Irradiation at 700°C³⁹ produces softening, and those data points have not been included.

Strength changes following irradiation at 280°C and above follow these trends, but the dependence of strength increase as a function of fluence may be different. Walters'' has noted that yield strength as a function of dose **is** linear when plotted as $(\phi t)^{46}$ for specimens irradiated at 350°C. Similar slopes are produced by the data from other experiments following irradiation from 280 to 500°C. This response is shown in Figure **5**.



Fig. 4. Increase in hardness as a function of fluence for beryllium specimens (a) irradiated at 35 to 100°C and (b) irradiated at 280 to 650°C.



Fig. 5. Increase in yield strength as a function of fluence to the 1/3 power for intermediate irradiation temperatures as per Walters." All measurements are in tension and for fluences of E > 1 MeV except where noted.

At still higher temperatures, such as 650°C and above, the strength behavior is more complicated. About half the experiments indicate a strength increase, 25,26,30 and the rest show a decrease. 18,27,33,39 An explanation is provided in Figure 6, which shows an example of compressive yield strength at room temperature in beryllium specimens irradiated at 650°C.³⁸ The strength is found to increase to a maximum at fluences on the order of $6x10^{20}$ nlcm', E > 0.85 MeV, and then to decrease to levels significantly lower than the unirradiated strength. This response would explain why, in Figure 4 (b), the hardness values for several lower fluence, high temperature irradiation conditions appear near or on the trend line.

Concurrent with irradiation induced strengthening, reduction in ductility and embrittlement occurs. This was generally because ultimate tensile strength reduced with fluence, and therefore, the allowable plastic deformation was reduced. A number of examples are found in the literature where irradiation resulted in negligible ductility or complete embrittlement during bend testing¹⁶ and tensile testing.^{20,21,29,37,38} This included irradiations at temperatures of 100°C or below to fluences as low as 4x10° n/cm²,^{17,20,29} irradiations at 280 to 400°C to fluences of 10^{21} n/cm²,^{20,37,38} and irradiation at 650°C to 10^{21} n/cm².³⁸ However, it is likely that in all cases, the sources of beryllium used older production techniques. No results on hot pressed powder products are reported showing completely brittle response.

Three reports have addressed the issue of fracture toughness degradation due to **irradiation**.⁴⁰⁻⁴² However, the first two consider irradiation at liquid nitrogen temperature and are therefore not fusion relevant. Moderate reductions in fracture toughness were found in those cases following low fluence irradiation $(8x10^{18},^{40} \text{ and } 7x10^{17} \text{ n/cm}^2,^{41})$. They mainly serve to demonstrate the thermal activation nature of beryllium; testing at lower temperature gives lower fracture toughness values. A report by Beeston is more relevant." The material studied **was** nuclear-grade hot-pressed beryllium, including aporous product intended to expand understanding of porous material response, and irradiation was to fluences of **3.5** to



Fig. 6. Compressive strength and Elongation as a function of fluence (E > 0.85 MeV) for beryllium specimens irradiated at 650°C.³⁸

 $5.0 \times 10^{21} \text{ n/cm}^2$, E > 1 MeV at 66°C. The fracture toughness of solid beryllium was found to be reduced from **12.0** MPa m¹⁶ to **5** MPa due to irradiation, and the fracture toughness of porous beryllium with a sightly higher unirradiated value of **13.1** MPa m¹⁶ was reduced to 4.2 MPa.

Microstructural investigations

A number of studies have included efforts to examine microstructural features to better understand irradiation phenomena in beryllium. These have included fractographic examinations to reveal bubbles on grain boundaries, transmission electron microscopy to reveal bubble distributions, and transmission electron microscopy to reveal dislocation loops and black spot damage. Early workers relied on either replica techniques, scanning microscopy, or even optical microscopy to show fracture initiation sites.^{17,20,25,26,28,30,33,39,44} Helium bubble investigations considered smaller bubble sizes by using transmission electron microscopy, ^{10,16,20,23,37,45-48} and often employed annealing experiments to better understand bubble development.^{17,19,20,22,32,34,44-48} Several studies havenoted dislocation loop development **as well**.^{19,23,32,34,37,49-51}

These microstructural investigations confirmed the different regimes of response **as** a function of temperature noted previously. At irradiation temperatures of 400°C and below, damage consisted of black spot or loop damage.^{19,23,32,34,37,45,47,49,50} Burgers vector analysis of the loop structure in irradiated beryllium, when attempted, gave different results. Following neutron irradiation **at** 350°C to 2×10^{20} fast n/cm², dislocation loops 20 to 70 nm in diameter were generally-found on {1120} planes, but additional small numbers were **also** observed on {1010}, {1101}, and {1122} planes, and the Burgers vector responsible in **these** latter cases was expected to be $\frac{1}{3} < 1120$ > dislocation tangles and a high density of c<0002> loops, approximately 20 nm in diameter, were found?' Finally, following 1 MeV electron irradiation at 100°C to a dose of 4 dpa, loops-appeared to be close to end-on orientation near {1120} planes, and therefore, a **Burgers** vector of $\frac{1}{3} < 1120$ > was indicated. This probably means that the common Burgers vector for loops in irradiated beryllium is $\frac{3}{4} < 1120$ >, but loops with a \vec{c} component do form at a slower rate.

At higher temperatures, the microstructural product of irradiation is mainly bubbles. Helium bubbles are observed at grain boundaries following irradiation in the temperature range 325 to 400°C,^{16,37} and are reported on dislocations within grains following irradiation at 450 to 550.^{20,37,46,47} However, following irradiation at temperatures of 600°C and above, all investigators report bubbles, generally on grain boundaries.^{10,19,25,26,28,30,33,39,44,46,47} Many of the fractographic studies revealed that large bubbles located on grain boundaries were facetted. This was also noted for smaller **cavities**,^{37,46,47} but in general, bubbles were considered to be spherical.

Many studies included microstructural examinations following high temperature annealing treatments.^{17,19,20,22,23,32,34,4448} The results reported can be summarized with three observations: bubbles formed on dislocations as a result of anneals in the temperature range 300 to 900°C,^{20,39,45,46,48} dislocation loops were annihilated following annealing in the temperature range 500 to 600°C,^{19,34,47,48} and only bubbles were present following anneals at 600°C and above.^{17,20,22,32,34,48} Also of note is an observation of Shiozawa and co-workers^{46,47} that bubbles attached to dislocation networks did not change size and distribution below 900°C. Bubble growth under annealing conditions was presumed to occur only under dislocation and grain boundary sweeping conditions. However, this observation may only apply to annealing experiments; under neutron irradiation, helium atom migration was feasible.

Composition optimization

Three examples of composition optimization have been provided above. Beryllium fabrication is optimized by purification and refined grain size. Analysis of swelling response at high temperatures by

Semyaev' showed that swelling is increased (when the M parameter **is** increased) with decreasing oxygen content and with increasing grain size. Extruded materials with oxygen levels on the order of 3% and grain diameters between 10 and $20 \,\mu$ m would be recommended to minimize swelling at high temperatures. Finally, comparison of swelling response at low temperatures" showed that modem tine-grained isotropic materials provide greater swelling resistance than older, coarse grained, anisotropic material with high levels of impurity. All three optimizations indicated that modem tine-grained beryllium will be more serviceable for plasma protection than the materials on which early experiments were based.

Health issues

Use of beryllium is associated with a hazard to health. It has been reputed to be one of the most toxic elements known, However, the toxicity of beryllium metal and its oxide is usually manifested **as a** lung disease, resulting from inhaling the powder?"." Now known by the name berylliosis, the disease is understood to arise from inhaling powder particles of sufficient fineness ($<5 \mu$ m) to reach certain lung membranes where they can cause allergic reaction. Large amounts of particles can overwhelm the lungs and lead *to* respiratory failure. This response may occur relatively soon after excessive exposure, or after a latent period of several years, and the severity of response is variable from one person to another. In fact, other health risks from beryllium, such **as** cancer, are now discounted."

Therefore, the use of beryllium, **per se,** in a fusion device does not constitute a hazard to health. Only inhaling significant quantities of tine powders of beryllium leads to berylliosis. It can be argued that the presence of tritium in a fusion device constitutes **a** much greater safety issue.

Discussion

The preceding description of neutron damage to beryllium indicates that beryllium components must be designed with care. Response **is** different as a function of irradiation temperature, and damage at low temperatures can lead to gross property changes following heating to higher temperatures. The basic mechanisms controlling behavior are displacement damage and gas production by transmutation. Response can best be divided into four regimes of temperature: (1) low temperature (<RT) response where point defects are created, but mobility is so low that coalescence is rare, (2) somewhat higher temperatures (RT to 300°C), where point defects are mobile, hut gas atoms are practically immobile, (3) still higher temperatures (300 to 600°C), where gas atoms become mobile, and (4) very high temperatures where **gas** pressure driven swelling becomes dominant (>600°C). The lowest temperature regime has been ignored in this report.

Microstructural evolution in these temperature regimes **is as** follows. At temperatures from room temperature to 300°C where point defects are mobile but gas atoms are not, point defects coalesce into dislocation loops, and the loops grow and evolve into a complex dislocation network with basal and non-basal Burgers vectors represented. **Ges** atoms become trapped in the microstructure. Therefore, at these temperatures, beryllium swells at a modest rate, and hardens, which leads to embrittlement. Growth (change in shape under irradiation) can also be anticipated. At temperatures from 300 to 600°C where gas atoms become mobile, gas bubbles form, most visibly on grain boundaries, but they are also probably present on dislocations. The accumulation of helium at bubbles is probably by a mechanism similar to solute segregation, in which impurity atoms are dragged to point defect sinks by interaction with moving point defects. Swelling and mechanical property degradation occur at somewhat different rates in this temperature range than at lower temperatures. At temperatures over 600°C where gas bubbles can come into thermal equilibrium, bubbles grow in response to internal pressurization, leading to enhanced swelling and the creation of very large bubbles on grain boundaries. These large bubbles provide crack nucleation sites resulting in embrittlement without significant increases in strength.

Mechanical property degradation can be severe. Examples are provided showing complete ductility loss in beryllium following irradiation to doses on the order of 10^{21} nlcm'. However, it **is** apparent that large variations in response are possible, depending on fabrication procedures and the resultant product form. Results on more modem materials prepared by powder processing and hot pressing show acceptable ductility and fracture toughness, or lower swelling, and even porous beryllium made in this way gives acceptable ductility and toughness."," Therefore, it appears that the disappointing results obtained during beryllium **fuel** cladding development efforts can be mitigated by improved fabrication procedures.

Given the significant property degradation of beryllium under neutron irradiation, it may be necessary to design blanket and first wall areas so that beryllium components are not required to provide structural support. For example, beryllium can be encapsulated in the blanket and can he replaced by plasma spraying procedures on the first wall. The divertor design my pose greater problems.

However, it must be emphasized that available database information **is** extremely limited with regard to material produced by modem methods. **Also**, all irradiation tests have been performed using fission reactors where the major transmutation response is defined by equation (2). **As** a consequence, effects of lithium and tritium production are overemphasized, and the effects of helium production are somewhat reduced in comparison with the fusion condition where large numbers of neutrons have energies above 2.7 MeV, and therefore equation (1) applies. **A** more complete database **is** required, using prototypic materials irradiated at high temperatures appropriate for divertor applications. **Also**, high energy neutron irradiation **is** needed.

CONCLUSIONS

This review of neutron irradiation effects to beryllium demonstrates that beryllium is degraded by radiation damage both as a result of displacement damage and of transmutation. Swelling and embrittlement are significant with complex temperature dependencies. Degradation in the properties of beryllium can be expected to be of concern for divertor applications, may lead to thermal conductivity changes at high swelling levels in blanket applications, and may contribute to flaking of beryllium first wall coatings. However, more modem fabrication techniques provide materials with greater radiation resistance, and there is cause for hope that modem materials will have sufficient radiation resistance to allow components to operate to fluences on the order of $6x10^{\circ}$ n/cm², E > 1 MeV or higher.

A much more complete testing program, including high energy neutron irradiation, is required to qualify these newer materials for fusion applications and to determine lifetime limits.

FUTURE WORK

This effort is completed

REFERENCES

1. P. R. Thomas and the JET Team, 9th International Conference on Plasma Surface Interactions and Controlled Fusion Devices, JET-P (90)41,1.

2. M. F. Smith and A. W. Mullendore, J. Nucl. Mater., 122 & 123 (1984)855.

3. W. G. Wolfer and T. J. McCarville, Fusion Tech., 8 (1985) 1157.

4. J. B. Mitchell, <u>J. Fusion Energy</u>, 5 (1986) 327,

5. K. L. Wilson, R. A. Causey, W. L. Hsu, B. E. Mills, M. F. Smith and J. B. Whitley, <u>J. Vac. Sci.</u> <u>Technol. A</u>, 8 (1990) 1750.

6. V. P. Gol'tsev, G. A. Sernyaev and Z. I. Chechetkina, <u>Radiatsionnoe Materialovedenie Berilliva</u>, Minsk: Nauka i Tekhnika (1977) 38.

7. G. A. Semyaev, "Swelling of Beryllium in a Mode of High-Temperature Neutron Irradiation," <u>Voprosy Atomnoi Nauki i Tekniki</u>, No 2 (56), (1991) 16, PNL-TR-488.

8. L. Sannen and Ch. De Raedt, "The Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Beryllium," presented at 17th Symposium on Fusion Technology, Rome Sept. 14-18, 1992.

9. E. Koonen, in <u>Proceedings of the International Symposium on Research Reactor Safety</u>, Operations and Modification, AECL-9926, Vol. **3** (1990) 737.

10. V. N. Burmistrov, Yu. D. Goncharenko, V. A. Kazakov, O. Yu. Shvedov, V. A. Gorokhov, and I. B. Kupriyanov, "Certain Aspects of the Radiation Stability of Beryllium with Application to Synthesis Reactor Conditions from Results of Tests in a Fission Reactor," presented at the Second International Conference on Effects of Irradiation on Materials for Fusion Reactors, held September 21-24, 1992 in St. Petersburg, Russia, PNL-TU-487.

11. M. Dalle Donne, F. Scaffidi-Argentina, C. Ferrero and C. Ronchi, "Modelling of Swelling and Tritium Release in Irradiated Beryllium," proceeding of ICFRM-6.

12. G. A. Sernyaev, "Some Physical Properties of Beryllium **as** a Material for Neutron Multiplier," in <u>Modular Variant of ITER Ceramic Blanket</u> (ITER, Garching, July 1990).

13. J. M. Beeston, "Properties of Irradiated Beryllium: Statistical Evaluation, EG&G Idaho Report TREE-I063 (1976).

14. E. Koonen, "Study of Irradiation Effects and Swelling of Irradiated Beryllium," CEN/SCK **Report**, Reactor Safety Analysis BR2 Department (1989). (See also')

15. J. M. Beeston, G. R. Longhurst, L. G. Miller and R. A. Causey, "Gas Retention in Irradiated Beryllium," EGG-FSP-9125 (1990).

16. J. M. Beeston, L. G. Miller, E. L. Wood, Jr., and R. W. Moir, <u>J. Nucl. Mater.</u>, 122 & 123 (1984) 802.

17. J. B. Rich, G. B. Redding and R. S. Barnes, J. Nucl. Mater., 1 (1959) 96.

18. B. S. Hickman, in <u>The Metallurgy of Bervllium</u>. Inst of Metals Monograph No. 28 (Institute of Metals, London, 1961) 410.

19. J. B. Rich and G. P. Walters, in <u>The Metallurgy of Bervllium</u>, lnst of Metals Monograph No. 28 (Institute of Metals, London, 1961) 362.

20. J. B. Rich, G. P. Walters and R. S. Barnes, J. Nucl. Mater., 4 (1961) 287

21. C. E. Ells and E. C. W. Perryman, J. Nucl. Mater., 1 (1959) 73.

22. S. Morozumi, S. Goto and M. Kinno, J. Nucl. Mater., 68 (1977) 82

23. G. A. Semyaev, "The Formation of Helium Bubbles and Energy Phenomena in Beryllium," <u>Voprosy</u> <u>Atomnoi Nauki i Tekniki</u>, No 2 (56), (1991) 82, PNL-TR-490.

24. D. McLean in <u>Conference Internationale sur la Metallurgie du Bervllium</u> (Presses Universitaires de France, Grenoble, 1965) 3.

25. B. S. Hickman, G. Bannister, J. H. Chute, J. G. McCracken, R. Smith and J. C. Bell, "Irradiation of Beryllium at Elevated Temperatures," TRG Report 540, UKAEA, 1963.

26. B. S. Hickman and G. Bannister, "Irradiation of Beryllium at Elevated Temperatures," Part II, AAEC/E-115, 1963, also issued as TRG Report 532.

27. B. S. Hickman and G. T. Stevens, "The Effect of Neutron Irradiation on the Mechanical Properties of Irradiation of Beryllium at Elevated Temperatures," Part II, AAEC/E-115, 1963, also issued as TRG Report 532.

28. G.T. Stevens and B. S. Hickman, "Effect of Irradiation on the Mechanical Properties of Beryllium Metal," AAEC/E-133, 1965.

29. M. H. Bartz, in <u>Proceedings of the 2nd United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses</u> of Atomic Energy, Vol. **5** (United Nations, Geneva 1958) 466.

30. J. M. Beeston, in Effects of Radiation on Structural Materials, ASTM STP 426, (ASTM, Philadelphia PA, 1967) 135.

31. J. M. Beeston, G. R. Longhurst, R. S. Wallace and A. P. Abeln, J. Nucl. Mater., 195 (1992) 102.

32. J. M. Beeston, M. R. Martin, C. R. Brinkman, G. E. Korth and W. C. Francis, in <u>Symposium on</u> <u>Materials Performance in Operating Nuclear Systems</u>. M. S. Wechsler and W. H. Smith, Eds., CONF-730801, 1973, 59.

33. E. D. Hyam and G. Sumner, in Radiation Damage in Solids, I (IAEA, Vienna, 1962) 323.

34. G. P. Walters, <u>J. Less Common Metals</u>, 11 (1966) 77. (Earlier version in <u>Conference on the Physical Metallurev of Bervllium</u>, Gatlinburg, 1963, CONF-170, 138.)

35. E. H. Smith, J. L. Liebenthal, B. V. Winkel, J. M. Beeston and W. C. Francis, in <u>Symposium on</u> <u>Materials Performance in Operating Nuclear Systems</u>. M. S. Wechsler and W. H. Smith, Eds., CONF-730801, 1973, 41.

36. J. M. Beeston, Nucl. Engineering and Design, 14 (1970) 445

37. D. S. Gelles and H. L. Heinisch, J. Nucl. Mater., 191-194 (1992) 194.

38. V. Barabash, "Brief Review of Be Study for Plasma Facing Component in RF for ITER Reactor," presented at the US/RF Exchange Meeting, September **17-19**, **1992** in St. Petersburg, Russia.

39. J. R. Weir, in <u>The Metallurgy of Beryllium</u>. Inst of Metals Monograph No. **28** (Institute of Metals, London, **1961**) **395**.

40. D. L. Harrod, T. F. Hengstenberg and M. J. Manjoine, J. Mater., 4 (1969) 618

41. R. L. Kesterson, Trans. Amer. Nucl. Soc., 14 (1971) 607

42. J. M. Beeston, in Effects of Radiation on Structural Materials, ASTM STP 683, (ASTM, Philadelphia PA, 1979) 309.

43. B. S. Hickman, in <u>Studies in Radiation Effects</u>, Series A, Vol. 1, G. J. Dienes, Ed. (Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, Inc., N.Y., 1966) 72.

44. R. Sumerling and E. D. Hyam, in <u>The Metallurgy of Beryllium</u>, Inst of Metals Monograph No. 28 (Institute of Metals, London, 1961) 381.

45. R. S. Banes in <u>The Metallurev of Beryllium</u>, Inst of Metals Monograph No. 28 (Institute of Metals, London, 1961) 372.

46. Y. Mishima, S. Ishino and S. Shiozawa, in Beryllium 1977, (The Royal Society, London, 1977) 25/1.

47. S. Shiozawa, thesis, "the Electron Microscopic Studies on the Irradiated and Deformed Hexagonal-Close-Packed Metals, University of Tokyo, 1977.

48. R. Nagasaki, S. Ohashi, S, Kawasaki, Y Karita and N Tsuno, J. Nucl. Sci. Tech., 8 (1981) 546

49. G. P. Walters, C. M. Van Der Walt and M. J. Makin, <u>J. Nucl. Mater.</u>, 11 (1964) 335. (Earlier version in <u>Conference on the Physical Metallurgy of Beryllium</u>, Gatlinburg, 1963, CONF-170, 126.)

50. G. J. C. Carpenter and R. G. Fleck, in Beryllium 1977, (The Royal Society, London, 1977) 26/1.

51. R. G. Fleck, in Effects of Radiation on Materials, ASTM STP 782, (ASTM, Philadelphia PA, 1982) 735.

52. W. Jones Williams, in Beryllium 1977, (The Royal Society, London, 1977) 47/1.

53. O. P. Preuss, <u>Fusion Tech.</u>, <u>8</u> (1985) 1137

POSTIRRADIATION EXAMINATION OF BEATRIX-II, PHASE I • O.D. Slagle', T. Takahashi', F. D. Hobbs', K. Noda', D. L. Baldwin', G. W. Hollenberg' and R. A. Verrall³

- ¹ Pacific Northwest Laboratory^a, Richland, Washington, USA
- ² Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki-Ken, Japan
- ³ AECL Research, Chalk River Laboratories, Chalk River, Ontario, Canada

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to carry out the postirradiation examination of the BEATRIX-II, Phase I vented canisters.

SUMMARY

BEATRIX-II is an in situ tritium recovery experiment that was designed to characterize the behavior of Lithium ceramics irradiated to **high** burnup in a fast neutron **flux**. Postirradiation examination was carried out on the Phase I vented canisters: one containing a Li_2O ring capable of temperature changes and the other a Li_2O solid specimen with a center temperature of 1000°C.

The tritium inventory of the ring specimen at 650°C was determined to be in the range from 0.2-0.6 wppm while for the solid specimen the inventory varied from **1.4** wppm at the surface to 0.06 wppm at the inner surface. Downstream transport of the Li_2O by the sweep gas was determined to be insignificant from analyses of acid rinses of selected canister surfaces. Densification and restructuring of the solid specimen during irradiation resulted in the development of a central annulus. Ceramography was used to characterize the columnar grain structure and the mechanisms involved in its evolution.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

BEATRIX-II is an IEA sponsored experiment with emphasis on tritium recovery from lithium ceramic materials irradiated in a fast neutron reactor (FFTF) that simulates the environment of a fusion blanket. The participants are Japan, Canada, and the U.S. The in situ tritium recovery experiments provided data on the performance of Li_2O and Li_2ZrO_3 under irradiation conditions covering a range of sweep gas compositions and temperatures. The experiment consisted of two separate irradiation cycles which included two vented tritium recovery canisters each. Phase I started in January 1990 and operated for 300 Effective Full Power Days (EFPD) while Phase II started in May 1991 and operated for 200 EFPD of irradiation. Both Phase I and II resulted in lithium burnups of 5%. In addition to the vented canisters, BEATRIX-II also included nonvented canisters to provide data on irradiation damage in Li_2O single crystals and the compatibility of lithium ceramics and beryllium in a fast neutron environment.

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U. S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RL0 1830.

Postirradiation examination of the irradiated lithium ceramics is being carried out to evaluate their physical and chemical stability during irradiation and to determine the final tritium inventory. This final inventory establishes a baseline for determining the tritium inventory for various testing temperatures and sweep gas compositions. This paper presents the results of the postirradiation examination of the Phase I vented-canister Li_2O specimens. The results of a spectroscopic study of the Li_2O single crystals irradiated in Phase I are presented in another paper elsewhere [1]. Postirradiation examination of the Phase II, Li_2O and Li_2ZrO_3 vented canisters is underway.

The design and operation of the BEATRIX-II, Phase I in situ tritium recovery experiment has been described previously [2-5]. Phase I of the experiment involved two Li_2O specimens with initial ⁶Li enrichments of 61 at% irradiated to lithium burnup exceeding 4%. The ring specimen capable of temperature changes was 1.85 cm in diameter with a wall thickness of 1.5 mm and operated in the temperature range from 510 to 650°C. The temperature-gradient solid specimen was 1.75 cm in diameter with a range of temperatures from 430 to 1000°C.

The chemical and physical integrity of the specimen is expected to be influenced by the temperature and sweep gas compositions under which the material operated. In addition to temperature changes during startup/shutdown the ring specimen was subjected to a number of temperature transients on the order of 50 or 100°C which were incurred over times less than 15 seconds. The primary sweep gas used in the experiment was He-0.1% H₂; but He, He-0.01% H, and He-1.0% H₂ were also used at times to evaluate the effect of hydrogen content on the tritium recovery behavior.

The experiment was terminated by a controlled "scram" or rapid decrease in reactor power. The reactor shut down occurred over 2 minutes time and is expected to have contributed on the order of a minute of generation rate to the tritium inventory of the specimens or 0.02 wppm. The microstructures of the specimens are expected to have changed very little during shut down.

Exuerimental Results

Neutron Radiography

After removal from the irradiation test vehicle and prior to disassembly, the two vented canisters were neutron radiographed. The radiographs indicated that both specimens were intact and that the initial specimen geometry had been maintained throughout the experiment. The ring specimen was observed to contain a number of cracks which could have occurred during the startup/shutdown thermal transients. In spite of the cracking, the cylindrical geometry and positioning of the ring specimen in the canister was maintained by the inner nickel screen. In the inlet gas plenum, located beneath the ring specimen, a region of neutron absorbing residue was found which appeared to be Li_2O . This residue will be discussed later. Because of the large diameter of the solid specimen, only the outer edges of the specimen were resolvable. The downstream boundary/edge of the solid specimen was intact and there appeared to be no discernable downstream transport of the specimen by the sweep gas.

Capsule Disassembly

The capsules were disassembled by slitting the outer canister lengthwise so that the cladding could be separated. The ring specimen was found to be fragmented into pieces of a nominal size of one cm^2 . There was no particular crack pattern. The eleven individual pellets in the solid specimen stack had interdiffused during irradiation and the interfaces between pellets were no longer discernable. The

solid specimen was also found to be tightly bonded to the capsule walls and removal of the pellets left a layer of the specimen material on the capsule wall. In general, the solid specimen was fragmented into radial sections although the axial center portion of the specimen was an intact cylinder. This center portion had initially consisted of three solid cylindrical pellets but after irradiation a center void or annulus was found to have been formed throughout this section. **This** center annulus had a diameter which was in the range of 0.38 to **0.45** cm. For a 1.7 cm diameter pellet, these diameters represent 5-7% of the volume. The center pellets were initially 86, 87 and 92%TD. It is hypothesized that the center void was a result of additional pellet densification.

The sweep gas plenums on either end of the capsules were found to have a black "shiny" deposit on the surfaces that was thought to be vapor-transported Li₂O. The capsule components were acid-washed and the resulting solutions were found to contain lithium. The total weight of the deposit in the top and bottom gas plenums of the solid specimen was equivalent to 1.0×10^{-3} % and 0.4×10^{-3} % of the total specimen weight for the top and bottom, respectively. Thus the material transported out of the solid specimen region by the sweep **gas** was only a negligible percentage of the total specimen weight. For the ring specimen the equivalent weight of the deposit was the same for both the top and the bottom: 3.5×10^{-3} % of the total sample weight. The amount of material found in the bottom end cap or inlet **gas** plenum of the ring specimen was much smaller than expected based on the extent of the dark area seen in the neutron radiograph. During disassembly a black powder was observed to fall from the capsule. Unfortunately it was not possible to capture and quantify the amount of this material. This observation suggests that the residue seen in the radiograph was material which had physically fallen off the inner wall of the ring specimen and collected in the lower plenum area **as** opposed to vapor-deposited material.

Microstructural Characterization

On a macroscopic scale the postirradiation condition of the ring specimen was not noticeably different from the preirradiation condition. The thickness of the ring was found to have increased approximately 10% from its original thickness of **15** mm. The associated density decrease from the initial density of 80% TD was **also** evident in the scanning electron microscopy observations of the preand postirradiation specimens. The grain size of the material was observed to have increased from **5** to 10 μ m during irradiation.

The irradiated solid specimen was significantly different from the pre-irradiated specimen. In addition to the formation of a center annulus in the initially solid pellets, the occurrence of a large grain microstructure was visually apparent. An intact pellet from a location just below the center of the solid specimen was chosen for ceramography. This pellet had an initial density of 86% TD and developed a 0.45 cm diameter center annulus during irradiation. The resulting composite photomicrograph is shown in Figure 1. The microstructure of the solid specimen shown in Figure 1 exhibits classic columnar grain growth in a temperature gradient and is very similar to microstructures observed in fast reactor fuel elements [6]. This microstructure is characterized by a succession of regions starting at the outer surface: 1) as-fabricated structure with grain sues in the range of 25- 40μ m; 2) equiaxed grain region with grains on the order of 100μ m; and 3) columnar grain regions with grains sizes on the order of 200,µm wide and 1500,µm long. The formation of this type of microstructure in fission type fuels has been attributed to the formation and migration of lenticular pores up a steep temperature gradient. The equiaxed region is characterized by the formation of larger grains and lenticular shaped pores which are oriented perpendicular to the radius or thermal gradient. As the temperature increases these lenticular pores become sufficiently mobile to migrate up the temperature gradient. This pore migration sweeps out porosity in the path of the lenticular pore and enlarges the grain behind it, leading to the formation of large columnar grains parallel to the radius. In the columnar grain region of Figure 1, lenticular pores can be found at the high

temperature end of the columnar grains. In fast reactor fuels the formation and movement of the lenticular shaped pores has been attributed a vapor-transport mechanism from the hot to cold side of the pore. The obvious similarity of the microstructure of the postirradiated BEATRIX-II solid specimen to fast reactor fuel provides **strong** evidence that the migration of the lenticular pores up the thermal gradient by a vapor-transport method plays a major role in the formation of the columnar grains in the BEATRIX-II solid specimen. This migration of the pores to the center of the solid specimen during irradiation also contributes to the formation of a center annulus.



Figure 1. Microstructure of Radial Cross Section of the BEATRIX-II Solid Specimen

Tritium Inventory

Measurements of the retained tritium in selected sections of the ring and solid specimens were used to characterize the tritium inventory at the time of shutdown. **As** noted above, the experiment was terminated by a rapid decrease in reactor power that was expected to **limit** the increase in the tritium inventory to less than 0.02 wppm.

Measurement of the tritium inventory along the length of the ring specimen was carried out for four different **axial** locations. The results are given in Table 1. The top and bottom sections represented material within one **cm** from the end since the selected section contained end surfaces. Upper and lower center are only approximate locations. The analysis was done by melting the selected sections and determining the tritium released using a variation from the method described previously [7]. The present analysis used a gas sweep rather than evacuation for obtaining the gas sample. The measured tritium inventory increased smoothly from the bottom to top of the specimen. The top and bottom of the specimen are different in two aspects: the specimen temperature and the tritium concentration **in** the sweep gas. Because the specimen is located at the bottom of the reactor, the neutron **flux**

decreases from top to bottom so that the top of the specimen is expected to be as much as 30° C hotter than the bottom. However, inreactor observations[2-4] have indicated that higher temperahues result in a lower tritium inventory which is contrary to the results in Table 1. Alternatively, the sweep gas **flow** is from the bottom to the top so that at the top of the specimen the sweep gas contains a higher partial pressure of HTO/HT. This higher partial pressure would tend to decrease the tritium recovery rate and therefore is one possible explanation for the increase in tritium inventory with axial position.

Axial Position	Tritium Inventory (wppm)
Тор	0.61
Upper Center	0.44
Lower Center	0.26
Bottom	0.23

Table 1. Axial Distribution of the Tritium Inventory in the Ring Specimen

The radial variation of the tritium inventory in the solid specimen was determined by radially sectioning the specimen into five sections and determining the tritium retention by melting as above [6]. The pellet chosen for this analysis was the same one used in the microstructure characterization (Figure 1). The measured tritium inventories are listed in Table 2 along with the outer and inner radii of the section analyzed. Calculated temperatures were determined by proportionally increasing the original calculated radial thermal profile for the preirradiated specimen to fit the thermocouple measurements for the inner radius of the specimen. Measured centerline temperatures varied from 950 to 1030 C compared to a calculated temperature at the radius of the center annulus of 910°C. The temperature at the center annulus was chosen to be 1000° C.

Specimen No.	Radial Position (r/r _o)	Temperature Calculated (C°)	Tritium Inventory (wppm)	Predicted Inventory (wppm)
1	0.964-0.916	467-514	1.44	1.49-0.93
2	0.898-0.776	532-649	0.056	0.79-0.31
3	0.758-0.636	665-772	0.063	0.28-0.15
4	0.618-0.496	787-876	0.069	0.14-0.09
5	0.478-0.269	886-1000	0.062	0.09-0.05

Table **2.** Radial Distribution of the Tritium Inventory in the Solid Speamen Compared to Extrapolated Inventories for the Ring Specimen

1000

Although there is considerable uncertainty in the radial position and the corresponding temperature, the data in Table 2 clearly indicate that the tritium inventory decreases rapidly as the temperature increases and appears to reach a minimum at sample #2 before increasing slightly as the temperature increases. This type behavior has been predicted by Billone et al. [8]. The decrease in inventory with increasing temperature in the outer regions of the specimen is associated diffusional/desorption release mechanisms while the increase in inventory at the higher temperature is associated with an increased solubility of tritium in Li₂O.

Axial measurement of the tritium retained in the outer surface of the solid specimen was carried out by dissolving four different axial segments of the material adhered to the cladding during disassembly. The resulting solutions were analyzed for tritium and lithium to determine the tritium inventories which ranged from 0.7 to **1.4** wppm. There was no consistent trend of the data with axial position and the range in data is consistent with the expected uncertainties in the measurement procedures. From the identifiable uncertainties in the data, the measured inventories are expected to represent lower limits on the tritium inventory in the outer region of the solid specimen. It was thought that the tritium inventory would increase at the outer edge of the specimen as a result of the lower temperature; however, because of the uncertainties, the radial dependence of the inventory near the outer edge of the solid specimen remains undefined.

Discussion

A comparison of the tritium inventories for the ring and solid specimen requires information on inventories at the same temperature. Because of the extensive database available for the operation of the ring specimen, it is possible to develop an empirical temperature relationship for the inventory of ring specimen. The tritium inventory has an inverse relationship to the controlling mechanisms for tritium release which are typicallylattice/grain boundary diffusion and adsorption/desorption processes at the surface [9,10]. These release mechanisms are controlled by one or more processes with Arrhenius type temperature dependencies. **As** a first approximation the inventory (I) will be described by an inverse Arrhenius temperature (T) dependence:

$$(1/I) = (1/I_0) \exp(-Q/RT)$$
 (1)

Evaluation of I, and the effective activation energy (Q) was carried out by analyzing a series of temperature transients carried out on the ring specimen just prior to shutdown. The temperature change series of $630-530-630^{\circ}C$ was used to determine an inventory change of 0.43 wppm for the temperature change of 530 to $630^{\circ}C$. This inventory change together with the average of the inventories in Table 1 was used to determine I, $= 5.6 \times 10^{-4}$ wppm and Q = 48 kJ/mol.

The empirical relationship for the inventory (Eqn 1) was used to calculate tritium inventories at the respective solid specimen temperatures listed in Table 2. For the solid specimen sample #1, the range of the predicted ring specimen inventories includes the measured inventory. The remaining four samples of the solid specimen had inventories that are much lower than predicted by the extrapolation of the ring specimen inventory. The reason for this large discrepancy may be related to the difference in microstructure between the ring and the inner regions of the solid. By comparing the radial position in the solid specimen listed in Table 2 with the microstructure in Figure 1, we find that sample #1 is located in the as-fabricated region, sample #2 is located primarily in the equiaxed grain region and samples 3-5 are in the columnar grain region. It appears as if the low tritium inventories are associated with the large grain size and high density of the restructured region of the solid specimen.

Comparison of the fmal tritium inventory (0.2-0.6 wppm) for the ring specimen with similar experiments on Li_2O is complicated because of the many variables which affect the fmal inventory. The shutdown conditions for BEATRIX-II were an average specimen temperature of 630°C and a helium sweep gas with 0.1% H₂. Lower temperatures and lower hydrogen concentrations in the sweep gas were found to result in higher inventories [2-5]. CRITIC-I [11] had fmal average inventories of 1 wppm for a temperature of 600°C and a fmal sweep gas of He-0.01% H. Both the lower temperature and lower hydrogen concentration favor the slightly higher inventory. The VOM experiment on Li_2O pellets [12] had final inventories in the range of 0.5-0.9 wppm after operation at 740°C in a helium sweep gas. The higher temperature favors a lower inventory, but this could easily be offset by the higher inventory expected for a helium sweep gas. This comparison suggests that, within the expected range of experimental parameters, the final inventories of these three experiments are in agreement.

CONCLUSIONS

Operation of the BEATRIX-II experiment provided an extensive data base on the tritium recovery from Li_2O in a fast neutron environment. The Li_2O in Phase I of the experiment was irradiated to lithium burnups in excess of 4% while operating at temperatures from 400 to 1000° C in helium sweep gases with additions of 0 to 0.1%H₂. Postirradiation examination of the specimens indicated that the material underwent extensive microstructural changes but remained physically intact while efficiently releasing the generated tritium to maintain a low tritium inventory. Lithium transport out of the specimen area was negligible. Based on these results, it is concluded that Li_2O should be considered as one of the leading candidates for use in a solid breeder fusion blanket application.

FUTURE WORK

Postirradiation examination of BEATRIX-II, Phase II is underway. Characterization of the Phase II Li_2O temperature-change specimen and the Li_2ZrO_3 spherebed temperature-gradient specimen will involve procedures **similar** to those used for the Phase I specimens.

REFERENCES

- 1. N. Masaki, K. Noda, H. Watanabe, R. G. Clemmer, and G. W. Hollenberg, presented at the 6th Int Conf on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICRFM-6), Stresa, Lago Maggiore, Italy, 1993.
- 2. G. W. Hollenberg, H. Watanabe, I. J. Hastings, and S. E. Berk, J Nncl Mater 191-194(1992) 23.
- 3. T. Kurasawa, O. D. Slagle, G. W. Hollenberg, and R. A. Verrall, Fusion Technology 19 (1991) 931.
- 4. O. D. Slagle, T. Kurasawa, R. A. Verrall, and G. W. Hollenberg, J Nucl Mater 191-194(1992) 214.
- 5. T. Kurasawa, O. D. Slagle, G. W. Hollenberg, and R. A. Verrall, in Ceramic Transactions, Vol 27 (The American Ceramic Society, Westerville, Ohio, 1991) p. 299.

- 7. D. L. Baldwin and G. W. Hollenberg, J Nncl Mater 141-143 (1986) 305.
- 8. M. C. Billone, H. Attaya, and J. P. Kopasz, in Proceeding of the International Workshop on Ceramic Breeder Interactions (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan 1993) p. 101
- M. C. Billone, H. Attaya, and J. P. Kopasz, Modeling of Tritium Behavior in Li₂O, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL/FPP/TM-260 (August 1992).
- 10. G. Federici, A. R. Raffray and M. A. Abdou, J Nucl Mater 173, (1990) 185-213.
- 11. R. A. Verrall, D. H. Rose, J. M. Miller, I. J. Hastings, and D. S. MacDonald, J Nucl Mater **179-181**, (1991) **855-858**.
- 12. T. Kurasawa, H. Yoshida, H. Takeshita, H. Watanabe, T. Miyauchi, T. Matui, H. Umei, Y. Naruse, J Nucl Mater **133-134**, (1985) 196-200.

Tritium Release from Lithium Titanate, A Low Activation Tritium Breeding Material- J. P. Kopasz and C. E. Johnson (Argonne National Laboratory) and J. M. Miller (AECL Research, Canada)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to obtain tritium release information on a low activation ceramic tritium breeding material. Lithium titanate was chosen as the material of choice based on previous work.

SUMMARY

The **goals** for fusion power are to produce energy in **as** safe, economical, and environmentally benign a manner **as** possible. To ensure environmentally sound operation low activation materials should be used where feasible. The ARIES Tokamak Reactor Study has based reactor designs on the concept of using low activation materials throughout the fusion reactor. For the tritium breeding blanket, the choices for low activation tritium breeding materials are limited. Lithium titanate is an alternative low activation ceramic material for use in the tritium breeding blanket. To date, very little work has been done on characterizing the tritium release for lithium titanate. We have thus performed laboratory studies of tritium release from irradiated lithium titanate. The results indicate that tritium is easily removed from lithium titanate at temperatures **as** low **as** 600 K. The method of titanate preparation was found to affect the tritium release, and the addition of 0.1% H₂ to the helium purge gas did not improve tritium recovery.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The **goals** for fusion power are to produce energy in **as** safe, economical, and environmentally benign a manner **as** possible. To ensure environmentally sound operation, low activation materials should be **used** where feasible.' The ARIES Tokamak Reactor Study has based reactor designs on the concept of using low activation materials throughout the fusion reactor.' For the tritium breeding blanket, the choices **for** low activation tritium breeding materials are limited. Lithium titanate is an alternative low activation ceramic material for use in the tritium breeding blanket. To date, very little work has been done on characterizing the tritium release from lithium titanate and developing the requisite properties data base. Finn et al. performed some early work which showed that lithium titanate has some attractive properties, including excellent tritium release,' To extend the data base on Li_2TiO_3 , we have performed several tritium release tests, including tests on the effect of the purge gas composition and the ceramic fabrication method on the tritium release.

Experimental

Lithium titanate spheres were prepared by two methods: cold pressing of commercially available Li₂TiO₃ powder (Alfa Products) or extrusion/tumbling of a Li₂TiO₃-binder-water mixture. The latter were sintered at either 1498 or 1673 K.⁴ The samples were then irradiated, and the tritium release examined in post-irradiation annealing tests, following procedures described previously.^{5,6} Anneals were carried out under a constant heating rate (**1.2** or **5** Wmin) in Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) experiments, or a series of constant-temperature anneals (600 -1223 K) was performed on the same sample. The tritium release was monitored on-line using either an ionization chamber or a proportional counter. Integrated values for the tritium released during an anneal were obtained by oxidizing the tritium and collecting it in ethylene glycol traps. Constant temperature anneals were performed by preheating the oven to the initial anneal temperature while the sample was positioned in a cooled portion of the annealing apparatus. After the furnace had reached the desired temperature, the sample was introduced into the heated zone. Anneals generally lasted 24 h at each temperature, with the ethylene glycol traps removed for tritium analysis just

prior to a temperature increase.

Results and discussion

Constant temperature anneals

Constant temperature anneals under a purge gas of He $\pm 0.1\%$ H, or Ar $\pm 0.1\%$ H₂ were performed on extruded material sintered at 1673 K . A typical set of tritium release curves is shown in Figure 1. A large majority of the tritium in the sample is released at a relatively low anneal temperature, in this case 603 K. However, it appears that there is tritium present in the sample which will not be released at this temperature, no matter how long the anneal continues. Similar behavior has been reported for tritium release from lithium aluminate? This behavior suggests that tritium is being released from several different types of sites. For all the samples subjected to constant temperature anneals, the percentage of the tritium released during the first anneal at temperatures between 603 and 723 K varied from 72 to 90% of the total tritium in the sample, with the percentage released not strictly dependent on the anneal temperature. The majority of the remaining tritium was released at the second anneal at temperatures between 806 and 926 K. The fraction of the total released at these temperatures varied from 24 to about 5%. The fraction still present in the sample after this second 24-h anneal was less than 11% of the total amount released during all the anneals (final anneal at a temperature > 1100 K).



Figure 1. Tritium release from Li₂TiO₃ sintered at 1673 K for successive step anneals at 603, 806, 995 and 1187 K,

The tritium release during the first anneal was fit to a first-order desorption equation. The slope obtained from a plot of the natural log of the desorption rate constant versus the inverse temperature gave an activation energy of 40 kJ/mol. Following the same procedure for the second anneal yielded an activation energy of 128 kJ/mol. The tritium release peaks for the third and fourth anneals were generally too small to derive a meaningful desorption rate constant from them. As a result, no activation energies could be determined from these anneals.

Temperature programmed desorption experiments

The TPD experiments were performed at ANL and AECL. Assuming a first-order desorption mechanism, one can calculate an activation energy (E) for a single peak in a TPD plot by using the following equation:

$$\ln(\frac{T_m^2}{H}) = \frac{E}{R} * \frac{1}{T_m} + C \tag{1}$$

Where T_m is the temperature at which the peak maximum occurs, E is the activation energy, H is the heating rate, R is the gas constant and C is a constant. For overlapping peaks, it is difficult to determine the location of the maximum for each peak. Thus, we fit the complete TPD curve to the first-order desorption equation for a linear temperature increase? Equation 1 was then used as a check for consistency between runs at different heating rates.

$$P=1-e^{-KH\frac{E}{R}*I}$$

$$I=\int_{0}^{T}\int_{0}^{E}e^{-\frac{E}{T}}d(\frac{T'}{E})$$

$$P = \text{Tritium pressure, K = desorption rate constant}$$

$$T = \text{Temperature times the gas constant}$$

$$(2)$$

Table 1

P =

Calculated activation energies, peak positions, and areas for deconvolution of TPD curves for Li₂TiO₃ sintered at 1498 K (purge gas of pure helium) using first-order desorption mechanism

	2 Wmin			5 Wmin	
E,, kJ/mol	T _{max} , K Area	1	Γ _{max} , K	Area	
71	381	1.8%	396	1.4%	
48	510	43.4%	511	25.5%	
117	576	34.3%	596	46.0%	
143	620	12.2%	641	17.3%	
121	668	8.3%	697	9.8%	

In Figure 2, a typical TPD spectra obtained in a pure He purge gas for the spheres sintered at 1498 K is shown for a heating rate of 2 Wmin. Deconvolution of the peaks for heating rates of 2 and 5 Wmin using first order desorption yields five desorption peaks. The activation energies, maximum temperature, and relative area for each of these five peaks are given in Table I. All of the activation energies. except the 48 kJ/mol for the second peak, are in good agreement with the activation energies calculated from **Eq.** 1 and the peak maxima in Table 1. For the second peak, an activation energy was obtained by starting with an activation energy of 40 kJ/mol (determined from isothermal anneals) and then optimizing the fit to the overall TPD curve. Differences in the calculated pre-exponential terms cause the activation energy for peak 2 to be less than that for peak 1 and peak 5 less than that for peak 4, even though peaks 2 and 5 occur at higher temperatures.



Figure 2. Temperature programmed desorption curves showing deconvolution for Li_2TiO_3 sintered at 1498 K with a purge gas of pure helium and a heating rate of 2 Wmin.

Table 2

Calculated activation energies. peak positions. and areas for deconvolution of TPD curves for Li₂TiO₃ sintered at 1498 K (purge gas of pure helium) using second-order desorption mechanism.

E, kJ/mol	2 Wmin		5 Wmin	
	T _{max} ,K	Area	T _{max} ,K	Area
42	392	5.7%	414	6.1%
75	505	41.5%	509	23.3%
99	607	28.2%	624	40.3%
117	573	24.6%	596	30.2%

The data can also be analyzed using second-order desorption. In this approach, the data are best fit to three second-order curves and one first-order curve. The activation energies. and relative amounts of

tritium under each peak are given in table 2. For desorption into a pure purge gas, it may be expected that tritium desorption would follow a second-order mechanism. The activation energies calculated here are in very good agreement with those reported for desorption of water from anatase and rutile of 36 kJ/mol, 55-69 kJ/mol, and 104 kJ/mol.⁹ This suggests that the main mechanisms for desorption from Li₂TiO₃ involve dissociation of Ti-OH species.

The TPD spectra for the cold-pressed sample of Li_2TiO_3 in pure helium or pure argon consisted of a single narrow peak centered at about **695** K. The exact position of the peak varied from sample to sample, with the range covering about 20 K. This variation made it impossible to use Eq. 1 to obtain an activation energy for this peak. However, the peak position is comparable to that for the peak with an activation energy of 121 kJ/mol calculated for the material sintered at **1498** K.

Effect of hydrogen in the purge gas

The TPD spectra of tritium desorption from lithium titanate were obtained for the cases of pure He and He + 0.1% H,. The tritium release in the two different gas streams at a heating rate of 2 Wmin is shown in Figure 3 for the titanate sintered at 1498 K. When hydrogen is added to the purge gas, the peaks centered at 381 and 668 K in the TPD curve for pure helium disappear, while the peak centered at 510 K shifts to higher temperature. 558 K. and decreases in relative intensity from 43% of the total area under the curve to 10%. The peak at 576 K in pure helium shifts to slightly lower temperature, 567 K, and increases in intensity from 34 to 54%, while the peak at 620 K shifts to 646 K. There also appears to be new peaks centered at about 800 K. which account for about 25% of the tritium released in He + 0.1% H,. The overall result is a shift in the tritium release to higher temperature. For the material sintered at 1673 K, there is some irreproducibility in the TPD data in pure helium and the effect of hydrogen addition is less obvious; however, the hydrogen addition apparently shifts the temperature of the maximum for the highest peak to a higher temperature.



Figure 3. Effect of purge gas composition on tritium release for sample sintered at 1498 K and heating rate of 2 Wmin.

The magnitude of the shift varied from 20 to 100 K. The relative intensities of the tritium release below 680 K decreased with hydrogen addition, while the relative contribution at or above 680 K increased. Again, the overall result appears to be a shift in tritium release to higher temperature when hydrogen is added to the purge gas. This is **unusual** since hydrogen addition has been shown to be beneficial to tritium release in other lithium ceramic systems. The mechanism involved in the shift **of** tritium release to higher temperature with hydrogen addition to the purge is not yet known.

Effect of sample preparation

The TPD curves obtained at 2 K/min in pure helium for materials sintered at 1498 and 1673 K are shown in Figure 4. Tritium release from the material sintered at 1673 is shifted to higher temperature. This is caused by a decrease in the relative intensity of the peak centered near 500 K and an increase in the intensity of the peak near 670 K. The changes in intensity of these two peaks varied some from sample to sample; however, for the material annealed at 1673 K the peak near 670 K was always larger than that near 500 K. Analysis of the TPD curves indicated similar activation energies for the peaks from the two materials. For the cold-pressed material, a single peak was observed in the TPD curve, centered at roughly 700 K when in pure helium or argon. When hydrogen was added to the purge gas, the TPD curves for the cold-pressed materials consisted of several peaks and were similar to those for the material sintered at 1673 K.



Figure 4. Effect of sintering temperature on tritium release for a purge gas of pure helium and heating rate of 2 Wmin.

Conclusions

Tritium is released from lithium titanate at temperatures as low as 600 K. Tritium release from this material compares favorably with other ceramics.⁴ The tritium is released from several types of sites. The exact nature of the release depends on the preparative method, with the material sintered at **1498** K

giving the best tritium release. Hydrogen addition to the purge gas is not necessary for tritium recovery and is. in fact. detrimental to tritium recovery from lithium titanate.

FUTURE WORK

The affect of hydrogen on the tritium release from lithium titanate will be investigated further in light of the results of these tests which suggest tritium release from the titanate is hindered by addition of hydrogen to the purge stream. Temperature programmed desorption and constant temperature anneal tests will be performed under a variety of purge gas compositions to determine how the titanate differs from the other ceramics.

References

1. R. W. Conn, E. E. Bloom, J. W. Davis, R. E. Gold, R. Little, K. R. Schultz, D. L. Smith, and F. W. Wiffen, Nuclear Technology / Fusion 5 (1984) 291.

2. F. Najmabadi et. al. The **ARIES** Tokamak Reactor Study. University of California at **Los** Angeles Report UCLA-PPG-1323 (1991).

3. P. A. Finn, T. Kurasawa, S. Nasu, K. Noda, T. Takahashi, **H.** Takeshita, T. Tanifuji, and H. Watanabe, in Proceedings of the 9th Symposium on Engineering Problems of Fusion Research (IEEE, New York, 1981) p. 1200.

4. J. M. Miller, Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials, Stressa, Italy, **1993.**

5. J. P. Kopasz, C. A. Seils, and C. E. Johnson, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 231.

6. J. M. Miller, S. R. Bokwa. D. S. MacDonald, and R. A. Verrall, Fusion Technol. 19 (1991) 996.

7. J. P. Kopasz, S. Tistchenko, and F. Botter in Fabrication and Properties of Lithium Ceramics III, Eds.,

I. J. Hastings and G. W. Hollenberg (American Ceramic Society, Westerville, Ohio, 1992) p. 315.

8. A. W. Smith and S. Aranoff, J. Phys. Chem. 62 (1958) 684.

9. M. Egashira, S. Kawasumi, S. Kagawa, and T. Seiyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 51 (1978) 3144.
Tritium Release from Beryllium Discs and Lithium Ceramics Irradiated in the SIBELIUS Experiment - C.E. Johnson and J.P. Kopasz (Argonne National Laboratory) and D.L. Baldwin (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the current studies was to critically examine the tritium release characteristics of the beryllium discs and to characterize any differences in tritium behavior from beryllium discs located adjacent to steel as and beryllium discs located adjacent to ceramic.

SUMMARY

The SIBELIUS experiment was designed to obtain information on the compatibility between beryllium and ceramics, as well as beryllium and steel, in a neutron environment. Four capsules were used to examine beryllium/ceramic (Li₂O, LiAIO,, Li₄SiO₄, and Li₂ZrO₃) and beryllium/steel (Types **3**16L and **1.4914**) compacts. Isothermal anneal experiments have been run on representative beryllium and ceramic disks from each of the four capsules at 550°C to 850°C in steps of 100°C. The results indicate that tritium release from the beryllium did not exhibit burst release behavior, as previously reported, but rather a progressive release with increasing temperature. Generally **-99** percent of the tritium was released by 850°C. Tritium release from the ceramic discs was quite similar to the behavior shown in other dynamic tritium release experiments on lithium ceramics. The tritium content in beryllium discs adjacent to a steel sample was found to be significantly lower than that found in a beryllium disc adjacent to a ceramic sample. Recoil of tritium from the ceramic into the beryllium appears to be the source of tritium entering the beryllium, probably residing in the beryllium oxide layer.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The SIBELIUS experiment is an EC/USA collaborative test [1] to assess the compatibility of berylliudceramic and beryllium/steel compacts in a neutron environment and to understand the potential for acceleration of beryllium oxidation caused by enhanced diffusion of oxygen or altered phase stability. The irradiation vehicle consisted of eight capsules, seven of which were independently purged with an He/0.1% H₂ gas mixture. The eighth capsule was not purged and contained beryllium pellets used to obtain data on lifetime void swelling. Seven capsules were operated at 550°C while the capsule containing the lithium orthosilicate pebbles was operated at 450°C. Four capsules were used to examine berylliudceramic (Li₂O, LiAIO, Li₄SiO₄. Li₂ZrO₃) and beryllium/steel (Types 316L and 1.4914) compacts. Two capsules contain only ceramic (Li₂O and LiAIO,), one capsule contains beryllium pellets for lifetime void swelling tests, and one capsule contains 0.5-mm Li₄SiO₄ pebbles in contact with beryllium discs. These tests were designed to identify any performance-limiting issues associated with the use of beryllium as a neutron multiplier in ceramic breeder blankets.

Small quantities of tritium are generated in the beryllium via a 'Be-neutron reaction producing tritium and helium. Because the buildup of the tritium could become a safety issue, there **is** strong interest in tritium removal. Prior tritium release studies on beryllium have been on material that has served as a reflector in a nuclear reactor. Generally, these reflector materials have remained in place for several years at low temperature, e.g.,<100°C. When these reflector materials have been examined under isothermal anneal conditions, they have exhibited burst release of tritium at high temperatures. Earlier studies on tritium release from SIBELIUS beryllium discs [2] reported that "excess" tritium was found in the beryllium discs, and that the source of the "excess" tritium was recoiled tritium from adjacent ceramic samples. How this tritium was supposed to collect in the beryllium was never fully explained. If truly recoiled tritium, its location would be in the near surface region at a depth of -40 microns; at this depth the tritium would be expected to be easily released from the beryllium.

Experimental

Stepped-temperature anneal experiments were run on the beryllium and ceramic discs from the SIBELIUS experiment. The anneals covered temperatures of 550, 650. 750. and 850°C for 24 hours at each temperature. A He/0.1% H, gas mixture flowing at 100 cm³/min purged the sample contained in a quartz apparatus. Two independent release curves were obtained, one from an on-line ion-chamber measurement and one from a gas collection bubbler system followed by liquid scintillation counting. The gas collection bubbler data were considered to represent the principal data set. since the methodology is not subject to variation. This contrasts with the ion-chamber data, which are subject to variation because of the need to make time-dependent background corrections and the potential for calibration corrections due to changing characteristics in the electronics. Shifts in the ion-chamber background or shifts in system calibration need to be continually monitored to ensure high-quality data. For the current analysis, the ion-chamber release curve was background corrected to fit the bubbler curve.

Results and Discussion

Table I lists, in summary form, all the available data on tritium release from beryllium discs in the SIBELIUS experiment. The German data are taken from a recent publication by Dienst et al. [2]. The table is organized in a manner that closely corresponds to the location of a given sample in the SIBELIUS test vehicle[3]; the lithium orthosilicate capsule was at the bottom of the test vehicle, while the lithium metazirconate capsule was at the top. Each capsule contained a stack of 17 discs. The discs were arranged *so* that beryllium-steel and beryllium-ceramic discs alternated in the stack, with the first disc in each stack being a beryllium disc. The table lists the pellet weight, the amount of tritium released in MBq, the measured and calculated amount of tritium in 10⁸ MBq/g, and the measured-to-calculated ratio for retained tritium. Note that the beryllium discs within the body of the stack (adjacent to the ceramic) all show a higher tritium content than that expected from a transmutation reaction within the beryllium.

The last two columns in Table I enable a comparison between measured and calculated tritium concentrations for each of the different ceramic capsules. The importance of this ratio is its use to further investigate the concern expressed by Dienst et al. [2] that the original neutronic calculations had not computed the tritium generation rate correctly. The current data are not in good agreement with Dienst et al., especially with regard to the ratios of tritium measured to tritium calculated for the beryllium discs adjacent to steel, namely, samples 2-1, 3-1, 5-1, and 6-1, where the measured-to-calculated ratios are 1.10, 0.94, 0.86. and 0.85, respectively. These values are close to unity and thus suggest that the neutronic calculations for tritium generation are satisfactory. These results also indicate that very little tritium has left the beryllium at 550°C, at least for this fluence level. However, beryllium sample 1-1, from the capsule containing the orthosilicate pebbles operated at 450°C, shows an abnormally high tritium content for a beryllium disc adjacent to steel. It is unlikely that the high tritium content is due solely to the lower temperature operation.

For the remainder of the beryllium discs within the body of the stack, where beryllium was in contact with a ceramic pellet, the measured-to-calculated tritium ratio is significantly greater than unity, ranging from 1.44 to **2.49**. In fact, the ratio reaches **10.57** if one includes the capsule with lithium orthosilicate pebbles at **450°C**. In examining the data further, we identified two numerical groupings for the measured-to-calculated tritium ratio. The lithium orthosilicate samples form one group, where the ratio ranges from **1.80** to **2.49** (**10.57** if the pebbles are included), while the other ceramics form another

Table I

Sample	Origin	Pellet	MBq Tritium	10* B q	l/g	Meas./Calc
Number	U	Weight,g	Released	Meas.	Calc.	Ratio
1-1	Ger	0.1890	191	10.10	3.84	2.63
1-6	Ger	0.1771	719	40.60	3.84	10.57
2-1	USA	0.1829	112	6.12	5.57	1.10
2-7	USA	0.1891	190	10.04	5.57	1.80
2-9	Ger	0.1877	238	12.67	5.57	2.27
2-15	Ger	0.1761	244	13.85	5.57	2.49
3-1	USA	0.1882	136	7.23	7.71	0.94
3-7	USA	0.1874	236	12.59	7.71	1.63
5-1	USA	0.1865	130	6.97	8.12	0.86
5-7	USA	0.1861	218	11.71	8.12	1.44
5-9	Ger	0.1868	229	12.26	8.12	1.51
5-15	Ger	0.1720	271	15.75	8.12	1.94
6-1	USA	0.1858	105	5.67	6.67	0.85
6-7	USA	0.1846	187	10.15	6.67	1.52

TRITIUM CONTENT IN BERYLLNM DISCS FROM THE SIBELIUS EXPERIMENT

First digit in sample number indicates the following: $1 = \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$ pebbles, $2 = \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$ pellets. 3 = LiAIO, pellets, $5 = \text{Li}_3\text{O}$ pellets, and $6 = \text{Li}_3\text{ZrO}_3$ pellets

group, where the ratio ranges from 1.44 to 1.94. There are also some differences in the measured-tocalculated ratio between laboratories and between materials. For the orthosilicate. the **USA** value (1.80' for disc 2-7) is somewhat lower than that of the German value (2.27 for disc 2-9). The comparison for lithium oxide is mixed; the measured-to-calculated ratios for discs 5-7 and 5-9 are quite similar, while the value for disc 5-15 is high at **1.94**. This latter ratio **is** the only outlier in this data set.

As a first approximation, assume that the "excess" tritium came from recoil of tritium from the higher tritium-generating ceramic disc. This assumption may have some merit. However, the relative loading of tritium in the adjacent beryllium discs does not rigorously correspond to the relative tritium generation rates for each ceramic. The tritium generation rates in Bequerels per day are $7.10 \times 10^{\circ}$ for lithium orthosilicate, $5.48 \times 10^{\circ}$ for lithium zirconate, $4.22 \times 10^{\circ}$ for lithium oxide, and $4.00 \times 10^{\circ}$ for lithium aluminate. Thus, the orthosilicate has the highest generation rate, the zirconate is in the mid-range, and the oxide and the aluminate have the lowest tritium generation rate. There is certainly correspondence between the high tritium content in the beryllium disc adjacent to the orthosilicate and its position with the highest tritium generation rate. but the correspondence is only marginal for the other three ceramics. The tritium generation capability, but the beryllium discs adjacent to each of the other ceramics appear to have retained about the same amount of "excess" tritium. The tritium generation rate for lithium zirconate is some 35% lower than that of lithium orthosilicate. Does this suggest that one needs a minimum flux of tritium to ensure retention? Maybe tritium retention improves at a lower temperature, as shown by the capsule at 450° C with orthosilicate pebbles (disc 1-6).

in Table I). Thus, it does not appear to be simply tritium recoil that sets the tritium concentration in the beryllium disc, but rather tritium recoil with additional material characteristics that ensure tritium retention.

The ceramic in each of the capsules is as follows: Li_4SiO_4 in capsule 2, LiAIO, in capsule 3, Li_3O_4 in capsule 5, and Li_2ZrO_3 in capsule 6. A typical tritium release curve for the beryllium discs was found to be similar to that of previous isothermal anneal experiments on lithium ceramics [4]. That is, the tritium release generally showed a sharp increase in tritium release with each increasing temperature increment. This increased release reached a maximum, which quickly settled down with time. There did not appear to be any "burst" release with increasing temperature; indeed, the amount of tritium available for release decreased significantly once the temperature had been increased above 650°C.



The tritium release data from the isothermal anneal experiments are plotted in Figs. 1 and 2 for beryllium discs adjacent to steel and beryllium discs adjacent to ceramic, respectively. The general characteristics of tritium release from the beryllium discs adjacent to a ceramic are easily seen in Figure 2 where the percent of tritium release from the beryllium is plotted against temperature. For these discs, the tritium release increased in the order lithium orthosilicate, lithium zirconate. lithium aluminate, and lithium oxide and is similar to the order for increased tritium generation rate, though we are not sure why. The differing tritium generation rates mean that the beryllium discs in each capsule were exposed to differing amounts of tritium in the purge gas flowing by the disc. For the four capsules investigated, the tritium generation rate in the ceramic increases in the order lithium aluminate, lithium airconate. and lithium orthosilicate. The largest amount of tritium was retained by the disc in the orthosilicate capsule followed by the aluminate, the oxide, and the zirconate. Tritium release from beryllium in the Li₄SiO₄ capsule was similar for both discs (no effect of ceramic or steel or fraction of tritium released at an anneal temperature), while for the other ceramics, a higher fraction of the tritium was released at 750°C for the beryllium adjacent to the ceramic.

Figure 3 presents data on tritium release from four different investigations covering four different beryllium samples. As arranged in the figure, these materials are a low density material (80.9% TD), high density arc-cast material analyzed by Jones and Gibson [5], a high density arc-cast disc containing

<300 ppm BeO from the SIBELIUS experiment, and a high density (99.6% TD) beryllium sample analyzed by Billone and Baldwin[6]. The 80.9 % TD material exhibits the best release characteristics. with significant tritium being released at 400°C. The tritium release from the SIBELIUS beryllium discs is not as high as the Jones and Gibson data, at least at temperatures below 800°C, but is higher than the 99.6% TD data at temperatures above 600°C. Because of the many variables covered in these four experiments, it is difficult to develop a firm picture of the optimum material. What does appear to be attractive is to select a lower density beryllium and ensure that blanket designs include beryllium temperatures of 500°C or above. Optimizing the density and temperature parameters is certain to provide conditions that facilitate ease'of tritium release.</p>

A significant characteristic shown by the SIBELIUS beryllium discs was the lack of "burst" tritium release at higher temperatures as compared with earlier studies on high density material. This release behavior may be the result of irradiating at 550°C rather than the lower temperatures the reflector experienced by beryllium. Irradiating at this higher temperature may be sufficient for the diffusion and collection of tritium atoms into a more easily released molecular form. Also, the beryllium discs did not contain similar amounts of tritium, the amount retained depending on whether a disc was adjacent to a steel disc or adjacent to a ceramic disc. Those beryllium discs adjacent to a ceramic contained significantly more tritium, probably due to recoil from the ceramic. The beryllium oxide layer appears to influence the amount of tritium retained by the beryllium discs. The tritium release from the ceramic discs is consistent with



other investigations of tritium release from ceramic materials [4], and there appears to be little or no effect from the adjacent beryllium. Further, all the ceramics exhibited little retention of tritium, the amount being similar to that shown in other experiments at 550°C with a He/0.1% H₂ purge gas.

Conclusions

Investigations were carried out to determine the amount of retained tritium and the rates of tritium release from beryllium discs in the SIBELIUS experiment. These studies have shown that the bulk of the generated tritium was retained in the beryllium, and when the discs were heated to 650°C and above, the tritium was readily released. None of the samples examined exhibited the burst release characteristics observed earlier but rather an orderly release dependent solely upon temperature. In comparison with literature information on tritium release from beryllium, the SIBELIUS data have a steeper **slope** for the temperature coefficient. **Also**, the literature information shows that for lower density beryllium (80.9 % TD), tritium release is considerably enhanced.

FUTURE WORK

Future studies should place investigate further the attractive tritium release characteristics of lower density beryllium.

References

[1] N. Roux, M. Briec, M. Bruet. T. Flament, C. Johnson, M. Masson, A. Terlain, and F. Tournebize, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 827.

[2] W. Dienst, D. Schild, and H. Werle, Kernforschungszentrum, Karlsruhe, Report KfK-5109, December 1992.

[3] N. Roux, CE-Saclay, private communication, SIBELIUS meeting report, November 9, 1990.

[4] C.E. Johnson. T. Kondo. N. Roux, S. Tanaka, and D. Vollath, Fusion Engrg. and Des. 16 (1991) 127

[5] P.M.S. Jones and R. Gibson, J. Nucl. Mater. 21 (1967) 353.

[6] M.C. Billone and D.L. Baldwin, Proceedings, International Workshop on Ceramic

Breeder Blanket Interactions, University of Tokyo. Tokyo, Japan, October 26-29, 1992, P-267.

8.0 CERAMICS

TOUGHENING OF CERAMIC COMPOSITES WITH SLOW CRACK GROWTH - Christopher R. Jones, C. H. Henager, Jr. and Russell H. Jones (Pacific Northwest Laboratory")

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the high-temperature mechanical properties of SiC/SiC composites for fusion structural applications.

SUMMARY

Ceramic matrix composites show the uncommon and promising property of increasing their toughness and maintaining their load carrying capacity with increasing crack lengths under conditions of subcritical crack growth (SCG). As the crack grows, a more extensive bridging zone is formed allowing it to withstand far greater stress intensities than would be expected from fracture tests. It is also possible that crack bifurcation contributes to this effect, but **is** not likely to be the primary cause. It has not been determined whether or not an equilibrium crack-wake bridging zone will occur in these samples. Further testing may show that it occurs at greater crack lengths than those obtained in these tests or that it can't occur at all in these samples. Either way, the results of these tests are encouraging and expand the possible uses of these types of materials in applications that require long-term stability under stress.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) offer the possibility of high-strength, corrosion-resistant, hightemperature materials having a fracture resistance adequate for use **as** structural materials in a variety of systems. Reinforcement of a brittle ceramic matrix material by brittle fibers or whiskers contributes to fracture resistance through reinforcement pull-out, crack bridging, crack deflection, and matrix microcracking [1]. Continuous-fiber-reinforced materials can exhibit fracture toughness values in the range 15-**30** MPa m^{1/2}. This allows their consideration **as** advanced structural materials where use of monolithic ceramics would not be appropriate. If, however, CMCs are to be used in systems where long-term stability is required, a resistance to time-dependent crack growth (SCG, subcritical crack growth or slow crack growth) must be demonstrated. This is necessary because SCG controls the long-term life of materials when pre-existing flaws are present. Due to the brittleness of ceramic materials, the flaws are likely to exist, but must be shown not to pose a threat to the structural integrity of the material.

The mechanical response in continuous-fiber-reinforced CMCs is dominated by crack wake bridging by long fibers [1]. Fibers bridging the crack are stressed and at high temperatures can exhibit creep, time-dependent interface fracture, time-dependent interface creep, and possibly visco-elastic effects at the interface. Previous work by C. H. Henager and **R**. H. Jones found that during SCG, the transition from stage II cracking to stage III occurred at a higher stress intensity (K) than expected from four-point bend fracture tests. This points to the possible increase in the toughness of a CMC at high temperatures under slow crack growth (SCG) conditions [3]. Fett et. al. found an increase in the toughness of a granular monolithic ceramic due to "crack wall interactions behind the crack tip and an increase in the effective

Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

crack front length due to an increase in the surface roughness." [2] This effect suggests the possibility of similar, but more pronounced behavior, in continuous-fiber-reinforced materials. The effect is likely to be more prominent because the fibers in CMCs would completely bridge the crack. The effect of fiber bridging is shown in previous work that suggested that high-temperature plasticity of fibers in the crack-wake bridging zone controls the velocity of subcritical cracks in CMCs [3]. These materials exhibit R-curve (crack growth resistance curve) behavior because of reduction, or shielding, of crack-tip stress intensities from applied far-field stress intensities. This behavior is due to crack bridging and is fundamentally different from the performance seen in monolithic ceramics [1]. In CMCs, the zone exhibiting this bridging is increased during SCG. The effect of the bridging is measured by comparing the toughness of samples, shown by K, (peak load fracture toughness) and maximum load, with machine notched (no bridging) and SCG cracked (bridged) samples. It is anticipated that this bridging will make K_q rate dependent. This **is** because the slower the crack velocity, the larger the bridging zone will become. Thus, K, will increase in SCG samples relative to samples without bridging and giving a result modeled by figure 1.



Hypothetical K_Q vs. a/W Behavior

Fig. I. Hypothetical model for behavior of K, vs. a/w or notch depth in machine notched and SCG samples.

Figure 1 shows the predicted change in K_Q behavior caused by fiber bridging formed during SCG. This is compared to machine notched samples that start out without any fiber bridging. If this model can be shown to be true, then these materials would display a unique increase in toughness during SCG, making them an effective material for systems that require long term stability. The extent of the non-equilibrium and equilibrium bridging zones is unknown for these materials.

Experimental procedures

Composites of Nicalon fiber cloth (0190") and CVI β-SiC with both C and BN interfaces were used for these tests. The composites, fabricated by RCI of Whittier, CA, are eight-ply laminates, 4 mm in thickness and are supplied in bars having dimensions of 4 mm x 13 mm x 50 mm. Before the β -SiC CVI fabrication step, interfaces of 0.4 mm C and 0.4 mm BN (nominal thicknesses) were deposited onto the Nicalon fibers. The larger bars were cut into single-edge-notched bend bar (SENB) specimens having dimensions of 4 mm x 5.5 mm x 50 mm. The SENB specimens were loaded in four-point bending using fully articulated SiC bend fixture. The tests were performed inside а а sealed mullite ceramic tube using a split clamshell furnace. The load was applied by a SiC pushrod attached to the top sealing flange of the sealed tube using a flexible metal bellows. Specimen midpoint displacement was measured by a alumina tube, holding a type K thermocouple, spring loaded against the bottom of the bend specimen. This deflected a strain gage extensioneter positioned beneath the SiC support tube. The tests were run at 1100 °C in gettered Ar. The data (load, deflection, ppm O, and temperature) was collected at a rate of one data point per second.

Two series of tests were run. In both series, the samples were loaded at a crosshead speed of .002 in./min, until they failed. This was done in order to determine P_{max} (maximum load) and K_Q . In the first series the samples were machine notched with a diamond blade saw to fractional crack lengths (alpha = a/W) ranging from 0.17 to 0.7 and then tested. The notch had a radius of approximately 0.33 mm.

In the second series, a crack was slowly grown (SCG) from an alpha of 0.17 to varying lengths, ranging from alpha equal to 0.3 to 0.5. The SCG process was achieved by loading the sample to a K (stress intensity) of 7.5 MPa m^{1/2} and then holding the load within a range of 10 N until the desired crack length was reached. The crack length was determined by unloading the sample to 300N in order to determine the secant compliance (C_{load}). The SENB specimen compliance depends on alpha in a known manner [3]. The measured compliance of the specimens, determined from the linear portion of the load-deflection curve, was used to calculate the modulus and, once that information was obtained, alpha was calculated for a given compliance by inverting the expression for C(alpha). Once the correct crack length was reached, the sample was unloaded and then fractured in the same manner as was used for the machine notched samples.

Experimental results

A comparison of the load-displacement curves of SCG and machine notched samples at various values of alpha shows that the maximum load decreases with increasing notch depth in the machine notched samples. This is the response expected without bridging (figure 2). In the SCG samples (figure 3), however, the maximum load remains close to the same value. When the load-displacement curves at similar alphas are compared (figure 4), the SCG sample reaches a maximum load nearly twice that of the machine notched sample.

Sample	Series	alpha (dw)	P _{max}	K _Q
19	machine notch	0.18	963	13.41
7	machine notch	0.22	924	15.07
6	machine notch	0.31	860	16.37
24	machine notch	0.31	744	15.74
16	machine notch	0.41	546	12.83
30	machine notch	0.42	611	15.99
15	machine notch	0.50	466	15.69
28	machine notch	0.59	294	13.60
17	machine notch	0.60	391	17.29
27	machine notch	0.66	184	13.05
26	machine notch	0.69	184	13.05
35	SCG notch	0.31	1082	21.60
21	SCG notch	0.31	1062	20.58
34	SCG notch	0.34	1036	22.81
33	SCG notch	0.41	1161	29.69
20	SCG notch	0.43	1029	26.23
25	SCG notch	0.47	1231	36.12



Fig. 2. Comparison of load-deflection curves for varying notch depths, values of alpha, of the machined notch specimens.



Fig. 3. Comparison of load defleciton curves for varying crack lengths, where the cracks were grown by SCG.



Fig. **4.** Comparison of load-deflection curves for machine-notch specimen and SCG specimen for essentially equal crack lengths.

Finally the K_Q values are compared in figure **5**. The &values for these tests was determined from the peak load (P_{max}) which the sample had reached. K_Q is then calculated from:

$$K_0 = P_{max} Y_1(alpha)/(B \sqrt{W})$$
 (1)

were P_{max} is the peak load, B is the specimen width, W is the specimen thickness, and $Y_1(alpha)$ is the dimensionless stress intensity factor for the SENB specimen in pure bending [3]. The machine notched samples form a baseline &that is independent, within acceptable scatter, of alpha. The SCG samples, on the other hand, not only are tougher, starting at a higher K_{Q} , but also exhibit increasing &with increasing alpha. Contrary to expectations, however, they did not level out into an equilibrium zone, hut indicate that the bridging zone is still developing even for values of alpha equal to **OS**.



Fig. 5. Computed values of K, as a function of alpha (a/w) showing a comparison of the toughness of samples machine-notched and those with SCG cracks.

Discussion

As predicted, the SCG samples had substantially higher K, values and reached higher maximum loads than the machine-notched samples. There are two possible explanations for this behavior that are most likely acting in concert to create the observed result. The first and primary cause is crack wake bridging in the SCG samples that shields or reduces the crack-tip stress intensity. Thus, K_Q becomes time-dependent. The slower the crack is grown, the more time the fibers have to creep and bridge the crack rather than break.

Therefore, up to some limit, the longer the crack is grown by SCG, the greater the K, should be. This explains the increase in K, in the SCG samples. At some point, the bridging zone should reach an equilibrium length and K_Q would stop increasing. The bridging zone did not reach an equilibrium in crack lengths up to an alpha of 0.5. Therefore, either the equilibrium length has not been reached or else it can't be reached under these test parameters or specimen size.

When the samples are fractured using a constant crosshead speed, the crack velocity exceeds the creep rate **of** the fibers and the bridging zone is shorter than it is during SCG. Consequently, if the fibers don't have time to creep, they break sooner and span a shorter bridging length. The increased K, of the SCG samples is due to bridging which has already been formed during the preparatory period of slow crack growth. The machine-notched specimens, however, start off without any bridging and only form a short bridging zone during the fracture test and therefore manifest a lower K_0 .

A second possible cause for the observed behavior is crack bifurcation (multiple cracking). The branching of cracks would cause a greater compliance for a given crack length. This is because no single crack-tip

would experience the entire applied strain. The result of this greater compliance would be an increased toughness and higher K_{Q} . This result could also increase with greater crack length as cracks continued to branch, producing an exponentially increasing number of cracks. It is doubtful, however, that this behavior would produce an increase of the magnitude observed. It most likely contributes to the result, but is probably not the entire cause.

FUTURE DIRECTION

Physical and mechanical property tests will be conducted on samples irradiated in MOTA 2B and COBRA 1A at temperatures of **400** to 800 C and up to a fluence of 50 dpa. Also, further baseline testing will be conducted to evaluate effects such as effects of fatigue and oxygen on the increase in K_Q with growth of cracks by SCG, and temperature dependence of crack growth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks **are** given to J. L. Humason for his testing expertise. This work was supported by Basic Energy Sciences under US Department of Energy (DOE) Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 with Battelle Memorial Institute that operates Pacific Northwest Laboratory for DOE.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. G. Evans, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 73 (1990) 187.
- 2. T. Fett, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 75 (1992) 3133
- 3. C. H. Henager, Jr., and R. H. Jones, *Materials Science and Engineering*, AI66 (1993) 211.

POST-IRRADIATION ANALYSIS **OF** FIBER DEBONDING AND PULLOUT IN SiC-SiC COMPOSITES' A.El-Azab and N.M. Ghoniem, University of California, Los Angeles

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to develop a calculational model for fiber debonding and pull-out in irradiated Sic-Sic composites, so that the effects of irradiation variables, such as neutron Huence and irradiation temperature, on debonding behavior and pull-out toughness can be studied.

SUMMARY

The toughness of Sic-Sic composites is contributed by crack bridging, matrix crack deflection, fiber debonding and pull-out and other minor effects. Fiber pull-out toughening relies on the debonding and frictional characteristics of the fiber-matrix interface. The interface friction depends on the interface pressure (i.e., on misfit strains) and interface roughness. An earlier study by the present authors [1] has shown that misfit strain in Sic-Sic composites undergo complex changes under neutron irradiation due to irradiation-induced creep and swelling. The present work mmplements the study presented in Ref. [1] by developing a calculational model for post-irradiation fiber debonding and pull-out toughness in SiC-SiC composites. It is shown that fiber debonding and pull-out toughness in Sic-Sic composites vary significantly with neutron fluence and irradiation temperature, which is a direct consequence of the dependence of the misfit strain on these irradiation variables.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Sic-Sic composites have been proposed for structural applications in fusion reactor first walls and blankets. The fracture toughness of these composites can be measured from work-of-fracture experiments, and can be theoretically determined by investigating the mechanisms of energy dissipation during composite failure. In addition to matrix toughness and matrix crack deflection, two other contributions are considered important in toughening Sic-Sic materials. First is the crack-tip bridging by intact fibers, which contributes a closure traction and lowers the stress intensity at the crack-tip. This contribution is important in case of small cracks. The second contribution is caused by fiber debonding, fiber fracture and pull-out, which occurs at significant crack openings, thus involving energy dissipation by interface friction. Fiber bridging, debonding and pull-out depend on the composite mismatch stresses, i.e., on misfit strains.

Neutron irradiation alters the composite behavior in a complex fashion. In addition to basic property changes under irradiation, irradiation-induced swelling and creep change the mismatch stress state, which has a direct influence on the fracture strength and toughness of SiC-SiC composites. Detailed calculations of the time-evolution of mismatch stresses in Sic-Sic composites under high-temperature neutron irradiation are performed[1]. General inelastic constitutive equations for SiC fibers and SiC matrix, which are developed by the present authors [2] are used for that purpose. It is found that misfit strains change significantly during early irradiation, and that long term changes depend on helium swelling and creep only, regardless of the initial thermal mismatch state. Accordingly, fiber debonding and pull-out behavior are expected to depend on the neutron fluence. In the present work, we calculate the post-irradiation pull-out toughness and fiber debonding in Sic-Sic composites as functions of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature.

[&]quot;It is material is based upon work supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under award number DE-FG03-91ER54115.

Fiber debonding

Micromechanics of fiber pull-out and debonding makes use of the concentric cylinder model. It consists of a single fiber in a matrix with the outer radius determined such that the fractional cross sectional area of fiber is equal to fiber volume fraction. While approximate models for fiber debonding have been developed [3,4], a more accurate treatment is conducted by Gao et al. [5], which is followed in the present analysis. It relies on the principle of conservation of energy for debond crack extension with frictional sliding. The fiber debonding criterion is written as [5]:

$$\frac{\gamma}{R_f} = -\frac{\sigma_f(0)}{4} \frac{\partial u_f(0)}{\partial L_d} - \frac{1}{2R_f} \int_0^{L_d} \left[\tau_0 - \mu q(z)\right] \frac{\partial u_r(z)}{\partial L_d} dz \tag{1}$$

where γ is the interface fracture energy, R_f is the fiber radius, $\sigma_f(0)$ is the stress at the fiber's end, $u_f(0)$ is the fiber's end displacement, L_d is the debond length, μ is the friction coefficient, q(z), is the interface pressure at $0 < z < L_d$, and τ_0 is a constant friction stress due to roughening of the interface under irradiation. In the original model of Gao et al. [5] the parameter τ_0 is not included. $u_r(z)$ is the relative displacement between fiber and matrix. Expressions for $u_r(z)$ and $u_f(0)$ are given in terms of the axial fiber and matrix strains, $\epsilon_f(z)$ and $\epsilon_m(z)$ by:

$$u_r(z) = \int_z^{L_d} \left[\epsilon_f(z) - \epsilon_m(z) \right] dz, \qquad u_f(0) = \int_0^{L_d} \epsilon_f(z) dz \qquad (2)$$

By representing the frictional shear stress at fiber-matrix interface as $\tau = \tau_0 - \mu q$ and following Gao et **al.** [5], the axial fiber stress, σ_f , the axial matrix stress, σ_m , and the interface pressure, q, can be written **as**:

$$\sigma_{f}(z) = \sigma_{f}(0) + \frac{\mu q(0) - \tau_{0}}{\mu c} \left[\exp\left(\frac{2\mu cz}{R_{f}}\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$\sigma_{m}(z) = -\frac{\mu q(0) - \tau_{0}}{\mu c} \left(\frac{f}{1 - f}\right) \left[\exp\left(\frac{2\mu cz}{R_{f}}\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$q(z) = \frac{\tau_{0}}{\mu} \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{2\mu cz}{R_{f}}\right) \right] + q(0) \exp\left(\frac{2\mu cz}{R_{f}}\right)$$
(3)

in which f is the fiber volume fraction, and q(0) is the interface stress at the fiber's end and is given by $q(0) = (c_1\sigma_f(o) + c_3\Delta\epsilon_{in})/c_4$. It can be shown that, by eliminating the terms containing τ_0 in eq. (3), the expressions for $\sigma_f(z)$, $\sigma_m(z)$, and q(z) reduce to those given in Ref. [5]. The constants c, c_1, c_3 and c_4 , depend on the elastic constants of fiber and matrix and given by: $c_1 = E_m(1-f)\nu_f, c_3 = E_m(1-f)E_f, c_4 = E_m(1-f)(1-\nu_f) + E_f[1+\nu_m+f(1-\nu_m)], c = (c_1-fE_f\nu_m)/c_4$. Using stress-strain relationships, and eq. (Z), $u_\tau(z)$ and $u_f(0)$ can be found in terms of L_d and $\sigma_f(0)$. Then by employing eq. (1), a transcendental equation for the debond length L_d in terms of $\sigma_f(0)$, γ and $\Delta\epsilon_{in}$ can be obtained. The interface debonding energy is then given by $\Gamma = 2\pi R_f L_d \gamma$.

In Sic-Sic composites, a graphite thin layer (~ 1 μ m) is employed as a fiber-matrix interface. If the composite is heavily irradiated, the specific fracture energy of the interface is expected to change due to two effects; atomic mixing and helium bubble formation at the interface. Considering helium bubble formation alone, it can be shown that the irradiated interface fracture energy is given by $(1 - \frac{\Delta V}{V})\gamma$, which does not deviate much from the unirradiated value since helium swelling, $\frac{\Delta V}{V}$, is only a few percent. Atomic mixing, on the other hand, can be the primary effect influencing the interface fracture energy, since the atomic composition in the interface layer will be altered. Specific experiments to measure the interface fracture energy as function of neutron fluence are not available at the present time. We will therefore consider the interface fracture energy as a parameter in our study.

Fiber pull-out

Fiber failure follows debonding if the crack opening displacement (COD) is significant. It is a statistical process, which is described by a Weibull-type analysis [3]. Usually fibers start to fail at COD of the order of R_f . Fibers then are pulled out of the matrix, with significant energy dissipation by frictional sliding. Detailed treatment of fiber pull-out, with statistical failure distribution, is given in Ref. [6]. At failure, the average fiber failure length, h, depends on the fiber stress state and in turn on the misfit strain. Statistical failure of fibers is not included in the present analysis, and hence the average failure length, h, is fixed.

Upon fiber failure, the fiber stress at the failure location drops to zero. Using the first of eqs.(3), the relationship between $\sigma_f(0)$ and the crack opening displacement, A, can be written **as:**

$$\sigma_f(0) = \frac{2c_4(h-\Delta)}{2\mu c_1(h-\Delta) + c_4 R_f} \left[\tau_0 - \frac{\mu c_3 \Delta \epsilon_{in}}{c_4} \right] \tag{4}$$

where $\exp\left(\frac{2\mu cz}{R_f}\right)$ is approximated by $1 + \frac{2\mu cz}{R_f}$. The steady state pull-out toughness is then determined by:

$$W_{p} = f \int_{0}^{h} \sigma_{f}(0) d\Delta = \frac{2f(c_{4}\tau_{0} - \mu c_{3}\Delta\epsilon_{in})R_{f}}{2\mu c_{1}} H(h/R_{f})$$
(5)

where $H(h/R_f)$ is a dimensionless function given by:

$$H(h/R_f) = \frac{(h/R_f)^2}{(h/R_f) + a} - a\log_e\left(\frac{a}{(h/R_f) + a}\right) + a^2\left(-a^{-1} + \frac{1}{(h/R_f) + a}\right)$$
(6)

where $\mathbf{a} = c_4/2\mu c_1$.

Misfit strain history

As shown in eqs. (4) and (5), the stress distribution in fiber and matrix, the interface pressure, and the pull-out toughness depend on the misfit strain, $\Delta \epsilon_{in}$. Viscoelastic analysis of the evolution of the misfit strain conducted in Ref. [1] has shown that the misfit strain can be represented as a function of irradiation time, t_i by:

$$\Delta \epsilon_{in}(t) = g(t) \Delta \epsilon_{th} + \int_0^t g(t - t') \frac{d\Delta \epsilon_s(t')}{dt'} dt'$$
(7)

in which g(t) is a function of the relaxation moduli of fiber and matrix, $\Delta \epsilon_{th}$ is the initial thermal misfit strain, and $\Delta \epsilon_s(t)$ is the differential swelling strain. The thermal misfit decays quickly as irradiation proceeds. A differential swelling of 10% of matrix swelling is considered. The latter consists of two components; lattice (loop) swelling which saturates early during irradiation and the long term helium swelling component [2]. Calculations are performed for the ARIES IV fusion reactor first wall conditions [7]. By defining the following set of constants,

$$a_{1} = c_{4}$$

$$a_{2} = -2 [E_{m}(1-f)\nu_{f} + fE_{f}\mu_{m}]$$

$$b_{1} = f\nu_{m}E_{f} - (1-f)\nu_{f}E_{m}$$

$$b_{2} = (1-f)E_{m} + fE_{f}$$

$$f_{e} = E_{f}E_{m}(1-f)\frac{b_{2} - b_{1}}{a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1}},$$
(8)



Figure 1: Evolution of misfit strain under ARIES IV First wall conditions

the function g(t) is then given by $g(t) = f_v(t)/f_e$, where $f_v(t)$ has the same expression as f_e with the elastic constants E_f and E, being replaced by the time-dependent relaxation moduli $E_f(t)$ and $E_m(t)$, respectively.

Discussion

Calculations of debond length and pull-out toughness are carried out for SCS-6 fibers and CVD SiC matrix. The fibers and matrix properties are: $E_f = 420$ GPa, $\nu_f = 0.3$, $E_r = 380$ GPa and $\nu_m = 0.2$. In ceramic matrix composites the interface fracture energy has been always designed to be less than 20% of the fracture energy of the matrix [8]. Therefore, the specific fracture energy of interface, γ , is taken to be one tenth of CVD SiC surface energy which is 1 J-m^{-2} [2]. Nicalon fibers are excluded since they spontaneously debond from the matrix at low fluence, which has been shown theoretically [1] and confirmed experimentally [9]. Such behavior is attributed to the significant shrinkage of Nicalon fibers under irradiation. The temperature effect on the spontaneous debonding of Nicalon fibers from CVD SiC matrix has not been experimentally investigated.

In Fig. 1, the evolution of misfit strain in Sic-Sic composites is shown for ARIES IV first wall conditions. It is shown that the instantaneous state of misfit strain is far different from the initial value, which is left from the manufacturing step. Fig. 2 shows the debond length as a function of fluence and and temperature at $\sigma_f(0)$ of 100 MPa. At very low fluences, the debond length decreases since lattice swelling dominates relaxation by creep. After lattice swelling saturates, the misfit strain relaxes which reduces the interface pressure, thus allowing more debonding to occur. At large fluences, slower evolution of the debond length occurs since helium swelling and irradiation creep fairly balance each other. The saturation level depends on the misfit strain at the end of the loop swelling phase. The latter increases as the irradiation temperature decreases. Therefore, the debonding energy, which is proportional to L_d , depends on the irradiation temperature.

Fig. 3 shows the dependence of pull-out toughness on neutron fluence and the pull-out length, h. At low fluences, a sharp decrease is observed. This is caused by the fast relaxation of the misfit strain, which controls the interface friction. At higher fluences, however, a slow recovery is observed due to the recovery of misfit strain. The pull-out length, h, depends on the fiber Weibull parameters



Figure 2: Debonding length as function of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature ($\sigma_f(0) = 100 \text{ MPa}, \tau_0 = 2 \text{ MPa}, \mu = 0.1$).

and the misfit, with the latter controlling the axial fiber stress state. However, larger values of pull-out length are favorable. Fig. **4** shows the trends of the pull-out toughness with irradiation temperature. At lower temperatures, since the saturation level of misfit strain is higher, friction at the interface is enhanced and the pull-out resistance is higher. The calculated pull-out toughness for Sic-Sic composites is within the range of pull-out toughness of typical ceramic matrix composites. For example, experimental measurements have shown a pull-out toughness of 80 MJ/m² for silicon nitride matrix reinforced by carbon fibers {10}.

The present calculations give first order estimation for the debonding and pull-out behavior of irradiated Sic-Sic composites. In principle, it has been shown that fiber-matrix interface debonding and pull-out depend on the irradiation history (neutron fluence and temperature). To obtain more accurate results for pull-out toughness, fiber failure statistics must be included to avoid the assumption of an average pull-out length. Since misfit strains change dramatically due to irradiation-induced creep and differential swelling between fibers and matrix, it is necessary to consider these changes in optimizing Sic-Sic composites for fusion first wall and blanket applications. Although post-irradiation calculations are considered in the present work, modeling of in-service fiber debonding and pull-out toughness for Sic-Sic composites requires consideration of the viscoelastic response of both fibers and matrix under neutron irradiation. Viscoelastic energy dissipation during fiber pull-out can be an important contribution to composite toughness.



Figure 3: pull-out toughness as function of neutron fluence and pull-out length (T = 900 °C, $\tau_0 = 2$ MPa, $\mu = 0.1$).



Figure 4: Pull-out toughness as function of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature ($h/R_f = 10$, $\tau_0 = 2$ MPa, $\mu = 0.1$).

FUTURE WORK

Viscoelastic effects are now under consideration to advance the developed model to predict the in-service toughness of Sic-Sic composites under high-temperature neutron irradiation.

References

- A. El-Azab and N. M. Ghoniem, 'Viscoelastic Analysis of Residual Mismatch Stresses in Ceramic-Matrix Composites Under High-Temperature Neutron Irradiation', submitted to Mech. of Materials (1993).
- [2] A. El-Azab and N. M. Ghoniem, 'Phenomenological Inelastic Constitutive Equations for SiC-SiC Composites Under Irradiation', submitted to Fusion Tech. (1993).
- [3] L. S. Sigl and A. G. Evans, Mechanics of Materials 8 (1989) 1.
- [4] J. W. Hutchinson and H. M. Jensen, Mech. of Materials 9 (1990) 139.
- [5] Yu-Chen Gao, Yiu-Wing Mai, and Brian Cotterell, J. Appl. Math. Phys. 39 (1988) 550.
- [6] M. D. Thouless and A. G. Evans, Acta Metall. 36 (1988) 517.
- [7] F. Najmabadi, R. W. Conn and the ARIES Team, 'The ARIES II and ARIES IV Second Stability Tokamak Reactor Study- Final Report,' UCLA-PPG 1461, In preparation.
- [8] Anthony G. Evans, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 73[2] (1990) 187.
- [9] L. Sneed, D. Steiner and S. J. Zinkle, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194(1992) 566.
- [IO] T. Suzuki, M. Sato and M. Sakai, J. Mater. Res. 7(1992) 2869.

RADIATION EFFECTS ON NICALON POLYMER PRECURSOR FIBERS – L. L. Snead (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), M. Osborne (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute), K. L. More (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

SUMMARY

This paper presents a summary of the radiation effects in the Nicalon polymer precursor fiber. It is shown that the serious degradation previously seen in this fiber (and fiber composite) is primarily due to the presence of a silicon oxycarbide phase. Results supporting this interpretation include microstructural analysis **as** well **as** post irradiation mechanical property measurements. Preliminary results on the effects of radiation on low oxygen Nicalon fibers **are** presented. The reduced oxygen fibers have shown radiation induced density, strength, Weihull's and Young's moduli changes typical for ceramic materials. This contrasts sharply with the poor irradiation behavior of the standard Nicalon fiber and suggests **that** improved radiation resistance **can** be expected in SiC/SiC composites fabricated with low oxygen Nicalon.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The use of silicon carbide (SiC) composites **as** structural materials in fusion systems has recently become attractive mainly due to the development of high strength, continuous **SiC** fibers. Such fibers can be woven in various architectures and the preforms infiltrated with a **SiC** matrix **by** various methods, yielding a composite with strengths reponed as high as 600 MPa¹. Another very attractive feature of **these** materials is that a degree of toughness can be designed into the composite **by** the application of a compliant interfacial layer between fiber and matrix. This layer allows the load to be transferred from the matrix to the high strength fiber **though** at high loads debonds causing fiber pull-out and crack bridging, **thus** increasing toughness.

Silicon carbide fibers **are** commercially processed through many different routes. The most common route is by decomposition of polymer precursor material and will be discussed more fully later. A second, common commercial SiC fiber is processed by chemically vapor depositing CVD **SiC** onto a pitch carbon core. This is the process which is used to produce the AVCO SCS-6 fiber. The pitch carbon filament on which the CVD is grown is 8 mm in diameter onto which a **140** mm CVD SiC fiber is grown. The size of this fiber and consequently its stiffness, yield a fiber which is impossible to weave and therefore is not desirable for fabricating composites. However, if **the** diameter were **decreased** substantially, this **fiber** would have very attractive features from a radiation resistance point of view **as** its unirradiated and irradiated properties would he very similar to a CVD SiC infiltrated matrix. A summary of the properties of the fibers to be discussed **are** given in Table **1**.

	Modulus	Strength	Density	Diameter	Max. Use
	(GPa)	(GPa)	(g/cc)	(µm)	Temp (°C)
Standard nicalon Low oxygen nicalon MER Conv. T-300 AVCO SCS-6	220 270 410	2.6 2.8 2.0 3.45	2.55 2.74 3.0 3.05	14 14 6 140	<1200 >1400 >1200 1300

• A less common, hut attractive option for **the** production of fibers is **by** direct conversion of graphite fibers to SiC.² This has been demonstrated for **both** fiber tows and for hatch processing of plain weave fabric **by the** MER Corporation. The process for conversion **uses** the general reaction:

$$2C(s) + SiO(g) = SiC(s) + CO(g)$$

Graphite tows or fabric **are** simply reacted in a high temperature furnace with the carbon monoxide gas and begin to convert from the outside of the fiber inward. Strengths have been reported² of over 2 GPa for the fiber with elastic moduli approaching pure silicon carbide. Typically, the microstructure of these fibers depends on the grain structure of the starting graphite fiber but arc microcrystalline with grain sizes varying from 0.025 mm to 0.1 mm. Fiber density is in the range of 2.8 to 3.1 g/cc depending on processing conditions, Of particular interest for these fibers is the very good high temperature strength and excellent creep resistance. While these fibers are attractive **as** composite reinforcement, the limited work to **date** on processing the fabric into composite has not yielded reasonable toughness and strength. Development is continuing, however.

The most widely studied, developed and commercialized SiC fiber system is derived from the polymer precursor process fust introduced by Yajima.³ The fibers arc commercially available under the trade name Nicalon.⁴ The first stage of the Nicalon process involves the low temperature melt-spinning of the organosilane polymer polycarbosilane (PCS). These spun fibers, which arc in the fragile "green state" are then stabilized by elevated temperature exposure to oxygen and successively ceramized in an inert atmosphere to a final temperature of 1300°C. Because of the relatively low price, good mechanical properties, and excellent weavability of these fibers, they have found use both in the laboratory as well **as** in industry.

It is important to note that because of the presence of oxygen that these can only be considered a SiC based fiber. The manufacturer's quoted composition for Nicalon NLM-202 is for a fiber containing **65% b-SiC** with 23% SiO2 and 11% free carbon. It should be noted that the actual elemental content and structure of Nicalon has been widely debated.⁵⁻⁸ Laffon⁹ and Porte⁸ have published comprehensive work on the structure of Nicalon and describe a crystal structure with approximately the same elemental content as provided by the manufacturer, though with a more complex structure Both researchers describe the system **as** a dispersal of **b-SiC** crystallites of a few nanometers size in a continuum of glassy silcon oxycarbide matrix (Si-O_x-C_y, where x+y is approximately 4.)

The greatest drawback of the Nicalon fiber is its tendency for the oxygen to evolve from the fiber. forming SiO at temperatures >1000°C.¹⁴ For this reason the fiber is referred to as "thermally unstable," and as will be shown later. is also the reason for its instability under irradiation. Recently, the Nicalon fiber properties have been improved by altering the means of cross-linking the spun polymer. Rather than curing the PCS in air, the material was subjected to ionizing radiation in the presence of a helium environment. This method of cross linking the PCS was first used with ultraviolet light,^{11,12} though the most successful demonstration uses electron irradiation and is described by Seguchi¹³ and Okamura¹⁴ for Nicalon. This process has yielded fiber with oxygen content ranging from a few to one percent of oxygen. The resulting fiber has superior mechanical properties and has a service temperature hundreds of degrees higher than standard Nicalon (>1400°C).

From a composite radiation damage point of view it will be most desirable to have fiber and matrix material of very similar material. If this is accomplished, the volumetric and strength changes in the constituents will be identical and interface related problems avoided. While the reduced oxygen material is still significantly less dense than CVD SiC (Table 1), the crystal structure is approaching "pure" silicon carbide. Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns from the four materials discussed. The first two plots (Figures 1a,b) show the effect of reducing the oxygen content in Nicalon. It is obvious that the silicon carbide peaks have been more clearly defined due to the supression of the silicon oxycarbide phase. The amorphous background has been significantly reduced. However, the peaks arc still not as defined **as** is evidenced by the CVD material of Figure 1c. Figure 1d also shows the diffraction pattern for a 60% converted MER fiber. This fiber has been converted radially inward but still posesses an graphite core which makes up 40% (by volume) of the fiber. The silicon carbide peaks for this material are defined along with the (0002) peak for graphite.



Fig. 1a. X-ray diffraction pattern for standard Nicalon.



Fig. 1b. X-ray diffraction pattern for low oxygen Nicalon



Fig. 1c. X-ray diffraction pattern for CVD SiC



Fig. 1d. X-ray diffraction pattern for MER converted SiC fiber

Experimental

The material used in this study were in the form of **both** CVD SiC/Nicalon composite **as** well **as** in bare tow form. Bend bars of plane weave Nicalon were infiltrated with a forced chemical infiltration **process**¹⁵ **at** ORNL and were processed with a 0.17 mm graphitic fiber/matrix interface. Bend bars of dimension 0.19 **x** 0.25 x 3.5 cm were three point bend tested with a cross head displacement speed of 8.5 x 10^{-4} cm/s. Irradiation of the composite material took place in **both** the **HRR** and **FFTF** fission reactors. The **HRR** irradiated material was placed in the core region at 300°C for 1 and 3 dpa irradiations while the FFTF material was irradiated to 20 dpa **at** 485°C. The Triple Ion Beam Facility at ORNL was used for carbon ion irradiation at ambient **ard** 900°C to 15 dpa (peak).

The density of the fibers was determined using the density gradient column method. The chemicals used were a mixture of ethylene bromide and methylene iodide. Linear gradients were built ranging from 0.15 to 0.2 g/cc over a meter of height in the column. It should be noted that while these columns remained linear for several days, a chemical reaction took place after approximately three days which slowly turned the solution opaque. A more suitable choice of chemicals would be the ethylene bromide/carbon tetrachloride system, though it is somewhat more expensive.

In order to test the density of the fibers, tows were looped **so** that fibers would not disperse in the column fluid. To ensure **that** trapped air bubbles did not affect the density measurement, the loop and a crucible of (lighter) column fluid was placed in a chamber and pumped down to <50 mTorr. The fiber was then dropped (while under vacuum) into the **crucible** and transferred in the fluid and immersed in the column. The fiber loop then **sank** and settled to its appropriate density level. At least three loops were tested at each condition.

The nicalon microstructure was observed using a JEOL 4000 transmission electron microscope. Neutron irradiated materials were thinned mechanically and ion milled in prepration for microstrucural analysis. **X**-ray analysis was conducted using a Phillips diffractometer with a graphite diffraction beam monochromator.

Ion beam irradiated samples were prepared in cross section using standard thinning and ion milling techniques. Image analysis of microstructure used the Ultimage¹⁶ software package. The elastic modulus of the ion beam irradiated material was measured using a NanoInstruments Nanoindenter¹⁷ mechanical propenies microprobe. These specimens were prepared identically to **those** of the **TEM** specimens with a **fina**lpolish with 1/2 mm diamond paste.

Tensile testing of single fibers was performed with an Instron testing machine using a fiber gauge length of 25 mm and a cross head displacement speed of 8.5 x 10^{-4} cm/s. Analysis of the fiber strength data assumed a Weibull probability distribution of the form:

$$-(\sigma / \sigma_0) \beta$$

R(σ) = 1 · e

where $\mathbf{R}(\sigma)$ is the probability of survival. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the Weibull modulus (shape parameter), σ_0 is the size parameter and σ is the applied variable stress. The mean stress is calculated **as** the integral of the probability density function (dR(s)/ds):

Mean Strength =
$$\sigma_0 \{ \Gamma(1 + 1/\beta) \}$$

Results

1. Degradation of Standard Nicalon Composite

The effect of neutron irradiation on three point bend strength of the 2-d composite is shown in Figure 2, which includes results from previous **papers**.^{18,19} The composite selected for this irradiation was

fabricated with a **0.17** mm graphite fiber/matrix interface which corresponds to a composite of maximum strength. However, by noting the load drop off behavior after the ultimate bending load it is apparent that this composite still has reasonable toughness. It is seen from Figure 2 that at the lowest displacement level of 1 dpa, there has been a significant reduction in load carrying capability for this composite. In contrast, the load drop off following macroscopic yielding is significantly more gradual than the unirradiated composite, pointing to the fact that fiber pull-out has become enhanced. At 3 dpa the ultimate bend load is approximately the same as the 1 dpa irradiated material, though the load drop-off (pull-out) has become drastically reduced. Fracture surfaces corresponding to the unirradiated, 1 and 3 dpa composites are shown in Figure 3, which confirms this changing pull-out behavior. By inspection of the figure it can be seen that the toughness (post-ultimateload drop-off) corresponds well with the amount of fiber pull-out, the 1 dpa irradiated material show significantly greater length and density of exposed fibers.

Also shown in Figure **2** is the load displacement curve for 20 dpa irradiated material which proved to be of very poor strength and toughness. By inspection of the residue remaining after the bend testing and by examination of fracture surfaces it became apparent that the Nicalon fiber had seriously embrittled and become friable.



Fig. 2. Loaddisplacement curve for irradiated SiC/Nicalon composite.



Fig. 3. Fracture surfaces for irradiated SiC/Nicalon composite.

2. Measurement of Elastic Modulus of Nicalon

The elastic moduli of both the fiber (E_f) and matrix (E_m) for the neutron irradiated Nicalon composites have been inset into Figure 2. These measurements were taken utilizing a nanoindentation method **discussed** in a previous paper.²⁰ These show the same trend as expected from previous ceramic work with the CVD SiC modulus decreasing from an unirradiated value of **415** GPa to **394** GPa at 3 dpa, further decreasing to **384** GPa for the 20 dpa material. Conversely, the Nicalon fiber is seen to increase in modulus steadily from a 200 GPa unirradiated value to 218 GPa at 3 dpa and increased to 248 GPa at 20 dpa. It should be noted, however, that there is a difference in irradiation sources and irradiation temperature for the 20 dpa material.

It has been previously reported²⁰ from ambient temperature **30** dpa (peak) carbon irradiated Nicalon that **the** elastic **modulus** also increased with displacement damage. These results were obtained by a **cross** sectional nanoindentation method from which plots of elastic modulus along the path of **an** ion could be generated (i.e. modulus-vs-dpa). Shown in Figures **4** and **5 are results** using this nanoindentation method **for 15** dpa (peak) carbon beam damaged material at both ambient and **900°C**. For both temperatures it is **seen** that the elastic modulus increases **near** the region of maximum **carbon** ion damage. A corresponding reduction in elastic modulus for the CVD SiC matrix was also observed.

3. Microstructure of Irradiated Nicalon

A series of high resolution TEN micrographs were taken to study the effect of temperature and radiation on the microstructure of standard Nicalon. A semiquantitative analysis was performed on Nicalon irradiated with **carbon** ions at both room temperature and 900°C as well as neutron irradiated material. Micrographs were taken along the range of the ion irradiated material from beginning of range (very low damage), at the middle of range (<1 dpa), at the beginning of the maximum damaged region (-1 dpa), at the peak damage



Fig. 4. Cress-sectional elastic modulus measurement for ambient temperature irradiated Nicalou.



Fig. 5. Cross-sectional elastic modulus measurement for 900°C irradiated Nicalon.

region of 15 dpa and the past range "virgin" material. Examples of the microstructure are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows a micrograph for unirradiated (past range) Nicalon with representative β SiC crystallites highlighted. The effects of high dose ion beam damage on the microstructure is shown in Figure 7. This micrograph exhibits no crystalline structure and indicates that the β -SiC has amorphized SiC.



Fig. 6. High resolution TEM image of unirradiated Nicalon.



Fig. 7. High resolution TEM image of carbon beam amorphized Nicalon

Micrographs of the ion and neutron irradiated materials were scanned into a optical analysis program and individual **SiC** crystallites highlighted. *Once* the crystallites were selected the average crystallite size (the Waddel disc diameter) and the planar density of crystallites was determined. It should be noted that this method will only yield a planar density of crystallites rather lhan a true density of crystals in the material. This is due to the fact that the β -SiC crystals are randomly oriented throughout the material, though the TEM will only clearly image crystallites in certain orientations. This method then is only an relative indicator for density of crystallites, though it is accurate in assessing the crystallite *size*.

Table 2 shows the results of the optical analysis. A trend toward randomization and eventual amorphization of the Nicalon fiber with increasing carbon ion damage is seen. This is demonstrated by noting that for the 900°C irradiated material, the planar density and mean particle size of the beginning of range and virgin material was essentially the Same while both the size and density decreased as the ion progressed along its range. Complete amorphization of the Nicalon structure occurred in the peak damage region at 900°C. The room temperature carbon beam irradiated material was seen to behave similarly, though amorphization took place at a lower damage level than 1 dpa while the 900°C irradiated material amorphized only inside the peak damaged region (>1 dpa).

	Beginning	Mid-	Begin		Beyond
	of range	Range	Damage	Peak Damage	Range
Ambient Temperature 15 dpa peak carbon dose					
Mean particle size (Å ²) Planar Density (%)	- -	19.1 2.9	Amorphous Amorphous	Amorphous Amorphous	19.9 3.8
900°C 15 dpa peak carbon dose					
Mean particle size (Å ²) Planar Density (%)	20.3 3.4	20.0 3.2	19.4 2.5		19.9 3.9
<u>435°C</u>	Uni	radiated		20 dpa <u>FFTF Irradiati</u>	on
Mean panicle size (Å ²) Planar density (%)		19.2 55.3		5.3 5.7	

Table 2. R	esults of Ima	ge Analysis o	f Irradiated Nicalon
------------	---------------	---------------	----------------------

Also given in the table are numbers for the mean particle size and planar density for **15** dpa neutron irradiated material at **435°C**. While the planar density is higher than that of the ion irradiated material, due to an earlier grade of Nicalon fiber, the density and size of crystallites **are** essentially unchanged **as** compared to unirradiated fibers from the same hatch. No significant amorphization or recrystallization has ocurred.

4. Performance of Low Oxygen Nicalon Fiber

The density of both standard and low oxygen Nicalon fiber following irradiation is plotted in Figure 8. This includes data generated by Okamura et al^{21} for standard fibers irradiated in the **JMTR** reactor **as** well as new **data** on standard and low oxygen Nicalon irradiated in FFTF and HFIR, respectively. Standard Nicalon is seen to increase in density by 4% at 2 x 10^{24} n/m² at 150°C and exhibits an 8% increase at the 650°C and 9x10²⁵ n/m² Higher dose irradiations of standard Nicalon to 2 x 10^{26} n/m² also yielded a large densification of the fiber. It is also important to note that these fibers were seriously embrittled and became friable. Figure 8 also shows the behavior of low oxygen Nicalon to a dose of 3.4 x 10^{24} n/m². A



Fig. 8. Density of irradiated Nicalon fiber.

precise measurement of the effect of radiation on the density was difficult to measure in this case due to **material** nonuniformity, **though** after many trials **a** wend toward volumetric expansion was seen.

The effect of neutron irradiation on fiber strength is given in Figure 9. Again, Okamura data²¹ are included with new data on low oxygen Nicalon fiber. It is seen that the trend for standard Nicalon was for



an increase in mean fiber strength at low doses from a value of 1.8 GPa (unirradiated, 150°C) to a value of 2.4 GPa after a JMTR irradiation fluence of $2 \times 10^{24} \text{ n/m.}^2$ It was also seen from this data that the Weihull modulus increased slightly with irradiation dose.

The mean strength of low oxygen Nicalon fibers following irradiation at 100°C is also shown in Figure 9. The low oxygen fiber unirradiated strength of 2.43 GPa is somewhat greater than that of standard Nicalon and the trend following irradiation is for a slight reduction in strength. Table 3 gives specifics related to the low oxygen Nicalon fiber testing and shows that a significant reduction in strength occurred at relatively low dose levels with a corresponding reduction in Weihull's modulus. However, it is seen in this table that the highest dose level irradiation did not show the reduction in strength. This data behaved like a combination of two Weibull distributions (i.e. two separate linear regions) which makes the determination of the Weihull's modulus difficult. These two distinct linear regions can have several explanations including two seperate failure modes (flaw types) for the fibers, or simply an insufficient number of tests or other experimental error. In any event, barring experimental error, the mean value of strength is little changed by the selection of Weibull's modulus applied to the data, and always yields a value less than the unirradiated strength for any reasonable modulus applied to the data set.

Neutron Flux	Weibull Modulus	Shape Parameter	Mean Strength
(1/cm ²)		(GPa)	(GPa)
Unirradiated	2.9 2.69	2.12	2.43
1.3 x 10 ¹⁹	2.58	2.28	2.02
3.2 x 10 ¹⁹	2.32	2.91	2.31

able 5. Reduced Data from Fiber Tensile Testing	Table 3.	Reduced	Data f	from	Fiber	Tensile	Testing
---	----------	---------	--------	------	-------	---------	---------

Discussion

As has heen pointed out previously,¹⁹ the major drawback to using the polymer precursor Nicalon fiber composite is that the densification of the fiber causes a debonding of the fiber/matrix interface. It has been shown that this densification occurs at fairly low fluence and temperature and that at higher fluences and temperature the fiber continues to densify and seriously embrittles and becomes useless **as** a reinforcement.

The reason for this densification has remained somewhat of a mystery until now, and is contrary to what occurs in all other silicon Carbide based materials and ceramics in general. Typically, crystalline ceramics irradiated at low temperature (<1000°C) will undergo volumetric expansion and reach saturation at relatively low fluences. This expansion is caused due to the lattice dilation associated with the accomodation of the displaced interstitial is larger than the lattice contraction of the vacancy. Radiation induced swelling in SiC has been seen by many researchers,²⁰⁻³¹ though Price et al have performed the most comprehensive research in the area. This fundamental finding along with the annealing behavior of SiC is the principle behind the widespread use of SiC as temperature monitors for irradiation studies.

The neutron irradiation induced swelling in SiC is seen to saturate at a fairly low dose. At less than 1000°C this saturation dose is approximately 10^{24} n/m². It has heen shown²⁴ that the saturation linear expansion decreases from a but 1% at irradiation near room temperature to approximately 0.05% at 1000°C. As was stated by Blackstone,²⁴ there does not seem to be a systematic dependence on grain size or porosity of the material, or a dependence on whether the initial SiC crystal structure is \mathbf{a} (hcp) or β (fcc). Studies since this time have supported this finding. At temperatures above 1000°C point defects can easily annihilate and tetrahedrally bounded voids **nucleate**²⁶ and dominate the swelling of the silicon carhide structure. However, the amount of swelling is relatively low, peaking at -0.5% at 10^{26} n/m² for an irradiation temperature of 1250°C.

Other than the work on Nicalon, there have been two references in the literature on nominally pure SiC which are contrary to these results. Price²⁶ reported a -0.2% change in length of vapor deposited polycrystalline SiC filament which had undergone a 3100°C anneal prior to a 5 x 10^{24} n/m² irradiation. However, such a small change in length of this fiber may not have **been** statistically significant. The second reference is by Stevens^{Z4}, also using silicon carbide whiskers, discusses a **very small** initial decrease in **\beta** SiC lattice parameters at low fluences (a of **-0.05%**) and c of -0.05%) followed by an order of magnitude larger increase in lattice parameter at fluences more representative of this study.

Several researchers have concluded that the explanation for the increase. in Nicalon density and modulus is due to a closer atomic packing³¹ and radiation induced crystallization and growth of SiC crystallites at low temperature.³³⁻³⁵ Kohyama asserted that even though there was clearly a diminution in size (or order) of the crystallites as as measured with XRDA, there must be a simultaneous formation and growth of SiC microcrystals due to the fact that there was an increase in the Young's modulus. This was in part supported by a quantitative analysis using **dark** field **TEM** of Nicalon irradiated with Ni ions at ambient temperature.

As was reviewed above, either a closer packing or low temperature formation and growth of SiC crystallites is highly unlikely. It is also clear from the results presented in Table 2, **as** well **as** the TEM micrographs, that no crystal growth **was** observed in either the **carbon** ion or neutron irradiated material. The mechanism behind the increase in Young's modulus is in fact independent of the radiation induced structural changes in the SiC. By inspection of Table 2 and Figures 6 and 7 it is seen that there is an increase in modulus even for material disordered by neutron irradiation or amorphized by carbon **beam** displacements. In essence, even though the SiC microstructure in Nicalon is becoming randomized, which should reduce the modulus significantly, the opposite effect occurs. It has been shown **previously**^{19,36} that the amorphization of silicon carbide under similar irradiation conditions substantially reduces **both** hardness and modulus ov **CVD** material.

The mechanism behind the increase in elastic modulus of the standard Nicalon fiber is most likely the existence of the glassy silicon oxycarbide matrix which surrounds **the** β -SiC crystallites. It is well known that glassy materials such **as** vitreous silica undergo densification by neutron **irradiation**^{37,38} and to a lesser extent by gamma **irradiation**.³⁹ Figure 10 shows the behavior of crystalline quartz and vitreous (amorphous) silica which has undergone neutron **irradiation**.³⁸ Both these materials (**as** well **as** crystobalite



Neutron Fluence (1/cm) x 10

Fig. 10, Effect of neutron fluence on density of quartz and vitreous silica.
and tridymite⁴⁰) are seen to approach the same density and amorphous state.^{41,42} In the case of (amorphous) vitreous silica, irradiation actually moves the material towards a more stable amorphous state. Associated with these changes are also slight increases in strength.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ Elastic modulus has been seen to decrease for quartz, and to undergo a small positive or negative change in glassy materials.³⁷

The possibility of a transformation from one amorphous phase in the Nicalon matrix, lo a second amorphous phase is still not entirely fulfilling explanation of the density and modulus changes observed. The saturation value for densification in silicates only approach 3% as an upper limit, $^{46-49}$ and this occurs very quickly (-0.1 dpa). However, the irradiation induced density increase in standard Nicalon has been shown to be several percent, and gives no sign of saturating below a value of 1 dpa. Also, only small changes in modulus (both positive and negative) have been reponed in irradiated amorphous silicates, while Nicalon has yielded modulus increases approaching 25% following irradiation.

It is well known that the amount of densification of silicates depends on initial density. The effect of the degree of compaction of high-purity silica irradiation induced densification has been studied by **Primak**.⁵⁰ It was seen that these materials. which varied in density by up to 6% tended toward the Same equilibrium density under irradiation. Also of interest is that it was shown⁵¹ that application of high pressure alone was sufficient to reach a saturation value of density equal to the saturation density for the irradiated silica. While the density of the Si O, C_y is not known it is most likely that the density or this amorphous phase is substantially lower than vitreous silica and would undergo larger densification than observed in near fully dense silicates. This assertion would be difficult to show experimentally, however.

A further indication that the property changes of standard Nicalon are driven by the Si O, C_y phase is that the reduced oxygen material exhibit behavior more typical of ceramics. At 100°C, the fiber density was seen to remain essentially unchanged while the strength decreased slightly after irradiation. Both Weibull's and Young's moduli decreased for the irradiated low oxygen fiber also representative of the effect in pure SiC.

These preliminary resulu of low oxygen Nicalon are very promising for the use of this polymer precursor fiber in an irradiation environment. **As** discussed earlier, the major drawback to the use of the standard Nicalon fiber was this fibers tendency to densify causing interfacial debonding and composite failure. The fact that the lower oxygen Nicalon has both higher modulus and higher strength. but more importantly does no undergo the catastrophic densification. will provide a more radiation resistant reinforcement of SiC composites.

Conclusions

- 1. Standard Nicalon fiber undergoes a significant increase in density with irradiation and eventually becomes embrittled to the point where it is useless **as** a composite reinforcement.
- 2. Microstructural analysis proves that the increase in Young's modulus of Standard Nicalon fiber is not caused by recrystallization or crystal growth of the "amorphous" SiC structure and is in fact independent of changes in the SiC crystallites.
- 3. The densification of the Nicalon structure is consistent with the irradiation induced transition toward a fully dense Si $O_x C_y$ glassy phase.
- 4. Low oxygen Nicalon fiber behaved under low temperature irradiation as would be expected from previous work with nearfully dense SiC. The fiber exhibit decreases in strength, Young's and Weibull's modulus and has virtually no change in density to an hence of 3.2 x 10²⁴ n/m² at 100°C. These radiation induced changes should yield significantly more radiation resistant SiC composites than have been fabricated to this point.

FUTURE WORK

This work needs to be extended to higher fluences and greater irradiation temperatures. Presently low oxygen Nicalon fibers **are** being irradiated in HRR with plans for both higher fluence and temperature irradiations early in **1994.** Two dimensional plain weave low oxygen composites **are** also being fabricated by Oak Ridge **as** well **as** one dimensional CVD SiC infiltrated composites. Once fabricated irradiation and testing will be conducted.

References

- 1. R. A. Lowden, "Characterization and Control of the Fiber/Matrix Interface in Ceramic Matrix Composites," **ORNL/TM-11039**. March **1989**.
- "The Development of Silicon Carbide Ceramic Composites for Fusion Reaction (sic) Applications" Final Report. SBIR Phase 1 Contract No: DEFG02-92ER 81271 (May 27, 1993). Materials Electrochemical Corporation.
- 3. S. Yajima et al., Chem. Leuers. 9. (1975). p. 931.
- 4. Nicalon is a Tradename of the Nippon Carbon Corporation.
- G. Simon and A. R. Bunsell, "Mechanical and Structural Characterization of the Nicalon Silicon Carbide Fibre," J. Mat. Sci., 19 (1984). pp. 3649-3657.
- 6. C. J. Macey et al., "Scanning Electron Microscopy," Vol. IV (SEM Inc., AMF O'Hare, Chicago. 1984), p. 1643.
- 7. S. Yajima et al., Rev. Chim. Min. 18, p. 412. (1981).
- 8. L. Porte and A. Sartre, "Evidence for a Silicon Oxycarbide Phase in the Nicalon Silicon Carbide Fibre," J. Mater. Sci. 24 (1989), pp. 271-275.
- 9. C. Laffon et al., "Study of Nicalon-based Ceramic Fibres and Powders by EXAFS Spectrometry, X-ray Diffractometry and Some Additional Methods," J. Mater. Sci. 24 (1989), pp. 1503-1512.
- S. M. Johnson et al., "Degradation Mechanisms of Silicon Carbide Fibers." J. Am. Ceram. 71 [3] (1988), pp. C132-135.
- 11. R. West et al., Bull Amer. Ceram. Soc. 62 (1989) p. 899.
- 12. K. J. Wynne and R. W. Price, Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci. 14 (1984), p. 297.
- T. Seguchi et al., "Preparation of Heat-Resistant Silicon Carbide Fiber from Polycarbosilane Fiber Circle by Electron Beam Irradiation," Proc. of International Conference on Evolution in Beam Applications, Nov. 5-8, Japan (1991).
- K. Okamura and Tadao Sedguchi, "Application of Radiation Curing in the Preparation of Polycarbosilane-Derived SiC Fibers." J. Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers 2 [1], (1992) pp. 171-179.
- 15. T. M. Besman et al., "A Method for Rapid Chemical Vapor Infiltration of Ceramic Composites," Proceedings of the Seventh European Conference on Chemical Vapor Deposition, Journal de Physique 50 (Conf-C-5), pp. **229-239**, May **(1988)**.
- 16. Ultimage is a trademark of Graftek-France.
- 17. Nanoindenter is a trademark of the NanoInstruments Corporation, Knoxville, Tennessee

- L. L. Snead. S. J. Zmkle. D. Steiner, "Measurement of the Effect of Radiation Damage to Ceramic Composite Interfacial Strength," J. Nucl. Mat. 191-194 (1992), pp. 566-570.
- 19. L. L. Snead, "Development of SiC Composites for Fusion," Fus. Tech. 24 [1] (1993), pp. 65-82.
- 20. L. L. Snead, S. J. Zinkle. D. Steiner. "Radiation Induced Microstructure and Mechanical Property Evolution of SiC/C/SiC Composite Materials," J. Nucl. Mat. 191-194 (1992), pp. 560-565.
- K. Okamura et al., "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Fine Suucture and Strength of SiC Fibers," J. Nucl. Mat., 155-157 (1988), pp. 329-333.
- 22. I. F. Ferguson, "Lattice Contraction Associated with the Neutron Irradiation of Self-Bonded Silicon Carbide," Phil. Mag. 10, Correspondence, pp. 635-636 (1967).
- 23. R. J. Price, "Effects of Fast-Neutron Irradiation on Pyrolytic Silicon Carbide," J. Nucl. Mat. 33 (1969) pp. 17-22.
- 24. R. Blackstone and E. H. Voice, "The Expansion of Silicon Carhide by Neutron Irradiation at High Temperature," J. Nucl. Mat. 39, pp. 319-322 (1971).
- 25. R. Stevens, "Neutron Irradiation Damage in SiC Whiskers," Phil. Mag. 25, pp. 523-528 (1971).
- 26. R. J. Price. "Annealing Behavior of Neutron-Irradiated Silicon Carhide Temperature Monitors," Nucl. Tech. 16 (1972), pp. 536-542.
- 27. R. J. Price, "Neutron Irradiation-Induced Voids in β-Silicon Carbide," J. Nucl. Mat. 48 (1973) pp. 47-57.
- **28.** R. B. Matlhews, "Irradiation Damage in Reaction-Bonded Silicon Carbide." J. Nucl. Mater. 51 (1974) pp. 203-208.
- 29. S. D. Harrison and I. C. Corelli, "Microstructure of Polycrystalline SiC Containing Excess Si after Neutron and Ion Irradiation," J. Nucl. Mat. 99 (1981). pp. 203-212.
- **30.** T. Suzuki et al., "Effects of Sntering Aids on the Length Change of Neutron Irradiated SiC Ceramics During Annealing at High Temperature," J. Nucl. Mater. 165 (1989), pp. 247-251.
- **31. T. Iseki et al.**, "Effects of Netumn Irradiation and Subsequent Annealing on Strength and Toughness of SiC Ceramics," 170 (1990) pp. 95-100.
- 32. K. Okamura et al., "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Fine Structure and Strength of SiC Fibers." J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988). pp. 329-333.
- A. Kohyama et al., "Radiation Induced Microstructure Evolution in SiC/Al Composite Materials." J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988), pp. 334-339.
- A. Kohyama et al.. "Effects of Neutron Irradiation on Ceramic Fiber Reinforced Composites," ASTM 1125 15th ASTM International Symposium on <u>Effects of Radiation on Materials</u>, Nashville, Tn. (1990), pp. 785-796.
- **35.** A. Kohyama et al., "Microstructural Evolutions of PCS-SiC/Al Under Thermal Treatment and Radiation Damage," in <u>Interfaces in Polymer. Ceramic</u>, and <u>Metal Matrix Composites</u>, ed. Hatsuo Ishida, Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc. (1988).
- 36. C. W. White, "Ion Implantation and Annealing of Crystalline Oxides," Mat. Sci. Reports. 4, Number 2.3, (1989) p. 41-146.

- J. F. Kirchner and R. E. Bowman, Effects of Radiation on Materials and Components, Reinhold Publishing, New York (1964).
- 38. E. Lell et al., "Radiation Effects in Quartz. Silica and Glasses," from Progress in Ceramic Science. Vol. 4. ed. J. E. Burke, Pergamon Press. (1966).
- 39. W. Primak and E. Edwards, Phys. Rev. 128(1962), p. 2580.
- 40. M. C. Wittels and F. A. Shemll. Phys. Rev. 93 (1954), pp. 1117-1118.
- 41. W. Primak et al., Phys. Rev. 92 (1953), pp. 1064-1065.
- 42. W. Primak et al., "Effects of Nuclear Reactor Exposure on Some Properties of Vitreous Silica and Quartz," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 38 (1955), pp. 135-139.
- 43. D. Billington and J. H. Crawford. Radiation Damage in Solids, Princeton University Press (1961)
- 44. R. L. Hines, J. Appl Phys. 28 (1957), pp. 587-591.
- 45. W. Primak, J. Appl. Phys. 35 (1962), pp. 1342-1347.
- 46. A. M. Bishay, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 44 (1961) pp. 289-296.
- 47. V. Garinn-China, Verr et Refract.. 12 (1958), pp. 313-323
- 48. V. Culler, proceedines of the Seventh Hot Laboratory and Equinment Conference, Cleveland. (1959), pp. 119-128.
- 49. D. K. Stevens et al. J. Appl. Phys. 29 (1958), pp. 66-68
- 50. W. Primak and E. Edwards, "Ionization Expansion of Compacted Silica and the Theory of Radiation-Induced Dilations in Vitreous Silica," Phys. Rev. 133 [2A] (1964), pp. A531-535.
- 51. I. Simon, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956), pp. 1587.
- 52. I. Simon, J. Amer. Ceram Soc. 40 (1957), pp. 150.

FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH **RATE** (FCGR) BEHAVIOR OF SiC/SiC COMPOSITES •• N. Miriyala, P. K. Liaw and C. J. McHargue (University of Tennessee) and L. L. Snead *(Oak*Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

To develop a basic understanding of fatigue crack growth characteristics in SiC/SiC composites in both irradiated as well as non-irradiated conditions.

SUMMARY

Two different techniques, viz. compliance and FRACTOMAT methods, have been evaluated to precisely determine the crack length during fatigue crack propagation testing. A software program has been developed to compute da/dN and DK values. Facilities are being augmented to conduct the tests at temperatures as high as 2000°C. Machining of SiC/SiC composites has been successfully accomplished using the facilities at the University of Tennessee. Work has been initiated on the theoretical modeling of the various crack shielding mechanisms that can profoundly influence the crack propagation rates.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

A perusal of the literature review on the fiber reinforced SiC/SiC composites brought into sharp focus the scarcity of mechanical properties data of these composites. However, it is common knowledge that successfuldesign and application of these composites call for a thorough understanding of their mechanical behavior. Although fiber reinforced ceramic matrix composites have been under active development for the last few years, the literature on the fatigue crack growth behavior of these materials¹⁻⁵ is scarce and, in fact, there is only one paper concerning the SiC/SiC composites⁶. Moreover, the investigators have used a variety of testing methods and specimen geometries which effectively precludes any attempts to compare the data even for similar materials. In view of the above, it has been decided to study the fatigue crack propagation behavior of the SiC/SiC composites using the ASTM standard E 647-92 for the measurement of fatigue crack growth rate in metallic materials. The test method involves cyclic loading of notched specimens which have been precracked in fatigue loading. Crack length is measured, either visually or by an equivalent method, such as FRACTOMAT or compliance techniques, as a function of elapsed fatigue cycles, and the data are subjected to numerical analyses to establish the rate of crack growth, da/dN,expressed as a function of the stress intensity factor range, DK. The fatigue crack growth rate expressed as a function of the crack tip stress intensity factor range, da/dN vs DK. characterizes the material's resistance to crack extension under cyclic loading. Expressing da/dN as a function of DK provides results that are independent of planar geometry, thus enabling comparison of **data** obtained from a variety of specimen configurations and loading conditions. Moreover, this feature enables da/dN versus DK data to be utilized in the design and evaluation of engineering structures.

Present Status

(i) Fatigue crack growth rate tests have been performed **on** three plain carbon steel specimens. as a prelude to the testing of the more expensive LAS/SiC and SiC/SiC specimens, to evaluate the two independent crack length measurements techniques, viz. FRACTOMAT and compliance techniques. In the FRACTOMAT method, a thin film of constantan alloy (KRAK GAGE) is **bonded** to the specimen surface. Figure 1 shows the KRAK GAGE bonded to a steel specimen. A measured amount of current is passed

increment in crack length, the crack length is accurately measured⁷⁻⁹. In the compliance technique, the compliance values are.calculated from the load vs crack opening displacement values obtained at specified cycle intervals, and are.subjected to numerical analyses to determine the crack length. A plot of da/dN vs DK is shown in Figure 2 for the steel specimen tested at a R ratio (minimum load/ maximum load) of 0.5 and a cycle frequency of 20 Hz.



Figure 1. KRAK **GAGE** bonded to **a** steel specimen.



Figure 2. da/dN vs DK plot for a steel specimen tested at $\mathbf{R} = 0.5$.

(ii) A software program has been developed to aid in the computation of da/dN and DK values from the test data which runs into several kilobytes.

(iii) Two panels (100 mm \times 100 mm) of LAS/SiC composites have **been** obtained **from** UTRC (United Technologies Research Center), Connecticut. Four side notch specimens (100 mm \times 20 mm \times 4 mm) have **been** fabricated, which will be tested shortly.

(iv) Since the machining of SiC/SiC composites is well **known** to be a difficult task, it has **been necessary** to optimize the machining parameters to obtain reasonably good compact type C(T) specimens of SiC/SiC composites. It may be noted that the composite specimens were fabricated at **HTML** (High Temperature Materials Laboratory) of ORNL using the Forced Chemical Vapor Infiltration (FCVI) process. A C(T) specimen of the composite is shown in Figure 3 which was machined using the in-house facilities at UT.



Figure 3. SiC/SiC C(T) specimen.

(v) A new MTS test frame has been acquired recently which will be used to test the samples at temperatures up to 2000°C in inert and vacuum atmospheres. Some SiC/SiC specimens will be tested at elevated temperatures using this test frame.

(vi) Since KRAK GAGE can not be used at temperatures above 300°C, a traveling microscope (Questar System) has been recently acquired to facilitate the measurement of crack length during elevated temperature testing.

(vii) Work has **been** initiated **on** the theoretical modeling of the various damage mechanisms, such **as** matrix cracking and **fiber** debonding, that operate at the tip of the crack as well as the various crack shielding mechanisms. such **as** crack bridging.

FUTURE WORK

(i) Testing of LAS/SiC, and subsequently SiC/SiC specimens, under different load conditions both at ambient as well as elevated temperatures. Different **sizes** of samples will be tested to determine the smallest specimen size. that can be tested, the results from which will truly represent the mechanical behavior of the composite under study. In view of the high costs associated with the irradiation experiments, it has

become imperative to conduct such a study to determine the minimum specimen dimensions which will give valid fatigue crack growth rate results. It is hoped that this study will help in better planning the irradiation experiments.

(ii) Extensive electron microscopy characterization of the fracture surfaces to study the various damage and crack shielding mechanisms.

(iii) Investigation of the fatigue crack propagation behavior of the irradiated SiC/SiC specimens and their characterization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the Department of Energy under contract No. Martin Marietta 11X-SL261V. The authors are very grateful to H. R. Hartman for kindly supplying us the KRAK GAGE/FRACOMAT system. We would like to thank Gred Jones, Ted Long, Doug Fielden and Bill Matlin of the University of Tennessee and Marcelo Cescon of Instituto de Pesquisas Tecnologicas, Brazil for their help and support in pursuing this work. They are also grateful to Drs. Arthur Rowcliffe and Everett Bloom of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory for their encouragement and support

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Holmes, Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 74, pp. 1639-1645.1991.

2. L. P. Zawada, L. M. Butkus and G.A. Hartman, Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 74, pp. 2851-2858, 1991.

3. E. Y. Luh, R. H. Dauskardt and R. O. Ritchie, Journal Of Materials Science Letters, Vol. 9, pp. 719-725, 1990.

4. R. H. Dauskardt, D. B. Marshall and R. O. Ritchie, Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 73, pp. 893-903, 1990.

5. K. M. Prewo, Journal Of Materials Science Letters. Vol. 22, pp. 2695-2701. 1987.

6. C. H. Henager, Jr. and R. H. Jones, Ceramic Engineering & Science Proceedings, Vol. 13. pp. 411-419.1992.

7. P. K. Liaw, H. R. Hartman and E. J. Helm, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, Vol. 18, pp. 121-131, 1983.

8. P. K. Liaw, H. R. Hartman and W. A. Logsdon, ASTM Journal of Testing and Evaluation, Vol. 11, pp. 202-207, 1983.

9. P. K. Liaw, W. A. Logsdon, L. D. Roth and H. R. Hartman, ASTM STP 877,

pp. **177-196, 1985.**

SUMMARY OF IEA WORKSHOP ON RADIATION EFFECTS IN CERAMIC INSULATORS--S. J. Zinkle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), G. P. Pells (Harwell National Laboratory, England) and F. W. Clinard, Jr. (Los **Alamos** National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

To summarize the discussions and recommendations from the IEA Workshop on Radiation Effects in Ceramic Insulators

SUMMARY

A workshop on radiation effects in ceramics was held during the 6th International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials in **Stresa**, Italy. Recent work on radiation effects in ceramics was reviewed by participants from Europe, Japan and the United Slates. Most of the ensuing discussion centered on the phenomenon of radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED). A round robin RIED experiment on the IEA reference ceramic (Wesgo AL995) was formulated.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

An International Energy Agency (IEA) Working Group Annex II workshop was held on the subject of radiation effects in fusion ceramic insulators during the 6th International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials in Stresa, Italy on September 27 and 29, 1993. The workshop was organized by S. J. Zinkle (ORNL) and E. H. Farnum (LANL). The agenda addressed a wide range of topics, ranging from the possiblility of radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED) of ceramics to communication . issues between the materials scientists and the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) design staff (see Table 1). The wokshop attendees included 8 scientists from Japan, 11 scientists from Europe, 6 scientists from the US, and three Russian scientists who attended the workshop as observers.

Overviews of Recent and Planned Future Work

R. Heidinger, KfK Karlsruhe: Work continues on the dielectric property measurements on ceramics at millimeter wavelengths. The study of radiation damage effects on thermal conductivity and mechanical properties above room temperature have now produced a reasonable data base. Further work will continue at low temperatures.

E. R. Hodgson, CIEMAT Study of the RIED effect continues, particularly at microwave frequencies. In-situ dielectric property measurements at 15GHz during electron irradiation are in progress. The lower temperature threshold for RIED in electron irradiated alumina has been established to be between 150 and 200°C.

Table 1. Agenda for IEA Workshop on Radiation Effects in Ceramic Insulators

Monday. September 27 (S. J. Zinkle, Chair)

7:30 p.m.:	Brief overviews of recent work.	Brief overviews of recent work.				
1	R. Heidinger (KfK Karlsruhe)	T. Shikama (Tohoku University)				
	E. R. Hodgson (CIEMAT)	C. Kinoshita (Kyushu University)				
	G. P. Pells (Hanvell)	E. H. Famum (LANL)				
	K. Ncda (JAERI)	S. J. Zinkle (ORNL)				
8:30 p.m.:	Importance of irradiation environment on the microsmctural evolution and physical property changes of ceramics.					
	(A) Effect of imposed electric and magnetic fields					
	W. Kesternich (Jiilich)	G. P. Pells (Hanvell)				
	P. Jung (Jiilich)	T. Shikama (Tohoku University)				
	A. Möslang (Karlsruhe)	E. H. Famum (LANL)				
	E. R. Hcdgson (CIEMAT)	L. L. Snead (ORNL)				
	(B) Irradiation spectrum (PKA and ionizin G. P. Pells (Hanvell)	g radiation)				
	C. Kinoshita (Kyushu University)					
	S. J. Zinkle (ORNL)					
	(C) Mechanical behavior under combined irradiation and applied stress					
	S. J. Zinkle (ORNL)					
	r. Jung (Jillich) K. Aba (Tabaku University)					
	R. Abe (Tonoku University)					
<u>Wednesday.</u>	September 29 (E.H. Farnum, Chair)					
7:00 p.m.:	ITER design challenges, and how to improve communication with the ITER design					
	E W Clinard $(I, \Delta NI, I)$					
	R. F. Mattas (ANL)					
	G. P. Pells (Hanvell)					
830 p.m.:	Future plans. identification of critical problem areas. possible round robin/ collaborative					
	experiments.					
	(A) Upcoming meetings of interest (S. J. Zinkle, UKNL)					
	(B) Define near-term critical research areas					

G. P. Pells, Hanvell: In-situ measurements show no RIED effects in silica glass during cyclotron (proton) irradiation at temperatures up to 300°C. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies of an electrically degraded sapphire sample provided by CIEMAT show the presence of γ -Al₂O₃ precipitates.

K. Noda, JAERI: Radiation induced conductivity measurements were reported for sapphire irradiated with 14 MeV neutrons. RIED measurements during high-dose neutron irradiation in the *HFIR* reactor are planned.

T. Shikama, Tohoku University: Work continues to improve the capsule design for in-reactor electrical conductivity measurements, in collaboration with LANL and ORNL scientists. Conductivity increases attributed to RIED have been observed in alumina. The optical **loss** in silica fibers has been measured in-situ during fission neutron irradiation.

C. Kinoshita, Kyushu University: There is ongoing work with an HVEM/ion accelerator system to investigate the effects of variations in the irradiation spectrum (ionizing and displacive irradiation) on the microstructural evolution of spinel. An in-situ HVEM electrical conductivity stage has been built for RIED measurements. and preliminary results have been obfained on ZrO₂.

E. H. Famum, LANL: In-situ measurements of electrical and optical properties during neutron irradiation have been made in the LASREF facility. The ac capsule requires further postirradiation tests, but the dc capsule results show only the usual transient radiation induced conductivity with no apparent permanent RIED. Postirradiation examination of the various samples is planned.

S. J. Zinkle, ORNL Ceramic samples have been irradiated in four different fission reactors. Postirradiation examination is in progress to determine swelling, strength , fracture toughness, thermal conductivity. ac electrical properties and **TEM**. Dual ion beam irradiations are being **used** to investigate the effect of variations in ionization/dpa ratios on radiation damage processes in oxide and nitride ceramics. Insitu measurements of the thermal conductivity, dc electrical conductivity, and dielectric properties at 100 MHz during fission neutron irradiation are in progress or planned.

Discussion of Radiation Induced Electrical Degradation

An extended session was devoted to the subject of the RIED effect in ceramic insulators. Recent work at Forschungszentrum Jiilich using high energy proton and alpha particle irradiation found no RIED effect in alumina irradiated at a nominal temperature of 500°C to -0.01 dpa. During the course of this work it was found that surface contamination of the sample with a conducting film that condensed from the surrounding atmosphere could introduce errors into the bulk conductivity measurement, even when a guard ring geometry was used. The superfluous surface current gave the erroneous appearance of a bulk RIED effect. Similar results were also reponed by researchers from KfK Karlsruhe.

The opportunity was taken at this workshop to have extensive public discussions on the experimental techniques **used** by those workers who had previously reported the RIED phenomenon. It was concluded that these original experiments had been carefully performed using standard experimental techniques. Further experiments are required to resolve the apparent discrepancy between the original experiments at CIEMAT and Harwell and the more recent studies at Jilich and Karlsruhe. A round-robin RIED experiment was proposed on the **IEA** reference ceramic, Wesgo AL995 polycrystalline alumina (available from R. E. Stoller, ORNL). The RIED experiments should be performed at a temperature of 450°C with an applied field of >200 V/mm and a damage rate between 10⁻⁹ and 10⁻⁸ dpa/s (see Table 2 for a summary of the experimental features).

The workshop participants prepared a list of experimental parameters that should be measured and reported in future RIED experiments. The list included the specimen surface temperature (for accelerator experiments), the surface conductivity (center-guard and guard-base), and the contact resistance to the guard electrode. In general, the participants emphasized the importance of reporting the full experimental details in journal publications. It was also noted that RIED experiments performed at a high damage rate will likely require higher doses to achieve the same level of degradation as low damage rate experiments. Participants were urged to perform extensive postirradiation examination of electrically degraded specimens, including electrical conductivity measurements and TEM.

Table 2. Proposed IEA Round-Robin RIED Experiment

Material: Wesgo AL995 polycrystalline Al2O3 (IEA reference material) -- available from R. E. Stoller (ORNL)

Temperature: 450°C

Electric field >200 V/mm

Damage rate: 10^{-9} to 10^{-8} dpa/s

Essential Experimental Features

- 1. The surface temperature of the specimen must be directly measured (2-wavelength optical pyrometry, etc.).
- 2. A guard ring geometry must be used for the specimen electrodes. The surface conductivities (centerguard and guard-base) must be measured and reported (at least the surface conductivities at the beginning and end of the irradiation, preferably with the beam on). If a spring-loaded electrical contact system is used, then the contact resistance to the guard electrode must be measured.
- 3. Ohmic behavior should be verified and reponed.
- **4.** A detailed description of the experiment should be reported (specimen thickness and diameter, electrode dimensions. irradiation atmosphere, apparatus to suppress secondary electron emission, etc.)
- 5. Detailed postirradiation examination of the specimens (including TEM) is strongly encouraged.

Miscellaneous Tooics

Recent microstructural observations were summarized which show that the microstructures produced in spinel and alumina by light ion irradiation are considerably different from the heavy ion and neutron irradiation cases. These microstructural differences were discussed within the framework of ionization-enhanced diffusion and primary knock-on spectrum effects. Further work is needed to identify the physical mechanism responsible for the strong observed dependence on irradiation spectrum.

The effects of radiation, applied stress and environment on the mechanical properties of ceramics was discussed. There is a small amount of evidence to suggest that the irradiation of stressed ceramics in certain environments (e.g. aqueous) may lead to different mechanical behavior compared to conventional unstressed irradiation followed by PIE measurements. The workshop participants could not reach a concensus regarding the possible importance of irradiation environment on the mechanical behavior of ceramics, although there is no doubt that direct exposure to hot water will lead to stress corrosion cracking.

Dr. R. F. Mattas presented a useful talk on the present ITER design, in which the various options for ceramic insulators were discussed. Further discussion with the workshop participants led to the list of

ceramic applications for ITER summarized in Table 3. It was recognized that better communications are required between materials scientists and ITER designers. However, a specific solution to eliminate this communication problem was not identified.

Application	Comments
RF heating systems	ECRH and ICRH only
Magnet insulators	Maybe a sweeping magnet for the divenor
Toroidal current break	Probably not needed
MHD insulators	Only if liquid metal cooled blanket
Diagnostic ceramics:	
optical fibers	
windows	Both optical & RF
reflectors	
insulators	
Plasma dump in divenor	

Table 3. List of Ceramic Applications for ITER

RADIATION-INDUCED ELECTRICAL DEGRADATION OF CERAMIC MATERIALS: AN ARTIFACT?--W. Kesternich and F. Scheuermann (Institut für Festkörperforschung, Jiilich, Germany) and S.J. Zinkle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

To investigate whether the phenomenon of radiation-induced electrical degradation is a bulk irradiation effect

SUMMARY

Recent electrical conductivity measurements made on aluminum oxide during irradiation with 28 MeV He+ ions near 550°C indicate that radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED) does not occur for damage levels up to ~0.2 dpa.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Increases in electrical current that resemble the R E D effect have been observed in some cases. but were found to be due to an increase in the surface leakage current and **are attributed** to a buildup of surface contamination during irradiation.

Introduction

Recently three experimental groups around Hodgson^(1,2), Pells⁽³⁾, and Shikama⁽⁴⁾ have reported a phenomenon in irradiated ceramics, called radiation-induced electrical degradation (RIED). Their experimental results suggest that ceramic materials suffer a permanent loss of their electrically insulating ability after exposure to low doses of irradiation. Since the use of electrically insulating ceramic materials is essential for various components of a fusion reactor, such as rf windows, neutral beam injector insulators, fust wall current creaks, magnetic coil insulators and diagnostic tools, the phenomenon has immediately become of serious concern for fusion reactor designers.

RIED has been found after electron⁽²⁾, proton⁽³⁾, and neutron⁽⁴⁾ irradiation in a temperature range between 300 and 600°C in Al_2O_3 and $MgAl_2O_4$ and at doses of only 10⁻⁵, 10⁻³, and 10⁻² dpa for electrons, protons, and neutrons. respectively. It occurred when the specimens were exposed to an electric field during the irradiation. The presence of both a displacive and ionizing effect of the irradiation has been shown to be essential for its occurrence⁽²⁾. The microstructural origin is yet unresolved, but it was suggested that the formation of metallic colloids^(2,4), as they have previously been observed in irradiated alkaline halides and HVEM irradiated a-alumina, is responsible for the permanent rise in electrical conductance. A review by Zinkle and Hodgson⁽⁵⁾ has recently been published in this field.

To contribute to the understanding of this phenomenon, two years ago we started a study on the influence of 10 MeV proton and 28 MeV alpha particle irradiations on the electrical resistivity of Al_2O_3 , $MgAl_2O_4$, Si_3N_4 , and A1N. The objectives of these experiments were (a) to further establish the correlation of RIED with the physical parameters, temperature, dose, dose rate. and electrical field strength, and (b) to explore possible differences between ionic bonding (Al_2O_3 and $MgAl_2O_4$) and covalent bonding (Si_3N_4 and A1N) in ceramics. Our prime objective, however, was the goal of clarifying the

microstructural origin of this phenomenon (hopefully as a first step towards a possible solution of the problem it poses).

The irradiations reported were performed with 28 MeV alpha particles in vacuum on 140-160 μ m thick polycrystalline specimens. The specimens were solid state bonded to a nickel block which was kept at **500°C** by a controlled resistance heater. A dc voltage of **50** V (corresponding to about 350 kV/m) was applied across the specimens during irradiation. The standard irradiation configuration consisted of four specimens of 3 mm diameter mounted as a square array, with a 0.5 cm² square beam aperture. The resistance was measured during irradiation and during intermissions, when the beam was turned off, by means of electrical current reading. For transport of the electrical signals from the target chamber to the remote measuring equipment triaxial cables were used with the inner shield of the cable held at the Same potential **as** the signal carrying lead. **A** more detailed description of the irradiation chamber, measuring equipment and specimen preparation technique will be given in a forthcoming paper.

The present investigation used a standard three-terminal electrical guard technique for measuring the electrical resistances in the insulating ceramics. Its principle is shown in Fig. 1. Platinum electrodes were positioned on the specimens by sputter deposition. Contact to the platinum **electrodes** was made by spring loading tungsten wires. Between the central electrode kept at electrical potential (P) and electrical ground (B) a guard ring (G) is placed which is held at the same potential **as** the central electrode. Thus electrical leakage currents may flow between G and B, but are prevented between P and G and hence P and B. The intrinsic resistance of the specimen R_p , the surface resistances R_{PG} and R_G , and the contact resistances include the leakage resistances in the support posts for the tungsten springs and in the triaxial cable, the contact resistances include the resistance of the lead between voltage supply and specimen and the internal resistance of the current meter.

ORNL-DWG93-16570



Fig. 1. Schematic of a cross section through the specimen and of the electrical diagram. The ceramic specimen (shaded) is contacted by metallic electrodes P and B and a ring electrode G. Voltage supply and meter (U), current meter l_p intrinsic resistance of the specimen R_p , surface resistances R_{PG} and R_G , and contact resistances R_{cP} , R_{cG} and R_{cB} are indicated. The ions enter from the top side (P) of the specimen, while the bottom (B) is soldered to a heat sink.

During the proton and alpha particle irradiations it became clear that the three-terminal guard technique, which under normal experimental conditions is a well suited method for measuring the electrical

conductances of insulators, has to be carefully controlled when such measurements are carried out in an irradiation environment. It is necessary to determine the error $\Delta \sigma = \sigma_a - \sigma_i$, where σ_a is the apparent conductivity determined from the measurements of current l_p and voltage U (see Fig. 1) and σ_i is the intrinsic volume conductivity of the specimen which is related to R_p by the geometry factor $f = 1/\sigma_i R_p$. $\Delta \sigma$ can in principle be calculated for any combination of resistances indicated in Fig. 1 and depends in general in a complicated way on all of these resistances. For practically all cases of interest, however, a simple formula is obtained (the derivation will be included in the forthcoming paper):

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{1}{f} \frac{R_{c\sigma}}{R_{c}R_{r\sigma}}.$$
 (1)

Thus the error is simply the contact resistance \mathbf{R}_{cG} divided by the product of the two surface resistances, while the contact resistance \mathbf{R}_{cP} is relatively insignificant.

The present irradiation experiments were generally performed in a vacuum around 1.3×10^{-8} bar. On one occasion, however, the vacuum in the accelerator beam line was so poor that the pressure in front of the specimen had risen to the 10^{-7} bar range. On this irradiation day for the first time a significant increase of the apparent electrical conductivity was measured, an increase by four orders of magnitude in the Al_2O_3 specimen and by three orders of magnitude in Si_3N_4 and AIN after an alpha particle dose of 1×10^{-2} dpa in the middle of the specimen (4.5×10^{21} He/m²). The measurement in Al_2O_3 is shown in Fig. 2. The data were taken with the team off, i.e. the so-called radiation-induced conductivity (RIC) is not included. At the same time the surface resistance R_G , which was constantly measured for control purposes, had dropped by three orders of magnitude due to irradiation under the poor vacuum condition. At the end of the irradiation, R_G and R_{PG} were only 1.0 and 2.1 k Ω , respectively. Contact resistances could not be measured at this stage of the experiments. The calculation from eq. (1) yields a value for R_{cG} of about 0.5 k Ω , an increase of two orders of magnitude as compared to the preirradiation value, indicating that the contact between tungsten spring and platinum electrode was also affected by the irradiation in a contaminating environment.



was still off. This conductivity increase during beam-off(at 500°C and voltage applied to the specimen) was recorded for about 1 h and was found to be one to two orders of magnitude smaller in all specimens than during beam-on. However, these experimental results, which resemble **RED**, are created by surface contamination.

For the next irradiation experiment three major changes were introduced. (1) Two independent contacts were attached to both the center electrode of the specimen and the guard electrode. By measuring through the two contacts on the same electrode the contact resistances R_{cP} and R_{cG} could be determined. Hence, all resistance values which are required to control the Conductivity measurements could thereafter be measured as a function of the irradiation dose. (2) A 30 μ m thick Al window was introduced between beam line and specimen chamber which enabled us to keep the vacuum in front of the specimen at 3 x 10⁻⁸ bar during the irradiation independent of the cyclotron vacuum. (3) Only a single Al₂O₃ specimen of **8** mm diameter was irradiated, and the beam defining aperture. was reduced to 3 mm diameter, which was smaller than the central electrode (5.6 mm diameter) on the specimen. While in the first experiment all specimens were irradiated over their full widths including guard rings and insulating rings. in this second experiment only part of the central electrode was exposed to the alpha particle beam, and in addition the two electrical contacts to the central specimen electrode were both placed outside the irradiated area. This was done in order to avoid irradiation-enhanced surface contamination on the insulating rings and at the position of the spring contacts which otherwise could have only been achieved by irradiation in ultrahigh vacuum.

The results for Al₂O₃, measured with beam-off, are given in Fig. 3. The RIC values measured with beam-on were much higher, i.e. $5 \ge 10^{-7} (\Omega m)^{-1}$ for the present $3.3 \ge 10^{-6}$ dpa/s ($\cong 1.8 \ge 10^{18}$ He/m² s $\cong 6 \ge 10^{6}$ Gy/s). At the beginning of the irradiation the conductivity values decreased with saturation reached at about 0.05 dpa. Contrary to the first experiments, this looks like a cleaning of the specimen surface by the alpha particle beam. The irradiation was carried out in two periods, the first up to 0.09 dpa and the second up to 0.22 dpa. Between both periods there was a break of two weeks which resulted in an increase of the saturation value, related to a decrease of the surface resistances R_G and R_{PG}. Estimation doses of 0.01, 0.09, and 0.2 dpa, resulted in values of 4.3 $\ge 10^{-9}$, 6 $\ge 10^{-13}$, and 2 $\ge 10^{-10}$ (Ωm)⁻¹, respectively. Comparison of these values with the measured conductivities in Fig. 3 shows that the measured conductivity represents the volume conductivity of the Al₂O₃ specimen only in the range between 0.04 and 0.09 dpa. At lower doses and after the irradiation break the measured conductivity is largely determined by the leakage currents.



ORNL-DWG93-16572

Fig. 3. Apparent conductivity of Al_2O_3 at 500°C as a function of alpha particle irradiation dose; only part of the specimen below the central electrode irradiated.

Or fust experiment (Fig. 2) shows that electrical conductivity measurements under irradiation have to be performed with particular *care*. During irradiation the surface resistances may decrease and the contact resistance increase, i.e. all three resistances in eq. (2), which contribute significantly to the error in the measurement of volume conductivities of insulators, may be affected in an adverse way in an irradiation environment.

From the second experiment (Fig. 3) it is concluded that up to a dose of 0.2 dpa no permanent conductivity increase within the **error** limit of $2 \times 10^{-10} (\Omega m)^{-1}$ is produced in Al₂O₃ by alpha particle irradiation at 500°C and with an electric dc field of 350 kV/m applied across the specimen. This compares with a conductivity increase, ascribed to RIED. up to values close to $5 \times 10^{-5} (\Omega m)^{-1}$ observed in previous studies after irradiation doses of 2×10^{-5} dpa (electrons^[2]), 2.3 x 10⁻³ dpa (protons^[3]), and 0.6 dpa (neutrons^[4]).

Our result that no conductivity increase was observed under a typical experimental condition, where RIED is expected to occur, has changed our view on RIED since the recent review⁽⁵⁾. It is suggested that the reported conductivity increases^(1,4) are not due to degradation of the volume conductivity. but are created by irradiation-enhanced surface contamination.

It is suggested that this surface contamination occurs in a similar way **as** has long been **known** in transmission electron microscopy. i.e. via cracking of hydrocarbon molecules by the high energy particle beam and subsequent deposition on the specimen surface. This contamination is hence sensitive to the hydrocarbon partial pressure and to the high energy particle beam intensity. In the light of this mechanism the initially surprising decrease of the surface conductance at the beginning of the second experiment is really a cleaning effect by the beam. On **TEM** specimens, which are surface contaminated by hydrocarbon layers before insertion into the microscope, it is generally observed that the hydrocarbon molecules diffuse into the beam-heated zone and **are** then deposited **as** carbon contamination in the specimen area which is exposed to the electron beam. The complementary effect is suggested to be observed in the present experiment: the cleaning of that part of the specimen outside the irradiated **area**. It has been observed that significant "RIED" requires the presence of an electrical field^(2,4). Ionization of the residues from the cracked hydrocarbon molecules may enhance their deposition on the specimen **surface** in the presence of an electrical field.

REFERENCES

- (1) E. R. Hodgson, Cryst. Lan. Def. Amorph. Mater. 18 (1989) 169.
- (2) E. R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 383.
- (3) G.P. Pells. j. Nucl. Mater. 184 (1991) 177.
- (4) T. Shikama, M. Narui, Y. Endo, T. Sagawa and H. Kayano, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 575.
 - (5) S. J. Zinkle and E. R. Hodgson. J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 58.

SEARCH FOR RADIATION-INDUCED ELECTRICAL DEGRADATION IN ALUMINA DURING SPALLATION-NEUTRON IRRADIATION

Eugene II. Farnum*, Frank W. Clinard Jr.*, Walter F. Sommer*, James C. Kennedy III*, and Tatsuo Shikama⁺,

* Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

⁺ The Carai. Branch, Institute for Materials Research. Tohoku University, Oarai Ibaraki Ken 311-13, Japan

Introduction: Radiation-induced electrical degradation (RIED) and radrahon-induced Conductivity (RIC) have been identified as the major electrical effects caused by radiation in ceramics. RIC is an electronic effect caused by excitation of electrons into the conduction band during ionizing radiation (Klaffkey¹ and Farnum²). The origin of RIED is still unknown, but the effect has been observed during electron irradiation by Hodgson³ during proton irradiation, by Pells⁴ and possibly during neutron and gamma irradiation in a light-water reactor by Shikama⁵. In the previous work, the presence of an applied electric field of several hundred volts per centimeter is necessary to observe RIED as well as temperature above 300 °C and radiauon with both ionizing and displacive components. The onset of the RIED effect occurred at about 10⁻⁵, 10⁻³ and 10⁻² dpa for the electron, proton and reactor irradiations respectively. Thus, RIED seems to depend on the amount of ionization, or perhaps, as suggested by Zinkle⁶, the ratio of ionization to displacement energy deposited. Higher amounts of ionization make the effect begin at lower fluences.

This experiment measured electrical conductivity during irradiation in an attempt to determine the temperature dependence of the onset of RIED in **alumina** and to **measure** any difference between AC and DC applied electric field. The experiment contained two AC-measurement capsules and onc, 4-sample DC capsule in each of three furnaces. In addition, two mineral-insulated cables with MgO insulation were passed through the **hot** zone of each furnace to evaluate RIED in the cables. Two passive capsules containing a variety of materials of interest for fusion diagnostic applications, and a spool of 4 aluminum-jacket fiber-optic cables were also irradiated in the volume outside the furnaces at approximately 100 °C. This paper will describe results of the DC measurements. AC measurements made on the DC capsule samples and preliminary data on the AC capsules. Full analysis of the AC capsule data will be available after post-irradiation conductivity tests. The results of the fiber optic cable experiment with either the AC or the VC measurements.

Experimental: The experiment described in this **paper** was conducted at the Los Alamos Spallation Radiation Effects Facility (LASREF) located at the beam stop of the 800-MeV Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility (LAMPF) proton accelerator. This source has a much lower amount of gamma radiation than fission reactors, about $0.3 \, \text{kW}$ kg (300 Gy/s) at a beam current of 1 mA vs. 5 to $15 \, \text{kW}$ kg (5 to $15 \, \text{kGy}$ s) in a typical fission reactor (Davidson⁷). The neutron fluence and spectrum at the sample location were measured by analysis of the activation products in Fe, Co. Ni. Cu and iib foils by Mueller⁸. Five sets of activation foils fmm various places within the experimental volume were analyzed. This spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. Neutron fluences reported here are total fluence because the component between $0.01 \, \text{MeV}$ is significant and few thermal neutrons are present. Fluence with energy greater than $0.1 \, \text{MeV}$ is about 67% of the quoted total fluence

The LAMPF proton beam is pulsed with macropulses of 0.5 ms duration and 8 ms spacing These pulses are also characteristic of the spallation neutrons that irradiated our samples. The proton beam also has fine structure pulses of about 5 ns separation, hut at a distance of 0.15 m from the beam stop, the neutrons from these pulses overlap because of time of flight differences. Flux, as defined in the data presented here, is the average number of neutrons in a one-second period, not the instantaneous flux in the 0.5 ms pulse. The characteristics of The LASREF facility are further described by Sommer⁹.



Fig. 1 Neutron spectrum (flux vs. energy) for a typical sample location at the Los Alamos Spallation Radiation Effects Facility (LASREF). The vertical scale represents flux **per mA** of proton beam current; the present experiments were carried out at 0.2 to 0.75 mA. Measurement at 5 kHz,

Beam on, Beam off.

Three furnaces. each 0.125 m diameter by 0.15 m long contained the capsules and mineral-insulated cables. **Argon gas** at about 100kPa (20 kPa above atmospheric pressure in Los Alamos) was supplied continuously to the furnaces. The furnaces **each** had three type K **thermocouples**. These were located **near** the top, center and bottom of the heated zone. The top and bottom thermocouples were located radially about half-way between the **axis** and wall, and the center thermocouple was located on the **axis**. The temperature was controlled (held at a constant set point) using the center thermocouple and the other two temperatures were recorded continuously during the experiment. The furnace temperature profile from the three thermocouples was used to determine the temperature of the samples. These temperatures, for **furnaces** A. B. and C when the **beam** was **on** at high power (0.75 mA) were 655 °C, 615 °C and 395 "C. all ± 5 °C.

During the experiment the proton beam was interrupted at frequent intervals and changed heam current several times. While these changes were not desired, we were able to **measure** the changes and used them to gain additional information. For example, we measured conductivity with the proton beam on and off at frequent intervals during the experiment, The furnace temperatures were initially set to 300 °C, 400 °C and **500** °C. Early in the experiment, when the beam power was low, these temperatures were held. However, when the beam power was increased, the **temperatures** increased from **gamma** and **neutron** heating to their **final** values, and the **control points** were adjusted to maintain constant temperature (within 10 "C) with the proton beam on and off. The early part of the conductivity **data** is complicated **by** these temperature changes and the analysis of this part of the data is not yet complete. Therefore, in this paper we present conductivity **data** for fluences greater than 0.3 x 10^{23} n/m² (about 110 hours into the experiment) where the temperature is stable. The temperature was also measured in a temperature-uncontrolled capsule **containing** passive **samples**. The equilibrium **beam-on** temperature of this capsule is directly related to average neutron flux and was used as an aid in determining the flux vs. time history.

The DC conductivity measurements: Each furnace held one DC capsule that was open to the argon furnace atmosphere. Each DC capsule contained two 1-mm-thick and two 3-mm-thick, 19-mm-diameter

alumina disks. The disks had a single 12.7-mm-diameter platinum electrode sputter-coated on each side. The disks were stacked with interleaved platinum foil electrodes such that disks of equal thickness were electrically parallel. An applied DC voltage of 150 V caused an electric field of 50 kV/m on the thick disks and 150 kV/m on the thin disks. This voltage was applied continuously during the experiment except for the short periods daily during which the AC conductivity measurements were made. Current was measured on the low (ground potential) si& of the circuit. The alumina disks are part of the IEA round-robin 99.5 Wesgo alumina purchased by R. Stoller at ORNL. Each DC capsule had four electrodes, the high-voltage input, a thin-disk output, a thick-disk output, and a "dummy" output that entered the capsule and hung down beside the samples but was not connected to any disk electrode. The dummy electrode, placed closer to the high-voltage electrode than either of the other output electrodes, was intended to measure the **maximum** contribution to the sample current from gas and surface conduction. The three "output" electrodes and one MgO-insulated cable in each furnace were scanned continuously with a computer-controlled switch and picoammeter. Sample current, time and proton beam current were digitized and archived. Fig. 2 shows sample current versus **fluence** for a sample electrode (655° C, 50 kV/m samples) and a dummy electrode in the same furnace. Because of the small contribution, dummy electrode currents were not subtracted from sample currents in calculating conductivity.

At the two highest temperatures (665 "C and 615 °C) the conductivity during irradiation (RIC) rapidly increased with onset of irradiation and then decreased steadily with increasing fluence. The beam-off residual conductivity **also** decreased with fluence.

During this experiment, the proton heam-on current varied from 200 μ A to 750 μ A. This corresponds to a flux⁷ at the sample from about 2 x 10¹⁶ n/m²s to 7.2 x 10¹⁶ n/m²s. These changes in neutron flux cawed changes in the RIC. The effect of these changes can be seen in Fig. 3 in which The DC conductivity is plotted versus neutron fluence for both proton-beam-on and beam-off conditions.

By examining the points at which the **flux** changed. we corrected the the conductivity at fluences above 0.3 x 10^{23} n/m² in each range of constant **flux** (constant beam current) to account for the changing RIC. The **flux** was calculated from activation foil measurements and total beam charge during the experiment, **measured** proton beam current and measured heam-on temperature history of the Clinard capsule. Using this estimate of the **flux**.we corrected the conductivity data for the **flux** changes by increasing the conductivity of the lower-flux regions by the ratio of maximum **flux** (in the **fluence**region between 0.3 and 1.0x 10^{23} n/m²) to **flux** in the corrected region. If this procedure is correct, the data at the points before and after each flux change (at the same fluence) should match to make a smooth curve. RIC is normally proportional to flux, ϕ , and is described by the formula RIC = $\sigma_0 + \mathbf{k} \phi^{\delta}$, where \mathbf{k} and 6 are constants and σ_0 is the heam-off conductivity. σ_0 is constant at each **flux** change point. We fit the data with various values of δ to try to get the best match at the **flux** changes and found that the observed RIC changes are best fit with $\delta = 1.0$. The flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are shown in Fig. **4**. Flux-corrected data for the sample set at **655** °C, 50 kV/m are sho

At 395 °C, within the resolution of the data. the heam-on and heam-off conductivity for both the 50 kV/m and 150 kV/m sample sets remained constant at about 1 x 10^{-8} / Ω m.

During the experiment, when the beam was off for a substantial period, we turned off the furnace power and measured electrical conductivity vs. temperature as the samples cooled, then again as they were reheated with furnace power on. Fig. 5 is an Arrhenius plot of the conductivity taken with beam off at a fluence of $0.4 \times 10^{23} \text{ n/m}^2$. Heating and cooling data were identical. Pells¹⁰ has observed similar activation energies in proton-irradiated sapphire.







Fig. 4 DC conductivity at 655 °C, 50 kV/m vs. fluence corrected to a constant flux of 7.2 x 10^{16} n/m²s



Fig. 5 Logarithm of the conductivity vs. reciprocal temperature for 655 "C and 50 kV/m. Fluence is constant at $0.4x \ 10^{23} \ n/m^2$: beam off. Unirradiated conductivity data shown is from Pells¹⁰.

The AC electrical measurements: Two sample capsules. one with single crystal and one with polyaystalline Al₂O₃ were contained in each of the three DC powered furnaces. Each capsule contained a single sample and was evacuated to an initial pressure of less than 0.1 Pa. The AC samples had an applied voltage of 131 kV/m rms at 10 kHz. Impedance was measured from 100 Hz to 1 MHz with a HP 4194A Impedance Analyzer. During the impedance measurement, the 10 kHz voltage was removed. The measured AC conductivity showed a dramatic increase similar to previous RIED measurements. The conductivity calculated from the maximum current was about

 10^{-2} / Ω m, 10^{-4} / Ω m, and 10^{-5} / Ω m at 615 °C, 655 °C and 395 "C respectively. The 395 °C conductivity was not yet saturated at a fluence of 10^{23} n/m². Conductivity data at 100 Hz for the three single-crystal-sample capsules were reported in our Semi-annual Progress Report by Kennedy¹¹. However, we are not certain that the measured current can be attributed to increases in sample conductivity as opposed to environmental changes in the capsule. These results can be verified with post-irradiation conductivity measurements and will be fully reported when the post-irradiation analysis is complete. AC measurements of MgO-insulated cables during irradiation with an applied electric field peak strength of 175 kV/m at 10 kHz, did not reveal any degradation during the experiment.

AC measurements were also made on the DC capsules. The DC voltage was removed for these measurements and the impedance analyzer was connected in a two-terminal measurement on each two-sample stack. The AC measurements were all similar to the DC measurements. Fig. 6 shows AC conductivity at 5 kHz on the 150 kV/m sample set at 615 "C.



Fig. 6 AC conductivity at **5** kHz of the DC sample pair at **615** °C, 150 kV/m vs. run time with beam on and off.

Conclusions: We have measured the DC electrical conductivity during irradiation in Alumina with an applied electric field and at temperatures in the 400 °C to 600 °C range to fluences as high as 0.02 dpa. **RIED** was not observed. The conductivity showed a high initial RIC effect. but the RIC decreased during the irradiation in a manner similar to our previous proton irradiation measurements². At the maximum fluence achieved in this experiment. **RIED** was well developed in electron (Hodgson) and proton (Pells) irradiations and was just beginning to increase in fission reactor (Shikama) irradiations. The present understanding of **RIED** effects is that the amount of ionization during the irradiation and the total radiation flux greatly affect the onset of the **RIED** increase. the onset occurring at lower fluences with higher ionization rates and lower fluxes. Since the LASREF radiation has the lowest ionization rate of any **RIED** experiment to date, and since our flux srelatively high (10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁵ dpa/s range vs. less than 10⁻¹⁰ dpds for Hodgeson's data¹²) out DC data are consistent with current understanding.

In the AC electrical conductivity **measurements**, a yet to be explained effect similar to **RIED** was observed. The AC measurements on single-crystal **alumina** in evacuated capsules differs dramatically from both the DC data and the AC data taken on the DC capsule samples. We believe that these data must be verified with post-irradiation conductivity and inter-electrode resistance measurements before we can attribute them to RIED as opposed to capsule atmosphereeffects. The post-irradiation measurements will **be** made during the coming year.

We continue to observe decreases in RIC with increasing **fluence** that follow an initially high RIC value. We believe these data to be correct and interpret the decrease **as** an increase **in** point defects that act **as** trapping sites for electrons and holes during irradiation. The data *can* be explained with a rate model based **on** electron trapping and recombination: such a model **has** been developed by **Coghlan**¹³ and will be published within the comming year.

References:

[1] R.W. Klaffky, B.H. Rose, A.N. Goland, and G.J Dienes, Phys. Rev. B 21 (1980) 3610.

- [2] E. H. Famum, J. C. Kennedy, F. W. Clinard and H. M. Frost, J. Nucl. Mat. <u>191-194</u> (1992) 548-551.
- [3] E.R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 383-386.

[4] G.P. Pells. J. Nucl. Mater. 184 (1991) 177-182.

[5] T. Shikama. M. Narui, Y. Endo, T. Sagawa and H. Kayano. J. Nucl. Mater. <u>191-194</u> (1992) 575.

[6] S. Zinkle and W. Kesternich, Fusion Reactor Materials Semi-Annual Progress Report for the Period Ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14.

[7] D. R. Davidson, W. F. Sommer. J. N. Bradbury, R. E. Prael, and R. C. Little. J. Nucl. Mater. <u>122 &</u> 123 (1984) 989-994.

[8] We are indebted to G. Mueller at the U. of Missouri. Rolla for calculating the neutron spectrum from activation product data The computer codes BCF and STAY'SLwere used.

[9] Walter F. Sommer. Wolfgang Lohmann, Karl Graf, Ivan K. Taylor, and Raymond M. Chavez, <u>Influence</u> of Radiation on Material Properties: 13th International Symposium (Part II), ASTM STP 956, F. A. Gamer, C. H. Henager. Jr., and N. Igata, Eds.. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia. 1987, pp. 718-729.

[I0] G. P. Pells and G. J. Hill, J. Nncl. Mater. <u>141-143</u> (1986) 375-381.

[11] J. C. Kennedy. III, E. H. Famum. W. F. Sommer and F. W. Clinard. Jr., Fusion Reactor Materials Semi-Annual Progress Report for the period ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14.

[12] E.R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater. this volume. to be published.

[13] W. A. Coghlan. E. H. Famum, F. W. Clinard. Jr. and J. C. Kennedy, III, "A Model for Radiation Induced Conductivity in Al₂O₃ Under Combined Displacement and Ionization Irradiation".to be published.

TRANSIENT **EFFECTS** OF IONIZING **AND** DISPLACIVE RADIATION ON THE DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF CERAMICS •• R. H. Gouldmg. S. J. Zinkle, R. E. Stoller, and D. A. Rasmussen **(Oak** Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of **this** paper is to investigate the dielectric properties of candidate ceramic insulators during pulsed fission reactor irradiation.

SUMMARY

A resonant cavity technique was used to measure the dielectric constant and loss tangent of ceramic insulators at a frequency near 100 MHz during gamma ray and pulsed fission reactor irradiation near room temperature. Tests were performed on single crystal and several different grades of polycrystalline Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄, AlN and Si₃N₄. Lead shielding experiments were performed for some of the pulsed fission reactor irradiation of Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄, AlN and Si₃N₄. Lead shielding experiments were performed for some of the pulsed fission reactor irradiations in order to examine the importance of gamma ray versus neutron irradiation effects. With the exception of AIN, the dielectric constant of all of the ceramics decreased slightly during the pulsed fission reactor irradiation. Significant transient increases in the loss tangent to values **as** high **as** $6x10^{-3}$ occurred during pulsed reactor irradiation with peak ionizing and displacements per atom (dpa) radiation fields of $4.2x10^4$ Gy/s and $2.4x10^{-6}$ dpa/s, respectively. The loss tangent measured during irradiation for the different ceramics did not show any correlation with the preirradiation or postirradiation values. Analysis of the results indicates that the transient increases in loss tangent are due to radiation induced increases in the electrical conductivity. The loss tangent are proportional to the ionizing dose rate.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Ceramic insulators **are** widely used **as** vacuum windows and mechanical supports in radiofrequency (**rf**) and microwave heating systems for fusion **applications**.^{1,2} In future devices such **as** the International Experimental Thermonuclear Reactor (ITER), these materials will be subjected to high levels of **both** ionizing and displacive **radiation**.¹⁻⁴ Exposure of ceramics **to** these agents has been shown in many experiments to **cause** both transient and permanent changes in their dielectric **properties**, ¹⁻⁵ which **can** have a significant impact on their intended uses. Postirradiation measurements have provided useful information on the level of permanent damage to be **expected**, ^{2,6,7} but transient effects that are only present during irradiation may produce additional degradation that is not detected in postirradiation **measurements**.³⁻¹⁵ **There** is some evidence that the loss tangent decreases rapidly following irradiation.¹ However, there is relatively little available information on the rf dielectric properties of ceramics during **irradiation**.^{5,12,13,16}

In this paper we examine transient effects of irradiation by both neutrons and gammas on the dielectric properties of ceramics at rf frequencies. We felt that it was important to use neutrons rather than charged particles for the irradiation source. due to the possibility that the irradiation spectrum could have an effect on the results. The irradiation spectrum has been shown to have a pronounced effect on microstructural changes such as the formation of dislocation loops in ceramic insulators irradiated with light ions. ****** The transient radiation pulses were produced in a pulsed fission reactor. This led to the choice of a resonant cavity technique for making measurements, in order to minimize sources of error in a difficult experimental environment. Data was obtained using both a swept frequency technique and time resolved

impedance measurements, allowing **us** to obtain an accuracy in the loss tangent of -2×10^{-5} and a time resolution of 1 ms. The two methods showed good agreement between them. Several different materials were investigated, including single crystal and polycrystalline alumina of differing purities, spinel, aluminum nitride and silicon nitride.

Description of the Experiment

A range of ceramic materials were examined: single crystal sapphire (0001 orientation) from Crystal Systems, Inc.; polycrystalline alumina of different purities from Coors and WESGO; and magnesiumaluminate spinel, silicon nitride, and aluminum nitride from CERCOM. The materials were obtained from the vendors in the form of discs with a diameter of 35 mm and a thickness of 3.2 mm. Specimen surfaces were specified to be polished using 20 micrometer or finer abrasive. The results of chemical analysis conducted on the materials by Coors Analytical Laboratory is shown in Table 1. No chemical analysis was obtained on the WESGO AL998 specimen.

The resonant cavity technique was chosen because the calibration of the system is relatively simple, and changes in the feed-line characteristics due to irradiation and temperature changes can easily be taken into account. This technique was first applied to in-situ measurements of ceramic dielectric properties during neutron irradiation by Edelson, Jaeger, and Williams,¹² at frequencies in the microwave range. Operation at the lower frequencies of interest to **us** necessitated the use of a capacitively loaded coaxial cavity.

A typical cavity is shown in Fig. 1. The capacitor was formed by a plate at the end of the center conductor which was located opposite to a second plate in the end cap. The sample under test formed the capacitor dielectric. The cavities were fabricated from aluminum to minimize radiation exposure when changing samples. The interior was plated with a layer of copper $\sim 25\mu$ m thick, as was the center conductor, to reduce rf losses in the cavity walls which would reduce the resolution of the loss measurements. The cavities were typically 360 mm long and -76 mm in diameter. Cavities of slightly smaller diameter were used for irradiations that employed lead shielding. The samples were circular disks 35 mm in diameter and 3.2 mm thick. Each sample was held in place between the capacitor plates by a diaphragm which was machined into the end cap.

In order to eliminate effects due to photo-ionization of air by the gamma flux, the cavity was evacuated through a **25** mm ID vacuum line approximately 3.5 m long, connected to a **50** 1/s turbo-pump. Vacuum pressures down to -7×10^{-4} Pa were achieved, **as** measured by a cold-cathode ion gauge located in a section of the vacuum line 1 m from the cavity. A three piece cylindrical lead shield was fabricated for one of the cavities to modify the gamma to neutron ratio. This shield totally covered the bottom and sides of the cavity and was 25 mm thick everywhere except at the flanges and cover plates on the cavity ends, where it was 13 mm thick.

The cavities were designed to resonate at a frequency near 100 MHz, which is in the ion cyclotron range of frequencies (ICRF) commonly used for rf heating in large tokamaks. The exact frequency depended on the dielectric constant of the ceramic and on which of the 3 cavities were used, since the geometry could not be duplicated exactly. The resonance condition for such a cavity is given by the equation.

$$Z_e \tan \beta l = \frac{1}{\omega C} \tag{1}$$

where Z_c is the characteristic impedance of the cavity, determined by the ratio of the outer to inner conductor radii, $\beta = \omega/c$, $\omega = 2\pi/f$, where f is the operating frequency, l is the length of the center conductor, and C is the capacitance given by the expression

CEDCOM	Coors	Coors	WESSID	wesgo	CSI	CERCOM	
CERCOM	AD94	AD998	AL300	AL.995	Sapphire	Spinel	Si3N4
AIN Al ₂ O3 M ²	93.41	99.715 ¹	97.328 ¹	99.252 ¹	M ²	M ²	0.86
SiO ₂	4.0	0.085	1.4	0.22	0.56	0.93	M ²
6.02 Fe ₂ O ₃	0.18	0.04	0.081	0.076	0.01	0.081	0.105
CaO 0.0024	0.26	0.042	1.1	0.095	0.003	0.0017	0.44
MgO 0.0097	0.68	0.077	0.033	0.31	0.0103	M ²	0.026
ZrO₂	0.65	0.006	0.009	0.008	0.00074	0.0002	0.0032
0.0013 BaO 0.00016	0.76	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.00053
Na2O	0.045	0.028	0.039	0.027	0.0062	0.014	0.016
TiO ₂	0.02	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.0013	0.00097	0.024
K20	0.06	0.004	0.007	0.007	0.043	0.054	0.30
0.0034 Y ₂ O ₃ 0.23	n/a ³	n/a	n∕a	n∕a	<0.0001	<0.0001	6.30
P 0.0003	п/а	n/a	n/a	п/а	0.013	0.0089	0.024
Cr 0.00052	n/a	п/а	n/a	n/a	0.0084	0.0015	0.0030
Ni 0.00017	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0023	0.0014	0.016
ai 0.00028	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0019	0.0038	0.0092
Zn 0.0002	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0035	<0.0001	0.002
Mn 0.0069	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.00058	0.00026	0.0044
C 0.081	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.031	0.023	0.106

Table 1. Chemical Analysis of Ceramic Specimens Used in TRIGA Irradiations, Values in Weight-Percent

1 by subtraction 2 major constituent, not reponded 3 n/a denotes not analyzed



Fig. 1. Cavity for measurement of rf parameters of ceramics during irradiation

$$C = \frac{\pi a^2 \varepsilon'}{d} \tag{2}$$

where α is the sample radius, d is the sample thickness, and ε' is the real part of the sample permittivity. Typical frequencies were 88.6 MHz for sapphire, 95.2 MHz for AL998 alumina, 100.7 MHz for AL300 alumina, 101.4 MHz for spinel, 100.6 MHz for AIN, and 100.7 MHz for Si₃N₄.

The cavity input impedance was measured **as** a function of time and frequency using an HP8753A network analyzer with an HP S-parameter test set. A type N ceramic vacuum feedthrough connected the cavity coupling loop to the analyzer via a 6 m run of 1.3 cm diam heliax cable. In order to eliminate rf breakdown effects such **as** multipactoring in the cavity, a very low rf input power of 0.1 mW was used. This corresponds to a maximum voltage across the test sample of 5 V in the worst low **loss** case, and a maximum electric field of 1700 V/m. Several measurements made at a factor of 10 and 100 higher rf power level showed no significant differences. Most of the data to be discussed was acquired using a Macintosh IIci computer running LabView software. An IEEE-488 (GPIB) interface card was used to control and transfer **data** from the network analyzer, and an A/D card was used to acquire ion chamber data.

The irradiations were conducted using the TRIGA (Training, Research, Isotope production: General Atomic) reactor facility at the University of Illinois. The resonant cavity containing the specimens was placed adjacent to the reactor core in a transverse beam tube (termed the thm-port) that penetrates the reactor's biological shield and passes near the core midplane. The irradiation facility is shown schematically in Fig. 2. A set of activation monitors were irradiated in order to measure the neutron energy spectrum in this position. The set of monitors included: copper, iron, nickel, niobium, titanium, and aluminum with 1% cobalt. The monitors were shielded with 0.89 mm of gadolinium to remove thermal neutrons and a second Al-1%Co monitor was irradiated without shielding to obtain the thermal flux. Since the thru-port passes *so* close to the core, the neutron spectrum is a slightly moderated fission spectrum with a thermal-to-fast flux ratio of about 1.5. The ionizing dose rate in the cavity location was measured using CaF2 thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). The reactor power level was measured during the experiments using an ion chamber located in the reactor **pool.**

The reactor was used in the pulsed mode in order to obtain atomic displacement rates comparable to **those** expected in the first wall region of ITER. The reactor power was controlled by **the** amount of reactivity withdrawn by the transient control rods; \$1 of reactivity is the amount required for the reactor to be critical using prompt neutrons alone. The short pulse times (-50 ns) permitted the transient response of the ceramic insulators to be examined. A comparison of the TRIGA and ITER¹⁸ irradiation conditions is shown in Table 2. The atomic displacement rate and ionizing damage rate are material-dependent for a given neutron flux; the values in Table 2 are valid for the materials listed under each individual heading. Since the total dose per pulse ranged from about $2x10^{-9}$ to $1x10^{-8}$ dpa and the typical time between pulses was about 20 min, it was not possible to obtain high fluences in these TRIGA reactor irradiations. One specimen accumulated $8.4x10^{-8}$ dpa (15 \$1.80 pulses) and no clear effect of fluence was seen.

ORNL-DWG 92-9001R2



University of Illinois TRIGA Reactor Facility

Fig. 2. Plan view of the University of Illinois TRIGA reactor

Determination of Tand and de'

The power dissipated in the ceramic dielectric is proportional to the loss tangent $(\tan \delta)$, which is the ratio $\varepsilon''/\varepsilon'$ of the imaginary to the real pan of the permittivity ε of the material. where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - i\varepsilon''$. It can be determined by measuring the decrease in the quality factor or "Q of the cavity $(2\pi \text{ times the ratio of the stored energy in the cavity to the energy dissipated in a singlerf period) when a sample is introduced. More specifically, the quantity of interest is the "unloaded Q", represented here by the symbol <math>Q_0$, which excludes effects of the coupling circuit. It can be shown that the loss tangent is related to the unloaded Q by the expression

$$\tan \delta = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta l}{\sin 2\beta l}\right) \left(\frac{1}{Q_0} - \frac{1}{Q_{NC}}\right), \tag{3}$$

Reactor Location	Fast flux, E>0.1 MeV (n-m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Displacement damage rate (dpa/s)	Ionizing dose rate (Gy/s)
First wall (vanadium)	4.0	<i>,</i>	
Li-cooled	0.77-1.0x10 ¹⁸	0.97-1.3x10 ⁻⁶	2000-2400
He-cooled	0.88-1.2x10 ¹⁹	0.99-1.3x10 ⁻⁶	3300-4300
Vacuum vessel (Inconel 625)			
Li-cooled	1.4-1.6x10 ¹⁸	0.93-1.1x10 ⁻⁷	570-790
Hecooled	7.5-8.8x10 ¹⁷	4.7-5.5x10 ⁻⁸	360-450
Magnets (copper)			
Li-cooled	0.99-3.4x10 ¹⁵	0.59-2.3x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.41-0.95
He-cooled	0.99-2.8x10 ¹⁵	0.59-1.8x10 ⁻¹⁰	0.42-0.77
(organic insulator)			
Li-cooled			1.2-3.6
Hecooled			1.2-2.8

Table 2. Comparison of ITER [18] Operating Conditions and Typical Irradiation Conditions Obtained Under Pulsed TRIGA Operation

ITER Parameters (3000 MW fusion power. average wall loading 2 MW/m²)

Pulsed TRIGA Parameters in Thru-Port (at pulse peak)

Pulse Parameters			Fast flux,	Displac	ement	Ionizing dose
reactivity	Power	FWHM	E>0.1 MeV	damage rate (dpa/s)		rate (Gy/s)
(\$)	(MW)	(ms)	$(n-m^{-2}s^{-1})$	(in Al ₂ O ₃)	(in iron)	(in Al ₂ O ₃)
1.25	35	73	5.30x10 ¹⁷	5.95x10 ⁻⁸	3.43x10 ⁻⁸	1.1x10 ³
1.50	110	50	1.66x10 ¹⁸	1.87x10 ⁻⁷	1.08x10 ⁻⁸	3.3x10 ³
1.80	220	30	3.33x10 ¹⁸	3.74x10 ⁻⁷	2.16x10 ⁻⁷	6.6x10 ³
3.00	1400	14	2.12x10 ¹⁹	2.38x10 ⁻⁶	1.37x10 ⁻⁶	4.2×10^4

where Q_{NC} is the unloaded Q of the cavity with no ceramic present. assuming the resonant frequency remains constant.

In practice, Q_{NC} was obtained by a direct measurement using an empty cavity. The frequency was kept constant by replacing the end cap at the capacitor with one having a longer center post, thus compensating for the reduced value of the dielectric constant by reducing the gap between capacitor plates. A typical measured value of Q_{NC} for these cavities was 2300.

Two different types of measurements were used to determine changes in the unloaded Q during a radiation pulse. In the first method, the frequency was swept over a small range of values in the neighborhood of the resonant frequency, and a resonance curve was obtained by plotting the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) vs frequency. In the second method, the input impedance of the cavity was measured directly **as** a function of time, and this quantity was related to the unloaded Q.

The analyses of the swept frequency and time-dependent data **both** begin with a lumped element model of the **cavity**,¹⁹ valid for frequencies close to that satisfying the resonance condition, Eqn. 1. Based on this model, shown in Fig. 3, the normalized impedance at the input to the cavity (denoted as the point a-a) is given by the expression



Fig. 3. Lumped parameter model of the resonant cavity and feed circuit.

$$\frac{Z_{in}}{Z_o} = \frac{R_1 + jX_1}{Z_o} + \frac{\zeta_o}{1 + j2Q_o\delta}$$
(4)

where R_1 is the resistance of the coupling circuit, X_1 is the reactance of the coupling circuit, Z_0 is the characteristic impedance of the feed line (*nor* the cavity), $\zeta_0 = (\omega M)^2 / Z_0 R_s$ is the **ratio** of the input resistance to the cavity resistance R_s , and $\delta = (\omega - \omega_0) / \omega$. In addition we have defined $\omega_0 = 1 / \sqrt{LC}$, where L is **the** inductance in the cavity equivalent circuit, and C is the capacitance of the cavity equivalent circuit.

The swept frequency method proceeds by identifying the two frequencies at which $2Q_0|\vartheta=1$. Defining **these** frequencies as f_1 , and f_2 , it is easily seen from the definition of δ given above that

$$Q_0 = \frac{f}{f_1 - f_2} \,. \tag{5}$$

The frequencies are found from a measurement of the VSWR vs frequency by determining the values of the VSWR at which $2Q_0\delta = \pm 1$, using Eqn. 4 and the equation

$$VSWR = \frac{1+|\rho|}{1-|\mathsf{P}|} \tag{6}$$

where $\rho = \rho_{in} = (Z_{in} - Z_o)/(Z_{in} + Z_o)$.

A simplification is obtained by noting that if the impedance is transformed a distance *l* from the cavity, where $\tan\beta l = -X_1/Z_0$, then assuming $R_1 \ll X_1 \ll Z_0$, it can easily be shown that the coupling reactance drops out of Eqn. 4, which then becomes

$$\frac{Z_{\#}}{Z_o} = \frac{R_1}{Z_o} + \frac{\zeta_o}{1 + j2Q_o\delta}$$
(7)

Inserting $2Q_0\delta = \pm 1$, into Eqn. 7 and using Eqn. 6, the value $S_{1/2}$ of the VSWR at the frequencies f_1 and f_2 , which is the same for both frequencies, is finally obtained. After some manipulation, the result is

$$S_{1/2} = \frac{2 + \zeta^2 (1 + \gamma^2) + \sqrt{4 + \zeta^4 (1 + \gamma^4) - 2\gamma \zeta^2 (4 - \gamma \zeta^2)}}{2\zeta (1 + \gamma)}$$
(8)

Where

$$\zeta = \zeta_o + \frac{R_1}{Z_o} = \begin{cases} S_o & \frac{R_o}{Z_o} > 1\\ \frac{1}{S_o} & \frac{R_o}{Z_o} < 1 \end{cases}$$

 $\gamma = \alpha/\zeta$, $a = R_1/Z_0 = 1/S_m$, S_0 is the minimum value of the VSWR, occurring at resonance, R_0 is the value of the cavity resistance at the minimum VSWR value, Z_0 is the characteristic impedance of the feed line, and S_m is the maximum value of the VSWR, occurring at a frequency far from resonance. It is worthwhile to note that since the VSWR does not depend on the distance of the observation point from the cavity (ignoring unimportant effects due to line losses), this simplified analysis provides the correct answer independent of the observation point.

A graph of the **VSWR** vs frequency for a typical pulse is shown in Fig. 4. The data taken during the pulse is shown by the solid line. The dashed line shows a sweep that was taken -30s before the pulse. The triangles at the bottoms of the two curves indicate the positions of the **VSWR** minimums. The pairs of triangles above these indicate the locations of f_1 and f_2 at which the VSWR= $S_{1/2}$. The shift in the frequency at which the **VSWR** is a minimum indicates the shift in the resonant frequency during the pulse. If it is assumed that the shift in frequency is due entirely to the change in the real part of the ceramic dielectric constant, then from Eqn. I, it can be shown that the change in this parameter is given by

$$\frac{\varepsilon'_{during}}{\varepsilon'_{before}} = \frac{\tan\beta l}{(1-\delta)\tan[(1-\delta)\beta l]}$$
(9)

The maximum value of the VSWR (= S_m) is never reached by the data due to limitations of the network analyzer used for the impedance measurements. The measurement must be made during a time at which the reactor power is near its peak value, which limits the analyzer sweep time to 25 ms for \$1.80 pulses. In this time, only 51 data points can be obtained. In order to achieve an accuracy in Q of 3%, corresponding to an accuracy in tan δ of ~2×10⁻⁵, the total range of the frequency sweep is limited to 200kHz, which is too small to directly measure S_m . However, if the phase information for the impedance is retained, the value of S_m can be estimated fairly accurately, without the need for additional calibration. in the following manner: Defining rand x as Re(Z_{in}/Z_0) and Im(Z_{in}/Z_0) respectively, eqn (4) can be recast as an equation for a circle in the normalized impedance plane:



Fig. 4. VSWR vs frequency data for Wesgo AL.998 alumina taken before and during \$1.80 pulse.

$$\left(r - \frac{R_1}{Z_o} - \frac{\zeta_o}{2}\right)^2 + \left(x - \frac{X_1}{Z_o}\right)^2 = \frac{\zeta_o^2}{4}$$
(10)

The swept frequency impedance data used to obtain the solid curve in Fig. 4 clearly shows this behavior, when replotted in the complex normalized impedance plane, as shown in Fig. 5 (crosses). From Eqn. 10, it is easily seen that the minimum on the real axis is $r = R_1/Z_0 = 1/S_m$ and the maximum is $r = R_1/Z_0 + \zeta_0 = 1/S_0$. On the imaginary axis, the maxima and minima are, at $x = X_1/Z_0 + \zeta/2$ and $x = X_1/Z_0 - \zeta/2$ respectively. The diameter of the circle is simply $x_{max} - x_{min} = \zeta_0$. Using the value of ζ_0 obtained in this way, the maximum VSWR is found from $1/S_m = 1/S_0 - \zeta_0$. This method is effective since a much narrower frequency sweep is required to obtain x_{max} and x_{min} than an accurate value of r_{min} directly. The circle having the diameter ζ_0 and centered at $r = R_1/Z_0 + \zeta_0/2$, $x = X_1/Z_0$ is also plotted as a solid line in Fig. 5 to show that the data fits this description very closely. Swept frequency data was taken during \$1.80 pulses only. It was not possible to obtain swept frequency data for the \$3.00 pulses due to their shon duration.

In the case of **the** time dependent impedance measurements. a swept frequency measurement is taken immediately (-30 s) before each pulse, so that changes in the cavity resonant frequency due to thermal expansion *can* be determined. The driving frequency is then set to the value corresponding to the minimum of the VSWR, and an impedance measurement is made during the pulse at this constant frequency. This frequency is not the resonant frequency of the cavity alone, because *c* the presence of X_1 . However, X_1 is known from the swept frequency measurement made before the pulse, and is given by $X_1/Z_0=0.5(x_{min}+x_{max})$. This value can be used in a modification *c* Eqn. 4, rewritten to describe the time varying impedance:



Fig. 5. Swept frequency data plotted in the complex impedance plane.

$$\frac{Z_{in}(t)}{Z_{o}} = \frac{R_{1} + jX_{1}}{Z_{o}} + \frac{\zeta\eta(t)}{1 + j2Q_{o}\eta(t)\delta(t)}$$
(11)

where η is the ratio $R_{S}(0)/R_{S}(t)$ of the total cavity losses before the pulse to those during the pulse. A rootfinder can then be used to determine $\eta(t)$ and $\delta(t)$ from the measured complex values of $Z_{in}(t)$, with R_{1} , Q_{0} and X_{1} determined from the swept frequency measurement before the pulse. Then $Q(t) = Q_{0} \eta(t)$ can be used in Eqn. 3 to determine $\tan \delta$ as a function of time.

It is assumed in analysis of the time dependent data that during the pulse R_1 and X_1 remain equal to their values before the pulse. Measurements made at a frequency far off resonance showed that this is the case for R_1 . In addition, the sensitivity of Z_{in} to changes in $\tan \delta$ and $\Delta \varepsilon'$ is approximately a factor of Q_0/ζ greater than the sensitivity to changes in R_1/Z_0 and X_1/Z_0 . This is an important advantage of the resonant cavity technique. As described above, in the case of the swept frequency measurements R_1 can be determined during a pulse, and the results are insensitive to X_1 . As will be shown, the two methods show good agreement for \$1.80 pulses, for which they can both be applied.

Results

The solid line in Fig. 6 shows a typical measurement of the loss tangent in A12O3 determined from the time dependent impedance data for conditions identical to those used to obtain the VSWR (swept frequency) data shown in Fig. 4. The value of $\tan \delta$ calculated from Fig. 4 is 1.43×10^{-4} , which agrees to within 12% with the peak value shown in Fig. 6. The dashed line in Fig. 6 shows the effect on the calculated loss tangent of increasing X_1 by 50%. Variations in X_1 during a pulse, if any, would be expected to be orders of magnitude below this **50%** level. Despite the relative insensitivity of the time dependent impedance measurement to this quantity, it is worthwhile to have an accurate. value of X_1 (from a swept frequency measurement) in order to retain the highest possible accuracy in the time dependent measurements.

Figure 7 shows corresponding graphs of the dielectric constant $\varepsilon'(t)/\varepsilon'(0)$ determined from the time dependent impedance data. In this case, a 50% change in X_1/Z_0 has little effect and the curves are almost identical. The value of $\Delta \varepsilon'/\varepsilon'$ calculated from the swept frequency data in Fig. 4 of -2.3×10^{-4} is within 10% of the minimum shown in Fig. 7. The magnitude of the maximum change of the dielectric constant during the \$1.80 pulsed irradiation was only about 0.1%, which has a negligible effect on the ceramic losses. At the end of the pulse there was a residual change in $\varepsilon'(t)/\varepsilon'(0)$ which corresponds to an upwards shift in resonant frequency of -3kHz. It is not clear at the present time whether or not this is a real effect. During the long time periods between pulses (≥ 20 min), the resonant frequency of the cavity usually decreased by a net value of several kHz, corresponding either to a very slight increase in ε' , or more likely, to a slight warming of the cavity. A temperature increase of 2 to 3 K over a period of 4 h was typically observed for cavities inserted in the reactor beam port.



Fig. 6. Time dependent measurement of $\tan \delta$ for Wesgo AL998 during a \$1.80 pulse.


Fig. 7. Time dependent measurement of $\Delta \varepsilon' / \varepsilon'$ for Wesgo AL.998 during a \$1.80 pulse.

Figure 8 is a graph of the ratio of the magnitude of the change in the loss tangent during the pulse to the change in the dielectric constant. The specific quantity plotted is

$$\frac{\tan \delta(t) - \tan \delta(0)}{\left[\varepsilon'(t) - \varepsilon'(0)\right] / \varepsilon'(0) - \varepsilon'(t) - \varepsilon'(0)}$$

It can be seen that this ratio is nearly constant with time throughout most of the pulse, a phenomenon that will be **discussed** in more detail below.

Pressure Effects

An important test was a determination of the level of vacuum required in order to obtain accurate results. It is well known that the dielectric breakdown strength of gases reaches a minimum value at pressures between 10 and 100 Pa.²⁰ In addition, ionization of residual gas in the cavity can change the quality factor of the resonant cavity during irradiation. Each cavity and all of the associated pump-out pipe was attached to the turbo pump and baked for several hours to a temperature of 130° C using heating tapes. This temperature was the maximum sustainable by the cold cathode gauge during operation. After bakeout, it was possible to achieve a vacuum pressure of 7×10^{-4} Pa with the cavity fully inserted into the reactor beam **port**.



Fig. 8. $\Delta \epsilon'' / \Delta \epsilon'$ vs time calculated from data shown in figures 5 and 6.

A series of pulses were made, with the pressure increased each time by introducing nitrogen through a needle valve. Both the cavity Q and the resonant frequency showed a strong dependence on pressure between 0.1 Pa and atmospheric pressure. Figure 9 shows the cavity Q as a function of pressure for cavities containing either sapphire or polycrystalline Al₂O₃. Figure 10 shows the effect on the calculated value of tan δ , which assumes that all losses occur in the ceramic, for an AL998 sample as a function of the cavity pressure. A very large increase in losses occurred at a pressure of -1 Pa, together with large increases in the cavity resonant frequency (not shown). At pressures below - 10² Pa, our data suggest that ionized gas effects on the measured values of tan δ and $\Delta \varepsilon'$ are negligible. The observed dependencies of the measured Q_0 and frequency shift on the cavity pressure are consistent with the presence of **a** weakly ionized plasma produced by the gamma flux. Experiments performed with air and helium produced similar pressure-dependent results.

Effect of Lead Shielding Surrounding the Cavity

In order to investigate the relative importance of ionizing and displacive irradiation, a somewhat smaller cavity was fabricated to allow the fitting of a lead shield. Restrictions associated with the cavity and flange geometry caused the lead thickness to vary between 13 and 25 mm, with an average lead thickness of about 19 mm. One-dimensional shielding calculations indicated that this would reduce the gamma ray dose to the ceramic specimen by about a factor of 5, but would not significantly attenuate the fast neutron flux (<10% change). A simple mockup chamber that held TLDs inside of 19 mm thick lead walls was used to measure the effect of the lead shielding. The measured reduction in the ionizing dose varied between 3 and 4 for several \$1.80 and \$3.00 pulses.



Fig. 9. Cavity Q as a function of pressure measured during \$3.00 reactor pulses.



Table **3** summarizes results for data taken in a cavity with and without lead shielding. The measured ionizing damage rate includes a relatively unchanged contribution from the neutrons, since they were **not** significantly attenuated. For data taken using both \$1.80 and \$3.00 pulses, the ratio of the change in $\tan \delta$ without shielding to that with shielding was 4.0. This ratio is comparable to the reduction in the gamma dose rate to within the accuracy of the dosimetry and loss tangent measurements.

Pulse	Lead	Ionizing dose	$\tan\delta$ before pulse.	tan8 during pulse
no.	shielding	rate (Gy/s)		
134	yes	1.3 x 10 ⁴	8.5e-5	1.47e-4
147	no	4.2 x 10 ⁴	7.2e-5	3.23e-4
136	yes	2,1 x 10 ³	9.8e-5	1.12e-4
145	no	6.6 x 10 ³	7.2e-5	1.28e-4

Time Response and Power Dependence

Figure 11 compares the time-dependent behavior of the reactor power (dashed line) and $\tan \delta$ for Wesgo AL998 (solid line) during a \$3.00 reactor pulse. The transient increase in loss tangent follows the power level very closely. A feature that is almost certainly an artifact is that the increase in the loss tangent always peaked 1-2 ms before the reactor power signal. This was observed in every case, for every material tested except for AlN. Data taken under identical conditions with an AlN sample in the cavity are shown in Fig. 12. In the case of this material only, the loss tangent peak appears later in time than the peak in the ion chamber signal. For this pulse the delay is 4.5 ms. The decay time for the A N loss tangent was substantially greater than that observed for the other materials.

Figure 13 shows the loss tangent with the baseline subtracted off, shifted by one sampling time (1.25 ms), and scaled to the ion chamber signal. When this is done the two curves overlay almost exactly, indicating that the dependence of the change in the loss tangent on reactor power is linear, and that the decay time is faster 1 ms.

Comparison of Different Materials

Some of the results that we have obtained for various materials are summarized in Table 4. In the case **cf** the time dependent measurements, the maximum value of the loss tangent observed during the pulse is given. In Figs. 14 (a) and (b), loss tangent results from Table 4 for which high power data were available **are** plotted vs. the peak ionizing dose rate. It can be seen that the peak in the loss tangent varies linearly with the ionizing dose rate for all materials except **AN**, which increases more rapidly. The slope of the increase in the loss tangent is higher for the low purity alumina (AL300) than the high purity alumina (AL998), and is smallest for the silicon nitride, which had the highest initial loss tangent of all of the materials tested. From Table 4, it can be seen that the only material for which ε' was observed to increase during irradiation is AlN. In all other cases the dielectric constant was observed to decrease during the irradiation pulse.



Fig. 11. Loss tangent vs time for Wesgo AL998, \$3.00 pulse, with reactor power signal measured by an ion chamber overlaid.



Fig. 12. Loss tangent vs time for AIN. \$3.00 pulse, also with reactor power signal overlaid.



Fig. 13. Overlay of loss tangent data and reactor power data with baseline subtraction and 1.2 ms time shift.

	Measurement	Pulse	$ an\delta$	tans	
Material	type	Sue	before pulse	during pulse	Δε'/ε' <u></u>
Sapphire	Swept freq	\$1.80	1 × 10 ⁵ •	8 × 10 ⁻⁵	-2.6 × 10 ⁴
	Sweptfreq	\$1.80	7.2 × 10 ^{−5}	1.43 x 10 ⁻⁴	-2.30 x lo"
Wesgo AL998	Time dep	\$1.80	6.9 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.28 x 10 ⁻⁴	-2.10 x 1o"
	Time dep	\$3.00	7.2 × 10 ^{−5}	3.23× 10 4	-1.03 x 10 ³
	Sweptfreq	\$1.80	1.42 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.35 x 10 ⁴	-3.06 x 10 ⁻⁴
wesgo AL300	Time dep	\$1.80	1.49 × 10 ⁴	2.26 × 10 ⁻⁴	-2.78 × 10 ⁻⁴
	Time dep	\$3.00	1.54 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.89 × 10 ⁻⁴	-1.35 x 10 ⁻³
Spinel	Swept freq	\$1.80	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁴	-2.2 × 10 ⁻⁴
	Swept freq	\$1.80	2.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	-
AĺN	Time dep	\$1.80	1.81 x <u>1</u> 0- 4	5.2 x 10 4	-
	Time dep	\$3.00	2.19 x 10 4	5 . 48 × 10 ⁻³	1.76 x 10 ³
	Swept freq	\$1.80	3.20 x 10 ⁴	3.52 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.93 x 10 4
Si3N4	Time dep	\$1.80	3.13 x <u>1</u> 0 4	3.33 x 10 ⁻⁴	-2.14 x 10 ⁻⁴
	Time dep	\$3.00	3.12 × 10 ⁻⁴	3.99 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.00×10^{-3}

Table 4. Changes in Loss Tangents and Dielectric Constants for Various Ceramic Materials During Irradiation



Fig. 14. Maximum value of tan6 during reactor pulse vs ionizing dose rate for a) high and low purity aluminas, silicon nitride, and b) aluminum nitride.

Discussion

Several characteristics have been exhibited by all of the samples except for the AIN: 1) the increase in tan6 was Linear with the dose rate. as measured by the ion chamber signal, 2) the decay of tan δ after the peak of the reactor pulse was faster than the sampling time used to make the impedance measurements of 1.25 ms, 3) the change in the relative dielectric constant during a pulse was always negative, and 4) the ratio of $\Delta \varepsilon' / \Delta \varepsilon'$ was roughly constant during a pulse. In addition, when the cavity was shielded with lead, a reduction in the loss tangent increase in the AL998 alumina occurred which was proportional to the decrease in the gamma flux. In the case of the AlN, the increase in tan6 was supralinear with dose rate over the range which we have explored, there was an initial rapid decay in tan6 followed by **a** slower decay when the value was - 4 times the pre-irradiation value, the change in the relative dielectric constant was positive. and the ratio $\Delta \varepsilon' / \Delta \varepsilon'$ varied greatly. and even changed sign during the reactor pulse. The increases that we observed in the **loss** tangents of most of the materials is consistent with an increase in the dc conductivity. In order to **see** this, we write the **loss** tangent in terms of contributions due to the dc conductivity and ac losses due to polarization effects⁹

$$\tan \delta = \frac{\sigma_{DC}}{\omega \varepsilon'} \frac{\chi''}{\ell' l \ell_{\gamma}}$$
(13)

where σ_{DC} is the dc conductivity, χ'' is the imaginary part of the dielectric susceptibility $\chi(\epsilon/\epsilon_0 = 1+\chi)$, and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space.

In the case of an unirradiated ceramic insulator, the dc conductivity at 20°C is on the order of 10^{-12} S/m or less, resulting in a negligible contribution to the loss tangent of $< 2 \times 10^{-11}$ at 100 MHz. However, radiation induced conductivity (RIC) associated with electronic ionization has been shown to produce increases in σ_{DC} of many orders of magnitude, $^{9,10,13-15,21-23}$ which could produce a significant contribution to the loss tangent during irradiation. Figure 15 is a compilation of published dc and low frequency ac RIC data obtained on alumina irradiated near room temperature. 10,15,21,24 The measured loss tangent changes obtained on the WESGO AL998 alumina specimen in the present study have been converted to electrical conductivity values in Fig. 15 for comparison, using the assumption that all of the loss tangent increase was solely due to RIC (Eqn. 13). The converted loss tangent values are in good agreement with the existing RIC data. The prompt conductivity coefficient derived for AL998 alumina is $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ S-m⁻¹-Gy⁻¹s.

Previously reported measurements on the **loss** tangent of alumina measured during gamma irradiation near **room** temperature concluded that ionizing dose rates up to **35** Gy/s did not have any measureable **effect.**⁵ According to the RIC data summarized in Fig. 15, this ionizing dose rate would induce an electrical conductivity of about 10^{-8} S/m in alumina. The corresponding **loss** tangent increase at 100 MHz associated with **this** RIC (Eqn. 13) would be about $2x10^{-7}$, which is negligible compared to the unirradiated room temperature **loss** tangents in these materials of ~10⁻⁵ to 10^{-4} .

The present theoretical understanding of RIC assumes that electrons are excited into the conduction band creating free electron-hole pairs, which are eventually lost through trapping and annihilation.^{9,21,23} A simplified way to treat this system is as a weakly conducting semiconductor,²⁵ in which case the complex dielectric constant at rf frequencies can be modelled by the expression

$$\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon' - i\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon_1 - \sigma_{DC} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} + i\frac{1}{\omega}\right), \tag{14}$$

where ε_1 is the permittivity with no current flowing and vis the effective electron collision frequency in the material. If it is assumed that there are no changes in the material over the time of the pulse (v remains constant). then Eqn. 14 predicts a reduction in the real part of the dielectric constant as σ increases, and also that the ratio $\Delta \varepsilon' / \Delta \varepsilon'$ remains constant. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8 and Table 4, these behaviors were observed in all the materials that we investigated other than AN. If the increase in loss tangent were instead attributed to an increase in the number of dipoles present, 11 then we would expect the real part of the permittivity to increase as well as the imaginary part.



RADIATION INDUCED CONDUCTIVITY IN ALUMINA

Fig. 15. Radiation induced conductivity in high-purity alumina [10,15,21,24]. The anticipated ionizing dose rates at the fust wall, vacuum vessel and superconducting magnet of the ITER fusion reactor [18] are also shown.

The quantity $\sigma_{DC} = n_e e^2/mv$ is proportional to the free electron density n_e . Therefore, the observed linear dependence of $\Delta \tan \delta$ on the dose rate for most of the ceramics in the present study implies that the free electron density is proportional to the ionizing dose rate. The magnitude and flux dependence of RIC in insulators is controlled by the concentration and average trapping level of electron-hole traps. Theoretical modelling of the free electron density in irradiated insulators generally predicts a dose rate exponent between 0.5 and 1 (refs. 21,23,24,26). Experimental studies (e.g., Fig. 15) have typically observed RIC dose rate exponents near unity, although values as low as 0.5 and as high as 1.6 have been reported.^{3,21-24,26} The diffusion lifetime of electrons excited into the conduction band by ionizing radiation is typically between 10⁻¹¹ and 10⁻⁶ s (refs. 23,27), although delayed effects with time constants as long as 1 day or longer have also been observed. The good agreement between the transient loss tangent increase and the reactor pulse profile (Figs. 11,13) for all of the ceramics in the present study except AIN indicates that the carrier lifetimes in these materials was less than the sampling time of -1 ms. The *peak* in the loss tangent of AIN occurred about 4.5 ms after the peak in the reactor power (Fig. 12), which suggests that the average carrier lifetime in AIN may be -10 ms or longer. An exponential fit to the decay of the AIN loss tangent curve indicates that the carrier time constant is > 10 ms.

Figure 16 compares the derived electrical conductivity values of the various ceramic materials investigated in the present study. The derived RIC dose rate exponent was about one for spinel and all of the different grades of alumina. On the other hand, the dose rate exponent for Si3N4 was apparently sublinear (~0.65) and the dose rate exponent for AlN was supralinear (~1.6).

From an examination of the AL998 alumina results obtained with shielded and unshielded cavities (Table 3). it is apparent that ionizing radiation is necessary for an appreciable effect to be seen, and that the loss tangent increase is proportional to the ionizing dose rate. We cannot completely rule out the possibility that neutron displacement damage contributes to the observed increase in the loss tangent (e.g., polarization effects); we have no data for instance on frequency dependence which would help to distinguish between ac and dc effects. However, it is clear from the shielded cavity measurements that the displacement damage contribution must be small compared to the ionization-induced conductivity losses for our experimental conditions. The relative unimportance of displacement damage effects in the present experiments is due to the use of a pulsed neutron source which produced high radiation fluxes but low accumulated damage levels. The maximum amount of displacement damage produced during each reactor pulse was $\sim 1 \times 10^{-8}$ dpa. An upper limit of the dielectric loss associated with this displacement damage can be estimated from Debye theory [11] to be $\sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$, assuming that all of the displaced atoms created during the reactor pulse survive as point defects. Defect production measurements performed on irradiated oxide ceramics have found that the fraction of defects produced during room temperature fission neutron irradiation is typically only about 10% of the calculated dpa,²⁶ which would reduce the associated loss tangent increase to $\sim 10^{-6}$. The displacement damage contribution to the measured loss tangent increase during pulsed reactor irradiation is therefore not detectable. This simple calculation demonstrates that the large observed differencebetween A N and the other irradiated ceramics must be associated with ionizing radiation (RIC) effects.



ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY OF CERAMICS DERIVED FROM LOSS TANGENT MEASUREMENTS

Fig. 16. Radiation induced conductivity of ceramic insulators derived from loss tangent measuremenls.

Finally, these measurements were designed to examine only transient effects. They were made in the presence of minimal electric fields and for small total fluences. Effects caused by higher electric fields, longer irradiation times, and higher fluences were not addressed. A very important example is the phenomenon of radiation-induced electrical degradation (RIED), which involves the synergistic combination of moderate electric fields and displacive radiation. This phenomenon has been shown to cause permanent degradation in the resistivity of certain ceramics, at substantially reduced damage levels when compared to degradation due to irradiation **alone**.^{3,28,29} This deterioration in resistivity could make these materials unacceptable for **use** in **rf** windows, even though they are acceptable from the standpoint of the transient effects that we have studied.

CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the effects of ionizing and displacive radiation on both the loss tangent and the dielectric constant of several ceramics which are possible candidates for use as vacuum windows in the **rf** heating systems of fusion devices. The main purpose of the measurements were to determine whether the presence of neutrons would cause effects on a transient time scale that would rule out the use of certain ceramics due to unacceptable increases in losses. A resonant cavity technique was used, which limited measurements to a fixed frequency (-100 MHz in our case), but allowed accurate measurements to be obtained without elaborate in-situ calibration.

It was found that in all but one of the materials examined, the increase in the loss tangent was proportional to the reactor power level. In the case of Wesgo AL998 alumina, the gamma/fast neutron ratio was modified using lead shielding and it was found that in this case the increase in the loss tangent was proportional specifically **to** the gamma dose rate to within the limits of the measurement. It is likely that this is **true** for the other materials as well, except for aluminum nitride. The magnitude of the increases observed are explainable by an ionization-induced increase in the dc conductivity of the materials, based on previous measurements made by other investigators. This phenomenon is also consistent with the observed decrease in the dielectric constant observed during irradiation. In all cases except for AIN, the increase in the loss tangent was limited to about a factor of **4** or less, for a gamma dose rate substantially above that expected at the location of an rf vacuum window in a fusion reactor. The maximum prompt values observed in these cases should not be of concern in the design of **rf** windows operating at **-** 100 MHz or below.

In the case of aluminum nitride, the factor of 25 increase in the loss tangent during irradiation by a 3.00 pulse was much higher than that observed in any other material. The material Si₃N₄, which has a somewhat higher unirradiated value of tan6 than AIN, and a similar dielectric constant, showed the smallest increase in the loss tangent proportional to the dose rate. The striking differences in the behavior of the two materials are not at present understood, and further investigation would clearly be of benefit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Wade Williams from the University of Illinois. The ionizing radiation and neutron dosimetry analyses were carried out by Dr. D.W. Vehar of Sandia National Laboratory and Dr. L. R. Greenwood at the Pacific Northwest Laboratory, respectively. This work was supported by the Office of Fusion Energy, US Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

REFERENCES

- 1. F.W. Clinard, Jr., J. Nucl. Mater. 85&86 (1979) 393.
- 2. J. L. Scott, F.W. Clinard, Jr. and F. W. Wiffen, J. Nucl. Mater. 133-134 (1985) 156.
- 3. S. J. Zinkle and E. R. Hcdgson, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 58.
- 4. S.J. Zinkle, Plasma Devices and Operations 3 (1993) in press.
- 5. R. E. Stoller, R. H. Goulding, and S. J. Zinkle. J. Nucl. Mater 191-194 (1992) 602.
- 6. G.J. Hill, G.P. Pells, and M. A. Barnett, Radiat. Eff. 97 (1986) 221.
- 7. H. M. Frost and F. W. Clinard. J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 315.
- 8. D. J. Huntley and J. R. Andrews, Can. J. Phys. 46 (1968) 147.
- 9. G.P. Pells and G. J. Hill, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 375.
- 10. G. P. Pells. Radiat. Eff. 97 (1986) 199.
- 11. S. N. Buckley and P. Agnew, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 361.
- 12. D. Edelson, R.E. Jaeger, and J. C. Williams, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 52 (1969) 359.
- 13. E. H. Famum, J.C Kennedy, F.W. Clinard and H.M. Frost, I. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992)
- 548.

14. G. P. Pells, J. Nucl. Mater. 184 (1991) 183.

15. T. Shikama, M. Narui, Y. Endo, A. Ochiai and H. Kayano, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 544.

16. S.N. Buckley and P. Agnew, in Microwave Processing of Materials II, MRS Symp. Proc. Vol. 189, eds. W.B. Snyder et al. (Materials Research Soc., Pittsburgh, 1991) p. 503.

- 17. S. J. Žinkle, Microstructural Changes in Oxide Ceramics Following Light Ion Irradiation. Fusion Reactor Materials. Semiann. Prog. Rep. DOE/ER-0313/10, *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory (1991) p. 302-309.
 - 18. M.E. Sawan, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, private communication.

19. E.L. Ginzton, Microwave Measurements, (McGraw-Hill, New York. 1957).

20. T.W. Dakin and C.N. Works, in Measurement of Dielectric properties under Space Conditions, ASTM **STP 420**, ed. R.B. Feuchtbaum (Amer. Soc. for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, **1967**) p. 18.

21. R. W. Klaffky. B. H. Rose, A. N. Goland and G. J. Dienes, Phys. Rev. B21 (1980) 3610.

22. E. R. Hcdgson and S. Clement, J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 357.

23. V.A.J. van Lint, T.M. Flanagan, R.E. Leadon, J.A. Nabor and V.C. Rogers, Mechanisms of Radiation Effects in Electronic Materials. Vol. 1(Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980)

24. V.A.J. van Lint, J.W. Harrity and T.M. Flanagan, IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science, NS-15 (1968) 194.

25. S. Ramo, J. R. Whinnery, and T. Van Duzer, Fields and Waves in Communication Electronics, 2nd ed., (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984).

26. G.P. Pells. J. Nucl. Mater. 155-157 (1988) 67.

- 27. J.C. King and H.H. Sander, IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science, NS-20 (1973) 117.
- **28.** *G*.P. Pells. J. Nucl. Mater. **184** (1991) **177.**
- 29. E.R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 552.

IN-CORE MEASUREMENT OF DC ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY OF CERAMICS • L. L. Snead, D. P. White, and S. J. Zinkle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), J. Oconor, G. Hartsough, and J. Errante (Brookhaven National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this research is to A) Verify a new design for making in-core measurements of DC electrical conductivity of ceramics, and B) Study the RIED effect for polycrystalline alumina during neutron irradiation.

SUMMARY

An in-situ DC conductivity capsule has been constructed and tested at the High Flux Beam Reactor (HFBR) at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The prototype capsule contained two alumina and one silicon nitride polycrystalline samples. In-situ measurement of the DC conductivity was made utilizing custom-made mineral insulated triaxial cables with a guard ring configuration for the samples and the sample holders. Sample and capsule temperatures were also measured in-situ. This prototype capsule was designed to operate at ITER relevant temperatures of 80°C (alumina) and 300°C (alumina and silicon nitride) with an applied electric field of 133 V/mm. It is significant that a large prompt increase in conductivity occurred but that no permanent radiation induced elecuical degradation was observed in alumina after irradiation at 80°C to a neutron dose of >0.1 dpa.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Recent studies of radiation effects on the electrical conductivity of oxide ceramics have pointed out the need for in-situ measurements. The primary motivation for such work has been the need for design data for insulating ceramics in fusion systems. Typically, ceramic insulators in fusion systems will find application under a broad range of irradiation temperatures. fluxes (neutron and gamma) and electric fields.¹ It has been known for many years that under irradiation there is a sizable, prompt increase in electrical conductivity in insulating materials,² caused by populating the conduction band through excitation of valence hand electrons. Due to the high electron mobility and large electron trap cross section, conduction hand electrons in ceramics typically recombine within 10⁻⁹ seconds,³ thus, in-situ measurements are necessary to study this effect.

Radiation induced conductivity (R1C) in oxide ceramics has been shown to increase electrical Conductivity **by** many orders of magnitude at fusion relevant fluxes. Figure 1 gives a compilation of data from several **studies**⁴⁻⁸ of RIC in alumina, MgO and MgAl₂O₄. As an example, it is seen that the room temperature electrical conductivity of sapphire increases by more than six orders of magnitude to a value of approximately $10^{-6} (\Omega - m)^{-1}$ at a representative first wall dose rate. While this increase in conductivity is dramatic, it is still two orders of magnitude less than the assumed upper limit due to joule heating concerns of $10^{-4} (\Omega - m)^{-1}$ for fusion insulators.⁹

In the past few years there have been several papers on a potentially more serious problem relating to the use of insulating ceramics in fusion systems.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ Three separate research groups¹¹⁻¹⁵ have published studies in which electrical conductivity was measured in the presence of ionizing and displacive radiation fields while an elecuic field was applied. The resulu of these studies indicated that, in addition to the well-established increase in conductivity (RIC), a permanent increase in conductivity occurs which greatly exceeds the RIC value after some threshold fluence is reached. The point at which the permanent conductivity began to increase above the RIC level varied from approximately 2 x 10⁻⁵ dpa for electron **irradiation**,¹² 1 x 10⁻³ dpa for proton **irradiation**,¹⁴ and 3 x 10⁻² dpa for fission neutron irradiation¹⁵ near 500°C. This effect has been classified **as** radiation induced electrical degradation (RIED) and has to this point not exhibited an upper limit in conductivity. While there has not been a systematic study of the



Fig. 1. Radiation induced conductivity in oxide ceramics.

variables underlying this effect, it is known that an applied electric field is necessary during irradiation, and there is evidence suggesting that RIED does not occur below 200°C or above $650^{\circ}C^{9,13-14}$ The presence of both ionizing and displacive radiation is also required. Presently, there has been no definitive post irradiation testing of the degraded ceramic to determine the cause of the RIED. However, it has been speculated that radiation induced colloid formation is laking place similar to that seen in alkali-halides.¹²

The purpose of this paper is to outline the principles of a capsule designed for in-situ electrical conductivity measurements in a fission reactor and to summarize initial results obtained. Emphasis was placed on a design that would minimize signal noise and would provide thermal anchoring of all elements of the capsule including triaxial cables and electrically insulating materials. The prototype capsule was built and underwent preliminary testing in the High Flux Beam Reactor (HFBR) at the Brookhaven National Laboratory.

Design Considerations

(A) Electrical Design

A schematic of the prototype capsule is shown in Figure 2. Each sample was brazed at 890° C (five minute hold time) in vacuum to a vanadium sample holder using a Ti-Cu-Si braze alloy. A sputter-deposited platinum guard ring and central electrode configuration was positioned on the sample top in accordance with **ASTM** standard D257-78: Standard Test Methods for D-C Resistance or Conductance of Insulating Materials. The purpose of the guard ring is to minimize surface conduction that could compromise the measurements by applying a potential equal to that of the central electrode. Each electrode was contacted by a spring loaded, platinum plated, vanadium contact pin that was isolated from ground by an alumina cap. Guard rings were deposited on the top and bottom of these alumina caps to eliminate surface currents from the central contact pins (not shown in Figure 2).



Fig. 2. Schematic of prototype capsule for in-core measurement of electrical conductivity.

Of primary importance in making in-situ measurements on highly resistive materials is the reduction of signal noise. Typically, currents for unirradiated materials will be $<10^{-9}$ amps and will have to be measured over the several meters of cable required to pass the capsule into the reactor core. There is also the added problem of limited space available for the cables into the reactor core and the presence of ionizing radiation which seriously degrades commercially available organically insulated cables. For this reason mineral insulated, triaxial cables were designed and fabricated. A cross section of the cables used in this experiment is shown in Figure 3. The center core and inner sheath are made of OFHC copper (bothheld at the same potential) with an outside ground sheath of stainless steel. High purity MgO powder was packed between the three conductors and the entire assembly was swaged to the final diameler of 1.5 mm.



Fig. 3. Cross-section optical photograph showing triaxial, mineral insulated cable used in experiment

In order to further reduce the possibility of surface conduction from the center electrode, it is important to ensure that the potentials applied to the Center and guard ring are equal. In order to ensure this, the line resistances of the conductors applying potential to the center and guard electrodes should be **as** low **as** possible. Furthermore, it is desirable for the line resistance of the guard conductor to be less than that of the center conductor **by** at least the ratio of the resistances across the electrodes. In this case the line resistances were approximately 6 ohms for the center conductor and 1.5 ohms for the inner sheath over the cable length of 11 meters. The unirradiated resistances across the MgO powder insulation from center to inner sheath and inner sheath to ground were all >35 MQ (unirradiated). Contacts were made from the stripped triaxial cable to the electrodes inside of the irradiation capsule with bare copper wire.

(B) Heat Transfer and Capsule Environment

The primary thermal conduction path for this design is radial to the walls of the capsule assembly, which are in contact with the reactor coolant water. Design temperatures were achieved by selection of the helium gas gap thickness between the sample mount and the capsule wall. The low temperature alumina sample was in contact with the capsule wall (no gap) while the remaining two samples each had a **1.4** mm helium gas gap. The measured temperatures during reactor irradiation (6 W/gm) were $80^{\circ}C$ and $320^{\circ}C$ for the alumina samples and $280^{\circ}C$ for the silicon nitride specimen. The radial temperature rise across the sample was calculated to be less than $10^{\circ}C$. The temperature was monitored during irradiation by mineral insulated, type K thermocouples in contact with the sample mount.

The choice of He gas instead of vacuum for the capsule environment was based on several considerations. First, the long distance between the reactor core and the equipment station (~1 I m) and the small tube diameter available for insertion into the core ($\leq 6 \text{ mm I.D.}$) creates a very low pumping conductance. A straightforward calculation indicated that outgassing from the capsule surfaces would limit

the pressure in the capsule to $\ge 1 \text{ mTorr} (0.13\text{Pa})$. This pressure is rather close to the minimum in the Paschen curve for electrical breakdown in gases¹⁸ and is in the pressure regime where pronounced spurious results attributable to gas ionization were observed in a recent in-situ dielectric propenies neutron irradiation experiment.¹⁹ In this latter study, it was demonstrated that it was preferable to operate the irradiation capsule at or above atmospheric pressure unless capsule pressures below 10⁻⁴ Torr could be reliably obtained. Helium has the highest ionization potential of the inert gases and therefore produces the fewest ion pairs per unit volume during exposure to ionizing radiation3 The high thermal conductivity of He compared to other gases also helps to minimize temperature variations in capsule components that are in poor thermal contact with the heat sink. Calculations were made to estimate the contribution to the measured current made by the ionization and collection of the helium cover gas. Assuming the worst case scenario of an ideal cylindrical geometry and no recombination of ionized species, the calculated contribution to the signal current was in the microamp range and therefore negligible.

There has been recent work eluding to the potential problem of depositing thin films of carbonaceous material on the electrodes during irradiation. For an unguarded sample this would have the effect of increasing the system leakage current over what was measured prior to irradiation. If the film became conductive enough, however, even a guarded system would be **compromised**.^{20,21} In order to minimize this possibility, capsule elements were baked out to 500°C prior to assembly and were cleaned in acetone and alcohol baths. After assembly, the capsule was evacuated with a turbomolecular pump and back-filled with high purity helium. The process of evacuation and back-filling was carried out three **limes** with the longest pump down period being **16** hours. The capsule was held at 10 psi over atmosphere of helium during the irradiation.

(C) Measurement and Control

The instrument control and data acquisition was accomplished via a computer fitted with an IEEE-488, General Purpose Interface Bus (GPIB), interface card. The instruments which were interfaced with the computer were: a Hewlett-Packard power supply to source the voltage to the samples, a Keithley model 237 electrometer to measure the current through the samples, a digital multimeter (DMM) to measure thermocouple voltages and a Keithley model 9001 switching unit to switch between the three different samples and to switch between the various thermocouples. The controlling software was written using National Instruments' LabVIEWII software. A schematic of the data acquisition and control system is shown in Figure 4. The switching unit contains two separate switch cards, a low current high voltage 4×5 matrix switching card used to source the voltage to the samples and a thermocouple switching card, which is supplied with a calibrated reference junction.

As shown in Figure 4, power is supplied for two separate triaxial inputs to the matrix card. For one of the matrix card inputs, the center conductor signal passes through the electrometer and for the other input the center conductor signal does not pass **through** the electrometer. With this arrangement it is possible to set the matrix card to source a constant voltage to the three outputs to the samples and when a current measurement is to be made each sample may be sourced individually through the electrometer. **Thus** when measurements are to be made the computer controls the matrix card and scans through each sample, acquiring and storing voltage and current readings for the sample.

In Figure 4 the thermocouple scanning card has seven separate inputs, one for each thermocouple to be measured, and one output to the DMM. When temperature measurements are taken the computer scans through the channels lo which the thermocouples are connected **as** well as the reference junction channel. For each thermocouple, the voltage reading was read by the computer, convened to a temperature, and Stored.

Thus with this system it is possible to measure the voltage across and the current through each ceramic sample and to measure the temperature of each thermocouple junction. These values are then stored for later data analysis. The software was written so that the measurements were being taken continuously with a cycle of sample current and thermocouple measurements taking approximately 30 seconds. Measurements were then compared to the most recently stored values, and if the data differed by 1% or more



Fig. 4. Schematic of data acquisition and control system showing GPIB connections and connections to the current matrix and thermocouple **cards.** the inputs and outputs to the matrix *card* are triaxial connections (outer ground sheath is not shown).

from the previously stored values then the new measurements were stored. In the event of data not changed by more than 1%, a measurement was stored hourly.

Exoerimental Synopsis

Materials for this study were polycrystalline Coors alumina AD-998²² and Kyocera SN-733 silicon nitride. Sample thicknesses of 0.75 mm were chosen with 4 mm ID central electrode and 1 mm gap between central and guard electrode. A DC electric field of 100 volts was applied to the sample which corresponds to an applied field strength of 133 V/mm.

The prototype capsule was assembled with triaxial cables and thermocouple leads k ing passed through a **0.39** cm ID aluminum tube of length **9.1** m. The capsule was then pushed with the aluminum tube into the **V-16** thimble of the HFBR during reactor operation. The capsule was located mid-core which has approximately 6 W/g gamma heating and an associated fast flux of $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ n/m}^2$ -s (E>1 MeV). The data measurement software was operating within ten minutes of capsule insertion. The capsule was irradiated for **8.1** days corresponding to a fast neutron (E>1 MeV) fluence of $1.1 \times 10^{24} \text{ n/m}^2$ and a damage level of ~0.11 dpa.

Results and Discussion

The capsule thermocouple measurements yielded 80°C for the low temperature alumina specimen, 280°C for the silicon nitride and **320**°C for the high temperature alumina sample during irradiation. Shown in Figure **5** is a plot showing the linear (ohmic) behavior of an alumina sample mounted in the capsule prior to irradiation at room temperature. At **an** applied voltage of 100 V, the current through the specimen was **0.34** nA corresponding to a conductivity of $1.3 \times 10^{-10} (\Omega - m)^{-1}$.



Fig. 5. Plot showing ohmic behavior of sample prior to core insertion

Figure 6 shows data for the RIC at the beginning of irradiation for the alumina specimen irradiated at 80°C. A large, prompt increase in conductivity of more than five orders of magnitude to approximately 4.6 x 10⁻⁵ is observed (Ω -m)⁻¹. During the early stages of irradiation there was a gradual decrease in the RIC, which can he attributed to the creation of p int defects in the alumina which increase the scavenging of excited electrons. This effect is seen to be short term and is saturated in several hours of irradiation. Figure 1 includes this RIC data for the 80°C alumina sample following 9 hours of irradiation and it is seen to he on the high end of the scatter in the published data. Also included in Figure 1 is the value of RIC for the silicon nitride sample which reached 3.23×10^{-5} (Ω -m)⁻¹.



Fig. 6. Reduction in the radiation induced conductivity caused by point defect formation.

Following two hours of irradiation the voltage was turned off and a series of diagnostic tests were performed. In particular, the voltages to all three samples were varied to check for ohmic behavior. The two high temperature samples were ohmic to approximately 60 volts and then became slightly supra linear. The surface conductance (leakage currents) were also measured. Also during the diagnostic period cooling water flow to the thimble was reduced from 12 m/s to 6 m/s to observe any change in signal noise and temperature, No effect was observed, The DC field was then reapplied to the samples for the duration of the experiment.

Of interest is the reduction in the in-core resistances of all the cables. As mentioned earlier, the center core to inner sheath and inner sheath to ground resistances were off scale of the digital meter being used (>35 MΩ) prior to exposure. However, during radiation these resistances promptly decreased to approximately 10 MΩ. This can be explained by noting that RIC occurs in the MgO powder insulation. A straightforward calculation1^{8,19} shows this reduction in resistance should have negligible effect on measurements, however.

In order to measure the effects of radiation on both the prompt RIC as well **as** the dose-dependent, permanent RIED in ceramic insulators, it is necessary to provide low noise measurements and to ensure adequate temperature control over the specimen. The current design has been demonstrated in the HFBR core and has yielded data with very low noise and temperatures within acceptable limits. Figure 7 shows the results of the low temperature irradiation from the point of insertion into the core until it was removed 195 hours later, or a total dose of -0.11 dpa. Of note is that there is no evidence that **RIED** has taken place in the polycrystalline alumina at 80°C to a fluence of more **than** a tenth of a dpa. The curve shows two gaps in the data where the program was stopped and diagnostics were performed



Fig. 7. Conductivity plot for alumina sample irradiated at 80°C during extended irradiation

The higher temperature samples initially yielded RIC for the guarded specimens in acceptable ranges but an anomalous leakage (a gradual increase in current) occurred after several hours of irradiation. This increase resembled the RIED effects seen by previous researchers but this was easily dismissed as surface leakage after analyzing diagnostic tesls. In particular, measurement of leakage current (i.e. current supplied minus current measured through center electrode) indicated that there was significant leakage in either the cable termination or between the specimen guard and ground. Also of note is that this leakage problem was of a transient nature. If the potential was reduced to -15 V the sample measurements would gradually return to nearly their initial values after some time, supporting the idea of surface contamination. The most likely source of this problem was the unsealed cable termination. Final determination of any possible RIED will be found following post irradiation examination of the 280°C Si₃N₄ and 320°C Al₂O₃ samples.

The present measurements indicate that careful altention needs to be paid to the cable termination in the capsule, and demonstrate **that** significant anomalous leakage currents can be presenl even in a fully guarded irradiation capsule. Future neutron irradiation capsules will be sealed at the cable termination in an attempt to eliminate this spurious leakage current.

It should also he noted that 300°C may be **too** low a temperature for RIED to occur. as pointed out in References 8 and 9.

Summary

A prototype in-situ capsule designed for DC electrical conductivity measurements during neutron irradiation was verified to perform well during a *test* irradiation in the HFBR up to a fluence of $1.1 \times 10^{24} \text{ n/m}^2$ (E>1MeV). Some problems with anomalous leakage currents were observed and are tentatively ascribed to leakage at the uiaxial cable termination. A large prompt increase in electrical conductivity due to RIC was observed in both Al₂O₃ and Si₃N₄. However, there was no evidence for permanent radiation induced electrical degradation at 80 or 300°C with an applied DC field of 130 V/mm.

Future Work

A follow-onexperiment is currently being constructed at ORNL and is tentatively scheduled to be irradiated in the HFBR beginning in January of 1994. The experimental matrix is for a single material, Wesgo **AD995** polycrystalline alumina at three temperatures which should bracket the assumed peak temperature. for RIED of 450°C. The duration of the experiment is expected **Io** last two cycles in the HFBR yielding approximately 0.5 dpa.

Acknowledgments

The AD-998 alumina was provided to the U.S. Fusion Ceramics Program as areference material.

References

- 1. S. J. Zinkle, Ceramics Radiation Effects Issues for ITER, Plasma Dev. and Oper. [3] (1993), in press.
- 2. W. C. Roentgen and A. Joffe, Ann. Physik [41] (1913) 449; [64] (1921) 1.
- 3. V. A. J. van Lint, el al. Mechanisms of Radiation Effects in Electronic Materials. Vol. 1 (Wiley and Sons, New York. 1980).
- 4 V. A. J. van Lint, et al., IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Sci., NS-15, No. 6, 194 (1968).
- 5. R. W. Klaffky et. al., Phys. Rev., B21 (1980) 3610.
- 6. G. P. Pells. Rad. Effects, 97 (1986) 199.
- 7. E. R. Hodgson and S. Clement, Rad. Effects, 97 (1985) 251
- 8. G. P. Pells, J. Nucl. Mater., 179-181 (1991) 383.
- 9. S. J. Zinkle and E. R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mafer., 191-194 (1992) 58.
- 10. V. M. Ivanov, el al, Inorganic Mafer., 17(1981) 1203.
- 11. E. R. Hodgson, Cryst. Latt. Def. Amorph. Mat., [18], 169 (1989).

- 12. E. R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater., 179-181 (1991)383.
- 13. E. R. Hodgson, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 552.
- 14. G.P. Pells, J. Nucl. Muter., 184 (1991) 177.
- 15. T. Shikama, et al. J. Nucl. Mater., 191-194 (1992)575.
- 16. E. H. Famum, private comm. (LASREF irradiation of Al2O3).
- 17. E. R. Hodgson, Rad. Eff. Def. Solids, 119-121 (1991)827.
- 18. R. Hawley, Vacuum 10 (1960) 310.
- 19. R.H. Goulding, D. A. Rasmussen, R. E. Stoller and S. J. Zinkle. manuscript in preparation.

- W. Keslernich, F. Scheuermann, and S. J. Zinkle, submitted to J. Nucl. Mater.
 P. Jung, Z. Zhu, and H. Klein, J. Nucl. Mater. (in press).
 R. E. Stoller, Fusion Reactor Semiannual Progress Report DOE/ER-0313/8 (1990), 299.

THE EFFECT OF IONIZING AND DISPLACIVE RADIATION ON THE THERMAL CON-DUCTIVITY OF ALUMINA AT LOW TEMPERATURE – D.P. WHITE (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The effects of radiation produced vacancies and of radiation induced electrical conductivity (RIC) on the thermal conductivity of alumina at low temperatures have been calculated. The phonon scattering relaxation times for these mechanisms have been used in the evaluation of the thermal conductivity integral in order to determine the effect each mechanism has on the lattice thermal conductivity of alumina.

SUMMARY

Microwave heating of plasmas in fusion reactors requires the development of windows through which the microwaves can pass without great losses. The degradation of the thermal conductivity of alumina in a radiation environment is an important consideration in reliability studies of these microwave windows. Several recent publications have calculated the radiation induced degradation at high temperature 11-31 and at low temperature [4,5]. The current paper extends the low temperature calculations in order to determine the effect of phonon scattering by radiation produced vacancies and by conduction band electrons due to RIC on the thermal conductivity at 77K. These low temperatire calculations are of interest because the successful application of high power (>1 MW) windows for electron cyclotron heating systems in fusion reactors will most likely require cryogenic cooling to take advantage of the low loss tangent and higher thermal conductivity of candidate window materials at these temperatures [6,7].

It is found that vacancy scattering can significantly reduce the thermal conductivity; for example, a vacancy concentration of 0.01 per atom leads to a fractional change in thermal conductivity of about 90%. It is also concluded that the scattering of phonons by electrons in the conduction hand due to RIC does not lead to a large reduction in the thermal conductivity.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

The lattice thermal conductivity of crystalline material is given by the following expression derived by Callaway [8],

$$k_{l} = \frac{(6\pi^{2})^{1/3}}{2\pi^{2}} \frac{k_{b}^{3}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{T^{3}}{\Theta a} \left[I_{1} + I_{2}^{2} / I_{3} \right]$$
(1)

where k_b is Boltzmann's constant, \hbar is Plank's constant divided by 2π , Θ is the Debye temperature of the material, a^3 is the volume per unit cell, and

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{\Theta/T} \tau_{c} \frac{x^{4} e^{x}}{(e^{x} - 1)^{2}} dx$$
$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{\Theta/T} \frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{n}} \frac{x^{4} e^{x}}{(e^{x} - 1)^{2}} dx$$
$$I_{3} = \int_{0}^{\Theta/T} \frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{n} \tau_{r}} \frac{x^{4} e^{x}}{(e^{x} - 1)^{2}} dx$$

Here $x = \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_bT}$, w is the circular phonon frequency, τ_n is the phonon relaxation time for normal processes, $1/\tau_c = 1/\tau_n + 1/\tau_r$, and $1/\tau_r = \sum_j 1/\tau_j$, where the τ_j are the relaxation times of the various resistive phonon scattering processes, which include 3-phonon umklapp processes, boundary scattering, point defect scattering, and phonon-electron scattering.

Calculations

This formulation of the thermal conductivity takes into account the effect of phonon-phonon normal processes, which is particularly important in treating the low temperature case. In order to evaluate the expression in Eq. 1, a computer program was written to calculate the various integrals, given functional forms for the various phonon relaxation times. The forms used for the normal process relaxation time and umklapp process relaxation time are given in Eq. 2.

$$\tau_n^{-1} = b_n \omega T^4$$

$$\tau_u^{-1} = b_u \omega^2 T \exp\left(-\frac{\Theta}{\alpha T}\right)$$
(2)

for normal and umklapp processes respectively. The values of the parameters b_n and b_u for sapphire were obtained by de Goer [9] and are the values used in this case. These values were obtained by fitting the expression of Eq. 1 to experimental thermal conductivity data at high temperatures. The values obtained by de Goer are,

$$b_{u} = 2.7 \times 10^{-13} K^{-4}$$

$$b_{u} = 1.7 \times 10^{-18} s K^{-1}$$

The parameter \mathbf{a} is a numerical factor which depends on the details of the zone structure; de Goer found that $\mathbf{a} = 2$ gives a good fit to experimental data.

The boundary scattering relaxation time has the form,

$$\tau_b^{-1} = \frac{v}{L} \tag{3}$$

where v is the phonon velocity and L is a parameter on the order of the dimensions of the crystal and is dependent on the geometry of the sample. The point defect scattering relaxation time has the form,

$$\tau_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} = A\omega^4 \tag{4}$$

where A is a parameter which is proportional to the defect concentration and depends on the nature of the defect, which in pure unirradiated samples will include thermodynamic vacancies, and trace impurities.

For a pure, single crystal, cylindrical sample 50 mm long and 5 mm in diameter (de Goer's sample 5) de Goer varied the values of A and L to fit the calculated values of the thermal conductivity to experimental values in the temperature range 2 to 100K. It was found that a good fit was found for $L = 4.12 \times 10^{-3}m$ and $A = 4.08 \times 10^{-46}s^3$. These values will be used in the present calculations. It should be noted however that the thermal conductivity below the conductivity maximum (~30K) is highly sensitive to the value of L.

In order to determine the effect of radiation produced vacancies on the thermal conductivity of sapphire, another term, dependent on the radiation produced vacancy concentration, must be added to the point defect relaxation time. For vacancies it has been shown [10,11] that the phonon relaxation time is given by,

$$\tau_{v}^{-1} = C_{v} \Omega \left(\frac{3\Delta M}{M}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{4\pi v^{3}} w^{4}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

where C_v is the vacancy concentration per unit cell, AM is the change in mass of the unit cell due to a vacancy, and M is the mass of the unit cell. In this case the unit cell is chosen to be the formula unit, Al_2O_3 , with a mass of 102 and a volume of $42.6 \times 10^{-30} m^3$. The Debye temperature in this case is then GOOK, and the phonon velocity is $7 \times 10^3 m/s$. Thus the form of the point defect relaxation time is given by,

$$\tau_{v}^{-1} = \left(4.08 \times 10^{-46} + C_{v} \frac{\Omega}{4\pi v^{3}} 0.624\right) \omega^{4}$$
(6)

for aluminum vacancies. In this expression the first term in parentheses is that part of the relaxation time which is due to intrisic point defects, as found by de Goer, and the second term is that part which is due to radiation produced point defects. These relaxation times may now be substituted into Eq. 1 and the thermal conductivity calculated for a range of temperatures and radiation produced vacancy concentrations.

It should be noted that the relaxation time in Eq.6 applies to isolated, randomly distributed point defects. If the vacancies cluster then this relaxation time must be appropriately modified. For example, in the case of defect clustering in a region small compared to the phonon wavelength there is a reinforcement of the scattering matrix and the scattering probability varies as the square of the number of defects in the cluster. The calculations presented here will be **for** isolated point defects.

In order to determine the effect of phonon-electron scattering on the thermal conductivity, the phonon-electron relaxation time must be included **as** a resistive phonon scattering process in the Callaway formulation. The phonon-electron relaxation time may be obtained though a momentum balance argument [3] and is of the form:

$$rac{1}{ au_{p-e}} = rac{3v^2}{\mu^2}rac{\sigma}{CT}$$

where v is the phonon velocity, μ is the electron mobility, C is the phonon specific heat of those phonons which are allowed to interact with the conduction band electrons (due to energy and wave-vector conservation considerations), σ is the electrical conductivity, and T is the temperature.

The relaxation time given in Eq. 7 must be evaluated in order to use it in the thermal conductivity integral. Klaffky et. al. [12] found evidence of a temperature independent mobility for T < 300K, for single crystal alumina under conditions where RIC was produced by continuous irradiation with 1.5 MeV electrons at dose rates up to 6.6×10^2 Gy/s. Hughes [13] reported a temperature independent mobility of $(3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-4} m^2/V - s$ for an undoped aluminum sample x-ray irradiated between 100 and 350K. In the calculations presented here it is assumed that this constant mobility holds to 77K.

The specific heat, C, of those phonons up to a cut off frequency of $\omega_c = \frac{2v}{\hbar} (2mk_BT)^{1/2}$ is given, in the Debye approximation, by:

$$\mathbf{C} = 9nk_B \left(\frac{T}{\Theta}\right)^3 \int_0^{\Theta_c/T} \frac{x^4 \exp x}{(\exp x - 1)\overline{z}} dx \tag{8}$$

In these expressions, m is the electron mass, n is the number of unit cells per unit volume arid Θ_c is the phonon-electron cut off temperature given by $\Theta_c = \hbar \omega_c / k_B$ and $x = \hbar \omega / k_B T$. The expression given in Eq. 8 may be evaluated by numerical integration for any particular temperature and then this value may be used in the relaxation time of Eq. 7. It is possible to calculate the changes in the thermal conductivity due to changes in σ by numerically evaluating the Callaway thermal conductivity integral of Eq. 1 for different values of σ in the phonon-electron relaxation time.

Results

The results of the thermal conductivity calculations are given in Figs.1-4. In Fig. 1 the calculated



Figure 1: Plot of the thermal conductivity of single crystal alumina versus temperature. The different curves correspond to different vacancy concentrations, where c is the vacancy concentration per atom.

thermal conductivity versus temperature is plotted for several values of the radiation produced vacancy concentration. The largest reduction in the thermal conductivity due to these vacancies is in the region of the conductivity maximum at approximately 30K. The curves in Fig. 1 compare favorably with the low temperature measurements of Berman et.al. [14] and of Salce et.al. [15], for neutron irradiated sapphire. For example, Berman et al. found that the thermal conductivity maximum for a sapphire sample irradiated to a dose of $50.2 \times 10^{21} n/m^2$ (~ 0.005 dpd) was reduced from a non-irradiated value of approximately 8000 W/m-K to about 400 W/m-K. From Fig. 1 it is seen that the same fractional reduction occurs at a vacancy concentration of approximately 0.002 per atom. Figure 2 is a plot of the thermal conductivity versus vacancy concentration at 77K. This plot shows the reduction in the thermal conductivity at liquid nitrogen temperatures, where it has been proposed to cool ECRH microwave windows to take advantage of the high thermal conductivity and low loss tangent of alumina at these temperatures [6,7]. In Fig. 3 the fractional change in the thermal conductivity versus RIC is plotted. The three different curves correspond to different values of the electron mobility, covering the range of uncertainty in the electron mobility. From this plot it can be seen that at the highest values of the RIC plotted $(10^{-2} (\Omega - m)^{-1})$, the change in the thermal conductivity approaches a maximum of about 9%. This value corresponds to the case of all phonons below the cut off frequency being strongly scattered, and thus is the limiting value of the reduction in the thermal conductivity which can occur at this temperature due to phonon-electron scattering. In Fig. 4 the fractional change in the thermal conductivity is plotted as a function of vacancy concentration. This figure plots the fractional change with the RIC included and with no RIC included in the calculation. The value of the RIC chosen in this plot is $10^{-5} (\Omega - m)^{-1}$. This value was chosen because it represents the maximum of the range of expected prompt RIC values in sapphire in a fusion environment [3]. It is only at vacancy concentrations less than 10^{-5} per atom where there is any difference between the two cases.



Figure 2: Plot of the thermal Conductivity of single crystal alumina versus vacancy concentration at 77K.

The thermal conductivity of sapphire is significantly reduced by vacancies at low temperatures. From Fig. 1 it is seen that the major part of the reduction is in the area of the thermal conductivity maximum, which occurs at about 30K. There is however significant reduction in the thermal conductivity at liquid nitrogen temperature, as can be seen in Fig. 2. From Fig. 4 it can be seen that the fractional change in the thermal conductivity for a vacancy concentration of 0.01 per atom is expected to be about 90% at 77K. This is a very large reduction in the lattice thermal conductivity and it **raises** the question as to whether or not this reduction negates the increase in the thermal conductivity gained by going to low temperatures.

In Refs. [1,3] it was shown that at 400K the fractional reduction in the thermal conductivity due to a vacancy concentration of 0.01 per atom would **be** expected to be approximately **39%** which is a much smaller fractional decrease in the lattice thermal conductivity compared to the 90% reduction expected with the same defect concentration at 77K. The larger fractional reduction at low temperatures **is** due to the fact that the umklapp scattering is much weaker at low temperatures and allows the point defect scattering to be the dominant scattering mechanism at lower phonon frequencies, thus scattering **a** larger fraction of **the** phonon spectrum. However at 4WK a **39%** reduction in the thermal conductivity of 83 W/m-K after a 90% reduction in the conductivity at 77K. Thus even at defect concentrations as high as 0.01 per atom the thermal Conductivity advantage gained by going to lower temperatures would be maintained. However, **as** pointed out in the next section, it may be that the thermal stability of point defects at 77K versus 4WK is the deciding factor that determines which irradiation temperature has a higher thermal conductivity for a given hence of vacancy producing radiation.

The maximum of the range of prompt RIC values in sapphire in a fusion environment is expected to be on the order of $10^{-5}(\Omega - m)^{-1}$. Using this value for the RIC the corresponding range for the fractional change in the thermal conductivity is from 0.05% to 0.2% at 77K. It was found [1,3] that at 300K the expected fractional change in the conductivity at this value of the RIC was about 0.01%. Thus the effect of phonon-electron scattering on the thermal conductivity is larger at low temperatures but these are very small changes in the thermal conductivity and are not expected



Figure 3: Fractional change in the lattice thermal conductivity versus RIC at 77K. Each curve corresponds to a different value of the electron mobility, covering the range of uncertainty in the mobility [13]. The upper curve corresponds to $\mu = 2 \times 10^{-4} m^2/V - s$, the center curve to $\mu = 3 \times 10^{-4} m^2/V - s$, and the lower curve to $\mu = 4 \times 10^{-4} m^2/V - s$.

to be of importance in the design of microwave windows. Even in the extreme case of very large values of the RIC $(10^{-2} (\Omega - m)^{-1})$ the maximum effect the phonon-electron interaction can have on the thermal conductivity is about 9%, as pointed out in the previous section. It should also be noted that there is essentially no change in the thermal conductivity due to the small electronic component added by the RIC, as pointed out in Ref. [3].

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The reduction in the thermal conductivity due to the scattering of phonons by vacancies is more pronounced at low temperatures than at high temperatures It has been shown however that the thermal conductivity advantage gained by going to low temperature is maintained. However the vacancy concentration depends on the thermal stability of the vacancies. If many of the vacancies recombine at 400K but are stable at 77K, then the low temperature thermal conductivity could be less than the 400K thermal conductivity for a given fluence of vacancy producing irradiation. The work of Rohde and Schulz [16] has shown that irradiation temperature has an important effect on the number of remaining stable defects in irradiated alumina. This effect has also been seen in BeO, Pryor et.al. [17] compared the thermal resistance per fission neutron dose of samples irradiated at 348K with samples irradiated at 90K by McDonald [18]. The thermal resistance of the samples irradiated at low temperature was about 16 times larger than the resistance of the high temperature samples for a given neutron dose. This problem points to the need of performing *in-situ* cryogenic thermal conductivity tests or post irradiation thermal conductivity measurements on samples irradiated at cryogenic temperatures. An important consideration if post-irradiation measurements are to be performed is that there is evidence that significant annealing of defects may occur at room



Figure 4: Fractional change in the thermal.conductivity versus vacancy concentration at 77K. The upper curve corresponds to a RIC of 10^{-5} $(\Omega - m)^{-1}$, and the lower curve corresponds to the case of no RIC.

temperature. This was seen by McDonald [18] in BeO which was irradiated at 95K, after a final anneal at 310K approximately 25% of the radiation induced thermal resistance had recovered. Further evidence that significant room temperature annealing effects are important in ceramics is given by Coghlan et.al. [19] and Buckley et.al. [20]. Coghlan et.al. found that neutron irradiated spinel continued to swell after irradiation at room temperature, perhaps indicating continuing diffusion and clustering ofdefects. Buckley et.al. found that the dielectric loss tangent of proton irradiated ceramics recovered significantly on the timescale of hours at room temperature. Thus, in order to rule out post-irradiation thermal effects on ceramics irradiated at low temperature it is important to maintain the samples at low temperature until the measurements are made.

It should also be noted that the flexibility of ECRH transmission systems will allow the windows to be located in low fluence positions, with the aim **of** maintaining the low temperature thermal conductivity advantage. However the magnitude of tolerable fluence levels must still be identified experimentally.

The changes in thermal conductivity due to phonon-electron scattering have been shown to be insignificant at the RIC levels expected in the fusion environment. These changes are particularly insignificant when the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity at low temperatures is considered. In this low temperature region the thermal conductivity is strongly temperature dependent; for example, in the region around 77K calculations show that a 1% change in the temperature leads to a 4% change in the thermal conductivity. Thus the effects of temperature fluctuations on the thermal conductivity are expected to be at least as important as any effects due to phonon-electron scattering.

The small changes expected in the thermal conductivity due to phonon-electron scattering suggest that there is no need for *in-situ* cryogenic thermal conductivity measurements or post-irradiation measurements of samples held at low temperature in order to study this effect. However this does not rule out the need for performing these tests in order to study the effects of thermally unstable radiation produced defects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was performed while the author was a participant in the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Postdoctoral Research Associates Program, administered through the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.

REFERENCES

- 1. D.P. White, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Report DOE/ER-0313/11, (1991)277.
- 2. D.P. White, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Report DOE/ER-0313/12, (1992)298.
- 3. D.P. White, J. Appl. Phys. 73 (1993) 2254.
- 4. D.P. White, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Report DOE/ER-0313/13, (1992) 326.
- 5. D.P. White, Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Report DOE/ER-0313/14, (1993)480.
- 6. G.R. Haste, H.D. Kimrey and J.D. Proisie, Report ORNL/TM-9906 (1986).
- 7. R. Heidinger, J. Nucl. Mater. **179-181** (1991) 64.
- 8. J. Callaway, Phys. Rev. **113** (1959) 1046.
- 9. A.M. de Goer, J. Phys. (Paris) 30 (1969) 389.

10. P.G. Klemens in: *Solid State* Physics, Vol. 7, Eds. F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, New York, 1958) p. 1.

- 11. C.A. Ratsifaritana in: *Phonon Scattering in Condensed Matter*, Ed. H.J. Maris (Plenum Press, New York, 1080) p. 259.
- 12. R.W. Klaffky, B.H. Rose, A.N. Goland and G.J. Dienes, Phys. Rev B 21 (1980) 3610.
- 13. R.C. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B 19 (1979) 5318.
- 14. R. Berman, E.L. Foster and H.M. Rosenberg in: Report of the Conf. on Defects in Crystalline Solids, Univ. of Bristol, 1954 (The Physical Society, London, 1955) p.321.

15. B. Salce and A.M. de Goer in: Proc. Conf. Digest of the Int. Conf. on Defects in Insulating Materials, Parma (1988) p.499.

- 16. M. Rohde and B. Schulz, J. Nucl. Mater. **173** (1990) 289.
- 17. A.W. Pryor, R.J. Tainsh and G.K. White, J. Nucl. Mater. 14 (1964) 208.
- 18. D.L. McDonald, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2 (1963) 175.
- 19. W.A. Coghlan, F.W. Clinard, Jr., N. Itoh and L.R. Greenwood, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 382.
- 20. S.N. Buckley and P. Agnew, J. Nucl. Mater. 155157 (1988) 361.

MICROSTRUCTURE OF ION IRRADIATED CERAMIC INSULATORS •• S. J. Zinkle (*Oak* Ridge National Laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study is to summarize recent information that has been obtained at ORNL on the microstructure of ion irradiated ceramic insulators.

SUMMARY

Cross-section transmission electron microscopy was utilized to examine the radiation-induced microstructural changes in Al₂O₃, MgO, Si₃N₄ and MgAl₂O₄ after irradiation with a wide variety of energetic ion beams. The relative influence of ionizing and displacive radiation was studied by systematically varying the mass and energy of the bombarding ions between 1 MeV H⁺ and 4 MeV Zr⁺ ions. The measured ion ranges were between 1 and 15% greater than the ranges calculated by the TRIM code, with the largest discrepancies occurring for intermediate mass ions. The implanted ions exerted a strong influence on the overall microstructural evolution of the irradiated ceramics. Numerous microstructural features (e.g., amorphization, colloids) were produced in the implanted ion regions which could not be produced in irradiated regions that were well separated from the implanted ions. The microstructural evolution in regions well separated from the implanted ions was found to depend strongly on the mass and energy of the bombarding ion. Light ion irradiation produced a significant enhancement in point defect diffusion (evidenced by defect-free zones at the surface and adjacent to internal defect sinks) compared to heavy ion irradiation at the same damage rate. Similarly, irradiation with a given ion at a higher flux generally produced an increased amount of observable diffusion. In some cases such as 1 MeV proton irradiation, observable defect clusters did not form. This suppression in defect cluster formation is attributed to the high amount of point defect recombination associated with ionization enhanced diffusion that occurs during energetic light ion irradiation.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Analytical electron microscopy is a powerful tool for investigating the effects of irradiation on materials.¹ Cross-section transmission electron microscope (TEM) investigations on ion irradiated specimens can provide a direct measurement of the ion and damage ranges, and can also provide quantitative microstructural information such as the presence of amorphous regions and the size, density and chemical nature of defect aggregates. One of the useful features associated with ion irradiations is the ability to systematically change the irradiation spectrum by appropriate selection of the ion mass and energy. Several studies have shown that the macroscopic properties of ceramics are sensitive to variations in the ionizing and displacive irradiation spectra.²⁻⁴ Unfortunately, there has been relatively little published information to date on the effect of irradiation spectrum on the microstructural evolution in ceramic insulators.⁵⁻⁸

The present paper reviews recent information⁶⁻¹⁰ obtained at *Oak* Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) on the microstructure of ion-irradiated ceramics as determined by cross-section TEM. This paper will concentrate on the microstructural response to ion irradiation of two oxide ceramics with predominantly ionic bonding, Al₂O₃ and MgAl₂O₄. A limited amount of results will also be summarized

for ion irradiated MgO and Si₃N₄. In all cases, the irradiated microstructure was observed to be strongly dependent on the irradiation spectrum (mass and energy of the irradiating ions). Ion energies greater than or equal to 1 MeV were employed in these studies in order to allow the effects of displacement damage and implanted ions (chemical effects) to be separated. As discussed later (Section 4), it was generally observed that the microstructure of the irradiated regions was strongly affected by the implanted ions. Figure 1 shows the calculated ¹¹ depth-dependent displacement damage and implanted ion profiles for MgAl₂O₄ (spinel) irradiated with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions. From this figure. it can be anticipated that implanted ion effects without the influence of implanted ions could be obtained at irradiation depths less than 1.0 μ m. Analytical electron microscopy was used to experimentally verify the location of the implanted ions in the cross section specimens.

Experimental procedures

Polycrystalline specimens of stoichiometric MgAl₂O₄ (Ceredyne, Inc.). Al₂O₃ (General Electric Lucalox or GTE Wesgo AL995), MgO (Ube Industries UMP) and Si₃N₄ (Kyocera SN733) were used in the irradiation studies. The specimens were cut into dimensions of 3 mm diameter by 0.5 mm thickness, mechanically polished with 0.3 μ m diamond paste. and then irradiated in a 3x3 specimen array in the triple ion beam Van de Graaf accelerator facility at ORNL.¹² The results summarized in this paper will be confined to irradiation temperatures of 25 and 650°C (~0.15 and 0.4 T_M, respectively for both Al₂O₃ and MgAl₂O₄). Different sets of ceramic specimens were irradiated with ions of varying mass and energy, ranging from 1 MeV H⁺ to 4 MeV Zr⁺ ions. Beam fluxes ranged from 0.2 to 60x10¹⁶ ions/m²-s, which produced midrange ionizing and displacement per atom (dpa) dose rates of 0.1 to 10Gy/s and 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻³ dpa/s, respectively. The TRIM-90 computer program¹³ was used to calculate the ionizing and displacement damage doses. A sublattice-averaged threshold displacement energy of 40 eV was used to



Fig. 1. Depth-dependent displacement damage energy density and implanted ion concenuation for ion-indited spinel as determined from an EDEP-1^(ref. 11) calculation.

convert the damage energies obtained from TRIM to the modified Kinchin-Pease dpa value.¹⁴ This value is intermediate between the measured¹⁵ threshold displacement energies of 24 and 78 eV for the Al and O sublattices in Al_2O_3 which were used in the TRIM calculations. Further details of the irradiation conditions are given elsewhere.^{7,9}

Following irradiation, cross-section TEM specimens were prepared using standard **techniques**,¹⁶ which consisted of gluing the specimens face to face with a mechanically polished nonirradiated specimen, sectioning perpendicular to the irradiation surface, mechanical dimpling, and ion milling at mom temperature or in a liquid nitrogen cooled stage (6 kV Ar⁺ ions, **15**' sputtering angle) until perforation occurred at the glued interface. Most of the TEM analysis was performed using a Philips CM12 microscope operating at 120 kV. Some analysis was also performed using a Philips EM400T field emission gun microscope and a Philips CM30 microscope operating at 100 and 300 kV, respectively. Microchemical information was obtained using an EDAX 9100 or 9900 energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) system and a Gatan 666 parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy (PEELS) system mounted on the electron microscopes.

Comparison of Experimental and Calculated Ion Ranees in Al2O3

The range of the implanted ions was experimentally measured in the irradiated cross-section TEM specimens by performing an EDS line scan that traversed the irradiation region (e.g., refs. 1,6). The range of light ions such as H and He was deduced from the location of cavities which precipitated out in the implanted region. The measurements were generally made on specimens irradiated to fluences less than $5x10^{20}$ ions/m² so that swelling associated with the irradiation and ion implantation was negligible. Table 1 compares the results of the mean ion ranges calculated by the TRIM program with the experimentally measured ion ranges in Al₂O₃. The agreement between the calculated and measured ion ranges is rather good for the light and heavy ions. The largest discrepancy between the calculated and measured ion sum (mu), where the measured range was about 15% larger than the calculated ion range. Similar discrepancies of this magnitude have been reported in numerous previous studies on ion-irradiated metals and ceramics.¹ The electronic stopping cross-sections of heavy ions (>4 amu) for the energy interval between 25 and 200 keV/amu were determined by a complex bridging procedure between the more reliable low and high velocity regimes.¹⁷ Hence, the 15% discrepancy between the calculated and measured ion ranges for the medium-weight MeV ions is most likely attributable to errors in the electronic stopping powers used in the TRIM calculations.

Microstruc Nral Effects Associated with Implanted Ions

The depth-dependent microstructure observed in the irradiated cross-section ceramic specimens generally showed an abrupt transition near the implanted ion region. Comparison between specimens irradiated with the Same ion species at different fluences⁷ and fluxes⁹ indicated that this difference in microstructure could not be attributable to differences in the total accumulated displacement damage or damage rate. Figure 2 shows an example of metallic aluminum precipitate (colloid) formation which occurred in the implanted ion region of MgAl₂O₄ following irradiation with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to a fluence of 5.1×10^{20} ions/m² (ref. 18). The colloid formation was restricted to the Al⁺ ion implanted region, and the colloids grew and coarsened with increasing fluence.¹⁸ Colloid formation was not observed in the irradiated regions outside of the implanted ion region even for fluences more than *I* times higher than shown in Fig. 2. Colloid formation has also been observed in the ion implanted regions of spinel irradiated with numerous other ions, including Mg and Fe.

		Mean Projected Rau : (µm)		
Ion Beam	Energy Per Nucleon (keV/amu)	calculated	Measured	measured calculated range
1 MeV H ⁺	1000	8.67	9.25	1.07
1 MeV He+	250	1.98	2.30	1.16
3 MeV C+	250	1.82	2.02	1.11
2.4 MeV Mg ⁺	100	1.42	1.63	1.15
2 MeV Al ⁺	74	1.24	1.42	1.15
4 MeV Ar+	100	1.36	1.56	1.15
3.6 MeV Fe ⁺⁺	64	1.36	1.43	1.05
$4 \mathrm{MeV}\mathrm{Zr}^{3+}$	44	1.24	1.25	1.01
200 keV ³ He ⁺	66	0.57	0.58	1.01

Table 1. Comparison of Calculated 13 and Coserved Ion Ranges in Al2O3

ALUMINUM COLLOIDS IN SPINEL IMPLANTED WITH 5.1×10²⁰ Al+/m²



Fig. 2. Aluminum metal colloids produced in the ion-implanted region of MgAl₂O₄ after irradiation with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to a fluence of 5.1×10^{20} ions/m² at 650°C (centered dark field image using the 222 spinel lattice reflection). The maximum implanted AI concentration was about 2 at. %.

A second phenomenon associated with implanted ions is amorphization. Previous studies employing lower energy ions (-200 keV) have noted that chemical effects associated with the implanted ions *can* be very effective for inducing amorphization in ceramics such as Al₂O₃ during room temperature irradiation.¹⁹ Almost all of the amorphization data obtained **cn** irradiated ceramics to dale has been obtained using ion energies <200 keV, and hence the implanted ion region completely overlaps the irradiation region. Figure 3 shows the cross-section microstructure of **Si₃N4 that** was irradiated near room temperature with 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ ions to a fluence of 1.1×10^{20} ions/m². An amorphous band about 0.4 µm wide was formed in the Fe-implanted region. According to published data using low energy **ions**,²⁰ **Si₃N4** can be amorphized during room temperature irradiation for damage energy densities as low as 0.05 keV/atom (-0.5 dpa, assuming a displacement energy of 40 eV). However, the **Si₃N4** specimen shown in Fig. 3 remained crystalline in the damaged regions outside of **the** implanted ion region for damage energy densities in excess of 0.7 keV/atom. In this case, **the** amorphization of **Si₃N4** can be directly linked to the presence of the implanted Fe atoms, which stabilize the displacement damage in a form that favors the formation of an amorphous phase.

Figure 4 shows an example of the dislocation microstructure that was formed **near** the implanted ion region in MgAl₂O₄ following irradiation with 2.4 MeV Mg⁺ ions. The irradiated regions far from the implanted ion region contained a moderate density of dislocation loops, with **no** network dislocations. In contrast, the implanted ion region contained a moderate density of small precipitates (not shown in Fig. 4) and tangled network dislocations, with very few dislocation loops. Similar pronounced microstructural differences attributable to implanted ion effects were observed in MgAl₂O₄ specimens irradiated with Al⁺,



Fig. 3. Amorphous band produced in the ion-implanted region of **Si3N4** during irradiation with 3.6 MeV Fe⁺⁺ ions to a fluence of $1,1x10^{20}$ ions/m² at room temperature. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 10 dpa and 0.4 at. %, respectively.



Fig. 4. Dislocation microstructure observed near the ion-implanted region of MgAl₂O₄ after irradiation with 2.4 MeV Mg⁺ ions to a fluence of 1.4×10^{21} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 1.5×10^{17} ions/m²-s. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 40 dpa and 5 at. %, respectively.

Ar⁺, Fe⁺, and Zr⁺ ions. The microstructure of Al₂O₃ was somewhat less sensitive than MgAl₂O₄ to implanted ion effects. However, it *appears* that the microstructures in both *cases* are affected by implanted ions even when the bombarding species is chemically inert, such **as** He+ and Ar⁺ ions.

Effect of Irradiation Spectrum on Microstructural Evolution

MgAl2O4

Figure 5 shows the cross-section microstructure of spinel irradiated with 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ ions to a peak damage level of 10 dpa at 650°C. The dominant microstructural feature observed in the irradiated region is a moderate to high density of interstitial dislocation loops. The microstructure produced by this ion irradiation condition is qualitatively similar to the microstructure reported for spinel irradiated with fission neutrons at comparable temperatures and damage levels.^{5,21,22} The density of loops formed in the Fe⁺ ion irradiated material was somewhat higher than for neutron irradiated spinel (and the loop size was smaller), which is expected since the damage rate for the ion irradiated specimens was more than two orders of magnitude higher than the fission neutron cases. The midrange microstructure of spinel irradiated with 4 MeV Zr⁺ ions was found to be similar to the Fe⁺ irradiated specimens (both irradiated at damage rates -10^{-4} dpa/s).


481



Fig. 5. Depth-dependent microstructure of MgAl₂O₄ irradiated with 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ ions to a fluence of 1.1×10^{20} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 5×10^{15} Fe/m².s. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concenvation in the ion-implanted region was about 10 dpa and 0.4 at. %, respectively.

Figure 6 shows the cross-section microstructure of spinel irradiated with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to a peak damage level of 14 dpa at 650°C.⁷ A defect-free region was present for depths up to **0.4** μ m from the surface. The microstructure at intermediate depths was similar to the Fe⁺ ion irradiation case, consisting of prismatic interstitial dislocation loops lying on (111) and {110} habit planes with Burgers vectors predominantly of the type a/4<110> (ref. 7). The microsvucture in the implanted AI region (1.3 to 1.8 μ m) contained a mixture of small colloids and network dislocations. Irradiation with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to seven times higher fluence than Fig. 6 resulted in a very similar depth-dependent microstructure-defect cluster formation was not observed within 0.4 μ m of the irradiated surface even though this region was subjected to a displacement damage dose in excess of 20 dpa.⁷

The defect-free region was observed not only at the irradiated surface, but also adjacent to internal point defect sinks such as grain boundaries^{7,23} and sintering pores. These observations demonstrate that the microstructural dependence on irradiation spectrum is a bulk effect, as opposed to an irradiated surface effect. Figure 7 shows an example of a depth-dependent defect-free region adjacent to a grain boundary in spinel irradiated with 2 MeV Ar² ions at 650°C.⁷ The width of the defect-free zone adjacent to the grain boundary decreased with increasing depth. Possible causes for this depth-dependent behavior will be discussed in Section 5.3.

Irradiation of spinel with lower mass energetic ions produced progressively wider defect-free regions near the irradiated surface. Figure 8 shows the microstructure of spinel irradiated with 1 MeV He+ ions at 650°C. There was no observable defect cluster formation for irradiated depths up to 2 μ m, despite displacement damage levels in excess of 0.1 dpa in this region. Examination of MgAl₂O₄ specimens irradiated to an order of magnitude higher fluence produced similar results.⁸ Defect clusters were also not observed in spinel irradiated with 3 MeV C⁺ or 1 MeV H⁺ at 650°C except in the implanted ion region.^{8,9}



Fig. 6. Depth-dependent microstructure $dE MgAl_2O_4$ irradiated with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to a fluence of $5x10^{20}$ ions/m² $dE 650^{\circ}C$. The irradiation flux was about $1.1x10^{17}$ ions/m²·s. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 14 dpa and 2 at. %, respectively.



Fig. 7. Depthdependent microstructure of MgAl₂O₄ in the vicinity of a grain boundary following irradiation with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to a fluence of 5×10^{20} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 1.1×10^{17} ions/m²-s and the maximum damage level was about $14 \, \text{dp}$.



Fig. 8. Depth-dependent microstructure of MgAl₂O₄ irradiated with 1 MeV He+ ions to a fluence of 1×10^{21} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 4×10^{16} ions/n The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 2.1 dpa and 5 at. %. respectively.

Low fluence irradiation experiments on spinel irradiated with heavy ions such as Ar, Fe and Zr indicated that the threshold dose for producing observable defect clusters in MgAl₂O₄ was about **0.1** dpa at 650°C.⁹ The near-surface damage levels achieved in the light ion irradiation experiments **performed** on spinel were generally in excess of 1 dpa, without any observable defect cluster formation. This indicates that dislocation loop formation is strongly suppressed by some mechanism during irradiation with energetic light ions. Defect-free regions were also observed near the irradiation surface in spinel irradiated with light ions at room temperature. In some cases, a very low density of large dislocation loops were observed in the nominally "defect-free" region adjacent to the surface. This suggests that the absence of observable defect clusters is due to difficulties in dislocation loop nucleation, as opposed to a reduction in defect production.

Al203

Aluminum oxide was less sensitive than spinel to variations in the irradiation spectrum (mass and energy of bombarding ion). The microstructure of Al₂O₃ irradiated with ions more massive than He showed very little dependence on depth or irradiation temperature between 25 and 650°C (0.15 to 0.4 T_M). The dominant microstructural feature was a very high density of small dislocation loops lying on the basal and prism habit planes.^{7,23} Figure 9 shows the depth-dependent microstructure of alumina irradiated with 1 MeV He+ ions at 650°C. Dislocation loops were observed throughout the irradiated region, in contrast to the defect-free microstructure observed in spinel irradiated under the same conditions (Fig. 8). However,



Fig. 9. Depthdependent microstructure of Al₂O₃ irradiated with 1 MeV He+ ions to a fluence of 1×10^{22} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 4×1017 ions/m²-s. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 21 dpa and 50 at. %, respectively.

the size of the loops was somewhat larger and the loop density was **reduced** in the 1 MeV He+ ion irradiated Al₂O₃ specimen compared to Al₂O₃ irradiated with heavier ions at the same temperature and damage rate.^{7.9}

Dislocation loop formation was dramatically reduced in Al₂O₃ irradiated with 1 MeV protons. **As shown** in Fig. 10, observable defect cluster formation did not occur in Al₂O₃ irradiated with a high flux of **1** MeV H⁺ ions except in the vicinity of the implanted ion region. The displacement damage level in the defect-free region varied between 0.1 and 0.3 dpa. This damage level is in excess of the threshold dose to form observable defect clusters in Al₂O₃, which was experimentally determined from low fluence studies performed with heavier ions such **as** He and **Ar** to be 4.05 dpa at 650°C.⁹

Possible Physical Causes Of The Irradiation Spectrum Effect

The absence of observable dislocation loops in the spinel and alumina specimens irradiated with energetic light ions is somewhat difficult to rationalize from a displacement damage perspective. The fact that dislocation loops were not observed in the near surface region in MgAl₂O₄ irradiated with 2 MeV Al⁺ ions to damage levels in excess of 25 dpa⁷ suggests that the defect free region is not simply due to a shift in the threshold dose to form observable defect clusters during light ion irradiation. Instead, some feature, associated with light ion irradiation appears to effectively suppress dislocation loop nucleation. Table 2 summarizes the widths of the defect-free regions observed adjacent to the surface in MgAl₂O₄ irradiated with ions of different masses. The denuded zone width generally increased with decreasing mass of the bombarding ion, until defect clusters were only observed in the implanted ion regions of the irradiated specimens.

The mean energy of the primary knock on atoms (PKAs) created by collisions between the incident ion and lattice atoms is lower for light ions compared to heavy ion and neutron irradiations. Hence, one might **speculate that** the lack of dislocation loops in the light ion irradiated specimens might be associated with a threshold PKA energy for nucleating defect clusters in the displacement cascade. However, the mean PKA energy for a given ion is highest near the surface of the imdiated specimen. since this corresponds to the maximum incident ion energy. Therefore, the preferential absence of observable defect clusters in the near-surface regions of the light ion irradiated specimens (and the depth dependence of



Fig. 10. Depth-dependent microstructure of Al₂O₃ irradiated with 1 MeV H⁺ ions to a fluence of 1.7×10^{22} ions/m² at 650°C. The irradiation flux was about 6×10^{17} ions/m²-s. The maximum damage level and implanted ion concentration in the ion-implanted region was about 3 dpa and 60 at. %, respectively.

10-6

Ion	Denuded Zone Width	Calculated Se/Sn at Denuded Zone Boundary
3.6 MeV Fe++	0.45 µm	7
4 MeV Ar+	0.1 µm	30
2 MeV Al ⁺	0.4 µm	15
2.4 MeV Mg+	0.8 μm	10
3 MeV C+	1.9 μm	-10
1 MeV He+	2.1 μm	-10
1 MeV H+	10 µm	-10

denuded **zones** adjacent to grain **boundaries**, Fig. 7) cannot be directly attributable to PKA spectrum effects. Kinoshita and **coworkers**^{5,24,25} have **shown** that direct in-cascade formation of observable defect clusters is very difficult in ceramics such as MgO, Al₂O₃ and MgAl₂O₄. Their studies indicated that dislocation loop nucleation in these ceramics occurred via simple point defect accumulation.

A more. likely explanation for the suppression in dislocation loop formation in the light ion irradiated ceramics is the low nuclear stopping power of light ions. The calculated ¹³ energy transferred to recoil atoms per unit depth in Al₂O₃ is about 300 eV/nm for 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ ions versus about 0.04 eV/nm for 1 MeV H⁺ ions. Therefore, heavy ion irradiation produces a relatively dense spatial concentration of displacement damage which is favorable for inducing the nucleation of dislocation **lcops**. Since the nuclear stopping power increases as the incident ions begin to slow down, dislocation loop nucleation should become increasingly favorable with increasing depth. This mechanism is therefore in accordance with the defect free region being mainly observed at the specimen surface. However, it is not possible to define a unique critical value of the nuclear stopping power that can describe, the width of the defect free zones observed for the various ion irradiation conditions (Table 2). For example, dislocation loops were not observed in the near-surface regions of MgAl2O4 irradiated with He, C, Mg and AI ions even for nuclear stopping powers in excess of 50 eV/nm. On the other hand, dislocation loops were observed near the end of range in 1 MeV proton irradiated MgAl₂O₄, which has a maximum nuclear stopping power of -1eV/nm. Of course, it is possible that the loop formation near the end of range of the proton irradiated specimens was assisted by implanted ion effects. Nevertheless, the widths of the denuded zones observed near the surface of MgAl₂O₄ specimens irradiated with Fe, Al, Mg, C and He ions cannot be directly correlated with the respective depth-dependent nuclear stopping powers.

Consideration of ionizing radiation effects associated with the light ion irradiations yields another likely explanation for the absence of observable defect clusters. There is considerable evidence that ionizing radiation *can* enhance the diffusivities of point defects in ceramic insulators.²⁶⁻²⁸ This ionization enhanced diffusion (IED) could promote the recombination of vacancies and interstitials, and thereby reduce the supersaturation of point defects to a level where dislocation loop nucleation would not occur to any significant extent. Several authors have derived the point defect profiles in the presence of a one-dimensional sink.^{7,29,30} A schematic drawing of the variation of the interstitial concentration in the vicinity of a sink is shown in Fig. 11. Dislocation loop nucleation is suppressed in the region adjacent to the defect sink due to the low interstitial supersaturation. The quantitative width of the defect-free zone depends on the relative importance of interstitial annihilation at sinks versus matrix recombination with vacancies, and also on experimental variables such as the damage rate and interstitial diffusivity.⁷ Comparison of the experimental defect-free zone widths at grain boundaries and at the surface with the theoretical expressions indicates that the point defect diffusivities must be very high during energetic light ion irradiation.



LOOP FORMATION IN AL₂O₃ IRRADIATED WITH 1-MeV PROTONS AT A W W FLUX

Fig. 11. Schematic of the point defect concentration profile in the vicinity of a planar sink. Defect **free zones** are created adjacent to the sink due **to** the low point defect supersaturation.

Figure 12 shows the calculated¹³ depth-dependent ratio of the energy transferred to electrons (electronic stopping power) to the energy transferred to recoil lattice atoms (nuclear stopping power) for various ions incident on an Al₂O₃ target. This ratio is approximately proportional to the amount of ionization per displaced atom (it is not exactly proportional since ionization events induced by the recoiling target atoms need to be subtracted from the nuclear stopping power to yield the damage energy, which is proportional to the number of Kinchin-Pease displacements). It can be seen from Fig. 11 that the electronic to nuclear stopping power (ENSP) ratio is highest for light ions, and the ratio decreases with increasing depth. In other words, energetic light ions are very effective in producing large amounts of ionization per unit of displacement damage. The decrease in the ENSP ratio with increasing depth is mainly due to the rapid increase in the nuclear stopping power as the ion slows down. It would be anticipated from Fig. 12 that the degree of ionization-enhanced diffusion would steadily decrease with increasing depth from the ion-irradiated surface. This behavior is very similar to the observed depth dependence of the defect-free region adjacent to grain boundaries in ion-irradiatedMgAl₂O4 (Fig. 7).

Table 2 compares the experimentally observed defect-free zone widths near the surfaces of ion irradiated MgAl₂O₄ with the calculated ENSP ratios at the boundary of the defect-free zone. Whereas the width of the defect-free zone varied by about a factor of 100 depending on the ion irradiation condition, the ENSP ratio at the defect-free zone boundary was approximately 10 in all cases. This empirical correlation suggests that observable defect aggregates do not form in spinel during irradiation at 650°C if the ENSP ratio is greater than a b u t 10 (due to increased point defect recombination stimulated by ionization enhanced diffusion).

Table 3 summarizes the approximate upper bounds of the ENSP ratios for observable defect cluster formation in Al₂O₃, MgO, and MgAl₂O₄. These critical ENSP ratios were derived by comparing the experimental defect-free zone widths and the calculated depthdependent ratio of the electronic to nuclear stopping powers for various ions. Alumina was relatively insensitive to ionizing radiation effects—defect cluster formation was not suppressed unless the ENSP ratio was greater than about 1000. This high ENSP ratio was only achieved with 1 MeV protons. Magnesia exhibited intermediate sensitivity to ionizing radiation, with a critical ENSP ratio of about 500. These critical ENSP ratios were obtained at an irradiation temperature of 650° C and damage rates between 10^{-6} and 10^{-4} dpa/s. It is uncertain if these critical ENSP ratios also hold at other temperatures and damage rates.



Fig. 12. Depth-dependent ratio of the electronic to nuclear stopping power for various energetic ions incident on an Al₂O₃ target, as calculated by the TRIM-90 computer code.¹³

Table 3. Upper limit of the ratio of the electronic to nuclear stopping power (ENSP) for observable defect cluster formation during single ion irradiation at 650°C, 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁴ dpa/s.

MgO	MgAl ₂ O ₄
	MgO

Effect of Irradiation Flux

A limited study of flux effects for a fixed ion mass and energy was performed in conjunction with the irradiation spectrum study.^{8,9} The microstructural observations indicate that the absolute magnitude of the ionizing flux can influence the size and density of dislocation loops. The general effect of increased irradiation flux was to cause a decrease in the loop density and an increase in the loop size, which supports the concept of increased point defect diffusivities at the higher ion fluxes. Similar evidence of reduced densities and larger loops at high ion beam fluxes was recently reported for spinel specimens irradiated with 4 MeV Ar⁺ ions.²³ Figure 13 shows the microstructure of Al₂O₃ irradiated with a low flux (6x10¹⁶ ions/m²-s) of 1 MeV protons at 650°C. A moderate density of observable dislocation loops were produced at intermediatedepths, even though the total accumulated dose at these depths was only about 0.01 dpa. In contrast, irradiation of Al₂O₃ at an order of magnitude higher flux did not produce any observable defect clusters in the midrange region for damage levels up to 0.1 dpa (Fig. 10). This observation is consistent with the proposed interpretation that high fluxes of ionizing radiation suppress dislocation loop nucleation by promoting increased point defect recombination as a result of ionization enhanced diffusion.

Since the beam fluxes employed in the present experiment were moderately high ($-1x10^{17}$ ions/m²s), it is important to consider the possibility of beem heating effects on the experimental results. The localized energy deposition near the surface was between 0.1 and 10 MGy/s (0.1 to 10 kW/g), and the heating rate decreased with increasing depth. If the local temperature near the surface was increased compared to deeper irradiated regions due to this deposited heat, then defect free regions could be created near the irradiated surface simply because of the higher localized temperature. However, it is easy to show that the temperature drop across an irradiated region of 2 µm depth would only be $\sim 10^{-2}$ 'C in Al₂O₃ for heating rates of 10 MGy/s. This calculation is supported by experimental results obtained on Al₂O₃ which cannot be explained by beem heating: Dislocation loops were observed in the near surface regions of Al₂O₃ specimens irradiated at 650°C with high fluxes of He, Mg and Al ions (localized heating rates of about 10 MGy/s), but were absent in the Al₂O₃ specimens irradiated with a high flux of 1 MeV protons which deposited 3 MGy/s in the near-surface region.

Discussion

The empirical correlation summarized in Table 3 is supported by previous irradiation studies performed on these 3 ceramics. Dislocation loop formation has not **been** observed in spinel irradiated with 1 MeV electrons over a wide range of temperatures and damage levels, 3^{1-33} whereas defect clusters *can* be created in Al₂O₃ and MgO during electron irradiation.^{24,33,34} Similarly, irradiation of spinel with 3 MeV protons to damage levels in excess of 0.1 dpa produced no observable defect clusters at 600°C.³⁵ Both the electron and proton irradiations would create a highly ionizing radiation environment (ENSP ratio greater than 1000), which should effectively suppress dislocation loop nucleation in spinel according to Table 3. The electron irradiation results on MgO and Al₂O₃ have a slight discrepancy with Table 3, since



Fig. 13. Depth-dependent microstructure of Al₂O₃ irradiated with 1 MeV H⁺ ions to a fluence of 1.5×10^{21} ions/m² at 650 °C. The irradiation flux was about 6×10^{16} ions/m²-s. Note the presence of dislocation **loops** in the midrange region (-2 μ m depth), where the damage level was only about 0.01 dpa.

defect clusters were observed in these materials during HVEM irradiation even though the associated ENSP ratio for 1 MeV electrons is > 1000. This difference may be due to thin foil or damage rate effects, and requires funher study.

Several studies have noted that spinel is more resistant to defect cluster formation during fission neutron irradiation than alumina and magnesia.^{5,36} The irradiation environment in a fission reactor corresponds to a **ENSP** ratio of about 20 (ref. 37). This value is above the critical **ENSP** ratio for spinel determined from the ion irradiations (Table 3). but below the critical values for alumina and magnesia. This would suggest that fission reactor irradiation represents a moderately high ionizing radiation environment to spinel, and that the observed resistance to defect cluster formation may be due to ionization-enhanced recombination of point defects. Structural vacancies which **are** often present in spinel due to nonstoichiometry³³ may be favorable sites for ionization-enhanced point defect recombination. An alternative possible explanation for the observed radiation resistance of MgAl₂O₄ is based on the large number of interstitials (minimum of 7) which must be present to form the nucleus of a dislocation loop, **5**, **21**, **31**, **36** Recent studies have **also** shown that the **a**/6<111>{111} dislocation loops that initially foam in spinel **are** unstable when exposed to ionization-rich radiation such **as** 1 MeV **electrons**. **5**, **24**, **33** This difficulty in forming stable dislocation loop nuclei could explaint why spinel is much more sensitive to irradiation spectrum effects compared to MgO and Al₂O₃.

Several orevious studies have found that the radiation induced changes in oxide ceramics are dependent on the irradiation **spectrum**. The most extensive study to date on **spectrum** effects was performed by EerNisse, Arnold and coworkers on SiO₂ and Al_{2O3} (ref. 2-4). Energetic light ions such as H⁺ and He⁺ (which produce large amounts of ionization compared to displacement damage) produced less volume swelling per dpa in Al_{2O3} compared to heavier ions. In addition, the volume expansion induced by the heavy ion irradiation could be relieved by subsequent electron or proton irradiation. Walker³⁸ reported similar annealing of volumetric swelling for BeO that was irradiated with fission neutrons and subsequently

irradiated with 1 MeV electrons. Irradiation of BeO with electrons alone did not produce any significant change in lattice parameter. It was suggested that electron irradiation enhanced the mobility of point defects. which led to enhanced point defect recombination. Kinoshita and **coworkers**^{24,33} have recently **shown** that small dislocation **lccps** produced in MgAl₂O₄ by Ar⁺ ion and neutron irradiation disappeared during subsequent electron irradiation.

Further work is needed to identify the physical mechanism responsible for the microstructural dependence on irradiation spectrum. The most likely mechanism appears to be associated with ionization enhanced diffusion. Irradiation-assisted dissolution of interstitial loop nuclei⁵ may also be playing an important role. Dual beam irradiation experiments have recently been initiated ¹⁰ in an attempt to further the understanding of the importance of ionizing radiation. Spinel specimens were irradiated at 650°C with either 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ ions alone, or with simultaneous beams of 3.6 MeV Fe⁺ and 1 MeV He+ ions.¹⁰ For the dual beam irradiations, the displacement damage was nearly entirely due to the Fe⁺ ions, whereas ionizing radiation was provided mainly by the He+ ions. The midrange region of the dual beam specimens was observed to be qualitatively similar to the single Fe⁺ ion beam specimens (both containing a moderate density of dislocation loops). even though the average **ENSP** ratio for the dual beam specimens was about 30. Other **researchers³⁹** have recently reported similar **results:** Global-averaged ENSP ratios **as** high **as** 60 did not affect the defect cluster formation process in spinel simultaneously irradiated with 1 MeV elecmns and 30 keV **Ar⁺ ions.³⁹** According to the empirical relation derived from the single ion irradiation experiments (Table 3), dislocation loop formation should have been suppressed in spinel in both **sets** of **dual beam experiments**^{10,39} since the overall ENSP ratio was greater than 10. In the single ion beam experiments used to derive Table 3. most of the ionization was generally

produced in close proximity to the displacement damage since the same incident ion beam was responsible for both aspects of the radiation damage. In the dual beam experiments, 10,39 the ionization introduced by the He+ ion and electron beams was uniformly distributed whereas the displacement damage introduced by the Fe⁺ and Ar⁺ ion beams was mainly produced in spatially discrete collision cascades. Therefore, the localized ENSP ratio in the vicinity of the Fe^+ and Ar^+ ion collision cascades would be less than the global averaged ENSP ratio. The dual beam experimental results suggest that the macroscopic ENSP ratio is not the appropriate parameter for analyzing irradiation spectrum effects when spatially localized collision cascades are present along with a uniform background of ionizing radiation. A simple calculation provides further illustration of this point: The energy associated with an energetic PKA (-10 keV) is nearly equally divided between ionization and displacements within a spatially localized region of typical dimension = 10 nm. The localized ionizing radiation associated with the 10 keV PKA in Al₂O₃ amounts to about $2x10^5$ Gy (assuming a displacement cascade volume of $\sim 10 \times 10 \times 10$ nm). Doubling this amount of localized ionizing radiation during a dual beam experiment would require about 0.1 s of simultaneous irradiation with a high flux (1x10¹⁷ ions/m²·s) of 1 MeV He+ ions, which is a very long time compared to the typical lifetime of a displacement cascade of $c \ 1$ ns. According to the **published**⁴⁰ point defect diffusion data $(D_i - 4x10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \text{ at } 650^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ in MgO})$, interstitials could migrate more than 50 µm in this period of time. This suggests that simultaneous ionizing radiation would not have a large influence on the defect microstructure produced by energetic displacement cascades.

CONCLUSIONS

The ion ranges calculated by the TRIM program are reasonably accurate for the **low** and high stopping power regimes. However, the calculated ion ranges at intermediateenergies (50 to 200 keV/amu) are about **15%** less than the experimental ion ranges. This discrepancy is apparently due to slight errors in the interpolated electronic stopping powers17 between the low and high velocity regimes.

Implanted ions generally have a dominant role in the microstructural evolution of ceramics. The processes of defectaggregate formation and amorphization **are** strongly dependent on the concentration and chemical nature of the implanted ion. Implanted ion effects **can** be observed even for supposedly "inert" ion

species such as He and Ar. High energy (>1 MeV) ion irradiations are essential for examining the relative influence of displacement damage and implanted ion effects.

Irradiation spectrum (and to a lesser extent, flux) has a pronounced effect on the microstructural evolution of irradiated ceramics. Dislocation loop nucleation is very difficult in ceramics irradiated with light ions. The main implication of this finding is that light ion irradiation results in general cannot be correlated with heavy ion or neutron irradiation results according to displacement damage (dpa) or absorbed dose (Cy). The physical mechanism responsible for this suppression of defect cluster formation is uncertain, although ionization enhanced diffusion, nuclear stopping power effects, and radiation-assisted dissolution of dislocation loop nuclei are likely causes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The cross-section transmission electron microscope specimens for this study were prepared by J.M. Cole, A.T. Fisher, J.W. Jones, and A.M. Williams. Most of the ion irradiations were performed by S.W. Cook. The author thanks R.E. Stoller for stimulating discussions. This research was sponsored by the Office of Fusion Energy, US Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Bentley, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B16 (1986) 111.
- 2. E.P. EerNisse, J. Appl. Phys. 45 (1974) 167.
- 3. G.W. Arnold, G.B. Krefft and C.B. Norris, Appl. Phys. Lett. 25 (1974) 540
- 4. G.B. Krefft and E.P. EerNisse, J. Appl. Phys. 49 (1978) 2725.
- 5. C. Kinoshita, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 67
- 6. S.J. Zinkle, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 72 (1989) 1343.

7. S.J. Zinkle, in Effects of Radiation on Materials: 15th Int. Symp., ASTM STP 1125, eds. R.E. Stoller, A.S. Kumar and D.S. Gelles (Amer. Soc. for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, 1992) p. 749.

8. SJ. Zinkle. in Fusion Reactor Materials Scmiann. Prog. Report for period ending March 31, 1991, DOE/ER-0313/10, p. 302.

9. S.J. Zinkle, in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Report for period ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, p. 426.

IO. S.J. Zinkle, in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. Prog. Rcport for period ending Sept. 30, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/15, in press

11. I. Manning and G.P. Mueller, Comput. Phys. Commun. 7 (1974) 85.

12. M.B. Lewis, W.R. Allen, R.A. Buhl, N.H. Packan, S.W. Cook and L.K. Mansur, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B43 (1989)243.

13. J.F. Ziegler, J.P. Biersak and U.L. Littmark. The Stopping and Range of Ions in Solids (Pergamon Press, New York, 1985)

14. M.J. Norgett. M.T. Robinson and I.M. Torrens, Nucl. Eng. Des. 33 (1975) 50

15. P. Agnew, Phil. Mag. A65 (1992) 355.

16. S.J. Zinkle. C.P. Haltom, L.C. Jenkins and C.K.H. DuBose, J. Electron Microsc. Techn. 19 (1991) 452.

17. J.F. Ziegler. Handbook of Ion Implantation Technology (Elsevier Science Publ., Amsterdam, 1992) p. 1.

18. N.D. Evans, S.J. Zinkle, J. Bentley and E.A. Kenik, in Proc. 49th Ann. Mtg. of the Electron Microscopy Society of America, *ed.* G.W. Bailey (San Francisco Press, 1991) p.728.

19. C.W. White, C.J. McHargue, P.S. Sklad, L.A. Boatner and G.C. Farlow, Mat. Sci. Reports 4 (1989) 41.

20. PJ. Burnett and T.F. Page, Rad. Effects 97 (1986) 283.

21. L.W. Hobbs and F.W. Chard, Jr., J. de Phys., Suppl. 7, 41 (1980) C6-232.

22. C.A. Parker, L.W. Hobbs, K.C. Russell and F.W. Chard. Jr., J. Nucl. Mater. 133&134 (1985) 741.

23. R. Yamada, S.J. Zinkle and G.P. Pells. J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 640.

24. C. Kinoshita, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 53.

25. H. Abe. C. Kinoshita and K. Nakai, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 917.

26. J.C. Bourgoin and J.W. Corbett, Rad. Effects 36 (1978) 157.

27. Y. Chen and R. Gonzalez, Int. Materials Research Society Symposium, Tokyo, Sept 1993, in press

28. S.J. Zinkle, submitted lo Phil. Mag. A (1993)

29. N.Q. Lam, S.J. Rothman and R. Sizmann, Rad. Effects 23 (1974) 53.

30. L.K. Mansur and M.H. Yoo, J. Nucl. Mater. 85&86 (1979) 523.

31. S.J. Shaibani, D. Phil. Thesis, Univ. Oxford, UK (1986)

32. S.N. Buckley and S.J. Shaibani, Phil. Mag. Lett. 55 (1987) 15.

33. Y. Satoh, C. Kinoshita and K. Nakai, J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 399.

34. G.P. Pells and A.Y. Stathopoulos, Rad. Effects 74 (1983) 181.

35. P.A. Knight, D. Phil. Thesis, Univ. Oxford, UK (1989)

36. F.W. Chard, Jr., G.F. Hurley and L.W. Hobbs. J. Nucl. Mater. 108&109 (1982) 655.

37. S.J. Zinkle and L.R. Greenwood, in Fusion Reactor Materials Semiann. progress report for period ending March 31, 1993, DOE/ER-0313/14, p. 74.

38. D.G. Walker. J. Nucl. Mater. 14 (1964) 195.

39. K. Fukurnoto, C. Kinoshita. S. Maeda and K. Nakai, REI-7 prmeedings, Nucl. Insu. Meth. B, in press

40. R.E. Stoller. J. Amer. Cer. Soc. 73 (1990) 2446.

ISSUES RELATED TO MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF NEUTRON-IRRADIATED CERAMICS --F. W. Clinard, Jr. (Los Alamos National Laboratory). W. Dienst (KfK Karlsruhe), and E. H. Famum &os *Alamos* National laboratory)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to review the mechanical behavior of neutron-irradiated ceramics, identify **major** issues related to degradation effects, and recommend future studies needed to select appropriate ceramics for **use** in a fusion reactor environment.

SUMMARY

Ceramics will be used for a number of applications in fusion devices, where their dielectric properties, high strength, refractoriness, or low activation characteristics are required. In all cases starting mechanical properties must be adequate to preserve structural integrity, and the material must tolerate irradiation damage without undue degradation. A review of the irradiation response of four prototypical candidate ceramics shows that significant deterioration of mechanical properties can be expected for most applications in ITER. Future studies are identified that will establish the basis for specifying developing ceramics that can meet the needs of ITER and subsequent fusion reactor concepts.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Ceramics are specified for a number of applications in the intense neutron flux of advanced fusion devices such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER). Included are electrical insulators for lightly-shielded magnets, toroidal current breaks, rf heating systems, neutral beam injectors, diagnostic devices, and insulating coatings for suppression of MHD forces in liquid metal-cooled blankets. In addition, ceramic optical components **are** required for some diagnostic systems. Further, ceramics may **be** specified for first wall protection or for structural applications where low activation characteristics and good high-temperatwe performance **are** required.

For all of these applications adequate resistance to radiation-induced mechanical failure during the design lifetime is **a** necessity. Such failure can result from dimensional changes or a reduction of strength resulting from displacement of lattice ions and their subsequent aggregation. Thus the issues addressed here fall into the **broad** categories of swelling, reduction of strength, and the microstructural alterations that cause such degradation.

The diversity of applications for ceramics in fusion reactors implies that there is a wide choice among candidate materials, and that is indeed the case. In order to keep this discussion of materials behavior within hounds, we focus on four candidate materials, Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄, SiC and SiO₂, assessing the response of each to neutron irradiation, Taken together, the behavior of this set of materials is illustrative **as** to the types of damage response that can be expected for most ceramics in fusion applications.

Neutron Damage in Four Candidate Ceramics

Al₂O₃

Al₂O₃ is probably the most commonly-specified ceramic insulator where **good** structural and electrical performance are required. With respect to fusion requirements, this material in either its polycrystalline

form (alumina) or single-crystal form (sapphire) is a candidate for most applications. The crystal struclure of this ceramic is hexagonal. The greatest shortcoming of Al₂O₃ with respect to fusion applications is its relatively high sensitivity to neutron damage.

Irradiation of this ceramic to a fluence of 2×10^{26} n/m² near room temperature results in near-isotropic swelling on the order of 2 vol.% [1]; such growth is believed to result primarily from accumulation of point defects. Irradiation at higher temperatures leads to greater swelling, with most of the growth being in the c-axis direction (Fig.1). This behavior has been shown to result from preferential aggregation of displaced atoms in interstitial loops having Burgers vectors that contribute primarily to growth perpendicular to basal planes [2].

This preference for c-axis dilation leads to macroscopic distortion of single crystals, and can presenl problems in developing mounting systems for sapphire windows for diagnostic applications. This behavior also presents problems for the polycrystalline form, in which each grain grows anisotropically. As a result alumina irradiated at elevated temperatures **can** suffer a buildup of internal strains, in some cases leading to grain boundary microcracking or separation. [1,2].

Strength of ceramics is represented by the relationship

$$\sigma = \text{const.} (E \gamma)^{1/2} / (c)^{1/2} = \text{const.} K_c / (c)^{1/2}$$

where σ = fracture strength, E = Young's modulus, γ = fracture energy, c = critical flaw size, and K_c = fracture toughness. The grain boundary microcracking or separation observed in alumina represents an increase in flaw size, and can therefore lead to weakening unless other flaws (e.g., those from fabrication) dominale. This equation does not take into acwunt internal stresses, which for alumina will result both from anisotropic thermal contraction upon cooling from the sintering temperature and from anisotropic swelling: such stresses will have a weakening effect on this ceramic.

Fracture toughness is affected by interaction of propagating cracks with the damage microstructure. As mentioned earlier, irradiation near room temperature results primarily in the accumulation of point defects. Irradiation to a fluence of $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 430 K leads to formation of a dense array of small loops [2]. Exposure to a similar fluence at 925 and 1100 K induces a microstructure consisting of dense dislocation networks (formed by the interaction of interstitial loops) and aligned voids [2].

Fracture toughness of sapphire has been found to **be** doubled by irradiation at 1100 K, an effect attributed to interaction of the crack tip with voids of diameter 9 nm [3]. Results for sapphire and two other ceramics (AlN and SiC) after irradiation to fluences up to $4x10^{26}$ n/m² at moderate to high temperatures also show increases, although of a lesser magnitude [4]

Room-temperature strength measurements on single-crystal Al₂O₃ exhibit considerable variation. This material showed a moderate decrease of strength after irradiation to $2x10^{25}$ n/m² at 373-388 K [4] and a similar decrease after a fluence of $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 673-873 K [5] (Fig. 2); however, no significant change was observed after irradiation at 680 and 815 K [6]. It is unfortunate that no results **are** available at higher temperatures where void formation has been reported.

Polycrystalline Al₂O₃ irradiated to $2x10^{25}$ n/m² at 373-388 K showed a moderate decrease of strength [4]. That result is consistent with strength losses reported for other grades of alumina irradiated to ten times higher fluence at 673-873 K [5] (Fig. 2) and is similar to results for another alumina after $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 660 K [7]. In contrast, Colin [8] found an 80% strength loss after a fluence of $5x10^{25}$ n/m² at 823 K.

The role of grain size in determining extent of strength loss in alumina has not yet been resolved: Wilks et al. [9] presented calculations indicating that a fine grain size should lessen internal strains and postpone microcracking to higher fluences. and Keilholtz et al. [1] found that their tinest-grained material



Figure 1. Dilation along a- and c-axes in neutron-irradiated single-crystal Al₂O₃ as a function of irradiation temperature [2].



Figure 2. Strength change of various Al₂O₃ grades including single-crystal samples (SC), HIP-Sic. and MgAl₂O₄ after neutron irradiation at 673-873 K [5]; and the *same* materials after irradiation at about 380 K (data band around $2x10^{25}$ n/m²) [4].

496

was most resistant to grain boundary cracking. On the other hand, Dienst [5] saw no major difference in damage response between materials of grain sue 0.9 and 8 microns.

MgAl₂O₄

Magnesium aluminate. spinel is an electrically-insulating ceramic with reasonably good mechanical properties, and is usable in most applications for which Al₂O₃ is a candidate material. The greatest virtue of MgAl₂O₄ is its good performance under neutron irradiation.

Spinel is for the most part a low-swelling ceramic. Dimensional changes are near-zero for both single-crystal and polycrystalline material after irradiation to fluences on the order of 1×10^{26} n/m² [2], with the exception of 0.8 vol.% swelling at 430 K [10] and 0.2 to 1.6 vol.% at 925-1100 K [2]; both of the exceptions are for the polycrystalline form. Recent measurements on single-crystal and polycrystalline material irradiated at temperatures of 658, 678, and 1023 K to fluences as high as 24×10^{26} n/m² have shown that dimensional stability extends even to that high damage level [11].

It has been proposed that the characteristic low swelling of spinel is attributable to predominant recombination of point defects rather than their aggregation; the open crystal Structure with its large number of structural vacancies, along with the capability to intermix the site occupancy of Mg and AI ions, may also play a role in the dimensional stability of this ceramic [2]. Further, the fact that spinel has a cubic crystal structure means that anisotropic swelling is not an issue in this material.

The most common response of spinel in either single-crystal or polycrystalline form to neutron irradiation is to exhibit strengthening [4,6,7,10] (Fig. 2). However, it must be pointed out that Colin [8] found a large decrease of strength in his spinel malerial. It has been proposed [6] that the strengthening behavior results from impedance of crack propagation by the damage microstructure, specifically by the intense strain fields around interstitial dislocation loops. Another explanation for the observed strengthening has been suggested, namely the blunting of atomically-sharp tips of pre-existing flaws by displacement damage [12].

The damage microstructure of polycrystalline spinel differs from that in single-crystal material in ways that may explain the lesser strengthening observed in the polycrystalline form [6]. After irradiation at 430 K [10] and 660 K [7], areas near the grain boundaries are found to be denuded of the characteristic interstitial loops that **are** found within the grains. Such a damage microstructure may induce misfit stresses near grain boundaries that work against the inherent strengthening effect that apparently operates in the bulk material.

SiC

Silicon carbide is a high-strength ceramic. In most forms this material is a semiconductor rather than an insulator, and therefore its principal use for fusion reactors is **as** a structural material. Possible applications include use **as first** wall protection, **as** reflectors in diagnostic systems, and **as** structural members where **good** high-temperature mechanical properties and/or low nuclear activation are desired. SiC is available in either the alpha (hexagonal crystal structure) or beta (cubic) form.

The swelling behavior of pyrolytic beta SiC from rnnm temperature to 1313 K has been characterized by Price [13,14]. Swelling of this cubic material exhibited saturation between $1x10^{24}$ and $4x10^{25}$ n/m², and decreased from 3 vol. % at the lowest temperature to near zero at the highest. Lack of a difference between macroscopic linear expansion and lattice parameter expansion implied that most of the swelling was due to the creation of point defects or small clusters. Work by others [6] subsequently showed that swelling in beta-Sic irradiated to $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 680 K was of the Same magnitude **as** that reponed by Price [13], thus demonstrating that saturation persists at least up to that fluence. At irradiation temperatures of 1523 and 1773 K Price observed void formation and significant swelling [14]. However, growth did not achieve the levels measured at room temperature.

Strength of irradiated silicon carbide is strongly dependent on the form of the material tested. Price found that pyrolytic beta SiC exhibited no significant change in strength after irradiation to 2 to $4x10^{25}$ n/m² at temperatures ranging from 733 to 1313 K. [13], and the same was true for that material after a fluence of $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 1013 K [15]. However, strength of sintered alpha SiC was reduced 34% by the same irradiation conditions, and reaction-bonded SiC was weakened by 58%. It was proposed that weakening of the sintered material resulted from transmutation of the boron sintering aid to helium through the 10B(n,alpha) reaction. which resulted in an increase of critical flaw size [15]. The larger strength reduction for the reaction-bonded SiC was attributed to dissimilar swelling between the SiC and the 8 to 10% free silicon that was present in that material.

Strength measurements for SiC show some disagreement: Dienst [5] has repond strength losses on the order of 60% for both isostatically hot-pressed (HIP) and chemically vapor-deposited SiC (alpha and beta phase, respectively) after irradiation to $2x 10^{26}$ n/m2 at 773 to 873 K. The behavior of the HIP material (Fig. 2) could be due to anisotropic swelling, hut the observed differences in high-dose behavior of beta SiC [5,15] remain unexplained.

Si02

Silicon dioxide is commonly used in both the crystalline form (usually quartz, with a trigonal or hexagonal structure) and the glassy form (vitreous or fused silica). Both exhibit modest strength hut have excellent electrical and optical properties. Si02 may he used in a variety of diagnostic applications, including windows, substrates for reflectors, and optical fibers; in addition, silicate-based ceramics may, because of their fahricahility, he specified for the large neutral beam injector ion source insulators. This material is unique among the four discussed here in that both forms can undergo atomic displacements from ionizing radiation (e.g., gamma rays), although Hobbs and Pascucci [16] have shown that this mode of damage is relatively inefficient in SiO2.

Both crystalline and glassy Si02 undergo large changes in density at low neutron fluences (Fig. 3), with quartz swelling and fused silica densifying to a common value [17]. In the case of quartz, atomic displacements rearrange Si and O atoms into the disordered or metamict state. The atoms of fused silica are already in a disordered state before irradiation damage, hut that condition is different from the metamict state; the effect of neutron damage is to transform the original amorphous structure to the metamict form that is unique to irradiated material

Little information is available on mechanical properties of either crystalline or glassy SiO₂, before or after irradiation. Strength of these materials is on the order of 100 to 200 MPa, with fracture toughness of approximately 1 MPa $m^{1/2}$. The authors are not aware of any strength measurements on either form of SiO₂ under irradiation conditions that approximate those to he found in a fusion reactor. However, neutron damage in a machinable glass-ceramic made up of 50 vol.% silicate mica crystals in a silicate glass matrix has been investigated after irradiation to fluences of 1×10^{22} and 1×10^{23} 14 MeV n/m² at room temperalure [18,19]. Density and fracture toughness were essentially unaltered after exposure to the lower fluence; however, as fluence increased to the higher dose, swelling first reached a value of 1.6 vol.% and then lessened to a value half that level. It was concluded that this unusual behavior resulted from swelling of the mica phase and contraction of the glass phase [19].

The greatest concern with respect to the use of quartz, and to a lesser extent fused silica, is the large dimensional changes at low radiation levels. Such behavior in a free-standing material does not in itself threaten diagnostic systems except for possible warpage of reflectors. However, any component whose design involves mechanical constraint, as in the **case** of optical fibers and sealed windows, will suffer high stresses and the possibility of fracture. Analysis of expected dilation and resulting stresses in quartz and fused silica windows mounted in window assemblies suggests an upper fluence limit of about 5×10^{21} n/m², unless sliding seal assemblies can be developed [20].





Major Issues

The above review of dimensional, **microstructural**, and mechanical properly changes in four candidate materials illustrates the variety and complexity of damage responses likely to be encountered. We now take a broader view of the subject, identifying what we believe to be the **major** issues in this **area**.

Two problems result from the current necessity of employing fusion neutrons to achieve high damage levels in materials to be used in fusion reactors: the probability that primary damage events from fission and fusion neutrons differ significantly, and the fact that greater concentrations of transmutation products will be generated by high-energy fusion neutrons. Of these two problems, the latter is probably the more significant. Rowner and Hopkins [21] have calculated the levels of gaseous and metallic transmutation products that will be generated in four ceramics under first wall conditions (Table 1); it may be shown that, given a realistic first wall loading and lifetime, impurity levels on the order of 1 at.% can be expected. The effect of these transmutation products on physical properties can only be surmised, but given the effecton SiC of helium generated by transmutation of boron sintering aid [15,22], there is cause for concern.

Table 1. Major transmutation products induced in **ceramics** by first wall neutrons (appm/y at a first wall loading of 1 **MW/m²**) [21].

	SiC	Si3N4	Al ₂ O ₃	BeO
Hydrogen	440	667	456	103
Carbon		328	624	569
Helium Magnesium	1595 458	758 455	787 434	2920

It has been observed that values of Weibull modulus for Al₂O₃ [5] and SiC [15,22] are significantly reduced by neutron damage. Such a broadening of strength distribution means that even a material with an acceptably high average strength under laboratory conditions may when fabricated into components exhibit an unacceptably high failure rate. Proof testing of unirradiated components to eliminate those most likely to fail will not necessarily be of significanthelp [15]. Thus a high Weibull modulus can be as important a properly as high strength, and must be given more attention than has been the case to date.

Some non-cubic ceramics suffer from anisotropic swelling of individual grains, with consequent generation of internal stresses and possible grain boundary separation. As discussed earlier, swelling anisotropy and grain boundary separation have been observed for alumina [1,2,9], and could play a role in irradiation-induced degradation of other non-cubic ceramics such **as** AlN, Si₃N₄, and alpha-phase SiC. There has been no work quantifying the reduction of strength that is expected to accompany anisotropic swelling, although the severe microcracking observed by Keilholtz et al. [1] indicates qualitatively that this can be a significant problem. On the other hand, the observation by Dienst [5] that fine-grained Al₂O₃ suffers a strength reduction similar to that for coarse-grained material and that single-crystal sapphire shows a decrease of strength similar to that for polycrystalline materials indicates that other factors can mask the consequences of anisotropic swelling.

A little-considered source of premature strength loss in technical ceramics is the presence of a damagesensitive grain boundary phase. Such a situation has been encountered in sialon [23], where a silicate gain boundary phase was found to exhibit significantly more damage (in the form of pore-like aggregates) than did the bulk material. When damage-sensitive grain boundary phases **are** identified, it may be possible to alleviate the problem by making appropriate adjustments to the composition of the bulk malerial.

Some applications for ceramics in fusion devices involve bonded or coated systems, e.g., protective tiles for the first wall, reflectors for diagnostic applications, and an insulating lining for piping in liquid metal-cooled blankets. A major potential problem area for these applications is differential swelling, which can lead to high interfacial stresses, warpage, and delamination or bulk fracture. An example is the response of a layered system consisting of a thick coating of chemically vapor-deposited beta SiC on graphite: after irradiation to $2x10^{26}$ n/m² at 680 K [6] the material was visibly bowed, and, and the interface had almost completely separated. It was found that the SiC had swelled 1.5 vol.% while the graphite substrate had densified 7 vol.%. The lesson here is that monolithic ceramics should he used whenever possible, and where a layered system is unavoidable the design should if possible employ a thin coating of deformable materials are required, the best choice would be a two-phase ceramic in which the phases are **as** similar as possible: an example is bulk SiC reinforced with SiC fibers or whiskers, where toughening can he realized through interfacial debonding under applied stress.

Swelling under thermal and flux gradients may generate internal stresses that **can** reduce the loadbearing capability of irradiated ceramics. This is not expected to be a major problem for test samples or small components, but could prove to **he** a significant issue for large components such **as** neutral **beam** injector ion source insulators. Given an adequate data base, it should **he** possible to calculate the magnitude of macroscopic internal stresses and determine whether these stresses present a problem.

FUTURE WORK

As a general statement, we can say that work to date on mechanical properties of neutron-irradiated ceramics bas identified major areas of concern, developed a useful if incomplete data base, and in some areas has established an understanding of basic damage effects. However, more effort is needed in these areas if ceramics with adequate performance and lifetime **are** to be available on a timely basis for construction of fusion reactors such as ITER.

At the fundamental level, an understanding is needed of primary damage events in ceramics. To achieve this understanding, modeling calculations and experiments should be carried out to follow the evolution of damage from cascade events involving time scales of a few atomic vibrations, (i.e. on the order of a picosecond) to the relaxed state lhat evolves over perhaps the next microsecond. Knowledge from such studies can guide the selection of critical experiments to define the evolution of a quasi-steady-state defect content that is characteristic of the malerial, test temperature, irradiation fluence, and dose rate.

Given an adequate understanding of damage effects, it should be possible to predict how generic classes of ceramics differ in their response to radiation damage and use that **as** a guide to selecting the most promising candidate materials. Examples of where some understanding already exists are the differences in damage response between ionically-bonded and covalently-bonded ceramics, and the propensity of certain crystal structures to support annihilation of vacancies **and interstitials** rather than their aggregation. Greater understanding in these **areas** could reduce the amount of time and effort spent in evaluating what might prove to be unpromising materials.

It is well known that surface finish plays an important role in determining the critical flaw that propagates to cause fracture of a ceramic. For this reason, special care should be devoted to attaining a uniform and well-characterized **surface** condition both for test samples and for components themselves.

Studies of mechanical properties should be conducted in the appropriate test environment. Examples are an SF_6 atmosphere for testing of rf windows and the appropriate liquid metal for studies of MHD-suppressing insulators. Since designs of fusion devices can be expected to continually evolve, it is incumbent on designers and materials researchers to communicate frequently in order to assure that tests are being conducted in the proper environment.

For non-cubic ceramics, swelling and orientation of applied stresses should he assessed with proper attention to crystallographic direction. The *same* attention to orientation is important for the understanding of the role of defect aggregates. in this *case* for both cubic *and* non-cubic materials.

Transmutation gases are an important part of the damage condition for ceramics irradiated with highenergy neutrons. More experiments are needed that combine high-fluence damage with concurrent production of hydrogen and helium, using techniques such **as** isotopic tailoring to attain appropriate gas generation rates [24].

Little effort is currently being directed to development of improved ceramics for fusion applications. The present state of understanding of neutron damage effects is now adequate to support increased activity in this **area**, and so communication between researchers and manufacturers should be established now in order to assure timely development of qualified ceramic materials for **ITER** and beyond.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. W. Keilholtz, R. E. Moore. and H. E. Robertson, Nucl. Technology 17 (1973) 234.
- [2] F. W. Clinard, Jr., G. F. Hurley and L. W. Hobhs, J. Nncl. Mater. 108 & 109 (1982) 655.
- [3] F. W. Clinard, Jr., G. F. Hnrley, R. A. Youngman and L. W. Hobbs, J. Nncl. Mater. 133 & 134 (1985) 701.
- [4] W. Dienst and H. Zimmermann, this volume.
- [5] W. Dienst, J Nucl. Mater. 191-194(1992) 555.
- [6] F. W. Clinard. Jr., G. F. Hurley, L. W. Hohhs, D. L. Rohr and R. A. Youngman, J. Nucl. Mater. 122 & 123 (1984) 1386.
- [7] D. S. Tucker, T. Zocco, C. D. Kise and J. C Kennedy, J. Nucl. Mater. 141-143 (1986) 401.
- [8] M. Colin, in: Report EUR FU BRU/XII-358/89-MATIA 13, Proc. Workshop Radiation Effects in Ceramic Insulators, Garching, FRG, 1989.
- [9] R. S. Wilks, J. A. Desport and J. A G. Smith, J. Nucl. Mater. 24 (1967) 80.
- [10] G. F. Hurley, J. C. Kennedy, F. W. Clinard, Jr., R. A. Youngman and W. R. McDonell, J. Nucl. Mater. 103 & 104 (1981) 761.
- [11] C. A. Black, F. A. Garner and R. C. Bradt, this volume.
- [12] R. W. Rice, W. R. Grace & Co., private communication.

- [13] R. J. Price, I. Nucl. Mater. 33 (1969) 17.
- [14] R. J. Price, J. Nucl. Mater. 48 (1973) 47.
- [15] R. J. Price and G. R. Hopkins, J. Nucl. Mater. 108 & 109 (1982) 732.
- [16] L. W. Hobbs and M. R. Pascucci, J. Physique 41 (1980) C6-237.

[17] E. Lell, N. J. Kreidel, and J. R. Hensler, in: Progress in Ceramic Science, Vol. 4, Ed. J. E. Burke (Pergamon Press, New York, 1966) p. 1.

[18] J. D. Fowler, Jr., G. F. Hurley, J. C. Kennedy and F. W. Clinard, Jr., J. Nucl. Mater. 103 & 104 (1981) 755.

[19] W. A. Coghlan and F W. Clinard, Jr., J. Nucl. Mater. 179-181 (1991) 391.

[20] F. W. Clinard, Jr., E. H. Famum, D. L Griscom, R. F. Mattas, S. S. Medley, F. W. Wiffen, S. S.

Wojtowicz, K. M. Young and S. J. Zinkle, J. Nucl. Mater. 191-194 (1992) 1399.

[21] L. H. Rovner and G. R. Hopkins, Nucl. Technol. 29 (1976) 274.

[22] W. Dienst Fusion Eng. and Design 16 (1991) 311.

[23] F. W. Clinard, Jr. and G. F. Hurley. J. Nucl. Mater. 103 & 104 (1981) 705.

[24] R. J. LaBauve, R. J. Livak and F. W. Clinard, Jr., Advanced Cer. Materials 3 (1988) 353.

DIMENSIONAL STABILITY, OPTICAL AND ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF MgAl₂O₄ SPINEL IRRADIATED IN FFTF TO VERY HIGH EXPOSURES - F. A. Gamer, G. W. Hollenberg and J. L. Ryan (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)", Z. Li (Argonne National Laboratory)^b, C. A. Black and R. C. Bradt (University of Nevada-Reno)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to identify ceramic materials that are suitable for fusion reactor applications.

SUMMARY

Stoichiometric MgAl₂O₄ spinel specimens irradiated in FFTF-MOTA at temperatures between 385 and 750°C to fluences ranging from **2.2** to 24.9 x 10^{22} n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV) darken significantly, but do not develop any significant loss in weight or change in dimensions. Similar behavior was observed in both single crystal and fully dense polycrystalline specimens. Measurements of elastic constants by an ultrasonic technique show that no measurable changes occur **as** a result of the irradiation. These and other results confirm the stability of this material for fusion application as an electrical insulator.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Magnesium aluminate spinel is an electrical insulator that has been considered **as** apotential fusion reactor candidate material for service **as** dielectric windows for radio frequency heating systems or as insulators for magnetic coils.[I] Previous irradiation studies have shown that the dimensional stability of this material is remarkably insensitive to neutron-induced damage at exposures up to 2×10^{22} n/cm².[2-5]

irradiation to very high exposures in FFTF/MOTA of very high purity $MgAl_2O_4$ spinel, both single crystal and fully dense hot-pressed polycrystalline, is now complete. An extensive program of both non-destructive and destructive examination **is** now in progress. The first series of results are presented in this paper.

Exuerimental Details

Table 1 presents the composition of the three high-purity starting materials that were employed in this experiment, all of which were highly stoichiometric in composition, with MgO:Al₂O₃ at 1:1. Three specimen types and two specimen geometries were employed in this experiment. The three types are hot pressed (HP) polycrystals at 100% of theoretical density, [1001-oriented single crystals, and [I 1 I]-oriented single crystals. The single crystal specimens were oriented with the specified crystalline direction in the axial or height direction of the specimen. Most of the specimens are in the form of -0.19 in (4.8mm)

^{&#}x27;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

^bWork supported by U.S. Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences - Materials Science under Contract W-31-109-ENG-38.

	100 ^(b)	111 ^(b)	HP ^(c)
Li	0.01	0.1	>50
В	0.3	<0.1	<0.1
Na	5	5	5
Si	600	<60	60
Р	1	0.5	10
S	90	90	300
Cl	10	10	10
К	<10	<10	<10
Ca	10	40	10
Sc	10	10	30
Ti	10	10	40
V	3	3	8
Cr	4	10	4
Mn	5	<2	<2
Fe	80	30	30
Со	0.2	<0.2	0.2
Ni	1	1	1
Cu	3	1	<0.3
Ga	9	30	3
Ge	10	4	<4
Мо	7	<2	<2
Sn	<2	<2	<2

 Table 1. Chemical composition^(a) of spinel specimens (appm) determined by spectrographic analysis

 $^{(a)}Major$ constituents determined by stoichiometry derived from x-ray diffraction to be 37.9 wt% aluminum, 45.0 wt% oxygen, and 17.1 $\rm wt??$ magnesium

'''Obtained from Union Carbide Corporation, San Diego, CA

("Obtained from Coors Ceramic Corporation, Golden, CO

diameter cylindrical pellets. The height of the pellets varies with specimen type, **as** shown in Figure 1. The heights were -0.23 in (5.8 mm) for HP, -0.17 in (4.3 mm) for [111] and -0.11 in (2.8 mm) for [100]-oriented specimens. The height variations were used **as** a back-up identification system in case radiation-induced darkening obscured the primary identification, whereby laser etching was employed to mark the sides of the specimens with an individual identification code.



Fig. 1. Contents of typical irradiation capsule for pellet specimens.

The other specimen geometry is in the form of -0.5 in (12.7 mm) square plates with thicknesses comparable to those of the heights of the pellet specimens. The plates were produced by diamond parallel surface grinding, and the pellets were made by diamond core drilling of the plate material.

Prior to irradiation, the specimens were weighed and their dimensions measured to 0.0001 in (0.00025 cm) using a ZYGO 110 Laser Telemetric System. Diameters of the pellets were measured using the average of three measurements at orientations approximately 60° apart. The heights of the plates were determined by averaging six measurements across both diagonals of the square plates. The specimens were then ultrasonically washed in distilled water, air dried, ultrasonically washed in ethyl alcohol, and then dried in air at 200°C for 24 h to remove adsorbed water before being sealed in a hermetic storage container.

The specimens were later removed from the storage container and placed in irradiation capsules filled with helium gas at 1 atm and room temperature. Each capsule was closed by electron beam welding under

helium and then helium leak checked. The capsules were constructed of D9 titanium-modified steel. Each of the pellet-containing capsules contained a molybdenum spring and five specimens, specifically, one HP specimen and two each of the two single crystal orientations, as shown in Figure 1.

The nominal irradiation conditions experienced by the pellets in the FFTF reactor were 22.9 and 24.9 x 10^{22} n/cm² (E > 0.1 MeV) at 385°C; 5.3 x 10^{22} n/cm² at 405°C; and 5.6, 13.7, and 21.7 x 10^{22} n/cm² at 750°C.

The emphasis on nominal irradiation conditions reflects the fact that these specimens started irradiation in the MOTA-ID experiment, in which the specimens experienced an overtemperature event in the middle of FFTF cycle 7 of about 50 min duration. This temperature excursion was followed by a prolonged and variable undertemperature history in cycle 8. Only the 750° C irradiation experienced a significant variation in temperature, however, reaching 934° C for 50 min and then running at a range of temperatures well below 750° C throughout cycle 8. For the second and third irradiation sequences conducted in FFTF cycles 9, 10, and 11 in MOTA-IE, IF, and 1G, respectively, the temperatures were held to $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C of the target temperatures.

The square plate specimens were placed in larger D-9 capsules in the MOTA below-core canister at 365° C and irradiated to 2.2 and 4.6 x 10^{22} n/cm² (E > 0.1 MeV). No excursions in temperature occurred for these specimens.

After irradiation, the specimens were removed from the capsules and cleaned, and then their weights and dimensions measured using the same techniques employed prior to irradiation. The optical absorbance of the specimens at the **385** and **750°C** irradiation conditions was measured with a **CARY** 14 spectrophotometer. An ultrasonic technique was used to determine the elastic moduli of the irradiated spinel specimens. The sound velocities of the [100] and [111] oriented single crystals were measured by the phase comparison method [6,7] in the **20** to **60** MHz frequency range. Four sets of sound velocity measurements were made for the longitudinal and transverse acoustic modes in directions normal to the (100) and (111) faces of the specimens. The elastic moduli (C_{11} , $C_{,,,}$, C_{44}) were derived from those sound velocities V_{L} and V_{T} as

$$\rho V \frac{2}{L} = C_{11} \tag{1a}$$

$$\rho V \frac{2}{T} = C_{44}$$
 (1b)

for the (100) oriented specimens and

$$\rho V \frac{2}{L} = (C_{11} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{44})/3$$
(2a)

$$\rho V \frac{2}{T} = (C_{11} - C_{12} + C_{44})/3$$
(2b)

for the [111] oriented specimens, where L and T denote the longitudinal and transverse vibration modes, respectively, and ρ is the density of the specimens at 3.55 gm/cm³. The measurements were carried out at room temperatures varying from 21 to 23°C. For coupling of the longitudinal acoustic waves between the sample crystal and the fused silica buffer rod, "Nonaq" stopcock grease was used as a bonding material, whereas solid salol was used for coupling of the transverse acoustic wave.

Results

Due to their high purity, the specimens were only mildly radioactive and non-smearable, and therefore could be easily handled. Prior to irradiation, the single crystal specimens were transparent, and the hotpressed specimens were translucent and white. In regular light, the irradiated specimens all appear to be very dark, but placing them in front of a high intensity microscope light showed that some light was transmitted, with colors ranging from yellow to dark red. The color change deepened with both the irradiation dose and the specimen thickness.

At these fhicknesses and exposure levels, the specimens pressed the limits of the measurement capability of the spectrophotometer. Consistent with their red coloration, the absorbance was found to increase rapidly at lower wavelengths, becoming stronger with neutron exposure at both **385** and 750°C. A shoulder peak in absorbance at 510 nm was evident in the lowest exposure specimens at both temperatures. The continued presence of this peak at higher fluence, and the possible presence of other peaks at lower wavelengths could not be determined at these large absorbance levels, however.

The weights of the specimens remained essentially unchanged, with all measurements ranging from 0.0 to \sim 0.2% loss in weight. The **loss**, if any, was always in the fourth significant figure and may be related either to different levels of adsorbed moisture before and after irradiation or to small chips lost during handling.

All post-irradiation dimensional measurements fell within $\pm 1\%$ of the preirradiation measurements, with most below $\pm 0.5\%$. Combining the point-to-point variations observed in thickness and the reproducibility of the measurements, it appears that the measured variations in preirradiation dimensions were $\leq 1\%$. There were no discernible trends of these small differences with respect to orientation, irradiation temperature, neutron fluence, or specimen type. The obvious conclusion is that no significant irradiation-induced changes occurred in the dimensions of these specimens.

Table 2 summarizes the elastic stiffness moduli of the spinels at each irradiation level. The values of C,, and C_{44} were directly determined from [100] oriented samples using equations 1a and 1b. The values of C,, were obtained from [111] oriented samples by using either equation 2a or 2b. The C,, values listed in Table 2 are the average values determined from these equations. The potential errors in the velocity and elastic moduli are estimated to be **less** than 2%, with the largest uncertainties coming from the measurement of the sample thickness and sample density. The error range was confirmed by cross-checking the C_{12} values calculated from both equations 2a and 2b. For example, the values of C_{12} were 150 and 151 calculated from equations 2a and 2b, respectively, for samples irradiated at 750°C to 21.7 x 10^{22} n cm⁻² (E > 0.1 MeV). These values are in excellent agreement with each other.

From Table 2, it is evident that the elastic constants remain unchanged for different levels of neutron irradiation. Table 2 also lists the polycrystalline elastic moduli, Young's modulus E, shear modulus G, and Possion's ratio v calculated from elastic constants of the single crystals using the Voigt-Reuss-Hill average scheme. These elastic moduli were also found to be independent of irradiation.

	C ₁₁	C ₁₂	C ₄₄	E	G	v
	(GPa)	(GPa)	(GPa)	(GPa)	(GPa)	
Unirrad.	280	155	156	274	108	0.27
24.9ª/385°C	288	157	150	274	108	0.27
5.3/404°C	285	158	153	273	108	0.27
5.6/750°C	283	156	156	276	109	0.27
13.7/750°C	283	154	152	273	108	0.27
21.7/750°C	278	151	152	271	107	0.27

Table 2 Elastic properties of $MgAl_20_4$ spinel as a function of irradiation condition

"Fluence in units of 10^{22} n cm⁻² (E > 0.1 MeV)

CONCLUSIONS

The elastic mechanical properties and dimensional stability of high-purity stoichiometric $MgAl_20_4$ spinel appear to be remarkably resistant to irradiation at temperatures in the range 385-750°C. The single problem observed is its tendency to darken with neutron exposure, but this is not expected to interfere with the performance of this material as an electrical insulator.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. W. Chard, Jr., G. F. Hurley, and R. W. Klaffky, Res. Mech. 8 (1983) 207
- 2. G. F. Hurley and J. M. Bunch, Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 59 (1980) 456
- 3. F. W. Chard, Jr., G. F. Hurley, and L. W. Hobbs, J. Nucl Mater. 108-109 (1982) 655
- 4. Y. Fukushima, T. Yano, T. Maruyama, and T. Iseki, J. Nucl. Mafer. 175 (1990) 203.
- 5. H. Suematsu, T. Iseki, T. Yano, Y. Saito, T. Suzuki, and T. Mori, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 75 (1992) 1742.
- 6. E. S. Fisher and H. J. McSkimin, J. Appl. Phys. 29 (1958) 1473
- 7. Z. Li, E. S. Fisher, J. Z. Liu, and M. V. Nevitt, J. Mafer. Sci. 26 (1991) 2621.

INFLUENCE OF HIGH DOSE NEUTRON IRRADIATION AT 385 AND 750°C ON THE MICROHARDNESS OF $MgAl_2O_4$ SPINEL C. A. Black (University of Nevada-Reno), F. A. Gamer (Pacific Northwest Laboratory)", R. C. Bradt (University of Nevada-Reno)

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this effort is to identify ceramic materials that are suitable for fusion reactor applications.

SUMMARY

High-purity specimens of stoichiometric $MgAl_2O_4$ single crystal spinel and a hot-pressed polycrystalline ceramic spinel were irradiated to exposures as large as 24.9 x 10^{22} n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV) in FFTF at 385°C and 750°C. The specimens did not develop any brittleness or fragility, and maintained their physical integrity. Microhardness measurements revealed that initially all specimens hardened a small amount and then recovered slightly. At the lower irradiation temperature, the dependence of microhardness on orientation observed prior to irradiation tended to disappear. There was also some evidence that a secondary slip system was being activated. Following 750°C irradiation, the orientation dependence was not lost, and the evidence for activation of a secondary slip system was stronger.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Stoichiometric $MgAl_2O_4$ spinel has been proposed as a potential electrical insulator ceramic for use in fusion reactors, primarily because, unlike other ceramics, it has demonstrated a remarkable insensitivity to neutron irradiation to levels as high as 2 x 10²² n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV).") While spinels would most likely be employed in a dense hot-pressed polycrystalline form, it is advantageous to conduct fundamentally-oriented studies on the radiation response of this material using single crystal specimens in addition to hot-pressed specimens. Therefore, an extensive irradiation program using both types of specimens has been conducted in FFTF, reaching exposure levels an order of magnitude or more larger than those of all earlier studies⁽¹⁰⁾. The focus of the present paper is the effect of radiation on the microhardness of this material and its dependence on crystallographic orientation. This information will eventually be coupled with the results of examination by electron microscopy to determine the microstructural origins of the radiation resistance of this ceramic.

The single crystal spinel used in this study has been previously examined in the unirradiated condition and the results published by Akimuni and Bradt'''. They showed that the (100) plane exhibits a maximum microhardness in the [001] direction and a minimum in the [011] direction, consistent with a primary slip system of $\{111\} < 110>$. The microhardness on the (111) plane was shown to be independent of indenter orientation, which is also consistent with that primary slip system. It **was** anticipated that the hardness response might change as radiation-induced microstructure was accumulated.

[&]quot;Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

Experimental Details

High-purity stoichiometric MgAl₂O₄ was obtained as transparent 2-cm boules with either [100] or [111] growth direction from Union Carbide Corporation. Hot-pressed polycrystalline MgAl₂O₄ of 100% theoretical density and comparable purity was obtained from the Coors Ceramic Corporation. The detailed composition of the three starting materials, the fabrication of the individual specimens, and the details of the irradiation are presented in ref. 10. These materials were irradiated in the Materials Open Test Assembly (MOTA) of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) at 385°C to 2.2, **4.6**, and 24.9 x 10²² n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV), and at 750°C to **5.6**, 13.7, and 21.7 x 10²² n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV). Using a rough conversion factor of -10 dpa per 10²² n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV), the highest displacement level reached was -250 dpa.

Depending on the specific irradiation conditions, some specimens were in the form of 4.8 mm diameter cylinders, and some were in the form of square plates. The flat surfaces of the cylinders and the major flat surfaces of the plates were parallel to the (100) and (111) planes for the [100] and [111] specimens, respectively.

After irradiation, the specimens were vacuum-mounted with Buehler Epa-Thin epoxy, using three aluminum oxide thermocouple sheaths set around the specimen to insure that the original crystallographic orientation of the specimen surface was maintained during polishing. The mounted specimens were polished with progressively finer diamond pastes (30, 15, 1 μ m) on a vibratory polishing machine. Unirradiated specimens were also prepared in an identical manner. Contrary to expectations, the specimens did not develop any brittleness or fragility, and maintained their physical integrity during irradiation, polishing, and testing.

While still mounted, the specimens were measured for microhardness. Since the microhardness **is** load-dependent in these materials, especially for loads less than 500 g, preliminary tests were conducted at 25, 50, 100, and 200 g force. Two load levels were then chosen to determine the true hardness.

Knoop microhardness was measured at room temperature using 100 and 200 g loads at a load application rate of 17 μ m/sec for 15 sec. The microhardness tester was a Tukon Model 300 fitted with a Wilson digital filar eyepiece accurate to **0.1** micrometers. A goniometer with ±1° of accuracy was designed to hold the mounted crystal on the hardness tester. For each crystallographic orientation, 10 parallel indents were made with approximately 100 μ m between each measurement. Indentations resulting in cracks were not recorded, and repeat measurements were made. The tendency to occasionally develop cracks radiating from the hardness indents was minimal and did not appear to change with irradiation.

From the long diagonal length (d) in mm the Knoop microhardness (H_k) was calculated using the standard equation where **P** is the test load:

$$H_{k} = P(g)/0.7028 d^{2} in Kg mm^{2}$$

True hardness was calculated from the slope of \mathbf{a} P/d vs. d graph after applying the above equation to calculate the hardness value H,

On the cylindrical (100) single crystal specimens, nine equally spaced orientations were used over a total range of 90° . Because of the crystal symmetry, these were sufficient to characterize the microhardness anisotropy profile for the entire (100) plane. Indents on the (111) single crystals were also performed over 90" at five equally spaced orientations.

The square (100) specimens were cut so that the [001] direction was parallel to the edge on the specimen, and in this manner, the orientation of the specimen was preserved. After confirming the already known

anisotropy of the (100) plane on the square specimens, a random starting point was used on the cylindrical specimens. Later analysis of the (100) cylindrical specimen data assumed the maximum measured hardness to be the [001] direction and the minimum to be the [011] direction. Since the (111) plane showed no orientation dependence, the random starting point on the cylindrical specimens was chosen as the 0" position.

Results

Figure 1 shows the nearly identical hardness vs. load response of the hot-pressed polycrystalline ceramic spinel and the [111] single crystal specimens in the unirradiated condition. A similar dependence on load was also observed as the specimens hardened with irradiation, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. Note in Figure 2 that a saturation level of hardness was reached in the hot-pressed specimens irradiated at **385°C** for each load level, but the duration of the transient regime of hardening was found to be load-dependent, being slower to saturate at the higher load



Similar levels of hardening were observed in the single crystal specimens irradiated at 385°C, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. In the unirradiated condition, the [100] specimens exhibited the same dependence on crystalline orientation that was observed earlier by Akimuni and Bradt ⁽¹¹⁾. However, but the degree of anisotropy of the orientation dependence on the (100) plane was observed to decrease as the specimens hardened. The majority of the hardening occurred during the first irradiation increment. In addition, there is some suggestion that a second minimum in hardness vs. orientation was developing at the highest exposures level, suggesting that a secondary slip system may have been activated.



Fig. 2. Evolution of microhardness of hot-pressed polycrystals irradiated at 385°C.



Fig. 3. Changes in microhardness induced in single crystal specimens irradiated to 2.2 x 10²² n cm⁻² (E>0.1 MeV) at 385°C.



Fig. 4. Microhardness vs. orientation on the (100) plane for higher exposure levels at 385°C.



Fig. 6. Microhardness vs. (100) orientation dependence for single crystal specimensirradiated at 750°C, observed using a 200 g load.



Fig. 5. Hardening and softening observed in single crystal specimens irradiated at 750°C and tested with a 100 g load.



Fig. 7. Influence of radiation on "hue" hardness.

At an irradiation temperature of 750°C, the microhardness first increased with irradiation and then subsequently decreased, **as** shown in Figure **5**. Even more important, however, the orientation dependence on the (100) plane was not reduced **as** for irradiation at 385°C. The tendency to develop a secondary minimum appeared to be stronger for irradiation at 750°C, especially when higher indentation test loads were applied, as shown in Figure 6.

Discussion

Figure 7 shows the calculated true hardness values derived from the data generated at 100 and 200 g loads. The tendency to first harden and then soften with increasing exposure occurred for all three types of specimens, with the largest changes observed in the hot-pressed polycrystals at 385°C. In each case, the radiation-induced increases in microhardness were relatively small and of no significance for fusion application. The softening may be evidence for a self-healing process that may be unique to this spinel and is operating throughout the irradiation to limit the cumulative damage.

It is interesting to note that the microhardness of the polycrystalline ceramics at 385°C appears to be approaching that of single crystals. The role of pre-existing grain boundaries and random grain orientations in the polycrystals seems to have produced a somewhat softer material before irradiation. However, the sink strength associated with these grain boundaries may also compete with the self-healing process and may therefore not allow the radiation-induced hardening to relax as quickly as was observed in the single crystals.

The **loss** of the orientation dependence on the (100) plane at 385°C can be understood in terms of radiation-induced formation of defect aggregates that block the movement of dislocations on the primary slip system. The activation of a secondary slip system may have been facilitated by the development of radiation-induced dislocation microstructure. Microscopy analysis of these specimens at the highest fluence levels is in progress. Early results at 385°C show formation of high density of 1/4[110] dislocation loops (15-70 nm diameter) and tangled dislocations''. At 750°C, there is a small number of voids (-7 nm diameter) and extended 1/4[110] stacking faults. The substantially lower density of radiation-induced microstructure at 750°C, compared to that at 385°C, probably explains why the orientation dependence of hardness on the (100) plane persists to very high fluences.

The relatively small effect of radiation in hardening of this material at such high neutron exposure levels confirms its overall radiation resistance and its suitability for fusion use. As noted in ref. 10, the elastic properties and dimensional stability of these specimens were also unaffected by radiation.

CONCLUSIONS

High temperature irradiation of high-purity stoichiometric $MgAl_2O_4$ spinel to very high neutron exposures induces a small amount of hardening that does not impair its application to fusion needs. The hardening peaks early in the irradiation and then relaxes somewhat at higher exposure levels. The details of the hardening process are somewhat sensitive to applied load, crystal orientation, irradiation temperature, and the exposure level. The dimensional and mechanical stability to displacement levels as large as 250 dpa suggests that an efficient self-healing process that does not operate in other ceramics may be operating in this material.

REFERENCES

ł.

- 1. G. F. Hurley, and J. M. Bunch, Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 59 (1980) 456.
- 2. F. W. Clinard, Jr., G. F. Hurley and L. W. Hobbs, J. Nucl. Mater. 108-109 (1982) 655
- 3. G. F. Hurley, J. C. Kennedy, F. W. Clinard Jr., R. A. Youngman, and W. R. McDonell, J. Nucl. *Mater.* 103&104 (1981) 761.
- 4. F. W. Clinard, Jr., G. F. Hurley, and R. W. Klaffky, Res. *Mech.* 8 (1983) 207.
- 5. F. W. Clinard Jr., G. F. Hurley, L. W. Hobbs, D. L. Rohr, and R. A. Youngman, J. Nucl. Mater. 122&123 (1984) 1386.
- 6. F. W. Clinard Jr., G. F. Hurley, R. A. Youngman, and L. W. Hobbs, J. Nucl. Mater. 133&134 (1985) 701.
- 7. C. A. Parker, L. W. Hobbs, K. C. Russell, and F. W. Clinard Jr., J. Nucl. Mater. 133&134 (1985) 741.
- 8. Y. Fukushima, T. Yano, T. Maruyama, and T. Iseki, J. Nucl. Mafer. 175 (1990) 203
- 9. H. Suematsu, T. Iseki, T. Yano, Y. Saito, **T.** Suzuki, and T. Mori, *J.* Am. *Ceram. Soc.* 75 (1992) 1742.
- IO. F. A. Garner, G. W. Hollenberg, J. L. Ryan, Z. Li, C. A. Black, and R. C. Bradt, in this semiannual report.
- 11. Y. Akimuni and R. C. Bradt, J. Am. Cer. Soc. (1987) C84-C86.
- 12. K. Fukumoto, C. Kinoshita, and F. A. Gamer, work in progress.

Distribution

1-16.	 Argonne National Laborator, M. C. Billone O. K. Chopra H. M. Chung D. R. Diercks R. Erck A. K. Fisher 	y, 9700 South Cass Avenue Y. Gohar A. B. Hull C. E. Johnson F. Kassner B. A. Loomis R. F. Mattas	e, Argonne, IL 60439 L. A. Niemark J. H. Park D. L. Smith S. W. Tam H. C. Tsai H. Wiedersich		
17-18.	Argonne National Laboratory. EBR-II Division, P.O. Box 2528, Idaho Falls, ID 83403-2528H. P. PlanchonD. L. Porter				
19.	Auburn University, Depamn B. A. Chin	ent of Mechanical Engineer	ring. 201 Ross Hall, Auburn. AL 36849		
20-33.	Battelle-Pacific Northwest L J. L. Ethridge F. A. Gamer (5) D. S. Gelles L. R. Greenwood	aboratory, P.O. Box 999, R M. L. Hamilton H. L. Heinisch <i>G</i> . W. Hollenberg	ichland, WA 99352 R. H. Jones W. W. Laity O.D. Slagle		
34.	Carnegic Institute of Technology, Carnegie-Mellon University, Schenley Park, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 W. M. Garrison, Jr				
35-36.	EG&G Idaho, Inc., Fusion Sa D. F. Holland	afety Program. P.O. Box 10 S. J. Piet	625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3523		
37.	GE Astrospace Division, San Jose Operations, 6834 via Deloro, P.O. Box 530954 , San Jose, CA 95153-5354 Mike Kangilaski				
38-41.	General Atomics, P.O. Box & T. A. Lechtenberg D. I. Roberts	35608, San Diego, CA 9213 K. R. Schultz C. Wong	38		
42.	General Dynamics Corp., 5001 Keamy Villa Rd San Diego, CA 92138 T. L. Cookson				
43.	Georgia Institute of Technology, Fusion Research Center, 0225, Atlanta, GA 30332 W. M. Stacey				
44.	Grand Canyon University, Department of Natural Science, 3300 W. Camelback Rd., Phoenix, AZ 85017 W. A. Coghlan				
45-47.	Lawrence Livermore Nationa E.C.N. Dalder M. Guinan	al Laboratory. P.O. Box 808 J. Perkins	8, Livermore. CA 94550		
48-55.	Los Alamos National Labora J. L. Anderson F. W. Clinard E. H. Famum	tory, P.O. Box 1663. Los A H. M. Frost J. C. Kennedy R. E. Siemon	Alamos, NM 87545 W. F. Sommer K. E. Sickafus		

56.	Manlabs, Inc., 231 Erie Street, Cambridge, MA 02139 D. Tognarelli				
57-59.	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science, Cambridge, MA 02139 L. W. Hobbs K. C. Russell N. J. Grant				
60-61.	Massachusette Institute of Technology. Plasma Fusion Center Headquarters, Cambridge, MA 02139 H. D. Becker D. B. Montgomery				
62.	McDonnell-Douglas Missile Systems Company, Mail Code 306 4204, P.O. Box 516, St Louis, MO 63145 J. W. Davis				
63-65.	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80302 F. R. Fickett R. P. Reed H. I. McHenry				
66.	National Materials Advisory Board, 2101 Constitution Avenue, Washington. DC 20418 K. M. Zwilsky				
67-68.	Naval Research Laboratory, Code 6506 , Washington, DC 20375 D. L. Gibson J. A. Sprague				
69-109.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2 Cenual Research Library Document Reference Section Laboratory Records Department (2) Laboratory Records-RC Patent Section D. J. Alexander C. C. Baker J. Bentley E. E. Bloom T. D. Burchell J. H. DeVan G. M. Goodwin		008, Oak Ridge. TN 37831R. H. GouldingA. F. Rowcliffe (8)M. L. GrossheckR. L. SennE. A. KenikJ. SheffieldR. L. KluehL. L. SneadE. H. LeeR. E. StollerA. W. LongestK. R. ThomsL. K. MansurP. F. TortorelliP. J. MaziaszC. A. WangJ. E. PawelD. P. WhiteT. C. ReutherS. J. Zinkle		
110.	Oregon Graduate Institute, Dept. of Materials Science & Engineering. 19600 N.W. Von Neumann Drive, Beaverton, OR 97006 J. M. McCarthy				
111-1 13.	Princeton University, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, P.O. Box 451 , Princeton, NJ 08540 C. Bushnell Long-Poe Ku H. Furth				
114.	Reactor Materials Technology, 272 Baywood Avenue, Pittsburgh. PA 15228 A. Boltax				
115-116.	Rensselaer Polytechnic Insti D. Steiner	tute, Troy, NY 12 David	2181 Duquette		
117.	Rockwell International Corporation, NA02, Rocketdyne Division, 6633 Canoga Avenue, Canoga Park, CA 9 1304 D. W. Kneff				
----------	---	--	--	--	--
118-120.	Sandia National Laboratories, Fusion Technology Dept., Dept. No 6531, P.O. Box 5800,				
	M. J. Davis R. D. Watson W. B. Gauster				
121-122.	Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore Division 8316 , Livermore. CA 94550 W. Bauer W. <i>G</i> . Wolfer				
123.	San Diego Slate University. Mechanical Engineering Dept., San Diego, CA 92182-0191 L. D. Thompson				
124.	TSI Research, 225 Stevens Ave #110, Solana Beach, CA 92075 E. T. Cheng				
125-126.	University of California Dept. of Chemical and Nuclear Engineering, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 G. E. Lucas G. R. Odette				
127-129.	University of California, Dept. of Chemical, Nuclear, and Thermal Engineering,				
	M. A. Abdou N. M. Ghoniem R. W. Conn				
130.	University of California, IPFR, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1600 S. Sharafat				
131.	University of Michigan. Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 T. Kammash				
132.	University of Missouri, Department of Nuclear Engineering. Rolla, MO 65401 A. Kumar				
133-134.	University of Wisconsin, Nuclear Engineering Dept., 1600 Johnson Drive, Madison, WI 53706 J. B. Blanchard G. I. Kulcinski				
135.	Washington State University-Tri-Cities, 6012 W. Ridgecrest Drive, Spokane, WA 99208 D. G. Doran				
136-139.	Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970 , Richland, WA 99352 R. E. Bauer F. M. Mann A. M. Ermi R. J. Puigh				
140-142.	Hokkaido University, Faculty of Engineering, Kim 13 , Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan Heischichiro Takahashi Akiia Okada Somei Ohnuki				
143-144.	Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai Research Establishment, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 319-11 , Japan Tatsuo Kondo Akimichi Hishinuma (3)				
145.	Kyushu University. Dept. of Nuclear Engineering. Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University 36 , Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812 , Japan C. Kinoshila				

146.	Kyushu University. Research Institute of Applied Mechanics, 6-1, Kasuga-Koen, Kasuga-Shi,
	Fukuoka-Ken 816, Japan
	Takeo Mwoga

- 147-148. Muroran Institute of Technology, Dept. of Metallurgical Engineering, 27-1 Mizumoto-cho. Mororan 050, Japan Toshihei Misawa Akihiko Kimura
 - Nagoya University. Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, Furo-Cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan Michio Kiritani
- 150-152. National Institute for Fusion Science, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan Osamu Motojima Chusei Namha Nohuaki Noda
- 153-155. National Research Institute for Metals, Tsukuha Branch, Sengen, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki-ken, 305, Japan Fujio Abe Josei Nagakawa Haruki Shiraishi
 - 156. Osaka University. Dept. of Nuclear Engineering. **2-1** Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan Tetuo Tanabe
 - 157. PNC Oarai. 4002 Narita, Oarai, Ibaraki 311-13, Japan Itaru Shihasaki
 - 158. Science University of Tokyo, Dept. of Materials Science & Technology, 2641 Yamazaki Noda City, Chiba Prefecture 278, Japan Naohira Igata
 - 159. Teikyo University, Otsuka, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan Akira Miyahara
 - 160. Tohoku University, Institute for Materials Research, Katahira 2-2-1, Sendai 980, Japan Hideki Matsui
- 161-162. Tohoku University, Institute for Materials Research, Oarai Branch, Oarai. Ibaraki 311-13, Japan Tatsuo Shikama Hideo Kayono
 - 163. Tohoku University. Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, Tohoku University, Aoha, Aramaki, Sendai 980, Japan Katsunori Abe
- 164-166. University of Tokyo, Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, 3-1, Hongo 7-Chome. Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 113, Japan Shiori Ishino (2) Naoto Sekimura
- 167-168. University of Tokyo, Dept. of Materials Science, 3-1, Hongo 7-Chome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan Akira Kohyama Yutaka Kohno
 - Commission of European Communities, Directorate-General for Research Science and Education. Fusion Programme RUE De La Loi 200, B-1049 Brussels, Belgium J. Darvas
- 170171. Chalk River Nuclear Laboratories, Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd., Chalk River, Ontario KOJ 1J0, Canada

 I. J. Hastings
 D. P. Jackson

- 172. Southwestern Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 432, Chenedu 610041, Sichuan, P.R. China J. P. Qian
- Institute of Atomic Energy, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 275-51, Beijing. P.R. China J. Yu
- 174 Riso National Laboratory, Materials Dept., P.O. Box **49**, DK-4000, Roskilde, Denmark B. N. Singh
- 175. Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires, Saclay, DLPC/SMCM, Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique,
 91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette, Cedex, France
 N. Roux
- 176. Commission for European Communities. Joint Research Centre, I.A.M. Ispra Establishment
 21020 Ispra (Varese), Italy
 P. Schiller
- 177. EURATOM/CIEMAT Fusion Association. Avenida Complutense 22,28040, Madrid, Spain E. R. Hodgson
- Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen. Wuerenlingen PSI, Switzerland M. Victoria
- 179. Harwell Laboratory, B393, Radiation Damage Dept., Oxfordshire, OX11 ORA, United Kingdom C. A. English
- 180. Metallurgical and Nuclear Consultant, 9A Cumnor Rise Road, Cumnor Hill, Oxford OX29HD, United Kingdom D. R. Harries
- 181. Culham Laboratory, U.K. Atomic Energy Authority, D5/117, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 3DB, United Kingdom G. J. Butterworth
- Hahn-Mietner-Institut fur Kernforschung Berlin, Postfach 390128, Glienicker Str. 100, D-14109, Germany
 H. Wollenberger
- 183. Institut für Festkorperforschung Forschungszentrum Jiilich, Postfach 1913, D-52425 Jiilich, Germany
 H. Ullmaier
- **184-185.**Kernforschungszenuum Karlsruhe. Postfach 3640, 75 Karlsruhe 1, Germany
M. Dalle-Donne (INR)K. Ehrlich (IMF-II)
 - A. A. Baikov Institute of Metallurgy, USSR Academy *d* Sciences. Leninsky Prospect 49, Moscow, Russia L. I. Ivanov
 - 187. CRISM "Prometey," Naberezhnava r. Monastyrick 1, 193167, St. Petersburg, Russia V. V. Rybin
 - 188. D. V. Efremov Institute of Electro-Physical Apparatus, 189631.St. Petersburg, Russia Yu. G. Prokofiev
 - 189. Institute of Power Engineering, 218 M. Krasnosel's Kaji St., 107113 Moscow. Russia G. M. Kalinin

- 190. Kharkov Institute of Physics & Technology, Radiation Damage and Materials Dept.. Akademicheskaya 1,310108 Kharkov, Ukraine
 I. M. Neckludov
- 191. V. I. Lenin Research Institute of Atomic Reactors. 433510 Dimiuovgrad-IO. Ulyanovsk Region, Russia V. K. Shamardin
- 192. Department of Energy, DOE Oak Ridge Field Office, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6269
 Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development
- 193. Department of Energy, DOE Oak Ridge Field Office. P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6269
 S. D. Frey
- 194. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Washington. D.C. 20585 R. J. Gottschall

195-202.	Department of Energy	, Office of Fusion Energy,	Washington. D.C. 20585
	S. E. Berk	N. A. Davies	R. E. Price
	M. M .Cohen	W. F. Dove	F. W. Wiffen
	D. H. Crandall	T. R. James	

- 203. Department of Energy. Richland Operations Office, Federal Bldg., MS-A590, Richland, WA 99352
 D. Segna
- 204-253. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, Office of Information Services, P.O. Box 62. Oak Ridge. TN 37831 For distribution as shown in DOE/TIC-4500, Distribution Categories UC-423 (Magnetic Fusion Reactor Materials) and UC-424 (Magnetic Fusion Energy Systems)